

Course descriptions

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. B-VUZde027/22 Abuse prevention.....	5
2. B-VUZde027/22 Abuse prevention.....	8
3. B-VOBde008/22 Aesthetics.....	11
4. B-VOBde008/22 Aesthetics.....	14
5. B-VUZde008/22 Bachelor thesis seminar.....	17
6. B-VUZde008/22 Bachelor thesis seminar.....	19
7. B-VUZšt011/15 Bachelor's thesis defence (state exam).....	21
8. B-HISde007/22 Basics of Modern Didactics of History#Teaching.....	24
9. B-VOBde012/22 Basics of economy.....	27
10. B-VOBde012/22 Basics of economy.....	30
11. B-VOBde011/22 Basics of law.....	33
12. B-VOBde011/22 Basics of law.....	36
13. B-VOBde013/22 Basics of religions.....	39
14. B-VOBde013/22 Basics of religions.....	42
15. B-VUZde029/22 Basics of the first aid.....	45
16. B-VUZde029/22 Basics of the first aid.....	48
17. B-VUZde043/24 COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir I.....	51
18. B-VUZde043/24 COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir I.....	53
19. B-VUZde044/24 COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir II.....	55
20. B-VUZde044/24 COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir II.....	57
21. B-VUZde031/22 Child biological development and school hygiene.....	59
22. B-VUZde031/22 Child biological development and school hygiene.....	63
23. B-VUZde005/22 Communication in education.....	67
24. B-VUZde005/22 Communication in education.....	70
25. B-VUZde025/15 Constructivism in education.....	73
26. B-VUZde025/15 Constructivism in education.....	74
27. B-VOBde021/22 Culture and multiculturalism.....	75
28. B-VOBde021/22 Culture and multiculturalism.....	77
29. B-VUZde035/22 Developing critical thinking.....	79
30. B-VUZde035/22 Developing critical thinking.....	81
31. B-HISde035/22 Didactics of non-formal History Education.....	83
32. B-VUZde002/22 Digital technologies 1.....	86
33. B-VUZde002/22 Digital technologies 1.....	95
34. B-VUZde012/22 Digital technologies 2.....	104
35. B-VUZde012/22 Digital technologies 2.....	112
36. B-VUZde013/22 Digital technologies 3.....	120
37. B-VUZde013/22 Digital technologies 3.....	121
38. B-VUZde037/22 Educational counselling.....	122
39. B-VUZde037/22 Educational counselling.....	125
40. B-HISde032/20 Empirical Research.....	128
41. B-VUZde030/22 Environmetal education.....	129
42. B-VUZde030/22 Environmetal education.....	132
43. B-VOBde007/22 Ethics.....	135
44. B-VOBde007/22 Ethics.....	138
45. B-VUZde016/22 Ethics and professional ethics.....	141
46. B-VUZde016/22 Ethics and professional ethics.....	144
47. B-HISde031/20 Excursion.....	147

48. B-HISde027/16	Excursion I.....	148
49. B-VUZde024/22	Family education.....	149
50. B-VUZde024/22	Family education.....	152
51. B-VOBde016/22	Gender aspects in education and training.....	155
52. B-VOBde016/22	Gender aspects in education and training.....	158
53. B-VUZde018/22	Gender aspects of education.....	161
54. B-VUZde018/22	Gender aspects of education.....	164
55. B-HISde010/22	General history I.....	167
56. B-HISde002/22	General history II.....	170
57. B-HISde004/22	General history III.....	173
58. B-HISde006/22	General history IV.....	176
59. B-HISde009/22	General history V.....	179
60. B-VUZde004/22	General didactics.....	182
61. B-VUZde004/22	General didactics.....	186
62. B-VOBde019/22	Global and development studies.....	190
63. B-VOBde019/22	Global and development studies.....	193
64. B-HISde034/22	Historic proseminar.....	196
65. B-HISde019/22	Historical geography.....	198
66. B-HISde029/20	History Olympiad.....	201
67. B-VOBde024/22	History of European culture.....	202
68. B-HISde001/22	History of Slovakia I.....	203
69. B-HISde003/22	History of Slovakia II.....	206
70. B-HISde005/22	History of Slovakia III.....	209
71. B-HISde008/22	History of Slovakia IV.....	212
72. B-VUZde022/22	History of education.....	215
73. B-VUZde022/22	History of education.....	217
74. B-VUZde014/22	History of philosophy 1.....	219
75. B-VUZde014/22	History of philosophy 1.....	222
76. B-VUZde015/15	History of philosophy 2.....	225
77. B-VUZde015/15	History of philosophy 2.....	226
78. B-VOBde005/22	History of philosophy I.....	227
79. B-VOBde005/22	History of philosophy I.....	230
80. B-VOBde006/22	History of philosophy II.....	233
81. B-VOBde006/22	History of philosophy II.....	236
82. B-VOBde027/20	Internship I.....	239
83. B-VOBde027/20	Internship I.....	240
84. B-VUZde017/15	Introduction to gender studies.....	241
85. B-VUZde017/15	Introduction to gender studies.....	242
86. B-VOBde001/22	Introduction to the citizenship education.....	243
87. B-VOBde001/22	Introduction to the citizenship education.....	245
88. B-HISde011/22	Introduction to the study of history.....	247
89. B-HISde015/22	Latin for History Teachers.....	249
90. B-HISde015/22	Latin for History Teachers.....	251
91. B-VUZde023/22	Leisure time education.....	253
92. B-VUZde023/22	Leisure time education.....	256
93. B-VUZde032/22	Medial education.....	259
94. B-VUZde032/22	Medial education.....	262
95. B-HISde013/22	Methodology of Writing a Professional Text in the History.....	265
96. B-VOBde010/22	Methodology of writing of citizenship education special text.....	267

97. B-VOBde010/22	Methodology of writing of citizenship education special text.....	270
98. B-VUZde033/22	Multicultural education.....	273
99. B-VUZde033/22	Multicultural education.....	276
100. B-VUZde020/22	Pathopsychology for teachers.....	279
101. B-VUZde020/22	Pathopsychology for teachers.....	280
102. B-VUZde028/22	Pedagogy of individuals with special educational needs.....	281
103. B-VUZde028/22	Pedagogy of individuals with special educational needs.....	285
104. B-VOBde004/22	Philosophical propedeutics.....	289
105. B-VOBde004/22	Philosophical propedeutics.....	292
106. B-VOBde022/22	Political ethics.....	295
107. B-VOBde022/22	Political ethics.....	298
108. B-VOBde002/22	Political science I.....	301
109. B-VOBde002/22	Political science I.....	304
110. B-VOBde003/22	Political science II.....	307
111. B-VOBde003/22	Political science II.....	310
112. B-HISde028/16	Practice in cultural institution.....	313
113. B-HISde030/20	Project Activities.....	314
114. B-VUZde003/22	Psychology for teachers 1.....	315
115. B-VUZde003/22	Psychology for teachers 1.....	318
116. B-VUZde007/22	Psychology for teachers 2.....	321
117. B-VUZde007/22	Psychology for teachers 2.....	324
118. B-HISde012/22	Regional history of Slovakia.....	327
119. B-VOBde026/22	Religion systems.....	330
120. B-VOBde026/22	Religion systems.....	331
121. B-VUZde034/22	Rhetoric and communication.....	332
122. B-VUZde034/22	Rhetoric and communication.....	335
123. B-VUZde036/22	School management.....	338
124. B-VUZde036/22	School management.....	341
125. B-HISde014/22	Seminar on General History I.....	344
126. B-HISde017/22	Seminar on General History II.....	346
127. B-HISde021/22	Seminar on General History III.....	349
128. B-HISde023/22	Seminar on General History IV.....	352
129. B-HISde026/22	Seminar on General History V.....	355
130. B-HISde016/22	Seminar on History of Slovakia I.....	358
131. B-HISde020/22	Seminar on History of Slovakia II.....	361
132. B-HISde022/22	Seminar on History of Slovakia III.....	364
133. B-HISde025/22	Seminar on History of Slovakia IV.....	367
134. B-HISde024/22	Seminar on the Basics of Modern Didactics of History Teaching.....	370
135. B-VOBde025/22	Situational ethics.....	374
136. B-VOBde025/22	Situational ethics.....	375
137. B-VOBde018/22	Social anthropology.....	376
138. B-VOBde018/22	Social anthropology.....	379
139. B-VUZde019/22	Social psychology for teachers.....	382
140. B-VUZde019/22	Social psychology for teachers.....	385
141. B-VUZde026/22	Social skills of teachers training.....	388
142. B-VUZde026/22	Social skills of teachers training.....	389
143. B-VOBde014/22	Social-psychological training for citizenship education.....	390
144. B-VOBde014/22	Social-psychological training for citizenship education.....	393
145. B-VUZde021/22	Socio-educational training for teachers.....	396

146. B-VUZde021/22	Socio-educational training for teachers.....	399
147. B-VOBde009/22	Sociology.....	402
148. B-VOBde009/22	Sociology.....	405
149. B-VOBde020/22	Sociology of education and youth.....	408
150. B-VOBde020/22	Sociology of education and youth.....	410
151. B-VUZde038/22	Specialised foreign language.....	412
152. B-VUZde038/22	Specialised foreign language.....	413
153. B-VOBde023/22	Teacher's communication and presentation skills.....	414
154. B-VOBde023/22	Teacher's communication and presentation skills.....	417
155. B-VUZde009/22	Teaching practice (A).....	420
156. B-VUZde009/22	Teaching practice (A).....	423
157. B-VUZde010/22	Teaching practice (B).....	426
158. B-VUZde010/22	Teaching practice (B).....	429
159. B-VUZde001/22	Theoretical basics of education.....	432
160. B-VUZde001/22	Theoretical basics of education.....	436
161. B-VOBde017/22	Theory of democracy.....	440
162. B-VOBde017/22	Theory of democracy.....	443
163. B-VUZde045/25	Učenie hrou.....	446
164. B-VUZde045/25	Učenie hrou.....	447
165. B-VOBde015/22	Values and education.....	448
166. B-VOBde015/22	Values and education.....	451
167. B-HISde033/20	Workshop Activities.....	454
168. B-VUZde039/23	Zvedavé učenie 1.....	455
169. B-VUZde040/23	Zvedavé učenie 2.....	456
170. B-VUZde041/24	Študentská vedecká, odborná a umelecká činnosť 1.....	457
171. B-VUZde042/24	Študentská vedecká, odborná a umelecká činnosť 2.....	458

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde027/22	Course title: Abuse prevention
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed with an assessment, the ratio of the midterm and final assessment is 100/0. The task of the students is to prepare a midterm paper for 40 points and to pass a midterm test for 60 points, a total of 100 points. A minimum of 60% of the maximum possible course grade is required for successful completion of the course. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.	

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The aim of the course is to provide basic knowledge about the problems of drug consumption, their effects, the etiology of drug addiction. The course pays special attention to the issues of the drug scene, drug policy development and criminal sanctions, or drug crime. Students will be able to identify the internal and external causes of addiction, summarize the manifestations of different types of addiction, indicate and propose specific forms of addiction prevention. They will deepen their knowledge and competences in working with people with addiction, they will learn to apply preventive practices through examples and concrete activities in school practice.

Students and learners will develop communication skills using professional terminology in the field of substance and non-substance addictions. They will improve their skills in working with professional literature and producing professional texts. Students will be able to apply knowledge creatively to problem-based assignments.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. Basic terminology (social pathology, drug, addiction, abstinence, codependence, prevention, etc.).
2. Classification and characteristics of selected groups of psychotropic substances.
3. Specifics and classification of substance addictions.
4. Causes and factors of drug use.
5. The emergence of addiction and its symptoms.
6. Non-substance addictions (classification, characteristics).
7. Prevention of addiction and its forms.
8. Options for help in the field of addiction (basic psychotherapeutic approaches in addiction treatment, pharmacotherapeutic help, family accompaniment).
9. National Drug Control Programme. The roles of the Departments of Education, Culture, Health, Justice, Home Affairs and Labour, Social Affairs and Family.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

HUPKOVÁ, I., LIBERČANOVÁ, K. (2012). Drogové závislosti a ich prevencia. Trnava: Pedagogická fakulta TU v Trnave. 153 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-563-8 Dostupné na: <https://pdf.truni.sk/download?e-skripta/hupkova-libercanova-drogove-zavislosti.pdf>

Recommended reading:

FERKOVÁ, Š. (2014). Skúsenosti s využitím influenčného programu zameraného na elimináciu rizikového správania žiakov v škole. In Prevencia. roč. 13. č. 4. s. 37 – 44.

FISCHER, S., ŠKODA, J. (2009). Sociální patologie. Analýza příčin a možnosti ovlivňování závažných sociálně patologických jevů. Praha: Grada Publishing, a.s. 224 s. ISBN 978-80-247-7813

KALINA, K. (2008). Základy klinické adiktologie. Praha: Grada, 387 s. ISBN 978-80-247-1411-0. K

KALINA, K. et al. (2003). Drogy a drogové závislosti 1. a 2. Mezioborový přístup. Praha: ÚV ČR, 640 s. ISBN 80-86734-05-6.

KUKLOVÁ, M. (2016). Kognitivně-behaviorální terapie v léčbě závislostí. Praha: Portál. 152 s. ISBN 978-80-262-1101-3

NEŠPOR, K. (2003). Návykové chování a závislost. Současné poznatky a perspektivy léčby. Praha: Portál. 152 s. ISBN 80-7178-831-7.

NOCIAR, A. (2009). Drogové závislosti. Bratislava: Vysoká škola Svätej Alžbety. 123 s. ISBN 978-80-892-7168-9

Languages necessary to complete the course:

: slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 620

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
52,1	0,0	21,77	12,9	6,29	2,26	4,68

Lecturers: Mgr. Štefánia Ferková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde027/22	Course title: Abuse prevention
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed with an assessment, the ratio of the midterm and final assessment is 100/0. The task of the students is to prepare a midterm paper for 40 points and to pass a midterm test for 60 points, a total of 100 points. A minimum of 60% of the maximum possible course grade is required for successful completion of the course. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.	

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The aim of the course is to provide basic knowledge about the problems of drug consumption, their effects, the etiology of drug addiction. The course pays special attention to the issues of the drug scene, drug policy development and criminal sanctions, or drug crime. Students will be able to identify the internal and external causes of addiction, summarize the manifestations of different types of addiction, indicate and propose specific forms of addiction prevention. They will deepen their knowledge and competences in working with people with addiction, they will learn to apply preventive practices through examples and concrete activities in school practice.

Students and learners will develop communication skills using professional terminology in the field of substance and non-substance addictions. They will improve their skills in working with professional literature and producing professional texts. Students will be able to apply knowledge creatively to problem-based assignments.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. Basic terminology (social pathology, drug, addiction, abstinence, codependence, prevention, etc.).
2. Classification and characteristics of selected groups of psychotropic substances.
3. Specifics and classification of substance addictions.
4. Causes and factors of drug use.
5. The emergence of addiction and its symptoms.
6. Non-substance addictions (classification, characteristics).
7. Prevention of addiction and its forms.
8. Options for help in the field of addiction (basic psychotherapeutic approaches in addiction treatment, pharmacotherapeutic help, family accompaniment).
9. National Drug Control Programme. The roles of the Departments of Education, Culture, Health, Justice, Home Affairs and Labour, Social Affairs and Family.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

HUPKOVÁ, I., LIBERČANOVÁ, K. (2012). Drogové závislosti a ich prevencia. Trnava: Pedagogická fakulta TU v Trnave. 153 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-563-8 Dostupné na: <https://pdf.truni.sk/download?e-skripta/hupkova-libercanova-drogove-zavislosti.pdf>

Recommended reading:

FERKOVÁ, Š. (2014). Skúsenosti s využitím influenčného programu zameraného na elimináciu rizikového správania žiakov v škole. In Prevencia. roč. 13. č. 4. s. 37 – 44.

FISCHER, S., ŠKODA, J. (2009). Sociální patologie. Analýza příčin a možnosti ovlivňování závažných sociálně patologických jevů. Praha: Grada Publishing, a.s. 224 s. ISBN 978-80-247-7813

KALINA, K. (2008). Základy klinické adiktologie. Praha: Grada, 387 s. ISBN 978-80-247-1411-0. K

KALINA, K. et al. (2003). Drogy a drogové závislosti 1. a 2. Mezioborový přístup. Praha: ÚV ČR, 640 s. ISBN 80-86734-05-6.

KUKLOVÁ, M. (2016). Kognitivně-behaviorální terapie v léčbě závislostí. Praha: Portál. 152 s. ISBN 978-80-262-1101-3

NEŠPOR, K. (2003). Návykové chování a závislost. Současné poznatky a perspektivy léčby. Praha: Portál. 152 s. ISBN 80-7178-831-7.

NOCIAR, A. (2009). Drogové závislosti. Bratislava: Vysoká škola Svätej Alžbety. 123 s. ISBN 978-80-892-7168-9

Languages necessary to complete the course:

: slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 620

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
52,1	0,0	21,77	12,9	6,29	2,26	4,68

Lecturers: Mgr. Štefánia Ferková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde008/22	Course title: Aesthetics
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture, 2 hours per week, i.e., a total of 22 hours per semester, combined method (mostly in attendance) Student workload: 22 hours of direct instruction per semester, preparation of mid-term paper in the first half of the semester 10 hours, preparation of final paper in the second half of the semester 24 hours, completion of exam paper 30 hours, guided self-study 14 hours, total 100 hours per semester. Teaching methods: Lecture, discussion of the topic, brainstorming, problem solving, interpretation of a work of art, study of sources, demonstration, Socratic conversation, guided self-study	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 50/50 weighting of interim and final evaluation Active participation in class discussions. Preparation of an interim paper (= portfolio structure) and a final paper (= portfolio on a selected work of art). The portfolio will include a variety of documentary material that illuminates the artwork from several necessary perspectives (e.g., newspaper clippings, links to websites, factual data, interviews, case studies, a list of literature on the subject, etc.). It will aim to document that the selected artefact is a work of art. It will have four parts: A) The cultural and historical context of the artwork. B) Compositional and meaning analysis of the work. C) The student's own reflection on the artwork, the relationship of the artwork to the student. D) List of sources used. Assessment: A 100-91 points - excellent = outstanding - will be awarded to the student whose portfolio is built systematically, encompasses the multifaceted nature of the artwork, and the student demonstrates an original approach. B 90-81 points - very good = above average results - will be awarded to a student whose portfolio, although built systematically, focuses only on the most common sources and approaches to the subject.	

C 80-73 points - good = routine, reliable work - will be awarded to a student whose portfolio shows signs of an unsystematic and haphazard summary of materials. D 72-66 points - satisfactory = acceptable performance - will be awarded if the portfolio is only a superficial view of the artwork. E 65-60 points - satisfactory = results meet the minimum criteria - the student will be awarded if the portfolio contains several more serious factual weaknesses - for example, the student groups together documents that are unrelated to the selected artwork, or summarizes randomly collected documents without a system, or makes no reference to the sources used Fx 59-0 points - Insufficient = extra work required - will be awarded if the student limits him/herself to expressing his/her feelings and to sources obtained by randomly selecting from a search engine, or the artwork is completely mischaracterized and insufficiently characterized, or the portfolio is not grouped according to the nature of the artwork. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50
Learning outcomes: Students understand the meaning of art, its position and functioning in culture. They identify the different layers of culture with an emphasis on contemporary culture. Students are able to interpret works of art, perceive their functions in human and civic life, and construct criteria for evaluating works of art. They register and interpret the meaning of culture in the life of the citizen. The course lays the groundwork for students' ability to work with art in their future civics classes and provides a foundation for the course in the Master's degree "Arts in Citizenship Education."
Class syllabus: Kitsch, rubbish, artwork - boundaries and transitions. Contemporary art as action. What is important for understanding the work of art - the structure of the work, the artist and the work, the place of the work in the development of art, the place of the work in art culture, in the wider cultural and social space. Art in the media, art culture, art in the world of social networks and in civic life. Since the aim of the course is also to reflect the current cultural and social situation, the subtopics and details of the topics will be adjusted according to the changes in culture and current social problems in the Slovak Republic and the world. This will be particularly relevant to the emphasis on specific cultural phenomena or works of art.
Recommended literature: BARTHES, R. 2004: Mytologie. Praha : Dokořán. ISBN 80-86569-73-X BOURDIEU, P. 2010: Pravidla umění. Vznik a struktura literárního pole. Brno : Host. ISBN 978-80-7294-364-7 HADRAVOVÁ, T. 2016: Co je nového v estetice. Praha : Nová beseda. ISBN 978-80-906089-7-9 MISTRÍK, E. 2013-2021: Estetický slovník. Bratislava : Album. ISBN 978-80-971533-4-2 (Online. 25. 11. 2021) www.estetickyslovník.sk MISTRÍK, E. 1994: Vstup do umenia. Nitra : Enigma Jr., 1994. ISBN 80-85471-18-3. (Online 25. 11. 2021). http://erichmistik.sk/knihy/vstup.pdf VANĚK, J. 2012: Estetika v proměnách prožitků. Praha : ARSCI. ISBN 978-80-7420-029.8 ZAHRÁDKA, P. 2009: Vysoké versus populární umění. Olomouc : Peripetium. ISBN 978-80-86624-48-8
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language
Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 281						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
45,55	0,0	24,56	14,95	8,19	2,85	3,91
Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Erich Mistrík, CSc.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde008/22	Course title: Aesthetics
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture, 2 hours per week, i.e., a total of 22 hours per semester, combined method (mostly in attendance) Student workload: 22 hours of direct instruction per semester, preparation of mid-term paper in the first half of the semester 10 hours, preparation of final paper in the second half of the semester 24 hours, completion of exam paper 30 hours, guided self-study 14 hours, total 100 hours per semester. Teaching methods: Lecture, discussion of the topic, brainstorming, problem solving, interpretation of a work of art, study of sources, demonstration, Socratic conversation, guided self-study	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 50/50 weighting of interim and final evaluation Active participation in class discussions. Preparation of an interim paper (= portfolio structure) and a final paper (= portfolio on a selected work of art). The portfolio will include a variety of documentary material that illuminates the artwork from several necessary perspectives (e.g., newspaper clippings, links to websites, factual data, interviews, case studies, a list of literature on the subject, etc.). It will aim to document that the selected artefact is a work of art. It will have four parts: A) The cultural and historical context of the artwork. B) Compositional and meaning analysis of the work. C) The student's own reflection on the artwork, the relationship of the artwork to the student. D) List of sources used. Assessment: A 100-91 points - excellent = outstanding - will be awarded to the student whose portfolio is built systematically, encompasses the multifaceted nature of the artwork, and the student demonstrates an original approach. B 90-81 points - very good = above average results - will be awarded to a student whose portfolio, although built systematically, focuses only on the most common sources and approaches to the subject.	

C 80-73 points - good = routine, reliable work - will be awarded to a student whose portfolio shows signs of an unsystematic and haphazard summary of materials. D 72-66 points - satisfactory = acceptable performance - will be awarded if the portfolio is only a superficial view of the artwork. E 65-60 points - satisfactory = results meet the minimum criteria - the student will be awarded if the portfolio contains several more serious factual weaknesses - for example, the student groups together documents that are unrelated to the selected artwork, or summarizes randomly collected documents without a system, or makes no reference to the sources used Fx 59-0 points - Insufficient = extra work required - will be awarded if the student limits him/herself to expressing his/her feelings and to sources obtained by randomly selecting from a search engine, or the artwork is completely mischaracterized and insufficiently characterized, or the portfolio is not grouped according to the nature of the artwork. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50
Learning outcomes: Students understand the meaning of art, its position and functioning in culture. They identify the different layers of culture with an emphasis on contemporary culture. Students are able to interpret works of art, perceive their functions in human and civic life, and construct criteria for evaluating works of art. They register and interpret the meaning of culture in the life of the citizen. The course lays the groundwork for students' ability to work with art in their future civics classes and provides a foundation for the course in the Master's degree "Arts in Citizenship Education."
Class syllabus: Kitsch, rubbish, artwork - boundaries and transitions. Contemporary art as action. What is important for understanding the work of art - the structure of the work, the artist and the work, the place of the work in the development of art, the place of the work in art culture, in the wider cultural and social space. Art in the media, art culture, art in the world of social networks and in civic life. Since the aim of the course is also to reflect the current cultural and social situation, the subtopics and details of the topics will be adjusted according to the changes in culture and current social problems in the Slovak Republic and the world. This will be particularly relevant to the emphasis on specific cultural phenomena or works of art.
Recommended literature: BARTHES, R. 2004: Mytologie. Praha : Dokořán. ISBN 80-86569-73-X BOURDIEU, P. 2010: Pravidla umění. Vznik a struktura literárního pole. Brno : Host. ISBN 978-80-7294-364-7 HADRAVOVÁ, T. 2016: Co je nového v estetice. Praha : Nová beseda. ISBN 978-80-906089-7-9 MISTRÍK, E. 2013-2021: Estetický slovník. Bratislava : Album. ISBN 978-80-971533-4-2 (Online. 25. 11. 2021) www.estetickyslovník.sk MISTRÍK, E. 1994: Vstup do umenia. Nitra : Enigma Jr., 1994. ISBN 80-85471-18-3. (Online 25. 11. 2021). http://erichmistrík.sk/knihy/vstup.pdf VANĚK, J. 2012: Estetika v proměnách prožitků. Praha : ARSCI. ISBN 978-80-7420-029.8 ZAHRÁDKA, P. 2009: Vysoké versus populární umění. Olomouc : Peripetium. ISBN 978-80-86624-48-8
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language
Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 281						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
45,55	0,0	24,56	14,95	8,19	2,85	3,91
Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Erich Mistrík, CSc.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde008/22	Course title: Bachelor thesis seminar
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). The student's task is to solve the assignments given continuously during the semester and to hand in the final thesis project at the end of the midterm evaluation. The student actively participates in presenting and discussing solutions to the interim assignments in seminars, for which he/she receives points (20%). The solution of each interim assignment that is handed in on the due date is evaluated with points (40%). The student receives points (40%) for the final thesis project at the end of the interim assessment. All points will be added together at the end of the interim assessment. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), the student actively works on continuous assignments, participates in the presentation and discussion of the assignments in seminars. Reflects both critically and creatively on interim assignments. He/she is able to produce a final thesis project at an excellent level. B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), the student actively works adequately on the continuous assignments, participates in the presentation and discussion of the given assignments in seminars. He/she reflects adequately on the intermediate assignments and is able to produce a final thesis project at a very good level. C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), the student has performed standardly well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge. The final thesis project is done at a good level. D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), the student was less prepared during the semester, has slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge. Cannot critically analyze information. He/she prepared the final thesis project at a satisfactory level. E (66-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), the student has limited theoretical knowledge, is unable to critically analyze this knowledge, is only minimally able to apply the knowledge. Intermediate tasks meet the minimum criteria, as well as the development of the final thesis project.	

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).						
Learning outcomes: Learning outcomes: Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences: The aim of the course is to familiarize students theoretically and practically with the principles and procedures that need to be mastered for the successful preparation of a bachelor's thesis. The student is able to define the topic of his/her own bachelor thesis, is able to correctly determine the methods of its processing, acquires the ability to work selectively and correctly with the professional literature on the chosen topic. He/she knows the individual parts of the final thesis. Is able to prepare and present the results of the thesis and is able to respond to questions on the thesis in discussion.						
Class syllabus: Brief outline of the course: Types of bachelor theses. The timetable of thesis processing. Definition of the topic and goal of the thesis. Study of literature. Excerpting of material. Theoretical and methodological conception of the thesis. Methodology of problem processing. Methodology of elaboration of the thesis. Formal-compositional requisites of the final thesis. How to prepare for the defence of the thesis.						
Recommended literature: Recommended reading: Selection of literature according to the chosen topic of the thesis; Current directive of the Rector of Charles University on the basic requirements of thesis; LICHNEROVÁ, L. (2016). Písanie a obhajoba záverečných prác - vysokoškolské skriptá pre študentov Univerzity Komenského v Bratislave. Bratislava: Stimul. ISBN 978-80-8127-155-7 KATUŠČÁK, D. (2013). Ako písať záverečné a kvalifikačné práce. Nitra: Enigma. ISBN 8089132454 STAROŇOVÁ, K. (2011). Vedecké písanie: ako písať akademické a vedecké texty. Bratislava: Osveta, . ISBN 978-80-8063-359-2.						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak language (in the case of language subject approbations also the target language)						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 1081						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,93	0,0	23,77	10,18	2,78	1,57	2,78
Lecturers: Mgr. Miriam Viršínská, PhD., Mgr. Veronika Valkovičová, PhD., Mgr. Miroslava Repiská, PhD., PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD., doc. PhDr. Marian Groma, PhD., PhDr. Dušan Damián Brezány, Mgr. Marína Hríbová, PhD., prof. György Domokos, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., doc. Tivadar Palágyi, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., doc. PaedDr. Martina Šipošová Chochulová, PhD., Dr. habil. Tamás Karáth, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde008/22	Course title: Bachelor thesis seminar
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). The student's task is to solve the assignments given continuously during the semester and to hand in the final thesis project at the end of the midterm evaluation. The student actively participates in presenting and discussing solutions to the interim assignments in seminars, for which he/she receives points (20%). The solution of each interim assignment that is handed in on the due date is evaluated with points (40%). The student receives points (40%) for the final thesis project at the end of the interim assessment. All points will be added together at the end of the interim assessment. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), the student actively works on continuous assignments, participates in the presentation and discussion of the assignments in seminars. Reflects both critically and creatively on interim assignments. He/she is able to produce a final thesis project at an excellent level. B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), the student actively works adequately on the continuous assignments, participates in the presentation and discussion of the given assignments in seminars. He/she reflects adequately on the intermediate assignments and is able to produce a final thesis project at a very good level. C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), the student has performed standardly well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge. The final thesis project is done at a good level. D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), the student was less prepared during the semester, has slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge. Cannot critically analyze information. He/she prepared the final thesis project at a satisfactory level. E (66-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), the student has limited theoretical knowledge, is unable to critically analyze this knowledge, is only minimally able to apply the knowledge. Intermediate tasks meet the minimum criteria, as well as the development of the final thesis project.	

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).						
Learning outcomes: Learning outcomes: Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences: The aim of the course is to familiarize students theoretically and practically with the principles and procedures that need to be mastered for the successful preparation of a bachelor's thesis. The student is able to define the topic of his/her own bachelor thesis, is able to correctly determine the methods of its processing, acquires the ability to work selectively and correctly with the professional literature on the chosen topic. He/she knows the individual parts of the final thesis. Is able to prepare and present the results of the thesis and is able to respond to questions on the thesis in discussion.						
Class syllabus: Brief outline of the course: Types of bachelor theses. The timetable of thesis processing. Definition of the topic and goal of the thesis. Study of literature. Excerpting of material. Theoretical and methodological conception of the thesis. Methodology of problem processing. Methodology of elaboration of the thesis. Formal-compositional requisites of the final thesis. How to prepare for the defence of the thesis.						
Recommended literature: Recommended reading: Selection of literature according to the chosen topic of the thesis; Current directive of the Rector of Charles University on the basic requirements of thesis; LICHNEROVÁ, L. (2016). Písanie a obhajoba záverečných prác - vysokoškolské skriptá pre študentov Univerzity Komenského v Bratislave. Bratislava: Stimul. ISBN 978-80-8127-155-7 KATUŠČÁK, D. (2013). Ako písať záverečné a kvalifikačné práce. Nitra: Enigma. ISBN 8089132454 STAROŇOVÁ, K. (2011). Vedecké písanie: ako písať akademické a vedecké texty. Bratislava: Osveta, . ISBN 978-80-8063-359-2.						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak language (in the case of language subject approbations also the target language)						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 1081						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,93	0,0	23,77	10,18	2,78	1,57	2,78
Lecturers:						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

STATE EXAM DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZšt011/15	Course title: Bachelor's thesis defence
Number of credits: 12	
Educational level: I.	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The state examination of the defence of the thesis, its conditions and procedural aspects are regulated by the Internal Regulation No.1/2020 Study Regulations of the Faculty of Arts of Charles University, as well as by the Internal Regulation No. 5/2021 Study Regulations of the Charles University. A student may take the state examination a) after obtaining at least such number of credits that after obtaining credits for successful completion of the last state examination he/she achieves the necessary number of credits for proper completion of studies and b) after successful completion of compulsory courses, compulsory elective courses and elective courses in the composition determined by the study programme except for the state examination and c) no disciplinary proceedings are conducted against him/her. In the bachelor's degree, the student receives 12 credits for the successful defence of the bachelor's thesis (credits included in the UZ study plan). According to the VP 23/2021 Internal Quality Assurance System for Higher Education of the Charles University in Bratislava, the student is evaluated in particular: - Demonstration of the student's ability to collect and interpret relevant data (facts), usually within the field of study in which the student has completed the programme of study, with the possibility of interdisciplinary overlap into other fields if necessary; - Demonstrate the ability to make informed decisions that also take into account social, scientific and ethical considerations; - Ability to communicate information, concepts, problems and solutions to both professional and lay audiences. - the ability to think and work creatively in the field of study in which they have completed their degree programme. - knowledge and understanding of the problem/issue addressed. - Applying their skills in collecting, interpreting and processing basic literature, which may include its application in practice, or solving a sub-task related to the student's future field of study/ assignment. The rating is awarded on a scale: A 100 - 91% (excellent - outstanding results), B 90 - 81% (very good - above average standard), C 80 - 73% (good - normal reliable operation), D 72 - 66% (satisfactory - acceptable results), E 65 - 60% (sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx 59% or less (insufficient).	

A student is evaluated with the FX grade if he/she has fulfilled the requirements for the grade only at a level not exceeding 60% of the total highest possible level of fulfilment of the requirements for the completion of the subject of the state examination - the defence of the bachelor thesis. In the same way, a student shall be assessed with an FX grade if he/she has submitted a thesis in violation of paragraph 7 of Article 56 of the UK Internal Regulation 23/2021 or has submitted a thesis that does not substantially meet the requirements under paragraph 1(d), paragraph 2(d) or paragraph 3(d) of Article 56 of the UK Internal Regulation 23/2021 Internal Quality Assurance System for Higher Education at the University of Bratislava.

The details and specifications of the requirements of the final theses for the individual teacher study programmes in combination (approbation) will be specified in the respective Study Programme Descriptions.

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

The learning outcome is in accordance with the Long-Term Plan of the Charles University/PdF, the mission and strategic goals of the Charles University/PdF, in accordance with the description of the field of study, in accordance with the NKR/SKR/DD, in accordance with the profile of the graduate, in accordance with the learning objectives and learning outcomes in the individual subjects of the study programme curriculum, and other relevant documents.

The student is able to demonstrate his/her ability to work creatively in the field of study in which he/she has completed the study programme when conceiving his/her bachelor thesis. The student is able to demonstrate adequate knowledge of the subject matter and to apply his/her skills in collecting, interpreting and processing the basic literature, or its application in practice, or is able to solve a sub-task related to the focus of the student's bachelor's thesis.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. Contribution of the thesis to the field of study. The evaluation of the bachelor thesis assesses whether the student has adequately demonstrated the ability to work creatively in the field of study in which he/she has completed the study programme, reflects the degree of demonstration of knowledge and knowledge of the issue, assesses the skills applied in collecting, interpreting and processing the basic literature, or the extent to which the student has mastered the application of theoretical assumptions in practice or how he/she is able to solve a subtask that is related to the focus of the student's bachelor thesis;
2. Originality of the thesis (the thesis must not be plagiarized, must not infringe the copyrights of other authors), the documentation for the defence of the thesis as a subject of the state examination includes originality protocols: from the Central Register and Theses, the results of which are commented on by the supervisor and the opponent in their opinions;
3. Correctness and correctness of citation of used information sources, research results of other authors and author teams, correctness of description of methods and working procedures of other authors or author teams;
4. Compliance of the structure of the thesis with the prescribed structure defined by the Internal Regulation No. 2/2018 Directive of the Rector of Charles University in Bratislava;
5. Respecting the recommended scope of the final thesis (the recommended scope of a bachelor's thesis is usually 30 - 40 standard pages - 54 000 to 72 000 characters including spaces), the adequacy of the scope of the thesis is assessed by the supervisor of the thesis;
6. Linguistic and stylistic level of the work and formal editing;
7. The manner and form of defending the thesis and the student's ability to respond adequately to the comments and questions in the supervisor's and opponent's evaluations.

8. In the study programme focused on the teaching of art-educational subjects, the presentation of artistic outputs and performances may be part of the final thesis and its defence.
State exam syllabus:
Recommended literature: Recommended reading: according to the focus of the bachelor thesis topic
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak language, in the case of language approbations also the language of the respective subject approbation
Notes: Examination committee approved by the Scientific Council of PdF UK. State examination: the defence of the bachelor thesis is carried out only from one approbation. Credits are counted towards "UZ".
Last change: 09.11.2022
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde007/22	Course title: Basics of Modern Didactics of History#Teaching
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 1-hour lecture + 1-hour seminar/week; 22 hours total per semester, combined; (primarily full-time) Student workload: 2PS (3 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 48 hours of portfolio development and reflection on lecture topics; 15 hours of preparation for midterm discussion; 15 hours of practice for the final colloquium. Total 90 hours of student work. Educational methods: E-U-R (evocation-awareness-reflection); analysis of texts, iconic texts, and educational materials; explanation; discussion/colloquium on the subject; problem-solving on the theoretical and practical level of school history; evaluation by SWOT analysis	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100% of the continuous verbal and written assessment. During the semester, the student analyses, reflects and elaborates in writing the content of the lectured and discussed topics and formulates his/her solutions to the presented problems (applies the E-U-R method). Students are evaluated continuously by discussion/colloquium and defence of written reflection on the lectured topics. SWOT analysis is applied in the overall assessment. The quality of the student outcomes is assessed by SWOT analysis. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. Credit will not be awarded to students who score less than 15 points on any of the four written examinations. A score of at least 60% is required to pass the course. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student has a broad, compact knowledge in the specialised field of the discipline and can apply it in theoretical and practical contexts, is oriented in the current state of knowledge of borderline scientific disciplines, thinks conceptually, can actively acquire information and creatively apply it in solving problems, actively expands his knowledge and critically reviews it and considers the suitability of its application for pedagogical and historical practice), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is oriented in the issue, knows it, can independently acquire new knowledge, and solve professional tasks, but his critical, constructive	

thinking is borderline when analysing and assessing the planes of normative and reflective didactics),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, although the student can orient himself in the problem, independently search and analyse information, can learn, but he cannot think critically and constructively enough, evaluate the issues solved, and apply the acquired knowledge creatively and conceptually in broader theoretical and practical contexts),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student is able to orientate himself in the problem at a basic level, his knowledge is fragmented and incomplete, and at a lower level of satisfaction, he also applies transferable understanding of the field and related disciplines, in the area of factual, conceptual, procedural and metacognitive knowledge he shows more serious deficiencies),

E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student is able to orient himself in the problem only with great difficulty, he knows only the most basic knowledge, he does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and does not apply the acquired knowledge in a systematic way to the solution of practical tasks),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - additional work is required, the student is unable to orient himself/herself in the problem, does not master the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to argue and think critically)

Learning outcomes:

The student will gain theoretical knowledge of modern didactics of history, European trends, and recommendations for contemporary history by completing the course. The student will gain an overview of the modernisation of history teaching in Slovakia and the functionality of the didactic system of history. Analyse partial problems of modern history didactics and the results of empirical research in contemporary school practice. He is also oriented in the creation of teaching media and the process of critical assessment of educational materials and programmes.

Class syllabus:

Students will become familiar with selected problems of modern didactics of History Teaching, identify the requirements placed on teacher education and gain knowledge about the application of methods and strategies recommended for teaching and learning pupils in primary and secondary schools. They will gain an overview of the modernisation of history teaching in Slovakia and the requirements for the functionality of the didactic system in educational practice.

The content focus of the course concentrates on the following key topics:

- Basic concepts of didactics: "modern" didactics of History Teaching, methodology, historical consciousness, historical culture, historical knowledge, historical education, historical awareness, historical memory, functional literacy, and the functionality of factuality.
- The relationship between historical science and history, their interconnectedness and autonomous position, popularisation of historical knowledge, and specifics of the work of historians and history teachers.
- Designing (conceptualisation) history as a school subject, visions and perspectives, requirements, and recommendations of RE and expert commissions for teaching history in the 21st century, updating the selection of history curriculum in the educational field: Human and society.
- Didactic system, its components and functionality. The formula of functioning of the didactic system in History Teaching.
- The role of History Teaching Didactics in contemporary modern teaching, application of the three essential functions of history.
- Goals and tasks of history. Taxonomies of goals and tasks, "operationalisation" of objectives in contemporary history teaching and learning. What is the theory, and what is practice?
- The processual aspect of history teaching, conclusions of empirical research on the state of school history practice.

- Modern (activating) methods and techniques of teaching and learning, the importance of "neurodidactics" in the educational process.
- Diversity of educational media and their functionality in history education, history textbooks as the most widespread type of historical literature, functions, and practical applicability of their structural components.
- History in a more entertaining form (didactic games, comics, cartoons, collages, graphic schemes, etc.).

Recommended literature:

Required reading:

BOCKOVÁ, A.: Ako reflektujú slovenskí študenti dejepis a históriu? In: Verbum historiae, 2/2014.

BOCKOVÁ, A.: Výučba dejepisu ako pedagogický problém (pohľad na funkčnosť dejepisného vzdelávania a funkčnosť faktografie). In: Verbum historiae 1. Prešov : Vydavateľstvo M. Vaška, 2009.

ČAPEK, R.: Moderní didaktika dějepisu. Lexikon výukových a hodnoticích metod. Praha : Grada, 2015.

KRATOCHVÍL, V.: Metafora stromu ako model didaktiky dejepisu k predpokladom výučby. Bratislava : Raabe, 2019.

STRADLING, R.: Vyučovanie európskej histórie dvadsiateho storočia. Bratislava : Metocké centrum mesta Bratislavy, 2002.

Recommended reading:

BOCKOVÁ, A.: Empirický prieskum. Metodika stáže. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského, 2021.

BURIAN, V.: Trendy, ktoré možno zatrasú školami. In: Dobrá škola, roč. 7, 2015, č. 3.

KMEŤ, M.: História a dejepis (Vybrané kapitoly z didaktiky dejepisu). Banská Bystrica : Univerzita Mateja Bela, 2017.

LABISCHOVÁ, D.-GRACOVÁ, B.: Příručka k didaktice dějepisu, Ostrava : Ostravská univerzita v Ostrave, 2010.

STRADLING, R.: Multiperspektíva v dejepisnom vzdelávaní. Bratislava : Metodické centrum mesta Bratislavy, 2007.

TUREK, I.: Klíčové kompetence. Bratislava : Metodicko-pedagogické centrum v Bratislave, 2003.

Učebnice dejepisu pre základné školy, gymnáziá a stredné školy;

Inovovaný Štátny vzdelávací program pre vzdelávaciu oblasť Človek a spoločnosť - dejepis, dostupný na: www.statpedu.sk

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 262

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
48,47	0,0	28,24	15,27	3,44	1,15	3,44

Lecturers: Mgr. Ivana Dendys, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde012/22	Course title: Basics of economy
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Type, scope and method of educational activities: 2 hours seminar per week, total 22 hours per semester, combined method (mostly by attendance). Student workload: 11 x 2 hours of direct teaching = 22 hours, preparation for teaching during the semester 8 hours, preparation for mid-term evaluation 10 hours, preparation for final evaluation 20 hours, total 60 hours. Teaching methods: dialogical methods - discussion in groups, problem-based methods - brainwriting, brainstorming, monological methods - lecture, interpretation, guided self-study - work with text, solving problems and assignments.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim and final marks: 100/0 Assessment: consists of a mid-term and a final assessment at the end of the mid-term (40+60, 100 points in total). Semester work: consists of creating a presentation and presenting it orally on any topic in economics and economics for about 10 minutes (30pts). The prepared topic should be based on professional sources, it can be a legislative anchoring of the topic, or documentaries, interviews and discussions on economic topics with experts, various educational and educational videos, methodological guides in the framework of financial literacy, life stories of well-known entrepreneurs or studies, analyses or global milestones such as the global financial crisis, the impact of pandemics, etc. At the same time, the student will incorporate into the presentation a sample/formulation of questions for the didactic test, where he/she will list at least 6 variable, mostly application questions within his/her topic, including the options (10b). Final assessment: consists of a knowledge test for 60pts. The written exam/test consists of theoretical preparation for the course in the basic concepts of economics and a study of the literature. Prerequisites: The grade is awarded on a scale of A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),	

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, fair - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, inadequate - additional work required).

A minimum score of 60% is required to pass the course.

A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of continuous assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 81 points is required for a grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach to developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise to problem solving assignments using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template, good technical knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the assistance of the instructor, good orientation to the problem, good communication skills, completion of assignments, and average activity in class during the semester as prompted by the instructor.

For a grade of D, at least 66 points. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, basic activity in class during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

At least 60 points for an E grade. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: ability to develop ongoing assignments and with the assistance of the instructor, basic/minimal proficiency, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assignments.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The Basics of Economics course is mainly based on students' active participation in learning through interactive assignments. These assignments are based on theoretical training in the field of economic sciences, where students learn economic principles through key topics such as micro and macroeconomics, market and market system, supply and demand, market competition, labour market and wage, profit and capital, entrepreneurship, etc. They will use concrete examples and illustrations to understand the different mechanisms that influence economic relations. In modern society, economic or financial literacy is an essential area of human life, and we will therefore present ways of developing this area in primary and secondary school pupils.

Class syllabus:

The development of economics and economic thought, historical development and the main trends and currents of economics. Classical and neoclassical economics, 19th century developments. Economics - subject and methods. Market and market mechanism. Competition. Market of factors of production. Economic agents, their incomes and the functioning of the enterprise. Macroeconomics - basic concepts. Economic policy. Monetary policy. Fiscal policy and the state budget. Eurosystem - European Central Bank, euro. Inflation and unemployment. Financial literacy. National financial literacy standard.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings: HOREHÁJ, J. 2014. Základy ekonómie pre spoločenskovedné odbory. Trnava: FFTU, 2014. 102 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-780-9 http://ff.truni.sk/sites/default/files/publikacie/horehaj_zaklady_ekonomie.pdf NÚCEM, 2013. Zbierka úloh z financie gramotnosti. Bratislava. 34s. ISBN 978-80-89638-09-3 https://www.statpedu.sk/files/articles/dokumenty/vzdelavacie_aktivity/zbierka_uloh_z_financnej_gramotnosti.pdf Recommended readings: HAZLITT, H. 2008. Ekonomie v jedné lekci. Praha: Alfa Nakladatelství, 2008. 192 s. ISBN 978-80-87197-05-9 HOLMAN, R. 2015. Základy ekonomie pro studenty vyšších odborných škol a neekonomických fakult VŠ. Bratislava: C. H. Beck, 2015. 384 s. ISBN 978-80-740-0007-2																				
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language																				
Notes:																				
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 168 <table> <tr> <th>A</th><th>ABS</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th><th>FX</th></tr> <tr> <td>32,74</td><td>0,0</td><td>32,74</td><td>17,86</td><td>6,55</td><td>8,33</td><td>1,79</td></tr> </table>							A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX	32,74	0,0	32,74	17,86	6,55	8,33	1,79
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX														
32,74	0,0	32,74	17,86	6,55	8,33	1,79														
Lecturers: doc. Ing. Jaromír Novák, PhD.																				
Last change: 09.11.2022																				
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.																				

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde012/22	Course title: Basics of economy
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Type, scope and method of educational activities: 2 hours seminar per week, total 22 hours per semester, combined method (mostly by attendance). Student workload: 11 x 2 hours of direct teaching = 22 hours, preparation for teaching during the semester 8 hours, preparation for mid-term evaluation 10 hours, preparation for final evaluation 20 hours, total 60 hours. Teaching methods: dialogical methods - discussion in groups, problem-based methods - brainwriting, brainstorming, monological methods - lecture, interpretation, guided self-study - work with text, solving problems and assignments.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim and final marks: 100/0 Assessment: consists of a mid-term and a final assessment at the end of the mid-term (40+60, 100 points in total). Semester work: consists of creating a presentation and presenting it orally on any topic in economics and economics for about 10 minutes (30pts). The prepared topic should be based on professional sources, it can be a legislative anchoring of the topic, or documentaries, interviews and discussions on economic topics with experts, various educational and educational videos, methodological guides in the framework of financial literacy, life stories of well-known entrepreneurs or studies, analyses or global milestones such as the global financial crisis, the impact of pandemics, etc. At the same time, the student will incorporate into the presentation a sample/formulation of questions for the didactic test, where he/she will list at least 6 variable, mostly application questions within his/her topic, including the options (10b). Final assessment: consists of a knowledge test for 60pts. The written exam/test consists of theoretical preparation for the course in the basic concepts of economics and a study of the literature. Prerequisites: The grade is awarded on a scale of A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),	

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, fair - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, inadequate - additional work required).

A minimum score of 60% is required to pass the course.

A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of continuous assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 81 points is required for a grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach to developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise to problem solving assignments using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template, good technical knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the assistance of the instructor, good orientation to the problem, good communication skills, completion of assignments, and average activity in class during the semester as prompted by the instructor.

For a grade of D, at least 66 points. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, basic activity in class during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

At least 60 points for an E grade. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: ability to develop ongoing assignments and with the assistance of the instructor, basic/minimal proficiency, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assignments.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The Basics of Economics course is mainly based on students' active participation in learning through interactive assignments. These assignments are based on theoretical training in the field of economic sciences, where students learn economic principles through key topics such as micro and macroeconomics, market and market system, supply and demand, market competition, labour market and wage, profit and capital, entrepreneurship, etc. They will use concrete examples and illustrations to understand the different mechanisms that influence economic relations. In modern society, economic or financial literacy is an essential area of human life, and we will therefore present ways of developing this area in primary and secondary school pupils.

Class syllabus:

The development of economics and economic thought, historical development and the main trends and currents of economics. Classical and neoclassical economics, 19th century developments. Economics - subject and methods. Market and market mechanism. Competition. Market of factors of production. Economic agents, their incomes and the functioning of the enterprise. Macroeconomics - basic concepts. Economic policy. Monetary policy. Fiscal policy and the state budget. Eurosystem - European Central Bank, euro. Inflation and unemployment. Financial literacy. National financial literacy standard.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings: HOREHÁJ, J. 2014. Základy ekonómie pre spoločenskovedné odbory. Trnava: FFTU, 2014. 102 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-780-9 http://ff.truni.sk/sites/default/files/publikacie/horehaj_zaklady_ekonomie.pdf NÚCEM, 2013. Zbierka úloh z financie gramotnosti. Bratislava. 34s. ISBN 978-80-89638-09-3 https://www.statpedu.sk/files/articles/dokumenty/vzdelavacie_aktivity/zbierka_uloh_z_financnej_gramotnosti.pdf Recommended readings: HAZLITT, H. 2008. Ekonomie v jedné lekci. Praha: Alfa Nakladatelství, 2008. 192 s. ISBN 978-80-87197-05-9 HOLMAN, R. 2015. Základy ekonomie pro studenty vyšších odborných škol a neekonomických fakult VŠ. Bratislava: C. H. Beck, 2015. 384 s. ISBN 978-80-740-0007-2																				
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language																				
Notes:																				
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 168 <table> <tr> <th>A</th><th>ABS</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th><th>FX</th></tr> <tr> <td>32,74</td><td>0,0</td><td>32,74</td><td>17,86</td><td>6,55</td><td>8,33</td><td>1,79</td></tr> </table>							A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX	32,74	0,0	32,74	17,86	6,55	8,33	1,79
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX														
32,74	0,0	32,74	17,86	6,55	8,33	1,79														
Lecturers: doc. Ing. Jaromír Novák, PhD.																				
Last change: 09.11.2022																				
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.																				

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde011/22	Course title: Basics of law
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Scope, type/method of teaching and organizational form: 2 hours seminar per week, i.e. 22 hours in total per semester, combined (mostly in full-time mode) Student workload: Scope: 11x 2 hours of direct teaching = 22 hours; preparation for group presentation = 18 hours; preparation for final test = 20 hours. 20 hours for the final group discussion; 20 hours for the final group discussion. Teaching methods: Discussion, interview, role-play method, quizzes, brainstorming, case study, mind-mapping, interpretation, text work, small group work, group presentation	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Range of interim and final evaluation: 100/0 The interim part of the evaluation will consist of the following components: - class participation or active involvement in class discussions (20 points); - group presentation on a selection of topics (e.g. civil law; family law; labour law; criminal law; administrative law) (40 points); For the group presentation, each group will be responsible for preparing an entire lesson for their classmates to introduce one branch of law in an engaging and creative way. Thus, this is not a traditional group presentation but a preparation of an entire lesson for 2nd grade elementary school students or any year of high school (45-60 minutes). This lesson should include: 1) a brief introduction to the basic terminology of this branch of law, what it contains, what it deals with, where it can be found; it is expected that the members of the presenting group are actually familiar with the primary source of law (e.g. if they are going to present civil law, it is necessary for everyone in the group to read the entire Civil Code, Act No. 40/1964 Coll., etc.). 2) examples of the application of this branch of law in the Slovak Republic on one or more real court cases or cases from the past; 3) interactive and creative activities, which can also be carried out in lessons for students of the second level of primary school or secondary school, where the members of the group as future teachers will try to introduce the given branch of law to their future pupils. - A final written test (closed questions and multiple choice) in the last lesson (40 points).	

The final test contains multiple choice questions, with only one answer being correct or the most correct answer at any given time. The test will consist primarily of information presented in all previous lessons. The test will be administered in the last class period.

Grades will be given on a scale:

A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding),

B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work),

D (72-66%, acceptable - acceptable results),

E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, inadequate - extra work required)

A minimum score of 60% is required to pass the course.

For a grade of A, the student needs to produce consistently excellent results, be able to study independently, and be able to reflect on the problems presented. The student can critically evaluate the information presented, identify problems and propose original solutions, can select relevant sources for his/her studies, can analyse and select professional or scientific information, has a command of theoretical and empirical knowledge in the field of law and can apply it in his/her teaching practice. At the same time, students are able to work in a team and cooperate on assignments.

A grade of B means that the student has performed above average throughout the semester, has been able to study independently, is proficient in basic information related to law, can navigate the literature, and can apply the knowledge gained to practice in working with children and students, but there are deficiencies in his/her critical and creative thinking. At the same time, students are able to work in a team and collaborate on assignments.

A grade of C means that the student has performed well during the semester, his or her theoretical knowledge of the subject is at a good level, but the ability to apply this knowledge, critically evaluate and compare different literary sources is lacking. There are more weaknesses in critical and inductive thinking as well as in group work.

A grade of D means that the student has been less well prepared during the semester, has moderate deficiencies in theoretical knowledge related to the subject of education and has more problems in independent implementation work as well as in group work, cannot critically analyse information and has problems applying knowledge to practice.

A grade of E means that the student fails in more than one of the assignments, lacks the ability to analyse and compare multiple pieces of knowledge, and fails to think critically and apply theoretical knowledge to practice, but is proficient in most of the theoretical knowledge of the course.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The student will gain an knowledge in the theoretical background of the legal system in general. He/she is familiar with the structure of the legal system, the foundations of law in the social and societal plane. Gain knowledge in the field of law-making in terms of the preparation of the legislative process and its implementation. An orientation in the various branches of public and private law. Acquire basic knowledge of the theory of the state and law, the organisation of state and public administration, as well as of fundamental human and civil rights.

They will also be able to assess, analyse and compare information, be able to think critically and evaluate individual examples of good practice and generalise knowledge.

Class syllabus:

1. Stereotypes and myths related to law;

2. The rule of law and democracy;

3. Types of legal cultures;

4. Sources of law and law-making; 5. Stages of the legislative process; 6. Implementation and application of law; 7. Branches of law and the Constitution; 8. Organisation and organs of the State; 9. Powers of state bodies and public authorities; 10. Branches of law: Civil law; Family law; Labour law; Criminal law; Administrative law						
Recommended literature: OTTOVÁ, Eva. Teória práva. Šamorín : Heuréka vydavateľstvo, 2010. ISBN 978-80-89122-59-2 PROCHÁZKA, Radoslav. – KÁČER, Marek. Teória práva. Bratislava : C. H. Beck. 2019. ISBN 978-80-89603-73-2 SVÁK, Ján. – KUKLIŠ, Peter. Teória a prax legislatívy. Žilina : Poradca podnikateľa spol. s.r.o., 2007. ISBN 80-88931-58-4 THURZOVÁ, Marta. Základy práva pre sociálnych pracovníkov. vyd. 2. Bratislava : OZ Sociálna práca, 2006. ISBN 80-89185-21-5 VOJČÍK, Peter et al. Základy práva. Krupina : Enigma Publishing s.r.o., 2014. ISBN 978-80-8133-029-2 current versions of the codes for each branch of law						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 294						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
45,58	0,0	35,03	11,22	2,04	3,4	2,72
Lecturers: JUDr. PhDr. Barbara Faktor Pavlíková, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde011/22	Course title: Basics of law
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Scope, type/method of teaching and organizational form: 2 hours seminar per week, i.e. 22 hours in total per semester, combined (mostly in full-time mode) Student workload: Scope: 11x 2 hours of direct teaching = 22 hours; preparation for group presentation = 18 hours; preparation for final test = 20 hours. 20 hours for the final group discussion; 20 hours for the final group discussion. Teaching methods: Discussion, interview, role-play method, quizzes, brainstorming, case study, mind-mapping, interpretation, text work, small group work, group presentation	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Range of interim and final evaluation: 100/0 The interim part of the evaluation will consist of the following components: - class participation or active involvement in class discussions (20 points); - group presentation on a selection of topics (e.g. civil law; family law; labour law; criminal law; administrative law) (40 points); For the group presentation, each group will be responsible for preparing an entire lesson for their classmates to introduce one branch of law in an engaging and creative way. Thus, this is not a traditional group presentation but a preparation of an entire lesson for 2nd grade elementary school students or any year of high school (45-60 minutes). This lesson should include: 1) a brief introduction to the basic terminology of this branch of law, what it contains, what it deals with, where it can be found; it is expected that the members of the presenting group are actually familiar with the primary source of law (e.g. if they are going to present civil law, it is necessary for everyone in the group to read the entire Civil Code, Act No. 40/1964 Coll., etc.). 2) examples of the application of this branch of law in the Slovak Republic on one or more real court cases or cases from the past; 3) interactive and creative activities, which can also be carried out in lessons for students of the second level of primary school or secondary school, where the members of the group as future teachers will try to introduce the given branch of law to their future pupils. - A final written test (closed questions and multiple choice) in the last lesson (40 points).	

The final test contains multiple choice questions, with only one answer being correct or the most correct answer at any given time. The test will consist primarily of information presented in all previous lessons. The test will be administered in the last class period.

Grades will be given on a scale:

A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding),

B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work),

D (72-66%, acceptable - acceptable results),

E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, inadequate - extra work required)

A minimum score of 60% is required to pass the course.

For a grade of A, the student needs to produce consistently excellent results, be able to study independently, and be able to reflect on the problems presented. The student can critically evaluate the information presented, identify problems and propose original solutions, can select relevant sources for his/her studies, can analyse and select professional or scientific information, has a command of theoretical and empirical knowledge in the field of law and can apply it in his/her teaching practice. At the same time, students are able to work in a team and cooperate on assignments.

A grade of B means that the student has performed above average throughout the semester, has been able to study independently, is proficient in basic information related to law, can navigate the literature, and can apply the knowledge gained to practice in working with children and students, but there are deficiencies in his/her critical and creative thinking. At the same time, students are able to work in a team and collaborate on assignments.

A grade of C means that the student has performed well during the semester, his or her theoretical knowledge of the subject is at a good level, but the ability to apply this knowledge, critically evaluate and compare different literary sources is lacking. There are more weaknesses in critical and inductive thinking as well as in group work.

A grade of D means that the student has been less well prepared during the semester, has moderate deficiencies in theoretical knowledge related to the subject of education and has more problems in independent implementation work as well as in group work, cannot critically analyse information and has problems applying knowledge to practice.

A grade of E means that the student fails in more than one of the assignments, lacks the ability to analyse and compare multiple pieces of knowledge, and fails to think critically and apply theoretical knowledge to practice, but is proficient in most of the theoretical knowledge of the course.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The student will gain an knowledge in the theoretical background of the legal system in general. He/she is familiar with the structure of the legal system, the foundations of law in the social and societal plane. Gain knowledge in the field of law-making in terms of the preparation of the legislative process and its implementation. An orientation in the various branches of public and private law. Acquire basic knowledge of the theory of the state and law, the organisation of state and public administration, as well as of fundamental human and civil rights.

They will also be able to assess, analyse and compare information, be able to think critically and evaluate individual examples of good practice and generalise knowledge.

Class syllabus:

1. Stereotypes and myths related to law;

2. The rule of law and democracy;

3. Types of legal cultures;

4. Sources of law and law-making; 5. Stages of the legislative process; 6. Implementation and application of law; 7. Branches of law and the Constitution; 8. Organisation and organs of the State; 9. Powers of state bodies and public authorities; 10. Branches of law: Civil law; Family law; Labour law; Criminal law; Administrative law						
Recommended literature: OTTOVÁ, Eva. Teória práva. Šamorín : Heuréka vydavateľstvo, 2010. ISBN 978-80-89122-59-2 PROCHÁZKA, Radoslav. – KÁČER, Marek. Teória práva. Bratislava : C. H. Beck. 2019. ISBN 978-80-89603-73-2 SVÁK, Ján. – KUKLIŠ, Peter. Teória a prax legislatívy. Žilina : Poradca podnikateľa spol. s.r.o., 2007. ISBN 80-88931-58-4 THURZOVÁ, Marta. Základy práva pre sociálnych pracovníkov. vyd. 2. Bratislava : OZ Sociálna práca, 2006. ISBN 80-89185-21-5 VOJČÍK, Peter et al. Základy práva. Krupina : Enigma Publishing s.r.o., 2014. ISBN 978-80-8133-029-2 current versions of the codes for each branch of law						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 294						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
45,58	0,0	35,03	11,22	2,04	3,4	2,72
Lecturers: Mgr. Veronika Valkovičová, PhD., Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde013/22	Course title: Basics of religions
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours/week, 22 hours total per semester, combined method (mostly full-time) Student workload: 11 x 2 hours of direct teaching = 22 hours; 10 hours preparation for presentation; 28 hours preparation for final test. Total 60 hours of student work. Learning methods: During the common lessons, the methods are mainly monological methods of lecturing, explanation and explanation, which are appropriately supplemented by dialogical methods such as interview, discussion, polemic; occasionally we apply situational methods of case study. In the framework of individual self-study, it is mainly about working with a professional text, reading comprehension, subsequent creative formulation of the key content of that text, elaboration of a presentation with a didactically relevant text-image basis in PowerPoint accompanied by verbal explication of the issue from memory.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim and final marks: 100/0, which includes: presentation of the selected topic (30% of the assessment) and a final test in the assessment week (70% of the assessment). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the presentation grade and 60% of the final test grade is required. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, inadequate - extra work required) A minimum of 60% of the total score is required to pass the course. A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results; students have performed excellently during the semester, they have actively participated in the teaching and shown interest in the subject, they can work independently with the literature and creatively process and present the acquired knowledge, their theoretical knowledge of religious studies is at a high level),	

B (90-81%, very good - above average standard; students performed very well during the semester, they participated in the teaching, they can work independently with the literature and creatively process and present the acquired knowledge, their theoretical knowledge of religious studies is at a very good level),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work; during the semester students performed standardly well, they were able to work independently with the literature and to process and present the acquired knowledge in an appropriate way, their theoretical knowledge of religious studies is at a good level),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results; students are able to work independently with the literature to a limited extent and are able to process and present the acquired knowledge with problems, they have moderate deficiencies in their theoretical knowledge of religious studies),

E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria; students have considerable problems in working independently with the literature as well as in processing and presenting the acquired knowledge, they have considerable deficiencies in theoretical knowledge in religious studies),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The course will provide students with background information and orientation in the history and present of religions and sufficient background to understand religion as a significant spiritual component of the life of society. By completing the course, students will gain the ability to analyze religion in its interconnectedness as a personal, historical, cultural, ethical, social, and transcendent phenomenon. It will orient them to the world's major religious systems as well as new religious movements. They will be able to use the acquired knowledge effectively in the pedagogical process as part of civics, with emphasis on education for religious and, in general, attitudinal tolerance. By completing the course, students will develop transferable competencies such as communication skills, analytical skills, creativity and abstract thinking skills, critical thinking skills, motivation and the ability to learn, and reasoning in context.

Learning Objectives:

To provide students with the basic information needed to understand religion as a phenomenon that plays a significant role in the life of the individual as well as in society and to familiarize them primarily with the world's dominant religious systems, which are the largest both in terms of number of adherents and geographical representation.

Class syllabus:

1. Introduction to religious studies.
2. Classification of religions.
3. Selected primitive and ancient religions.
4. Judaism: doctrine and practice.
5. Christianity: teaching and practice.
6. Islam: teaching and practice.
7. Hinduism: teaching and practice.
8. Buddhism: teaching and practice.
9. Selected new religious movements.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

Zaviš, M.: Repetitorium z religionistiky 1. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2008.

Recommended readings:

Cowan, D. – Bromley, D.: Sekty a nová náboženství. Praha: Grada Publishing, 2013.

Eliade, M.: Dejiny náboženských predstáv a ideí I-III. Bratislava: Agora, 1995-1997.

Glassenapp, H.: Päť svetových náboženstiev. Bratislava: Talentum, 2009.

Halík, T.: Prolínání světů. Praha: NLN, 2006.
Horyna, B.: Úvod do religionistiky. Praha: Oikoymenh, 1994.
Keene, M.: Svetové náboženstvá, Bratislava: Ikar, 2003.
Komorovský, J.: Religionistika. Veda o náboženstvách sveta a jej pomocné disciplíny. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2000.
Küng, H.: Po stopách svetových náboženstiev. Brno: CDK.
Lexikón svetových náboženstiev. Bratislava: Aktuell, 2006.
Štampach, O. I.: Přehled náboženství. Praha: Portál, 2008.
Zaviš, M.: New Age – pragmatický synkretizmus dneška/New Age – Pragmatic Syncretism of Today. In: New Age. Bratislava: Ústav pre vzťahy štátu a cirkví, 2005. S. 662-673.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 220

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
27,73	0,0	40,91	19,55	5,0	3,64	3,18

Lecturers: Mgr. Michal Bizoň, PhD.

Last change: 15.09.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde013/22	Course title: Basics of religions
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours/week, 22 hours total per semester, combined method (mostly full-time) Student workload: 11 x 2 hours of direct teaching = 22 hours; 10 hours preparation for presentation; 28 hours preparation for final test. Total 60 hours of student work. Learning methods: During the common lessons, the methods are mainly monological methods of lecturing, explanation and explanation, which are appropriately supplemented by dialogical methods such as interview, discussion, polemic; occasionally we apply situational methods of case study. In the framework of individual self-study, it is mainly about working with a professional text, reading comprehension, subsequent creative formulation of the key content of that text, elaboration of a presentation with a didactically relevant text-image basis in PowerPoint accompanied by verbal explication of the issue from memory.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim and final marks: 100/0, which includes: presentation of the selected topic (30% of the assessment) and a final test in the assessment week (70% of the assessment). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the presentation grade and 60% of the final test grade is required. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, inadequate - extra work required) A minimum of 60% of the total score is required to pass the course. A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results; students have performed excellently during the semester, they have actively participated in the teaching and shown interest in the subject, they can work independently with the literature and creatively process and present the acquired knowledge, their theoretical knowledge of religious studies is at a high level),	

B (90-81%, very good - above average standard; students performed very well during the semester, they participated in the teaching, they can work independently with the literature and creatively process and present the acquired knowledge, their theoretical knowledge of religious studies is at a very good level),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work; during the semester students performed standardly well, they were able to work independently with the literature and to process and present the acquired knowledge in an appropriate way, their theoretical knowledge of religious studies is at a good level),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results; students are able to work independently with the literature to a limited extent and are able to process and present the acquired knowledge with problems, they have moderate deficiencies in their theoretical knowledge of religious studies),

E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria; students have considerable problems in working independently with the literature as well as in processing and presenting the acquired knowledge, they have considerable deficiencies in theoretical knowledge in religious studies),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The course will provide students with background information and orientation in the history and present of religions and sufficient background to understand religion as a significant spiritual component of the life of society. By completing the course, students will gain the ability to analyze religion in its interconnectedness as a personal, historical, cultural, ethical, social, and transcendent phenomenon. It will orient them to the world's major religious systems as well as new religious movements. They will be able to use the acquired knowledge effectively in the pedagogical process as part of civics, with emphasis on education for religious and, in general, attitudinal tolerance. By completing the course, students will develop transferable competencies such as communication skills, analytical skills, creativity and abstract thinking skills, critical thinking skills, motivation and the ability to learn, and reasoning in context.

Learning Objectives:

To provide students with the basic information needed to understand religion as a phenomenon that plays a significant role in the life of the individual as well as in society and to familiarize them primarily with the world's dominant religious systems, which are the largest both in terms of number of adherents and geographical representation.

Class syllabus:

1. Introduction to religious studies.
2. Classification of religions.
3. Selected primitive and ancient religions.
4. Judaism: doctrine and practice.
5. Christianity: teaching and practice.
6. Islam: teaching and practice.
7. Hinduism: teaching and practice.
8. Buddhism: teaching and practice.
9. Selected new religious movements.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

Zaviš, M.: Repetitorium z religionistiky 1. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2008.

Recommended readings:

Cowan, D. – Bromley, D.: Sekty a nová náboženství. Praha: Grada Publishing, 2013.

Eliade, M.: Dejiny náboženských predstáv a ideí I-III. Bratislava: Agora, 1995-1997.

Glassenapp, H.: Päť svetových náboženstiev. Bratislava: Talentum, 2009.

Halík, T.: Prolínání světů. Praha: NLN, 2006.
Horyna, B.: Úvod do religionistiky. Praha: Oikoymenh, 1994.
Keene, M.: Svetové náboženstvá, Bratislava: Ikar, 2003.
Komorovský, J.: Religionistika. Veda o náboženstvách sveta a jej pomocné disciplíny. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2000.
Küng, H.: Po stopách svetových náboženstiev. Brno: CDK.
Lexikón svetových náboženstiev. Bratislava: Aktuell, 2006.
Štampach, O. I.: Přehled náboženství. Praha: Portál, 2008.
Zaviš, M.: New Age – pragmatický synkretizmus dneška/New Age – Pragmatic Syncretism of Today. In: New Age. Bratislava: Ústav pre vzťahy štátu a cirkví, 2005. S. 662-673.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 220

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
27,73	0,0	40,91	19,55	5,0	3,64	3,18

Lecturers: Mgr. Michal Bizoň, PhD.

Last change: 15.09.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde029/22	Course title: Basics of the first aid
Educational activities: Type of activities: practicals Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment: (a) a continuous written assessment with a maximum of 80 points aimed at testing knowledge and understanding of general first aid principles as well as basic first aid principles for life-threatening conditions (cessation of breathing, blood circulation), serious injuries (bleeding, burning, scalding, hypothermia, frostbite, bone and joint injuries, injuries to body orifices) and minor injuries (b) by developing an activity on the chosen first aid topic in the form of a simulation of the health problem mentioned above as well as an active performance of a drill on the basic principles of first aid with a maximum of 20 points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. Credit will not be awarded to a student who scores less than 40 points on the written midterm and fails to prepare for the activity. A minimum score of 60% is required to pass the course. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results), excellent performance, the student has excellent knowledge of theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health threat, can simulate a case of health threat based on the theoretical knowledge and solve the situation when providing first aid in practice B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), excellent performance, the student knows the theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health threat very well, can simulate a case of health threat only partially on the basis of the theoretical knowledge, but can deal with the situation when providing first aid in practice C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), good performance, although the student has a good knowledge of theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health hazards, but cannot simulate a case of a health hazard on their basis, but can partially solve the situation when providing first aid in practice	

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), satisfactory performance, the student partially knows the theoretical knowledge of health procedures in cases of health threats, but is unable to simulate a case of a health threat and is only partially able to apply the theory to practice E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet the minimum criteria), satisfactory performance, the student has only minimal knowledge of the theoretical knowledge of health procedures in cases of health threats, is unable to simulate a case of a health threat and is able to apply the theory to practice only partially Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), insufficient performance, student does not have sufficient knowledge of basic health procedures in health emergencies, nor is he/she able to simulate a health emergency and apply the theory to practice Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0
Learning outcomes: Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences: The aim of the course is to teach students the basics of first aid, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, as well as the management of serious medical conditions. Upon completion of the course, the student will gain theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health threats to children and adolescents. At the same time, the student will strengthen his/her communication and organizational skills in dealing with serious health emergencies through simulated situations.
Class syllabus: Brief outline of the course: 1. General principles of first aid (the student acquires basic knowledge and knowledge of the general principles of first aid, can recognize basic first aid from rapid medical and technical assistance, can assess the situation without endangering his/her own life, assess the health condition of the affected - the severity and extent, take urgent measures to save life and knows the correct procedure for calling the ambulance service) 2. Positioning and displacement. (the student is familiarized with the basic positions in providing first aid and possible methods of removal, so that the health of the affected person does not further endanger) 3. First aid for life-threatening conditions (respiratory arrest, circulatory arrest) (the student will be able to recognize the signs of respiratory arrest and circulatory arrest and subsequently provide cardiopulmonary resuscitation in both adults and children in the correct way). 4. First aid for serious injuries (bleeding, burns, scalding, hypothermia, frostbite, bone and joint injuries, injuries to body orifices). (the student is able to recognise the risks to health in different degrees of bleeding, burning, scalding, hypothermia, frostbite, bone and joint injuries, injuries to body orifices, to administer appropriate basic first aid in relation to the extent of the injury and consequently to recognise the signs of shock and to provide the correct anti-shock measures) 5. First aid for minor injuries (the student can recognise minor injuries and provide appropriate first aid)
Recommended literature: Recommended reading: DOBIÁŠ, V. (2017). 5P. Bratislava: Dixit, 302 s., ISBN 978-80-89662-24-1 Krajina záchranárov. Prvá pomoc. Online: www.prvapomoc.sk ŠANTA, M. a kol. (2006). Prvá pomoc. Martin: Osveta, 179 s., ISBN 80-8063-207-3
Languages necessary to complete the course: slovak language
Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 361						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
67,04	0,0	10,8	7,76	4,99	4,71	4,71
Lecturers: Mgr. Mária Fuchsová, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde029/22	Course title: Basics of the first aid
Educational activities: Type of activities: practicals Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment: (a) a continuous written assessment with a maximum of 80 points aimed at testing knowledge and understanding of general first aid principles as well as basic first aid principles for life-threatening conditions (cessation of breathing, blood circulation), serious injuries (bleeding, burning, scalding, hypothermia, frostbite, bone and joint injuries, injuries to body orifices) and minor injuries (b) by developing an activity on the chosen first aid topic in the form of a simulation of the health problem mentioned above as well as an active performance of a drill on the basic principles of first aid with a maximum of 20 points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. Credit will not be awarded to a student who scores less than 40 points on the written midterm and fails to prepare for the activity. A minimum score of 60% is required to pass the course. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results), excellent performance, the student has excellent knowledge of theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health threat, can simulate a case of health threat based on the theoretical knowledge and solve the situation when providing first aid in practice B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), excellent performance, the student knows the theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health threat very well, can simulate a case of health threat only partially on the basis of the theoretical knowledge, but can deal with the situation when providing first aid in practice C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), good performance, although the student has a good knowledge of theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health hazards, but cannot simulate a case of a health hazard on their basis, but can partially solve the situation when providing first aid in practice	

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), satisfactory performance, the student partially knows the theoretical knowledge of health procedures in cases of health threats, but is unable to simulate a case of a health threat and is only partially able to apply the theory to practice E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet the minimum criteria), satisfactory performance, the student has only minimal knowledge of the theoretical knowledge of health procedures in cases of health threats, is unable to simulate a case of a health threat and is able to apply the theory to practice only partially Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), insufficient performance, student does not have sufficient knowledge of basic health procedures in health emergencies, nor is he/she able to simulate a health emergency and apply the theory to practice Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0
Learning outcomes: Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences: The aim of the course is to teach students the basics of first aid, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, as well as the management of serious medical conditions. Upon completion of the course, the student will gain theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health threats to children and adolescents. At the same time, the student will strengthen his/her communication and organizational skills in dealing with serious health emergencies through simulated situations.
Class syllabus: Brief outline of the course: 1. General principles of first aid (the student acquires basic knowledge and knowledge of the general principles of first aid, can recognize basic first aid from rapid medical and technical assistance, can assess the situation without endangering his/her own life, assess the health condition of the affected - the severity and extent, take urgent measures to save life and knows the correct procedure for calling the ambulance service) 2. Positioning and displacement. (the student is familiarized with the basic positions in providing first aid and possible methods of removal, so that the health of the affected person does not further endanger) 3. First aid for life-threatening conditions (respiratory arrest, circulatory arrest) (the student will be able to recognize the signs of respiratory arrest and circulatory arrest and subsequently provide cardiopulmonary resuscitation in both adults and children in the correct way). 4. First aid for serious injuries (bleeding, burns, scalding, hypothermia, frostbite, bone and joint injuries, injuries to body orifices). (the student is able to recognise the risks to health in different degrees of bleeding, burning, scalding, hypothermia, frostbite, bone and joint injuries, injuries to body orifices, to administer appropriate basic first aid in relation to the extent of the injury and consequently to recognise the signs of shock and to provide the correct anti-shock measures) 5. First aid for minor injuries (the student can recognise minor injuries and provide appropriate first aid)
Recommended literature: Recommended reading: DOBIÁŠ, V. (2017). 5P. Bratislava: Dixit, 302 s., ISBN 978-80-89662-24-1 Krajina záchranárov. Prvá pomoc. Online: www.prvapomoc.sk ŠANTA, M. a kol. (2006). Prvá pomoc. Martin: Osveta, 179 s., ISBN 80-8063-207-3
Languages necessary to complete the course: slovak language
Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 361						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
67,04	0,0	10,8	7,76	4,99	4,71	4,71
Lecturers: Mgr. Mária Fuchsová, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde043/24	Course title: COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir I.
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Organizational Form: 2 seminar hours per week, totaling 22 hours per semester, delivered in a blended format (primarily in-person). Student Workload: 11 sessions x 2 hours of direct instruction (total: 22 hours), 22 hours of continuous preparation for classes, 8 hours of preparation for the final performance, Total: 52 hours of student work Teaching Methods: A combination of monological methods (instruction) and practical methods.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Participation in the course requires the successful completion of an entrance exam, which assesses the student's intonation and rhythmic abilities. This assessment is conducted by the choir conductor. The evaluation criteria are based on continuous and final practical collective/individual performance. The evaluation of the continuous practical performance includes fulfilling partial tasks within specific voice groups and participation in internal, public, or other concert performances. The final practical performance consists of a collective presentation of selected repertoire studied during the course. Grading Scale: • A (100–91%, excellent – outstanding results) • B (90–81%, very good – above-average standard) • C (80–73%, good – consistent, reliable work) • D (72–66%, satisfactory – acceptable results) • E (65–60%, sufficient – meets minimum criteria) • FX (59–0%, insufficient – requires additional work)	
Learning outcomes: The aim of the course is to develop and enhance collective vocal expression within the framework of a choir ensemble. The student acquires the principles of choral singing technique and harmonic-	

rhythmic awareness. They develop skills in teamwork and communication. Additionally, the student gains stage experience and represents the university at domestic and international events.						
Class syllabus: The course focuses on exploring choral works from various stylistic periods, gaining practical experience in their interpretation, and applying these methods in practice.						
Recommended literature: Required Literature: Sheet music/choral pieces as determined by the conductor. Recommended Literature: FUCHS, J. Sólový zpěv a jeho vztah ke zpěvu sborovému. KANIŠÁKOVÁ, T. Zborový spev ako formujúci prostriedok vo výchove. In: Acta paedagogicae. Prešov: PdF PU, 2002. ISBN 80-8068-076-0. Proceedings from international symposia CANTUS CHORALIS. Recordings of choral compositions from various stylistic periods and different choral ensembles.						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 34						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
97,06	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	2,94
Lecturers: doc. Monika Bažíková, ArtD., Mgr. art. Stanislava Maggioni, ArtD.						
Last change: 24.10.2025						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde043/24	Course title: COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir I.
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Organizational Form: 2 seminar hours per week, totaling 22 hours per semester, delivered in a blended format (primarily in-person). Student Workload: 11 sessions x 2 hours of direct instruction (total: 22 hours), 22 hours of continuous preparation for classes, 8 hours of preparation for the final performance, Total: 52 hours of student work Teaching Methods: A combination of monological methods (instruction) and practical methods.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Participation in the course requires the successful completion of an entrance exam, which assesses the student's intonation and rhythmic abilities. This assessment is conducted by the choir conductor. The evaluation criteria are based on continuous and final practical collective/individual performance. The evaluation of the continuous practical performance includes fulfilling partial tasks within specific voice groups and participation in internal, public, or other concert performances. The final practical performance consists of a collective presentation of selected repertoire studied during the course. Grading Scale: • A (100–91%, excellent – outstanding results) • B (90–81%, very good – above-average standard) • C (80–73%, good – consistent, reliable work) • D (72–66%, satisfactory – acceptable results) • E (65–60%, sufficient – meets minimum criteria) • FX (59–0%, insufficient – requires additional work)	
Learning outcomes: The aim of the course is to develop and enhance collective vocal expression within the framework of a choir ensemble. The student acquires the principles of choral singing technique and harmonic-	

rhythmic awareness. They develop skills in teamwork and communication. Additionally, the student gains stage experience and represents the university at domestic and international events.						
Class syllabus: The course focuses on exploring choral works from various stylistic periods, gaining practical experience in their interpretation, and applying these methods in practice.						
Recommended literature: Required Literature: Sheet music/choral pieces as determined by the conductor. Recommended Literature: FUCHS, J. Sólový zpěv a jeho vztah ke zpěvu sborovému. KANIŠÁKOVÁ, T. Zborový spev ako formujúci prostriedok vo výchove. In: Acta paedagogicae. Prešov: PdF PU, 2002. ISBN 80-8068-076-0. Proceedings from international symposia CANTUS CHORALIS. Recordings of choral compositions from various stylistic periods and different choral ensembles.						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 34						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
97,06	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	2,94
Lecturers: doc. Monika Bažíková, ArtD., Mgr. art. Stanislava Maggioni, ArtD.						
Last change: 24.10.2025						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde044/24	Course title: COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir II.
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Organizational Form: 2 seminar hours per week, totaling 22 hours per semester, delivered in a blended format (primarily in-person). Student Workload: 11 sessions x 2 hours of direct instruction (total: 22 hours), 22 hours of continuous preparation for classes, 8 hours of preparation for the final performance, Total: 52 hours of student work Teaching Methods: A combination of monological methods (instruction) and practical methods.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Course Completion Requirements: The evaluation criteria are based on the continuous and final practical collective performance. The assessment of the continuous practical performance includes completing partial tasks within the individual voice groups and participating in any internal, public, or other concert performances. The final practical performance consists of a collective presentation of the selected repertoire studied. Grading Scale: • A (100–91%, excellent – outstanding results) • B (90–81%, very good – above-average standard) • C (80–73%, good – consistent, reliable work) • D (72–66%, satisfactory – acceptable results) • E (65–60%, sufficient – meets minimum criteria) • FX (59–0%, insufficient – requires additional work)	
Learning outcomes: The student develops a sense of cultivated singing, with appropriate expression and performance. The student enhances their musicality and applies acquired knowledge in vocal activities while working with musical material in choral ensembles. The student gains an understanding of concert dramaturgy.	
Class syllabus:	

The course focuses on exploring choral works from various stylistic periods, gaining practical experience in their interpretation, and applying these methods in practice.						
Recommended literature: Required Literature: Sheet music as determined by the conductor. Recommended Literature: FUCHS, J. Sólový zpěv a#jeho vztah ke zpěvu sborovému. KANISÁKOVÁ, T. Zborový spev ako formujúci prostriedok vo výchove. In: Acta paedagogicae. Prešov: PdF PU, 2002. ISBN 80-8068-076-0. Proceedings from international symposia CANTUS CHORALIS. Recordings of choral compositions from various stylistic periods and different choral ensembles.						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 10						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: doc. Monika Bažíková, ArtD., Mgr. art. Stanislava Maggioni, ArtD.						
Last change: 24.10.2025						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde044/24	Course title: COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir II.
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Organizational Form: 2 seminar hours per week, totaling 22 hours per semester, delivered in a blended format (primarily in-person). Student Workload: 11 sessions x 2 hours of direct instruction (total: 22 hours), 22 hours of continuous preparation for classes, 8 hours of preparation for the final performance, Total: 52 hours of student work Teaching Methods: A combination of monological methods (instruction) and practical methods.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Course Completion Requirements: The evaluation criteria are based on the continuous and final practical collective performance. The assessment of the continuous practical performance includes completing partial tasks within the individual voice groups and participating in any internal, public, or other concert performances. The final practical performance consists of a collective presentation of the selected repertoire studied. Grading Scale: • A (100–91%, excellent – outstanding results) • B (90–81%, very good – above-average standard) • C (80–73%, good – consistent, reliable work) • D (72–66%, satisfactory – acceptable results) • E (65–60%, sufficient – meets minimum criteria) • FX (59–0%, insufficient – requires additional work)	
Learning outcomes: The student develops a sense of cultivated singing, with appropriate expression and performance. The student enhances their musicality and applies acquired knowledge in vocal activities while working with musical material in choral ensembles. The student gains an understanding of concert dramaturgy.	
Class syllabus:	

The course focuses on exploring choral works from various stylistic periods, gaining practical experience in their interpretation, and applying these methods in practice.						
Recommended literature: Required Literature: Sheet music as determined by the conductor. Recommended Literature: FUCHS, J. Sólový zpěv a#jeho vztah ke zpěvu sborovému. KANISÁKOVÁ, T. Zborový spev ako formujúci prostriedok vo výchove. In: Acta paedagogicae. Prešov: PdF PU, 2002. ISBN 80-8068-076-0. Proceedings from international symposia CANTUS CHORALIS. Recordings of choral compositions from various stylistic periods and different choral ensembles.						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 10						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: doc. Monika Bažíková, ArtD., Mgr. art. Stanislava Maggioni, ArtD.						
Last change: 24.10.2025						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde031/22	Course title: Child biological development and school hygiene
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). The subject is finished: (a) a continuous written assessment with a maximum score of 80 points aimed at reviewing knowledge and knowledge of the child's developmental characteristics and the factors that affect the child's biological physiological development and which may be the causes of pathological growth and at the same time the consequences of a lower level of the child's ability to cope with the educational process of school education; reviewing the knowledge and use of methods that assess and diagnose physiologically healthy , resp. pathological biological growth and development of the child and, ultimately, on the issue of prevention and protection of the child's health in all its components of the bio-psycho-social nature of the child's development b) by developing protocols for the assessment and diagnosis of biological development with a maximum score of 20 points as a form of the ability to apply theoretical knowledge about physiologically healthy or pathological biological development of the child to pedagogical practice A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. Credit will not be awarded to a student who scores less than 40 points on the written midterm review and fails to complete a protocol for assessing and diagnosing the child's biological development. A minimum score of 60% is required to pass the course. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, proficient - outstanding), excellent performance, the student has an excellent command of the knowledge of physiologically healthy biological development of the child and has an excellent understanding of the environmental factors that cause pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, critically assesses the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child, the student can apply the methods of assessment and	

diagnosis of biological development on practical examples and can apply them in the education and training of children

B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), excellent performance, the student has a very good command of the knowledge of the physiologically healthy biological development of the child, knows very well which of the environmental factors are the causes of the development of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, but critical thinking in the field of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child is borderline, but the student can apply the methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development on practical examples and can apply them in the education and training of children

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), good performance, although the student has a good command of the knowledge of the physiologically healthy biological development of the child, he/she knows well which environmental factors are the causes of the development of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, but critical thinking in the area of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child is absent, but can partially apply the methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development on practical examples and can apply them in the education and training of children

D (72-66%, Satisfactory-Acceptable performance), Satisfactory performance, the student partially knows the knowledge of physiologically healthy biological development of the child and partially knows which environmental factors are the causes of the development of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, critical thinking in the field of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance is absent but he/she is able to apply the methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development in the form of practical examples and apply them in the education of children only partially

E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria), satisfactory performance, the student has only minimal knowledge of the physiologically healthy biological development of the child, and similarly minimal knowledge of the environmental factors that cause pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, critical thinking in the field of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance is absent, and is able to apply methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development in the form of practical examples and apply them in the education and training of children only partially

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), inadequate performance, student does not sufficiently master the basic knowledge of physiologically healthy biological development of the child, at the same time does not sufficiently know which of the environmental factors are the causes of the emergence of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, is neither able to critically evaluate the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance, nor is he or she able to apply methods of assessing and diagnosing biological development in the form of practical examples and apply them in the education and training of children

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

Upon completion of the course, students should have knowledge and understanding of the biological laws of growth and development and the factors that determine them. They will acquire knowledge of the bio-psycho-social integration of the human organism. Understand the spatio-temporal sequence and interdependence of changes in the structure and function of the human organism.

They will become familiar with critical developmental periods and risk factors interfering with physiological growth and development. They will understand the principles of regulation and adaptation of the organism in the context of environmental influences. At the same time, students will strengthen their mathematical skills and analytical abilities in the development of protocols for the assessment of child biological development. Ultimately, the course will provide students with the ability to evaluate the appropriateness of the demands of the educational process on a child's physical and psychological performance in relation to the child's level of biological maturation

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. Defining ontogeny. Progressive and regressive changes with age. Biological explanation of the concepts of growth and development. The laws of growth and development. Typical and atypical growth and development. (The student can distinguish between physiologically healthy and pathological biological development of a child for the purpose of applying knowledge in the education of children, in the form of effective collaboration with special educators, psychologists, and other practitioners)
2. Factors determining growth and development: genetic, neurohormonal, environmental factors. Genetic factors: heredity, mutations - gene, chromosomal aberrations. Mutagens and teratogens. Mutations. Chromosomal aberrations. (The student knows and understands the endogenous environmental factors that determine the hereditary predisposition of the child to cope with the demands of the educational process on the psychological and physical load of the organism)
3. Environmental factors. Definition of environment, external, internal environment. Neurohormonal regulation. Importance of neural and hormonal regulation as a mechanism of biological adaptation. Determination of growth and developmental processes by environmental factors. Nutrition. Maternal factors. Climatic and geographical factors. Socio-economic level. Morbidity. (The student knows which of the factors act on the biological development of the child and which may be the consequences of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling)
4. Ontogenetic development. The division of ontogeny: the biological definition of the different periods. Prenatal, perinatal, postnatal periods. Prenatal period - formation and development of the embryo (gestation), importance of the placenta. Environmental risk factors threatening prenatal development. Perinatal period. Childbirth, newborn at risk. Postnatal period - characteristics of the neonatal, infant, toddler, preschool, junior and senior school age periods in terms of somatic changes (growth, motor development) and risks of developmental disruption. Brief overview of anatomy and physiology of organ systems. (the student distinguishes the age-specific characteristics of the individual systems in the different periods of ontogenesis and is able to use and apply these characteristics in the practice of school education)
5. Methods of assessment of physical growth and development, determination of biological maturity. Adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance - Biological rhythms. Dynamic stereotype. Fatigue and resumption of work activity. (The student can diagnose the level of development of children of preschool and younger school age, critically evaluate the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child and on the basis of the diagnosis to design and implement an appropriate intervention)
6. Pathologically altered growth and development. Prevention of disorders of somatic development - primary, secondary, tertiary. (the student knows how to prevent pathologically altered growth and development in its beginnings, and knows the basics of prevention and protection of the child's health in all components of the bio-psycho-social nature of the child's development)
7. Principles of the organisation of teaching in terms of physical and mental hygiene. Hygiene of the school environment and conditions for educational activities of pupils. (the student knows the

basic principles of the organisation of teaching and the correct hygiene of the school environment in terms of the physical and mental hygiene of the pupil)						
Recommended literature: Recommended reading: FUCHSOVÁ, M. (2020). Somatický vývin dieťaťa a jeho poruchy s využitím vzdelávacích moderných technológií. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 145 s. ISBN 978-80-223-4812-6 https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/fileadmin/pdf/Sucasti/Katedry/KDPP/Fuchsova_def..pdf DROBNÝ, I., DROBNÁ, M. (2000). Biológia dieťaťa pre špeciálnych pedagógov II. Bratislava: Pedagogická fakulta UK, 152 s. ISBN 80-223-1962-7 MACHOVÁ, J. Biologie člověka pro učitele. Praha: Karolinum, 2008, 269 s. ISBN 80-7184-867-0						
Languages necessary to complete the course: slovak and czech						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 6						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
16,67	0,0	16,67	50,0	16,67	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: Mgr. Mária Fuchsová, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde031/22	Course title: Child biological development and school hygiene
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). The subject is finished: (a) a continuous written assessment with a maximum score of 80 points aimed at reviewing knowledge and knowledge of the child's developmental characteristics and the factors that affect the child's biological physiological development and which may be the causes of pathological growth and at the same time the consequences of a lower level of the child's ability to cope with the educational process of school education; reviewing the knowledge and use of methods that assess and diagnose physiologically healthy , resp. pathological biological growth and development of the child and, ultimately, on the issue of prevention and protection of the child's health in all its components of the bio-psycho-social nature of the child's development b) by developing protocols for the assessment and diagnosis of biological development with a maximum score of 20 points as a form of the ability to apply theoretical knowledge about physiologically healthy or pathological biological development of the child to pedagogical practice A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. Credit will not be awarded to a student who scores less than 40 points on the written midterm review and fails to complete a protocol for assessing and diagnosing the child's biological development. A minimum score of 60% is required to pass the course. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, proficient - outstanding), excellent performance, the student has an excellent command of the knowledge of physiologically healthy biological development of the child and has an excellent understanding of the environmental factors that cause pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, critically assesses the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child, the student can apply the methods of assessment and	

diagnosis of biological development on practical examples and can apply them in the education and training of children

B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), excellent performance, the student has a very good command of the knowledge of the physiologically healthy biological development of the child, knows very well which of the environmental factors are the causes of the development of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, but critical thinking in the field of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child is borderline, but the student can apply the methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development on practical examples and can apply them in the education and training of children

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), good performance, although the student has a good command of the knowledge of the physiologically healthy biological development of the child, he/she knows well which environmental factors are the causes of the development of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, but critical thinking in the area of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child is absent, but can partially apply the methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development on practical examples and can apply them in the education and training of children

D (72-66%, Satisfactory-Acceptable performance), Satisfactory performance, the student partially knows the knowledge of physiologically healthy biological development of the child and partially knows which environmental factors are the causes of the development of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, critical thinking in the field of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance is absent but he/she is able to apply the methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development in the form of practical examples and apply them in the education of children only partially

E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria), satisfactory performance, the student has only minimal knowledge of the physiologically healthy biological development of the child, and similarly minimal knowledge of the environmental factors that cause pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, critical thinking in the field of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance is absent, and is able to apply methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development in the form of practical examples and apply them in the education and training of children only partially

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), inadequate performance, student does not sufficiently master the basic knowledge of physiologically healthy biological development of the child, at the same time does not sufficiently know which of the environmental factors are the causes of the emergence of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, is neither able to critically evaluate the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance, nor is he or she able to apply methods of assessing and diagnosing biological development in the form of practical examples and apply them in the education and training of children

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

Upon completion of the course, students should have knowledge and understanding of the biological laws of growth and development and the factors that determine them. They will acquire knowledge of the bio-psycho-social integration of the human organism. Understand the spatio-temporal sequence and interdependence of changes in the structure and function of the human organism.

They will become familiar with critical developmental periods and risk factors interfering with physiological growth and development. They will understand the principles of regulation and adaptation of the organism in the context of environmental influences. At the same time, students will strengthen their mathematical skills and analytical abilities in the development of protocols for the assessment of child biological development. Ultimately, the course will provide students with the ability to evaluate the appropriateness of the demands of the educational process on a child's physical and psychological performance in relation to the child's level of biological maturation

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. Defining ontogeny. Progressive and regressive changes with age. Biological explanation of the concepts of growth and development. The laws of growth and development. Typical and atypical growth and development. (The student can distinguish between physiologically healthy and pathological biological development of a child for the purpose of applying knowledge in the education of children, in the form of effective collaboration with special educators, psychologists, and other practitioners)
2. Factors determining growth and development: genetic, neurohormonal, environmental factors. Genetic factors: heredity, mutations - gene, chromosomal aberrations. Mutagens and teratogens. Mutations. Chromosomal aberrations. (The student knows and understands the endogenous environmental factors that determine the hereditary predisposition of the child to cope with the demands of the educational process on the psychological and physical load of the organism)
3. Environmental factors. Definition of environment, external, internal environment. Neurohormonal regulation. Importance of neural and hormonal regulation as a mechanism of biological adaptation. Determination of growth and developmental processes by environmental factors. Nutrition. Maternal factors. Climatic and geographical factors. Socio-economic level. Morbidity. (The student knows which of the factors act on the biological development of the child and which may be the consequences of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling)
4. Ontogenetic development. The division of ontogeny: the biological definition of the different periods. Prenatal, perinatal, postnatal periods. Prenatal period - formation and development of the embryo (gestation), importance of the placenta. Environmental risk factors threatening prenatal development. Perinatal period. Childbirth, newborn at risk. Postnatal period - characteristics of the neonatal, infant, toddler, preschool, junior and senior school age periods in terms of somatic changes (growth, motor development) and risks of developmental disruption. Brief overview of anatomy and physiology of organ systems. (the student distinguishes the age-specific characteristics of the individual systems in the different periods of ontogenesis and is able to use and apply these characteristics in the practice of school education)
5. Methods of assessment of physical growth and development, determination of biological maturity. Adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance - Biological rhythms. Dynamic stereotype. Fatigue and resumption of work activity. (The student can diagnose the level of development of children of preschool and younger school age, critically evaluate the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child and on the basis of the diagnosis to design and implement an appropriate intervention)
6. Pathologically altered growth and development. Prevention of disorders of somatic development - primary, secondary, tertiary. (the student knows how to prevent pathologically altered growth and development in its beginnings, and knows the basics of prevention and protection of the child's health in all components of the bio-psycho-social nature of the child's development)
7. Principles of the organisation of teaching in terms of physical and mental hygiene. Hygiene of the school environment and conditions for educational activities of pupils. (the student knows the

basic principles of the organisation of teaching and the correct hygiene of the school environment in terms of the physical and mental hygiene of the pupil)						
Recommended literature: Recommended reading: FUCHSOVÁ, M. (2020). Somatický vývin dieťaťa a jeho poruchy s využitím vzdelávacích moderných technológií. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 145 s. ISBN 978-80-223-4812-6 https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/fileadmin/pdf/Sucasti/Katedry/KDPP/Fuchsova_def..pdf DROBNÝ, I., DROBNÁ, M. (2000). Biológia dieťaťa pre špeciálnych pedagógov II. Bratislava: Pedagogická fakulta UK, 152 s. ISBN 80-223-1962-7 MACHOVÁ, J. Biologie člověka pro učitele. Praha: Karolinum, 2008, 269 s. ISBN 80-7184-867-0						
Languages necessary to complete the course: slovak and czech						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 6						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
16,67	0,0	16,67	50,0	16,67	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: Mgr. Mária Fuchsová, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde005/22	Course title: Communication in education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% pass mark. Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (40+60, total 100 points). Interim assessment 1: consists of the preparation of a term paper and its oral presentation or practical application in class. This is a prepared micro-performance (alone or in pairs). Semester work for interim assessment 1: consists of a creative assignment for 40b (20+20). This includes preparation of an oral micro-presentation for 10-15 minutes and feedback (20b) and preparation of a visual presentation in a graphics program (20b). Intermediate assessment 2: consists of a review of the knowledge acquired, i.e. a test for 60p. The written exam/test consists of theoretical preparation for the course in basic concepts and study of the literature. Prerequisites: The rating shall be awarded on a scale of A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A minimum of 81 points is required to obtain a B grade. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.	

For a grade of C at least 73 points. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

For a grade of D at least 66 points. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application of knowledge in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in class during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

At least 60 points for an E grade. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments and with the assistance of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:

By completing the Communication in education course, the student should:

- Be able to compare student-centered and teacher-centered approaches in communication,
- be able to justify the choice and appropriateness of the communication approaches used in teaching,
- be able to create a presentation with high quality content and visually interesting,
- be able to apply theoretical knowledge in the creation of oral micro output,
- be able to present a micro performance in front of a group and be able to give or receive feedback,
- be able to argue correctly and be able to make a factually correct argument,
- understand the importance of selection and analysis of information and information sources,
- know methods for Developing of critical thinking,
- acquire presentation and communication skills and be able to apply them in practical tasks.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills through creative tasks in the course, furthermore analytical thinking in evaluating information and working with text, critical thinking and thinking in context in the development of arguments and through their application in discussion, strengthens interpersonal skills within the group - activation and taking initiative and responsibility.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

The aim of the course is the practical use of theoretical knowledge about effective communication in the educational process through training and micro-performances. The course is designed to prepare students for the future teaching profession in the field of critical and independent thinking, communication and rhetorical skills, collaboration, creative activity, self-assessment, feedback, etc. Using various activating methods and problem-based tasks, students will actively participate in the teaching and create the content of the course themselves, in which they will use their own potential and develop the inter and intra personal aspects of their own personality.

Topics:

Communication in education in theory - definition of communication, types of communication, information theory and its components. Communication in education - its functions, levels, basic rules, organizational forms. Interaction styles of the teacher. Extralinguistic means of communication, paralinguistic aspects of speech. Verbal communication - monologue, dialogue,

conversation, questioning, argumentation. Organizational forms and space in communication. Types of teaching according to the number of participants. Classroom layout. Communication in education in practice - teachers' inappropriate approaches in Communication in education. Appropriate communication approaches and strategies in teacher work - learner centred approach, humanism, non-directivity. Presentation - structure and arrangement of speech/speech/lecture. Selection and appropriateness of information within an oral presentation. Analysis of speakers. Visualisation and arousal of interest. Organisation of time. Argumentation - composition of argument, types of argument. Logic and errors in argumentation. Types of interviews. Debate - how to conduct a conversation, the structure of a conversation. Division of discussion methods. Feedback - self-evaluation and self-reflection, giving and receiving criticism. Critical thinking and methods to develop critical thinking.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

GAVORA, P. (2007). Učiteľ a žiaci v komunikácii. Bratislava: UK.. 197s. ISBN 978-80-223-2327-7

Recommended reading:

JASLOVSKÁ B., PISOŇOVÁ, M. (2019). Humanisticky orientovaná komunikácia a jej vplyv na produktivitu žiakov v edukačnom procese. Hradec Králové: Magnanimitas akademické sdružení. 45-56s. ISBN 978-80-87952-29-0

JASLOVSKÁ, B. (2019). Nástroj na sebahodnotenie žiakov v rámci Encounterovej skupiny a učiteľ ako facilitátor procesu hodnotenia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. 37-45s. ISBN 978-80-223-4847-8

KURUC, M. (2018). Rozvoj sebaregulácie a motivácie ako faktor úspechu slovenských žiakov v kontexte teórie sebaurčenia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. 143-160s. ISBN 978-80-223-4661-0

ALLHOFF, D.-W. (2008). Rétorika a komunikace. Praha: Grada publishing. 200s. ISBN 978-80-247-2283-2

KLAPETEK, M. (2008). Komunikace, argumentace, rétorika. Praha: Grada publishing. 256s. ISBN 978-80247-2652-6

ŠUŤÁKOVÁ, V., FERENCOVÁ, J., ZAHATŇANSKÁ, M. (2017). Sociálna a didaktická komunikácia. Prešov: Wolters Kluwer. 208s. ISBN 978-80-81685-48-4

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

smaller groups, up to a maximum of 25 students, are required for the course for effective classroom interaction

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1594

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
49,81	0,0	24,28	12,61	4,89	2,95	5,46

Lecturers: prof. PaedDr. Ján Danek, CSc.

Last change: 11.08.2023

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde005/22	Course title: Communication in education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% pass mark. Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (40+60, total 100 points). Interim assessment 1: consists of the preparation of a term paper and its oral presentation or practical application in class. This is a prepared micro-performance (alone or in pairs). Semester work for interim assessment 1: consists of a creative assignment for 40b (20+20). This includes preparation of an oral micro-presentation for 10-15 minutes and feedback (20b) and preparation of a visual presentation in a graphics program (20b). Intermediate assessment 2: consists of a review of the knowledge acquired, i.e. a test for 60p. The written exam/test consists of theoretical preparation for the course in basic concepts and study of the literature. Prerequisites: The rating shall be awarded on a scale of A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A minimum of 81 points is required to obtain a B grade. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.	

For a grade of C at least 73 points. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

For a grade of D at least 66 points. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application of knowledge in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in class during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

At least 60 points for an E grade. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments and with the assistance of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:

By completing the Communication in education course, the student should:

- Be able to compare student-centered and teacher-centered approaches in communication,
- be able to justify the choice and appropriateness of the communication approaches used in teaching,
- be able to create a presentation with high quality content and visually interesting,
- be able to apply theoretical knowledge in the creation of oral micro output,
- be able to present a micro performance in front of a group and be able to give or receive feedback,
- be able to argue correctly and be able to make a factually correct argument,
- understand the importance of selection and analysis of information and information sources,
- know methods for Developing of critical thinking,
- acquire presentation and communication skills and be able to apply them in practical tasks.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills through creative tasks in the course, furthermore analytical thinking in evaluating information and working with text, critical thinking and thinking in context in the development of arguments and through their application in discussion, strengthens interpersonal skills within the group - activation and taking initiative and responsibility.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

The aim of the course is the practical use of theoretical knowledge about effective communication in the educational process through training and micro-performances. The course is designed to prepare students for the future teaching profession in the field of critical and independent thinking, communication and rhetorical skills, collaboration, creative activity, self-assessment, feedback, etc. Using various activating methods and problem-based tasks, students will actively participate in the teaching and create the content of the course themselves, in which they will use their own potential and develop the inter and intra personal aspects of their own personality.

Topics:

Communication in education in theory - definition of communication, types of communication, information theory and its components. Communication in education - its functions, levels, basic rules, organizational forms. Interaction styles of the teacher. Extralinguistic means of communication, paralinguistic aspects of speech. Verbal communication - monologue, dialogue,

conversation, questioning, argumentation. Organizational forms and space in communication. Types of teaching according to the number of participants. Classroom layout. Communication in education in practice - teachers' inappropriate approaches in Communication in education. Appropriate communication approaches and strategies in teacher work - learner centred approach, humanism, non-directivity. Presentation - structure and arrangement of speech/speech/lecture. Selection and appropriateness of information within an oral presentation. Analysis of speakers. Visualisation and arousal of interest. Organisation of time. Argumentation - composition of argument, types of argument. Logic and errors in argumentation. Types of interviews. Debate - how to conduct a conversation, the structure of a conversation. Division of discussion methods. Feedback - self-evaluation and self-reflection, giving and receiving criticism. Critical thinking and methods to develop critical thinking.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

GAVORA, P. (2007). Učiteľ a žiaci v komunikácii. Bratislava: UK.. 197s. ISBN 978-80-223-2327-7

Recommended reading:

JASLOVSKÁ B., PISOŇOVÁ, M. (2019). Humanisticky orientovaná komunikácia a jej vplyv na produktivitu žiakov v edukačnom procese. Hradec Králové: Magnanimitas akademické sdružení. 45-56s. ISBN 978-80-87952-29-0

JASLOVSKÁ, B. (2019). Nástroj na sebahodnotenie žiakov v rámci Encounterovej skupiny a učiteľ ako facilitátor procesu hodnotenia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. 37-45s. ISBN 978-80-223-4847-8

KURUC, M. (2018). Rozvoj sebaregulácie a motivácie ako faktor úspechu slovenských žiakov v kontexte teórie sebaurčenia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. 143-160s. ISBN 978-80-223-4661-0

ALLHOFF, D.-W. (2008). Rétorika a komunikace. Praha: Grada publishing. 200s. ISBN 978-80-247-2283-2

KLAPETEK, M. (2008). Komunikace, argumentace, rétorika. Praha: Grada publishing. 256s. ISBN 978-80247-2652-6

ŠUŤÁKOVÁ, V., FERENCOVÁ, J., ZAHATŇANSKÁ, M. (2017). Sociálna a didaktická komunikácia. Prešov: Wolters Kluwer. 208s. ISBN 978-80-81685-48-4

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

smaller groups, up to a maximum of 25 students, are required for the course for effective classroom interaction

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1594

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
49,81	0,0	24,28	12,61	4,89	2,95	5,46

Lecturers: Mgr. Barbora Jaslovská, PhD.

Last change: 11.08.2023

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde025/15			Course title: Constructivism in education			
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 37						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
86,49	0,0	2,7	5,41	2,7	0,0	2,7
Lecturers: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						
Last change: 03.09.2016						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde025/15		Course title: Constructivism in education				
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 37						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
86,49	0,0	2,7	5,41	2,7	0,0	2,7
Lecturers: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						
Last change: 03.09.2016						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde021/22	Course title: Culture and multiculturalism
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours per week, i.e., 22 hours in total per semester, combined method (mostly in attendance) Student workload: 2 hours of seminar per week (= 22 hours per semester), preparation of a mid-term paper 2 hours per week in the first half of the semester (= 10 hours per semester), preparation of a final paper 4 hours per week in the second half of the semester (= 24 hours per semester). A total of 56 hours per semester. Teaching methods Discussion of the topic, brainstorming, problem solving, observation of reality, analysis of model situations, demonstration, Socratic conversation. In the case of the combined method, they are implemented partly in e-learning form.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim and final marks: 100/0 Students will develop a description and interpretation of a selected and real intercultural conflict in their environment. The description will be structured as follows: Intermediate work - naming the conflict. The student will be assessed on whether the conflict is characterized accurately enough. Final paper - Description of the conflict: what, when and where it took place. Interpretation of the conflict: How the conflict of cultures manifested itself in the situation. Options for resolution: How the conflict could have been prevented. Students are assessed on whether they have captured the conflict between cultures and whether they propose specific solutions. Assessment: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding performance), students originally, in detail, adequately, and accurately name the intercultural conflict, originally interpret its layers, and propose realistic solutions, B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), students name intercultural conflict in detail and adequately, interpret its layers creatively, and propose fundamentally realistic solutions,	

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), students adequately name intercultural conflict, interpret its layers, and propose principally realistic solutions that respect the contemporary world, D (72-66%, Satisfactory - acceptable performance), students name intercultural conflict, and its layers, and can propose solutions, E (65-60%, adequate - results meet minimum criteria), students name intercultural conflict and find inadequate solutions Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), students fail to adequately name intercultural conflict, fail to distinguish between the layers of conflict, and find completely unrealistic solutions. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0																				
Learning outcomes: Students perceive contemporary intercultural processes and situations without stereotypes, analyze and interpret them. They know the background of multiculturalism and develop their cultural tolerance and intercultural understanding. They can distinguish between different layers in an intercultural situation.																				
Class syllabus: Definition, structure, layers and functions of culture. Intercultural relations and conflicts, globalization and multiculturalism. Main forms and typology of multiculturalism, risks and positives of multiculturalism. Intercultural situations and different forms of integration of members of different cultures. Since the aim of the course is for students to perceive and reflect on intercultural processes taking place, the details of the topics will be adjusted according to the current situation and current problems in intercultural understanding in the Slovak Republic, in Europe and in the world. Emphasis will be placed on the links of the individual themes with civic life, civic virtues, and civic responsibility.																				
Recommended literature: GAŽOVÁ, V. 2009: Úvod do kulturológie. Acta culturalogica. Č. 17. Bratislava : FF UK. ISBN 80-7121-315-4 MALÍK, B. 2008: Úvod do antropológie. Bratislava : Iris. ISBN 978-80-89256-09-9 MISTRÍK, E. 2005: Slovenská kultúra v multikulturalizme. Nitra : FF UKF. ISBN 80-8050-909-3 Multikulturalita, interkulturalita, transkulturalita. Acta culturologica. 2001: Č. 6. K. Podoláková (ed.) Bratislava : FF UK. ISBN 80-86633-14-4																				
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak language																				
Notes:																				
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 264 <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>A</th><th>ABS</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th><th>FX</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>57,58</td><td>0,0</td><td>21,21</td><td>11,74</td><td>2,27</td><td>2,27</td><td>4,92</td></tr> </tbody> </table>							A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX	57,58	0,0	21,21	11,74	2,27	2,27	4,92
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX														
57,58	0,0	21,21	11,74	2,27	2,27	4,92														
Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Erich Mistrík, CSc.																				
Last change: 10.11.2022																				
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.																				

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde021/22	Course title: Culture and multiculturalism
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours per week, i.e., 22 hours in total per semester, combined method (mostly in attendance) Student workload: 2 hours of seminar per week (= 22 hours per semester), preparation of a mid-term paper 2 hours per week in the first half of the semester (= 10 hours per semester), preparation of a final paper 4 hours per week in the second half of the semester (= 24 hours per semester). A total of 56 hours per semester. Teaching methods Discussion of the topic, brainstorming, problem solving, observation of reality, analysis of model situations, demonstration, Socratic conversation. In the case of the combined method, they are implemented partly in e-learning form.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim and final marks: 100/0 Students will develop a description and interpretation of a selected and real intercultural conflict in their environment. The description will be structured as follows: Intermediate work - naming the conflict. The student will be assessed on whether the conflict is characterized accurately enough. Final paper - Description of the conflict: what, when and where it took place. Interpretation of the conflict: How the conflict of cultures manifested itself in the situation. Options for resolution: How the conflict could have been prevented. Students are assessed on whether they have captured the conflict between cultures and whether they propose specific solutions. Assessment: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding performance), students originally, in detail, adequately, and accurately name the intercultural conflict, originally interpret its layers, and propose realistic solutions, B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), students name intercultural conflict in detail and adequately, interpret its layers creatively, and propose fundamentally realistic solutions,	

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), students adequately name intercultural conflict, interpret its layers, and propose principally realistic solutions that respect the contemporary world, D (72-66%, Satisfactory - acceptable performance), students name intercultural conflict, and its layers, and can propose solutions, E (65-60%, adequate - results meet minimum criteria), students name intercultural conflict and find inadequate solutions Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), students fail to adequately name intercultural conflict, fail to distinguish between the layers of conflict, and find completely unrealistic solutions. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0																				
Learning outcomes: Students perceive contemporary intercultural processes and situations without stereotypes, analyze and interpret them. They know the background of multiculturalism and develop their cultural tolerance and intercultural understanding. They can distinguish between different layers in an intercultural situation.																				
Class syllabus: Definition, structure, layers and functions of culture. Intercultural relations and conflicts, globalization and multiculturalism. Main forms and typology of multiculturalism, risks and positives of multiculturalism. Intercultural situations and different forms of integration of members of different cultures. Since the aim of the course is for students to perceive and reflect on intercultural processes taking place, the details of the topics will be adjusted according to the current situation and current problems in intercultural understanding in the Slovak Republic, in Europe and in the world. Emphasis will be placed on the links of the individual themes with civic life, civic virtues, and civic responsibility.																				
Recommended literature: GAŽOVÁ, V. 2009: Úvod do kulturológie. Acta culturalogica. Č. 17. Bratislava : FF UK. ISBN 80-7121-315-4 MALÍK, B. 2008: Úvod do antropológie. Bratislava : Iris. ISBN 978-80-89256-09-9 MISTRÍK, E. 2005: Slovenská kultúra v multikulturalizme. Nitra : FF UKF. ISBN 80-8050-909-3 Multikulturalita, interkulturalita, transkulturalita. Acta culturologica. 2001: Č. 6. K. Podoláková (ed.) Bratislava : FF UK. ISBN 80-86633-14-4																				
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak language																				
Notes:																				
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 264 <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>A</th><th>ABS</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th><th>FX</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>57,58</td><td>0,0</td><td>21,21</td><td>11,74</td><td>2,27</td><td>2,27</td><td>4,92</td></tr> </tbody> </table>							A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX	57,58	0,0	21,21	11,74	2,27	2,27	4,92
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX														
57,58	0,0	21,21	11,74	2,27	2,27	4,92														
Lecturers:																				
Last change: 10.11.2022																				
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.																				

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde035/22	Course title: Developing critical thinking
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed with an assessment, weighted interim/final assessment 100/0. 100% of the continuous assessment includes: approximately 5 assignments (50%) will be given during the semester, 2 midterm tests during the semester (for a total of 30%), and active participation in seminars, engaging in discussions (20%). Students will use the e-learning environment moodle for their studies. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), the student actively participated in seminar discussions, completed the midterm tests at an excellent level, and completed the midterm assignments excellently. B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), the student actively participated in seminar discussions, completed midterm tests and assignments at a very good level C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), the student was less actively involved in seminar discussions, he/she completed the midterm tests and assignments at a good level with slight shortcomings D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), the student did not actively participate in seminar discussions, he/she completed midterm tests and assignments at a satisfactory level E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet the minimum criteria), the student did not actively participate in discussions in seminars, midterm tests and midterm assignments were done at the minimum level Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)	
Learning outcomes: Learning outcomes: Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences: Students are familiar with the principles of critical thinking, they can prepare their own activities on the basis of universal scenarios on the subject aimed at the Development critical thinking. They	

can assess students' critical thinking, recognize hoaxes and identify relevant facts and ideas. They can apply a variety of methods to develop pupils' critical thinking.

Educational Objectives:

The aim of the seminar is for students to acquire knowledge and skills that will enable them to prepare methodological materials aimed at developing students' critical thinking skills. To familiarize students with universal scenarios of educational activities and the possibilities of assessing critical thinking in education.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

Principles of critical thinking, critical arguing, critical debating, critical writing, organizing knowledge. Basic characteristics of hoaxes. Identifying relevant facts and ideas. Methods of Development critical thinking in pupils, universal scenarios of educational activities, preparation of methodological materials. Evaluation of critical thinking.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

Compulsory reading:

KOSTURKOVÁ, M., FERENCOVÁ, J. (2019). Stratégie rozvoja kritického myslenia. Bratislava: Wolters Kluwer. ISBN 978-80-571-0049-2

TUREK, I. (2003). Kritické myslenie. Bratislava: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum.

Recommended reading:

ČAPEK, R. (2015). Moderní didaktika: lexikon výukových a hodnotících metod. Praha: Grada. ISBN 978-80-2473-450-7

KOZÁROVÁ, N., GUNIŠOVÁ, D. (2020). Stratégie rozvoja kritického myslenia vo vyučovaní pedagogiky. Nitra: UKF v Nitre. ISBN (online) 978-80-558-1520-6

MARKOŠ, J. (2019). Sila rozumu v bláznivej dobe: manuál kritického myslenia. Bratislava: N Press. ISBN 978-80-9992-501-5

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 218

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,26	0,0	26,15	5,05	2,75	1,38	6,42

Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde035/22	Course title: Developing critical thinking
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed with an assessment, weighted interim/final assessment 100/0. 100% of the continuous assessment includes: approximately 5 assignments (50%) will be given during the semester, 2 midterm tests during the semester (for a total of 30%), and active participation in seminars, engaging in discussions (20%). Students will use the e-learning environment moodle for their studies. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), the student actively participated in seminar discussions, completed the midterm tests at an excellent level, and completed the midterm assignments excellently. B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), the student actively participated in seminar discussions, completed midterm tests and assignments at a very good level C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), the student was less actively involved in seminar discussions, he/she completed the midterm tests and assignments at a good level with slight shortcomings D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), the student did not actively participate in seminar discussions, he/she completed midterm tests and assignments at a satisfactory level E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet the minimum criteria), the student did not actively participate in discussions in seminars, midterm tests and midterm assignments were done at the minimum level Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)	
Learning outcomes: Learning outcomes: Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences: Students are familiar with the principles of critical thinking, they can prepare their own activities on the basis of universal scenarios on the subject aimed at the Development critical thinking. They	

can assess students' critical thinking, recognize hoaxes and identify relevant facts and ideas. They can apply a variety of methods to develop pupils' critical thinking.

Educational Objectives:

The aim of the seminar is for students to acquire knowledge and skills that will enable them to prepare methodological materials aimed at developing students' critical thinking skills. To familiarize students with universal scenarios of educational activities and the possibilities of assessing critical thinking in education.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

Principles of critical thinking, critical arguing, critical debating, critical writing, organizing knowledge. Basic characteristics of hoaxes. Identifying relevant facts and ideas. Methods of Development critical thinking in pupils, universal scenarios of educational activities, preparation of methodological materials. Evaluation of critical thinking.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

Compulsory reading:

KOSTURKOVÁ, M., FERENCOVÁ, J. (2019). Stratégie rozvoja kritického myslenia. Bratislava: Wolters Kluwer. ISBN 978-80-571-0049-2

TUREK, I. (2003). Kritické myslenie. Bratislava: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum.

Recommended reading:

ČAPEK, R. (2015). Moderní didaktika: lexikon výukových a hodnotících metod. Praha: Grada. ISBN 978-80-2473-450-7

KOZÁROVÁ, N., GUNIŠOVÁ, D. (2020). Stratégie rozvoja kritického myslenia vo vyučovaní pedagogiky. Nitra: UKF v Nitre. ISBN (online) 978-80-558-1520-6

MARKOŠ, J. (2019). Sila rozumu v bláznivej dobe: manuál kritického myslenia. Bratislava: N Press. ISBN 978-80-9992-501-5

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 218

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,26	0,0	26,15	5,05	2,75	1,38	6,42

Lecturers: Mgr. Barbora Jaslovská, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde035/22	Course title: Didactics of non-formal History Education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar/week; 22 hours total per semester, combined; (primarily full-time) Student workload: 2S (2 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 40 hours producing seminar papers and reflections on the topics covered; 15 hours preparing for the presentation of papers and discussion. A total of 77 hours of student work. Educational methods: E-U-R (evocation-awareness-reflection); knowledge competitions, quizzes; brainwriting; dramatisation; didactic games and other interest activities of historical education; discussion/colloquium on the subject matter; problem-solving exercises; SWOT analysis	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100 % of the intermediate verbal and scored marks. During the semester, the student solves assignments focused on the application of the three functions of history education (cognitive, educational, and entertaining) in an informal environment, reflects through creative work on the content of the topics, applies several methods of work and presents them to the team and the application of SWOT analysis. A final discussion/colloquium and a summation of the points obtained conclude the overall assessment. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. Credit will not be awarded to students who obtain less than 15 points in any of the four written examinations. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding performance, the student has a comprehensive knowledge of the possibilities of applying game principles to history education, has a broad knowledge in a specialised area of the discipline and has motivational tendencies to learn and popularise history through a variety of methods, strategies. He/she is oriented in the current state of knowledge of borderline disciplines, conceptually thinks about the creation of motivational challenges, can actively acquire information and creatively apply it in solving problems-solving tasks, actively expands his/her knowledge and critically reviews it and considers the suitability of its application for pedagogical and history practice),	

B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is oriented in the issue, knows it, can independently acquire new knowledge, and solve professional tasks, but his critical, constructive thinking is borderline when analysing and assessing the planes of normative and reflective didactics),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, although the student can orient himself in the problem, independently search and analyse information, can learn, but he cannot think critically and constructively enough, evaluate the solved problems, and apply the acquired knowledge creatively and conceptually in broader theoretical and practical contexts),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student is able to orientate himself in the problem at a basic level, his knowledge is fragmented and incomplete, and at a lower level of satisfaction, he also applies transferable knowledge of the field and related disciplines, he shows more severe deficiencies in the area of factual, conceptual, procedural and metacognitive knowledge),

E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student is able to orient himself in the problem only with great difficulty, he knows only the most basic knowledge, he does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and does not apply the acquired knowledge in a systematic way to the solution of practical tasks),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the problem, does not master the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to argue and think critically)

Learning outcomes:

By completing the course, the student will gain theoretical and practical knowledge of modern history teaching and the equal status of its three functions (cognitive, educational, and deleterious). The student will be able to analyse the needs of contemporary school practice in an individual approach to pupils. He is also versed in the creation of teaching media and the process of critical assessment of educational materials and programmes.

Class syllabus:

We see informal history education as an increase in each student's capacity throughout their educational career. Emphasis is placed on the compatibility of knowledge, so that teacher education is academically challenging but consistently relevant to the individual's motivation and the education system's practical needs.

The course content focuses on the following focal themes:

- History itself and the subject of History from the perspective of pupil motivation. About the past for the future?
- The teacher as an essential element of motivation.
- Examples of motivational strategies.
- Motivational challenges. Types of topics for non-formal learning in school and out-of-school settings. Talent and creative representation of historical artefacts.
- Principles of creating attractive tasks.
- Is educational play a full-fledged teaching method and (historical) education?
- What kinds of games are recommended to be practised in primary and secondary school?
- What can we accomplish by dramatising a historical theme or by the LARP method? (Example scenario: What is the story of Libra?).
- Advantages of teamwork.
- Learning to debate (aspects of constructive discussion).
- History for the public (Public history), the work of the young historian at the stations.

Recommended literature:

Required reading:

BAČOVÁ, D., NOVAK DUCKÁ, Ľ., ONUŠKOVÁ, M.: Projektové vyučovanie v edukačnom procese. Bratislava: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum v Bratislave, 2014.

BAUMANN, E.: Hra vo výučbe – výučba hrou (ukážka možnosti využitia spoločenskej hry pri výučbe z dejín Strednej Európy na príklade praxe), In : Verbum historiae 2/2020.

BOCKOVÁ, A.: Projektová činnosť. Metodika stáže. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského, 2021.

ČAPEK, R.: Moderní didaktika dějepisu. Lexikon výukových a hodnoticích metod. Praha : Grada, 2015.

Recommended reading:

BOCKOVÁ, A.: Krúžková činnosť. Metodika stáže. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského, 2021.

HUMAJ, R., BOHUNICKÁ, J.: Z histórie spoločnosti. Bratislava: Rabe, 2018.

JANČURA, M., BOJKOVÁ, A. (ed): Dejiny tvoříš ty! História, škola, verejný priestor. Košice: Univerzita Pavla Jozefa Šafárika, 2019.

PETRAŠKOVÁ, E.: Projektové vyučovanie. Prešov: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum v Prešove 2007.

RYBENSKÁ, Klára: Moderní přístupy k výuce dějepisu. Hradec Králové : UHK, 2020.

HAVELKOVÁ, Lenka – HANUS, Martin: Rozvoj mapových dovedností ve výuce dějepisu. In: Geografické rozhledy, 2015, 24 (5).

TUREK, I.: Klíčové kompetence. Bratislava : Metodicko-pedagogické centrum v Bratislave, 2003.

Učebnice dejepisu pre základné školy, gymnáziá a stredné školy;
Inovovaný Štátny vzdelávací program pre vzdelávaciu oblasť Človek a spoločnosť - dejepis,
dostupný na: www.statpedu.sk

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech languages

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 34

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
70,59	0,0	17,65	2,94	2,94	0,0	5,88

Lecturers: Mgr. Ivana Dendys, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde002/22	Course title: Digital technologies 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course The course is completed by assessment, the weighting of the interim and final assessment is 100/0. # active participation in seminars conducted by both face-to-face and distance learning methods; # processing of partial (distance) deliverables/assignments according to the lecturer's assignment during the semester from the selected subject areas and their submission in electronic form within the set deadline, which are continuously checked and evaluated by the lecturer, constitutes 80% of the total achievable point value; Submission of partial assignments is a condition for the award of credits; # development of a semester project - at the end of the semester, the student develops through the cloud tool Prezi Present an original and visually impressive presentation of the educational content of the selected teaching subject at primary school / high school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education at Charles University; The structure of the presentation should be based on one of the templates from the library of customizable templates supported by Prezi Present; Personal presentation of the created project in Prezi in front of the whole study group; Successful implementation and defense of the project constitutes 20% of the total achievable point value and is a condition for the award of credits. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) For successful completion of the educational subject it is necessary to obtain at least 60 % of the score. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments,	

excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.

A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes and transferable competences:

The aim of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1 is to develop digital skills of students of teacher-oriented study programmes at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University (hereinafter referred to as the Faculty of Education of the Charles University) to be able to effectively use an appropriate range of didactic software applications in the context of the concept of design and creation of interactive educational activities, knowledge quizzes and assignments, electronic portfolios, impressive didactic presentations, new interactive forms of teaching material and other pedagogical/school documentation usable in the intent of their future teaching profession at different levels of regional education in the Slovak Republic.

By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1, the student has the competences and digital skills to effectively use the tools of the SMART Notebook software application and the SMART Lumio cloud environment by creating gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of students participating in the lesson in the school classroom or in home conditions in the case of distance/hybrid education.

By completing the educational discipline, the student also has the competences and digital skills in the field of design and creation of mind maps through the tools of the Mindomo software application (or FreeMind, XMind) applicable in educational activities implemented in schools and educational institutions for the target group of intact pupils, as well as pupils with special educational needs in order to improve their communication skills and critical thinking.

By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1, the student acquires professional digital competencies in the concept of design and creation of impressive non-linear presentations and interactive presentations of educational content implemented through the Prezi Present cloud

platform Prezi by expressing their own visual ideas usable in their own pedagogical activities in schools or educational institutions.

By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1, the student has, last but not least, the competences and digital skills to effectively use the cloud platform Microsoft 365 with an emphasis on software applications Sway, Word and Excel by creating supplementary teaching materials for the needs of school teaching and maintaining the relevant pedagogical documentation. The student masters the basic possibilities of using the online communication platform Microsoft Teams applicable in the implementation of distance learning at the level of regional education

Class syllabus:

The content of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1 is divided into four thematic headings and relevant sub-topics in order to ensure the achievement of the set partial educational objectives. The content structure of the educational discipline is designed so that the student continuously develops his didactic-technological competences in the field of selected didactic software applications by completing the educational topics organized in the present and distance form and at the same time strengthens his professional training in the subject area.

Due to the rapid development of new didactic software usable in the pedagogical process, the specific content structure of the discipline can be adapted to the current requirements of practice and students.

Application of SMART Notebook software application and SMART Lumio cloud environment in the educational process

We develop professional digital competences by the ability to effectively use the tools of the SMART Notebook desktop application and the SMART Lumio cloud environment through the creation of gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of pupils (including pupils with special educational needs) participating in the lesson in the school classroom or in the home environment in the case of distance/hybrid education;

We acquire digital skills in working with the SMART response 2 tool supported by the SMART Notebook desktop application and the SMART Lumio cloud environment in the context of creating knowledge questions - selecting one correct answer from multiple answer alternatives, selecting multiple correct answers from multiple answer alternatives, selecting Yes/No answers, free-form questions - in test sessions designed to test the knowledge of pupils of regional education in the Slovak Republic;

We are mastering the methodology of applying knowledge tests communicated to students through SMART response 2 in the SMART Notebook desktop application and gamification activities supported by the cloud environment SMART Lumio to the process of school teaching of subjects at the lower/upper secondary level of education;

We strengthen professional digital competences in the area of working with complex interactive solutions usable in educational situations with an emphasis on the SMART Board interactive whiteboard.

Topics:

Basic functions and calibration of the SMART Board interactive touchscreen/tablet; Symptoms, causes and solutions to some of the problems encountered when using the SMART Board interactive touchscreen/tablet; Installing and updating the SMART Notebook desktop application; Creating a teacher's virtual classroom in the SMART platform;

Creating and editing objects in the SMART Notebook environment; Using basic tools to support the presentation of processed content; Working with multimedia content from the SMART Gallery; Sharing your own content on the SMART Exchange website; Adding links to external educational resources; Adding sound to objects, Animating objects; Using and sharing your own content; Applying graphic content from other software applications - importing and editing objects;

Applying objects from the Lesson Activity Toolkit 2.0; Creating gamification activities in SMART Lab; SMART Response 2 - creating and administering knowledge tests/quizzes;

Principles of Gamification; Gamification Elements in Educational Content; Some Results of Exact Studies on the Application of Gamification in Education; Application of Gamification in Education in Primary and Secondary Schools; Examples of Gamification Activities Used in the Teaching of Science, Social Studies, Art, Education and Vocational Education.

Teacher/student login to SMART Lumio cloud platform account; Managing the library of learning activities; Creating gamification activities in SMART Lumio - SMART Lesson Activity Builder (Lab); Editing activities in edit mode; Making activities available to students - delivery mode; Sharing options for gamification activities;

Setting up and converting a lesson page to a Handout or Workspace activity; Working with predefined graphical activity templates for use in activating student learning, inquiring about student knowledge, or reflecting; Sharing lessons with the created educational materials with students in SMART Lumio.

Within the distance assignment, the student will create a set of interactive learning/quiz activities and digital presentation material for the target group of learners with effective use of the widest possible range of tools, objects, pre-prepared templates, advanced functions, settings and features supported by the SMART Notebook authoring environment in a range of at least 10 presentation pages/activities. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created presentation materials and educational activities stored in *.notebook format, the student shall indicate the methodology of their inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.

Within the distance assignment, the student will create a set of 10 gamification educational/quiz activities for the target group of students with effective use of pre-made templates and tools of the SMART Lumio cloud environment. The condition is that each type of gamification activity should only occur once in the created set of activities. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created gamification educational/quiz activities saved by the student, the student shall indicate the methodology of their application in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.

As part of the distance learning assignment, the student will create a 3-page set of pre-prepared content convertible to Handout format activities and a 3-page set of pre-prepared content convertible to Workspace format activities. In the accompanying document (a separate *.docx file) for the created Handout and Workspace activities stored in the SMART Lumio cloud, the student will indicate the methodology of their application to the educational activities of the target group of students.

Creating and using mind maps in the educational process with the support of digital solutions

We develop professional digital competences by competence in the design and creation of mind maps through the tools of the software application Mindomo (or FreeMind/XMind), usable in our own educational activities implemented in schools and school facilities for the target group of intact pupils, as well as pupils with special educational needs in order to improve communication skills and critical thinking;

We are mastering the methodology of applying mind maps communicated to students through Mindomo (or FreeMind/XMind) to the process of school teaching of the subjects of their approbation at primary school / high school;

Topics:

Explanation of terminology in the field of mind/concept mapping; Desktop/cloud software applications for creating mind maps in the educational process at primary/ secondary schools; Functions and types of mind maps; Principles and principles of creating mind maps; Possibilities of using mind maps in the process of preparation for teaching and directly in the classroom;

Familiarization with the user environment of the Mindomo software application (or FreeMind/XMind);

Structuring a mind map; Inserting, editing and formatting nodes; Creating and formatting branches; Encrypted node; Editing multi-line nodes; Creating image nodes; Moving/copying nodes and groups of nodes in the map; Inserting annotations to nodes; Linking nodes with local links - independent linking of ideas; Hypertext linking of nodes to external information sources - links in nodes leading to external sources (web) or to local files on the local disk of the computer; Importing content into the mind map; Exporting the map to the output of different formats; Text search in the subnetwork of the current node; Mind maps and their use when working with pupils with SEN.

As part of the distance assignment, the student will design and create one mind map from any thematic area of school subject teaching in primary/elementary school. The focus of the mind map should be situated in a teaching issue of the student's own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at primary school/SHS in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student studies in the teacher education programmes at the Faculty of Education at the Charles University. In the mind map, tools and functions of the Mindomo (or FreeMind/XMind) application environment will be applied in the intent of the complexity of the explained issue. The student will justify in a brief written form the choice of the processed content/topic in the form of a mind map, their functionality and use in his/her educational activities.

Creation of non-linear presentations of educational content through the Prezi presentation platform supporting teacher's interpretation and systematization of students' knowledge

We acquire professional digital competencies in the concept of design and creation of impressive non-linear presentations of educational content realized through the Prezi Present cloud platform Prezi applicable to our own pedagogical activities;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the presentation of educational content communicated to pupils through Prezi Present to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation at primary school / high school;

Topics:

Introduction of the software tool Prezi Present as a presentation platform - creation of trendy, effective presentations; Explanation and clarification of the terms used in the field of creating didactic presentations in the environment of Prezi Present; Possibilities of using the presentation application Prezi Present in the preparation and creation of supporting educational materials effectively usable in the teaching of their own subject; Presentation of examples of presentations used in teaching science, social science, art-educational and vocational educational disciplines; Illustrative comparison of differences between classical (Microsoft PowerPoint) and dynamic (Prezi Present) presentations;

Theoretical background of presentation preparation and the system of selection of presented information; Basic steps of the procedure for creating didactic presentations in Prezi Present; Functionality of the online presentation tool Prezi Present; Creating dynamic presentations in Prezi Present - working with text and graphic objects; Creating topic/subtopic structures, changing the order of topics/subtopics, Creating depth and rotation effects; Inserting additional graphic visuals into a presentation in Prezi Present; Working in edit mode for main topics, subtopics and other presentation content; Creating visual effects and animations of presentation objects;

Use of templates - change the design - colors, background, fonts; Inserting audio tracks on the background of individual topics/subtopics, the whole presentation; 3D presentations; Importing and editing PowerPoint presentations in Prezi Present; Sharing presentations with other users, sharing on social networks, on web portals; Exporting presentations to *.pdf and Portable Prezi (for off-line presentation); Export options and presentation size; Working with Prezi Present in off-line mode.

Within the distance assignment, the student will use the Prezi Present presentation tool to create an original didactic and visually engaging presentation of the educational content for the target group

of students. The focus of the presentation should be situated in a teaching issue of the student's own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at the primary school / secondary school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programmes of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University. The presentation will be at least 30 presentation views (= topics at the highest hierarchical level, subtopics at lower hierarchical levels and stack structure pages) to a minimum hierarchical nesting depth of three.

Use of Microsoft 365 platform in teacher's pedagogical activity

We develop professional digital competencies in the concept of design and creation of responsive presentations with educational content and emphasis on the cloud solution Microsoft Sway usable in educational activities implemented in schools or school facilities;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the presentation of educational content communicated to pupils through Microsoft Sway to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation at primary school / secondary school;

We hone professional digital skills to include the ability to effectively use a range of form content controls and ActiveX elements of Microsoft Word in the creation of interactive worksheets, tests and supplementary learning materials for pupils or other classroom agenda documents usable in the context of pedagogical activity;

We are mastering the methodology of applying interactive worksheets communicated to pupils through Microsoft Word to the process of school teaching of subjects of their area of specialization at primary school / high school;

Develop professional digital skills in the creation of large documents (such as letters, invitations, labels) through a mass correspondence tool usable in the maintenance of relevant pedagogical documentation;

We develop professional digital skills in the creation of statistical calculations, compound expressions using functions for finding conditional frequency, sum, or arithmetic mean and techniques for addressing continuous and discontinuous areas in expressions/functions usable in the tabular processing of classroom data (student evaluations in knowledge or sports activities) supported by Microsoft Excel;

We hone professional competencies to include the ability to effectively apply advanced conditions and techniques for conditional formatting of table records using functions;

We extend digital skills to include the ability to effectively apply an advanced filtering tool to large tabular data by defining compound logical conditions (AND/OR), wildcards (*, ?), and a Subtotal function usable in maintaining appropriate pedagogical documentation;

Develop professional digital skills in creating cumulative graphical visualizations of tabulated data in the context of changing attributes of individual chart elements;

We apply other selected tools of the Microsoft 365 cloud platform, with an emphasis on the Microsoft Teams software application for teachers to use in conducting online learning activities.

Topics:

A basic theoretical introduction to Microsoft cloud solutions;

Sway presentation platform - creation of interactive school materials (portfolios), impressive presentations of educational content; Other possibilities of practical use of Microsoft Sway in educational activities; Concept of creating multimedia responsive presentations; Creating a new Sway, creating a Sway by importing an existing file/presentation, creating a Sway based on a template; Articulating presentation content; Options for inserting and formatting supported visuals via tabs; Grouping multimedia content; Influencing the appearance of a presentation; Changing the layout of content; Adapting specific elements to the style of a presentation; Exporting a presentation in *.pdf/*.docx; Additional options for setting up the presentation in Sway; Share Sway output from a personal Microsoft account; Share Sway output from an account assigned by the educational organization;

Editing large text documents in the Microsoft Word environment - standard settings; Using tabs; Text blocks; Columns; Objects in text - equation editor; Tables in text; Editing a document using a style; Creating your own style and editing an existing style. Document Revision. Definition of the terms section and reference and possibilities of their use; Document revision and its use in writing term papers and qualification papers; Document structuring - dividing a document into sections, types of section breaks, page settings in sections, creating a header and footer in a document divided into sections; Possibilities of using a structured document within the agenda of a teaching staff member; Creating references - automatic content creation, content setup, content formatting, numbering figures and tables, creating a list of tables and figures, footnotes and endnotes, cross-references, citations and list of references used, creating a document index; Creating templates - the importance of a template type document. Electronic forms - what are form elements and what is their difference from ActiveX elements, settings of form elements, ActiveX element and its settings, Form security; Application of the acquired experience and skills of working with Microsoft Word text editor in creating documents useful in own pedagogical activities; Creation of large documents - letters, invitations, labels - by means of the tool of mass correspondence useful in the framework of keeping the relevant pedagogical documentation.

Working with tabular data in Microsoft Excel; Inserting data into cells; Predefined and custom data format; Working with cells - moving, cutting, copying; Bulk filling of data and creating expressions/patterns; Applying elementary functions; Modifying function parameters; Modifying table appearance; Sorting tabular data; Data lists - creating custom lists; Increasing values. Rounding; Absolute and relative cell addressing; Hiding table values; Notes in cells; Working with large data - automatic and extended data filter, Subtotal function; Operations between sheets; Conditional formatting of table data; More advanced functions - If, Count, CountIf, SumIf, SumIfS, AverageIfS; Contingency table; Search functions; Creating forms.

We get familiar with the Microsoft Teams online communication platform environment; Creating teams; Creating a pure team in MS Teams; Creating teams from an existing team and basic team customization; Creating a team from an Office 365 Group; Setting up and managing teams; Managing team members; Managing teams in bulk; Guest in a Team Class; Invitation from MS Teams; Channels; Cards; Creating your first online lesson; Creating a link to a lesson; Connecting students to an online lesson; Running a lesson with MS Teams; Preparing a lesson outline; Presenting in MS Teams; Tools available during the implementation of an online lesson on the student's/teacher's side (e.g. Conversation panel; Reporting for a word; Downloading a list of participants, etc.); Course notes - notepad; Uploading files with educational content; Creating a shared file for pupils/students in the virtual classroom; Creating a quiz; Quiz evaluation, Quiz setup. Within the distance task, the student will design and create a document in the form of an electronic workbook in Microsoft Word using a template and applying content controls and ActiveX elements - text field, drop-down field, drop-down list, comma field, option button, command button - usable in the context of teaching subjects of his/her area of specialization at primary school / high school. Within the distance task, the student will create a table of fictitious evaluation of pupils in the framework of knowledge or sports activities implemented in schools or school facilities in the range of at least 20 rows and 10 columns, where the functions of the Microsoft Excel spreadsheet application will be used in the context of the complexity of the explained issue.

In a distance task, the student formats a table of fictitious assessment of pupils in knowledge/sport activities, applying various conditions and techniques of conditional formatting of the table entries in the intent of the difficulty of the explained problem.

In the distance task, the student will create three different graphical visualisations of the data from the table of the previous distance task, in which he/she has processed the assessments achieved in the knowledge/sport activities implemented in schools or school facilities. They will add to the

graphical visualisation the title of the graph, the axis names as well as other parameters of the graph as they wish in the context of the readability of the graph.

In the distance task, the student applies an extended filter to the table from the previous distance task to display the student's name and grade attained in the context of the knowledge/sport activities carried out in the school or school facilities and filters to another location within the same worksheet/workbook when defining specific filter conditions (e.g. grade attained below/above a set value, etc.). Within the framework of the distance task, the student will create through the Microsoft Sway application an original, dynamic and visually impressive presentation of the learning issue for the target group of pupils according to his/her own discretion in the intent of school teaching of the subjects of his/her apprenticeship at primary school/elementary school. The aim of the presentation to be realized by the student is to make it multimedia and at the same time attractive for the target group of pupils in terms of content. The scope of the presentation in Microsoft Sway must consist of at least 25 presentation views - cards with the subject content. To organize the presentation, the student should use its layout into sections, subsections and layers in order to increase the clarity of the presented topic for the student

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

ZÁHOREC, J. (2021). Inovatívne digitálne riešenia v školskej edukácii žiakov. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 141 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5121-8. [Vyšlo ako elektronická publikácia]

ZÁHOREC, J., BREČKA, P. (2021). Uplatnenie platformy SMART Learning Suite v oblasti tvorby edukačných aktivít. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 129 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5127-0 [Vyšlo ako elektronická publikácia]

ZÁHOREC, J., HAŠKOVÁ, A., MUNK, M. (2020). Digitálna gramotnosť učiteľov v kontexte ich profesijnej prípravy. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 305 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-4882-9

BREČKA, P. (2014). Interaktívne tabule v technickom vzdelávaní. IRIS, 140 s., ISBN: 978-80-8153-024-1

ŠTRBO, M. (2018). Tvorba prezentácií. Trnava: Pedagogická fakulta Trnavskej univerzity v Trnave, 45 s., ISBN 978-80-568-0179-6

Základný študijný text k vzdelávaciemu obsahu disciplíny Digitálne technológie 1 bude študentom pravidelných časových intervaloch sprístupňovaný v elektronickej forme prostredníctvom univerzitného LMS Moodle.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

The maximum number of students per study group within the regular learning form of study is 15 (per one teacher). The seminar is conducted in a computer room, each student has a computer at his/her disposal.

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1631

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
37,95	0,0	23,24	14,84	9,38	7,66	6,93

Lecturers: doc. PaedDr. Ján Záhorec, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde002/22	Course title: Digital technologies 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course The course is completed by assessment, the weighting of the interim and final assessment is 100/0. # active participation in seminars conducted by both face-to-face and distance learning methods; # processing of partial (distance) deliverables/assignments according to the lecturer's assignment during the semester from the selected subject areas and their submission in electronic form within the set deadline, which are continuously checked and evaluated by the lecturer, constitutes 80% of the total achievable point value; Submission of partial assignments is a condition for the award of credits; # development of a semester project - at the end of the semester, the student develops through the cloud tool Prezi Present an original and visually impressive presentation of the educational content of the selected teaching subject at primary school / high school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education at Charles University; The structure of the presentation should be based on one of the templates from the library of customizable templates supported by Prezi Present; Personal presentation of the created project in Prezi in front of the whole study group; Successful implementation and defense of the project constitutes 20% of the total achievable point value and is a condition for the award of credits. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) For successful completion of the educational subject it is necessary to obtain at least 60 % of the score. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments,	

excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.

A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes and transferable competences:

The aim of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1 is to develop digital skills of students of teacher-oriented study programmes at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University (hereinafter referred to as the Faculty of Education of the Charles University) to be able to effectively use an appropriate range of didactic software applications in the context of the concept of design and creation of interactive educational activities, knowledge quizzes and assignments, electronic portfolios, impressive didactic presentations, new interactive forms of teaching material and other pedagogical/school documentation usable in the intent of their future teaching profession at different levels of regional education in the Slovak Republic.

By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1, the student has the competences and digital skills to effectively use the tools of the SMART Notebook software application and the SMART Lumio cloud environment by creating gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of students participating in the lesson in the school classroom or in home conditions in the case of distance/hybrid education.

By completing the educational discipline, the student also has the competences and digital skills in the field of design and creation of mind maps through the tools of the Mindomo software application (or FreeMind, XMind) applicable in educational activities implemented in schools and educational institutions for the target group of intact pupils, as well as pupils with special educational needs in order to improve their communication skills and critical thinking.

By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1, the student acquires professional digital competencies in the concept of design and creation of impressive non-linear presentations and interactive presentations of educational content implemented through the Prezi Present cloud

platform Prezi by expressing their own visual ideas usable in their own pedagogical activities in schools or educational institutions.

By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1, the student has, last but not least, the competences and digital skills to effectively use the cloud platform Microsoft 365 with an emphasis on software applications Sway, Word and Excel by creating supplementary teaching materials for the needs of school teaching and maintaining the relevant pedagogical documentation. The student masters the basic possibilities of using the online communication platform Microsoft Teams applicable in the implementation of distance learning at the level of regional education

Class syllabus:

The content of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1 is divided into four thematic headings and relevant sub-topics in order to ensure the achievement of the set partial educational objectives. The content structure of the educational discipline is designed so that the student continuously develops his didactic-technological competences in the field of selected didactic software applications by completing the educational topics organized in the present and distance form and at the same time strengthens his professional training in the subject area.

Due to the rapid development of new didactic software usable in the pedagogical process, the specific content structure of the discipline can be adapted to the current requirements of practice and students.

Application of SMART Notebook software application and SMART Lumio cloud environment in the educational process

We develop professional digital competences by the ability to effectively use the tools of the SMART Notebook desktop application and the SMART Lumio cloud environment through the creation of gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of pupils (including pupils with special educational needs) participating in the lesson in the school classroom or in the home environment in the case of distance/hybrid education;

We acquire digital skills in working with the SMART response 2 tool supported by the SMART Notebook desktop application and the SMART Lumio cloud environment in the context of creating knowledge questions - selecting one correct answer from multiple answer alternatives, selecting multiple correct answers from multiple answer alternatives, selecting Yes/No answers, free-form questions - in test sessions designed to test the knowledge of pupils of regional education in the Slovak Republic;

We are mastering the methodology of applying knowledge tests communicated to students through SMART response 2 in the SMART Notebook desktop application and gamification activities supported by the cloud environment SMART Lumio to the process of school teaching of subjects at the lower/upper secondary level of education;

We strengthen professional digital competences in the area of working with complex interactive solutions usable in educational situations with an emphasis on the SMART Board interactive whiteboard.

Topics:

Basic functions and calibration of the SMART Board interactive touchscreen/tablet; Symptoms, causes and solutions to some of the problems encountered when using the SMART Board interactive touchscreen/tablet; Installing and updating the SMART Notebook desktop application; Creating a teacher's virtual classroom in the SMART platform;

Creating and editing objects in the SMART Notebook environment; Using basic tools to support the presentation of processed content; Working with multimedia content from the SMART Gallery; Sharing your own content on the SMART Exchange website; Adding links to external educational resources; Adding sound to objects, Animating objects; Using and sharing your own content; Applying graphic content from other software applications - importing and editing objects;

Applying objects from the Lesson Activity Toolkit 2.0; Creating gamification activities in SMART Lab; SMART Response 2 - creating and administering knowledge tests/quizzes;

Principles of Gamification; Gamification Elements in Educational Content; Some Results of Exact Studies on the Application of Gamification in Education; Application of Gamification in Education in Primary and Secondary Schools; Examples of Gamification Activities Used in the Teaching of Science, Social Studies, Art, Education and Vocational Education.

Teacher/student login to SMART Lumio cloud platform account; Managing the library of learning activities; Creating gamification activities in SMART Lumio - SMART Lesson Activity Builder (Lab); Editing activities in edit mode; Making activities available to students - delivery mode; Sharing options for gamification activities;

Setting up and converting a lesson page to a Handout or Workspace activity; Working with predefined graphical activity templates for use in activating student learning, inquiring about student knowledge, or reflecting; Sharing lessons with the created educational materials with students in SMART Lumio.

Within the distance assignment, the student will create a set of interactive learning/quiz activities and digital presentation material for the target group of learners with effective use of the widest possible range of tools, objects, pre-prepared templates, advanced functions, settings and features supported by the SMART Notebook authoring environment in a range of at least 10 presentation pages/activities. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created presentation materials and educational activities stored in *.notebook format, the student shall indicate the methodology of their inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.

Within the distance assignment, the student will create a set of 10 gamification educational/quiz activities for the target group of students with effective use of pre-made templates and tools of the SMART Lumio cloud environment. The condition is that each type of gamification activity should only occur once in the created set of activities. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created gamification educational/quiz activities saved by the student, the student shall indicate the methodology of their application in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.

As part of the distance learning assignment, the student will create a 3-page set of pre-prepared content convertible to Handout format activities and a 3-page set of pre-prepared content convertible to Workspace format activities. In the accompanying document (a separate *.docx file) for the created Handout and Workspace activities stored in the SMART Lumio cloud, the student will indicate the methodology of their application to the educational activities of the target group of students.

Creating and using mind maps in the educational process with the support of digital solutions

We develop professional digital competences by competence in the design and creation of mind maps through the tools of the software application Mindomo (or FreeMind/XMind), usable in our own educational activities implemented in schools and school facilities for the target group of intact pupils, as well as pupils with special educational needs in order to improve communication skills and critical thinking;

We are mastering the methodology of applying mind maps communicated to students through Mindomo (or FreeMind/XMind) to the process of school teaching of the subjects of their approbation at primary school / high school;

Topics:

Explanation of terminology in the field of mind/concept mapping; Desktop/cloud software applications for creating mind maps in the educational process at primary/ secondary schools; Functions and types of mind maps; Principles and principles of creating mind maps; Possibilities of using mind maps in the process of preparation for teaching and directly in the classroom;

Familiarization with the user environment of the Mindomo software application (or FreeMind/XMind);

Structuring a mind map; Inserting, editing and formatting nodes; Creating and formatting branches; Encrypted node; Editing multi-line nodes; Creating image nodes; Moving/copying nodes and groups of nodes in the map; Inserting annotations to nodes; Linking nodes with local links - independent linking of ideas; Hypertext linking of nodes to external information sources - links in nodes leading to external sources (web) or to local files on the local disk of the computer; Importing content into the mind map; Exporting the map to the output of different formats; Text search in the subnetwork of the current node; Mind maps and their use when working with pupils with SEN.

As part of the distance assignment, the student will design and create one mind map from any thematic area of school subject teaching in primary/elementary school. The focus of the mind map should be situated in a teaching issue of the student's own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at primary school/SHS in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student studies in the teacher education programmes at the Faculty of Education at the Charles University. In the mind map, tools and functions of the Mindomo (or FreeMind/XMind) application environment will be applied in the intent of the complexity of the explained issue. The student will justify in a brief written form the choice of the processed content/topic in the form of a mind map, their functionality and use in his/her educational activities.

Creation of non-linear presentations of educational content through the Prezi presentation platform supporting teacher's interpretation and systematization of students' knowledge

We acquire professional digital competencies in the concept of design and creation of impressive non-linear presentations of educational content realized through the Prezi Present cloud platform Prezi applicable to our own pedagogical activities;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the presentation of educational content communicated to pupils through Prezi Present to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation at primary school / high school;

Topics:

Introduction of the software tool Prezi Present as a presentation platform - creation of trendy, effective presentations; Explanation and clarification of the terms used in the field of creating didactic presentations in the environment of Prezi Present; Possibilities of using the presentation application Prezi Present in the preparation and creation of supporting educational materials effectively usable in the teaching of their own subject; Presentation of examples of presentations used in teaching science, social science, art-educational and vocational educational disciplines; Illustrative comparison of differences between classical (Microsoft PowerPoint) and dynamic (Prezi Present) presentations;

Theoretical background of presentation preparation and the system of selection of presented information; Basic steps of the procedure for creating didactic presentations in Prezi Present; Functionality of the online presentation tool Prezi Present; Creating dynamic presentations in Prezi Present - working with text and graphic objects; Creating topic/subtopic structures, changing the order of topics/subtopics, Creating depth and rotation effects; Inserting additional graphic visuals into a presentation in Prezi Present; Working in edit mode for main topics, subtopics and other presentation content; Creating visual effects and animations of presentation objects;

Use of templates - change the design - colors, background, fonts; Inserting audio tracks on the background of individual topics/subtopics, the whole presentation; 3D presentations; Importing and editing PowerPoint presentations in Prezi Present; Sharing presentations with other users, sharing on social networks, on web portals; Exporting presentations to *.pdf and Portable Prezi (for off-line presentation); Export options and presentation size; Working with Prezi Present in off-line mode.

Within the distance assignment, the student will use the Prezi Present presentation tool to create an original didactic and visually engaging presentation of the educational content for the target group

of students. The focus of the presentation should be situated in a teaching issue of the student's own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at the primary school / secondary school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programmes of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University. The presentation will be at least 30 presentation views (= topics at the highest hierarchical level, subtopics at lower hierarchical levels and stack structure pages) to a minimum hierarchical nesting depth of three.

Use of Microsoft 365 platform in teacher's pedagogical activity

We develop professional digital competencies in the concept of design and creation of responsive presentations with educational content and emphasis on the cloud solution Microsoft Sway usable in educational activities implemented in schools or school facilities;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the presentation of educational content communicated to pupils through Microsoft Sway to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation at primary school / secondary school;

We hone professional digital skills to include the ability to effectively use a range of form content controls and ActiveX elements of Microsoft Word in the creation of interactive worksheets, tests and supplementary learning materials for pupils or other classroom agenda documents usable in the context of pedagogical activity;

We are mastering the methodology of applying interactive worksheets communicated to pupils through Microsoft Word to the process of school teaching of subjects of their area of specialization at primary school / high school;

Develop professional digital skills in the creation of large documents (such as letters, invitations, labels) through a mass correspondence tool usable in the maintenance of relevant pedagogical documentation;

We develop professional digital skills in the creation of statistical calculations, compound expressions using functions for finding conditional frequency, sum, or arithmetic mean and techniques for addressing continuous and discontinuous areas in expressions/functions usable in the tabular processing of classroom data (student evaluations in knowledge or sports activities) supported by Microsoft Excel;

We hone professional competencies to include the ability to effectively apply advanced conditions and techniques for conditional formatting of table records using functions;

We extend digital skills to include the ability to effectively apply an advanced filtering tool to large tabular data by defining compound logical conditions (AND/OR), wildcards (*, ?), and a Subtotal function usable in maintaining appropriate pedagogical documentation;

Develop professional digital skills in creating cumulative graphical visualizations of tabulated data in the context of changing attributes of individual chart elements;

We apply other selected tools of the Microsoft 365 cloud platform, with an emphasis on the Microsoft Teams software application for teachers to use in conducting online learning activities.

Topics:

A basic theoretical introduction to Microsoft cloud solutions;

Sway presentation platform - creation of interactive school materials (portfolios), impressive presentations of educational content; Other possibilities of practical use of Microsoft Sway in educational activities; Concept of creating multimedia responsive presentations; Creating a new Sway, creating a Sway by importing an existing file/presentation, creating a Sway based on a template; Articulating presentation content; Options for inserting and formatting supported visuals via tabs; Grouping multimedia content; Influencing the appearance of a presentation; Changing the layout of content; Adapting specific elements to the style of a presentation; Exporting a presentation in *.pdf/*.docx; Additional options for setting up the presentation in Sway; Share Sway output from a personal Microsoft account; Share Sway output from an account assigned by the educational organization;

Editing large text documents in the Microsoft Word environment - standard settings; Using tabs; Text blocks; Columns; Objects in text - equation editor; Tables in text; Editing a document using a style; Creating your own style and editing an existing style. Document Revision. Definition of the terms section and reference and possibilities of their use; Document revision and its use in writing term papers and qualification papers; Document structuring - dividing a document into sections, types of section breaks, page settings in sections, creating a header and footer in a document divided into sections; Possibilities of using a structured document within the agenda of a teaching staff member; Creating references - automatic content creation, content setup, content formatting, numbering figures and tables, creating a list of tables and figures, footnotes and endnotes, cross-references, citations and list of references used, creating a document index; Creating templates - the importance of a template type document. Electronic forms - what are form elements and what is their difference from ActiveX elements, settings of form elements, ActiveX element and its settings, Form security; Application of the acquired experience and skills of working with Microsoft Word text editor in creating documents useful in own pedagogical activities; Creation of large documents - letters, invitations, labels - by means of the tool of mass correspondence useful in the framework of keeping the relevant pedagogical documentation.

Working with tabular data in Microsoft Excel; Inserting data into cells; Predefined and custom data format; Working with cells - moving, cutting, copying; Bulk filling of data and creating expressions/patterns; Applying elementary functions; Modifying function parameters; Modifying table appearance; Sorting tabular data; Data lists - creating custom lists; Increasing values. Rounding; Absolute and relative cell addressing; Hiding table values; Notes in cells; Working with large data - automatic and extended data filter, Subtotal function; Operations between sheets; Conditional formatting of table data; More advanced functions - If, Count, CountIf, SumIf, SumIfS, AverageIfS; Contingency table; Search functions; Creating forms.

We get familiar with the Microsoft Teams online communication platform environment; Creating teams; Creating a pure team in MS Teams; Creating teams from an existing team and basic team customization; Creating a team from an Office 365 Group; Setting up and managing teams; Managing team members; Managing teams in bulk; Guest in a Team Class; Invitation from MS Teams; Channels; Cards; Creating your first online lesson; Creating a link to a lesson; Connecting students to an online lesson; Running a lesson with MS Teams; Preparing a lesson outline; Presenting in MS Teams; Tools available during the implementation of an online lesson on the student's/teacher's side (e.g. Conversation panel; Reporting for a word; Downloading a list of participants, etc.); Course notes - notepad; Uploading files with educational content; Creating a shared file for pupils/students in the virtual classroom; Creating a quiz; Quiz evaluation, Quiz setup. Within the distance task, the student will design and create a document in the form of an electronic workbook in Microsoft Word using a template and applying content controls and ActiveX elements - text field, drop-down field, drop-down list, comma field, option button, command button - usable in the context of teaching subjects of his/her area of specialization at primary school / high school. Within the distance task, the student will create a table of fictitious evaluation of pupils in the framework of knowledge or sports activities implemented in schools or school facilities in the range of at least 20 rows and 10 columns, where the functions of the Microsoft Excel spreadsheet application will be used in the context of the complexity of the explained issue.

In a distance task, the student formats a table of fictitious assessment of pupils in knowledge/sport activities, applying various conditions and techniques of conditional formatting of the table entries in the intent of the difficulty of the explained problem.

In the distance task, the student will create three different graphical visualisations of the data from the table of the previous distance task, in which he/she has processed the assessments achieved in the knowledge/sport activities implemented in schools or school facilities. They will add to the

graphical visualisation the title of the graph, the axis names as well as other parameters of the graph as they wish in the context of the readability of the graph.

In the distance task, the student applies an extended filter to the table from the previous distance task to display the student's name and grade attained in the context of the knowledge/sport activities carried out in the school or school facilities and filters to another location within the same worksheet/workbook when defining specific filter conditions (e.g. grade attained below/above a set value, etc.). Within the framework of the distance task, the student will create through the Microsoft Sway application an original, dynamic and visually impressive presentation of the learning issue for the target group of pupils according to his/her own discretion in the intent of school teaching of the subjects of his/her apprenticeship at primary school/elementary school. The aim of the presentation to be realized by the student is to make it multimedia and at the same time attractive for the target group of pupils in terms of content. The scope of the presentation in Microsoft Sway must consist of at least 25 presentation views - cards with the subject content. To organize the presentation, the student should use its layout into sections, subsections and layers in order to increase the clarity of the presented topic for the student

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

ZÁHOREC, J. (2021). Inovatívne digitálne riešenia v školskej edukácii žiakov. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 141 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5121-8. [Vyšlo ako elektronická publikácia]

ZÁHOREC, J., BREČKA, P. (2021). Uplatnenie platformy SMART Learning Suite v oblasti tvorby edukačných aktivít. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 129 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5127-0 [Vyšlo ako elektronická publikácia]

ZÁHOREC, J., HAŠKOVÁ, A., MUNK, M. (2020). Digitálna gramotnosť učiteľov v kontexte ich profesijnej prípravy. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 305 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-4882-9

BREČKA, P. (2014). Interaktívne tabule v technickom vzdelávaní. IRIS, 140 s., ISBN: 978-80-8153-024-1

ŠTRBO, M. (2018). Tvorba prezentácií. Trnava: Pedagogická fakulta Trnavskej univerzity v Trnave, 45 s., ISBN 978-80-568-0179-6

Základný študijný text k vzdelávaciemu obsahu disciplíny Digitálne technológie 1 bude študentom pravidelných časových intervaloch sprístupňovaný v elektronickej forme prostredníctvom univerzitného LMS Moodle.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

The maximum number of students per study group within the regular learning form of study is 15 (per one teacher). The seminar is conducted in a computer room, each student has a computer at his/her disposal.

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1631

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
37,95	0,0	23,24	14,84	9,38	7,66	6,93

Lecturers: doc. PaedDr. Ján Záhorec, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde012/22	Course title: Digital technologies 2
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde002/22 - Digital technologies 1	
Course requirements: Prerequisites: B-VUZde002 / Digital technologies 1 Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). # active participation in seminars conducted by both face-to-face and distance learning methods; # processing of partial (distance) deliverables/assignments according to the lecturer's assignment during the semester from the selected subject areas and their submission in electronic form within the set deadline, which are continuously checked and evaluated by the lecturer, constitutes 80% of the total achievable point value; Submission of partial assignments is a condition for the award of credits; # development of a semester project - at the end of the semester, the student develops through the cloud tool Prezi Video an original and visually impressive video presentation of the educational content of the selected teaching subject at primary school / secondary school in the context of the subjects that the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of Charles University; The structure of the video presentation should be based on the presentation created in the Prezi Present software application; Personal presentation of the created project in Prezi in front of the whole study group; Successful implementation and defence of the project constitutes 20% of the total achievable point value and is a condition for the award of credits. The rating shall be awarded on a scale of A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) For successful completion of the educational subject it is necessary to obtain at least 60 % of the score. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation	

in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.

A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 70/30

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes and transferable competences:

The aim of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2 is to develop digital skills in the field of work with selected software (desktop and cloud) didactic applications and to be able to apply them effectively in the context of their future teaching profession.

By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2, the student has the competences and advanced digital skills to effectively use the tools of the software application ActivInspire and the cloud environment ClassFlow by creating gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of students participating in the lesson in the school classroom or in home conditions in the case of distance/hybrid education.

By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2, the student acquires advanced professional digital competences in the concept of design and creation of interactive video presentations of educational content implemented through Prezi Present and Prezi Video cloud platform Prezi by expressing their own visual ideas usable in their own pedagogical activities in schools or educational institutions.

By completing the educational discipline, the student acquires competence and advanced digital skills in the concept of design, creation and administration of (gamification) quiz and educational activities and knowledge games with dynamic elements created through the cloud tool Socrative 2.0, Kahoot!, Wizer.me and Google Forms usable in own educational activities implemented in schools and school facilities for the target group of intact pupils as well as pupils with special educational needs.

By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2, the student has, last but not least, competencies and advanced digital skills in the field of vector graphic creation in the environment of the cloud application Prezi Design in the implementation of the work activities of a pedagogical employee of regional education or his/her own professional development.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course

The content of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2 is divided into four thematic headings and relevant sub-topics in order to ensure the achievement of the set partial educational objectives. The content structure of the educational discipline is designed so that the student continuously develops his didactic-technological competences in the field of selected didactic software applications by completing the educational topics organized in the present and distance form and at the same time strengthens his professional training in the subject area. content structure of the discipline can be adapted to the current requirements of practice and students.

Application of ActivInspire software application and ClassFlow cloud environment in the educational process

We master the principle and methodology of working with selected (Promethean World Ltd) digital didactic resources designed to support interactive teaching of subjects of its approbation in primary and secondary schools;

We develop professional digital competences by the ability to effectively use the software tools of the ActivInspire desktop application and the ClassFlow cloud environment through the creation of gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of pupils (including pupils with special educational needs) participating in a lesson in the school classroom or in home conditions in the case of distance/hybrid education; In the context of the above activity, the trainee is also expected to learn the methodology for applying the activities developed in the school classroom;

We gain knowledge about desktop digital voting solutions and (trendy) cloud-based applications for creating knowledge tests/quizzes currently available on the market as an interactive didactic means of teaching, advantages and disadvantages of their deployment in the teaching process in the field of regional education;

We acquire digital skills in creating, editing and administering knowledge tests through voting sessions and supported by the ActivInspire software application and ActivExpression2 digital voting device to stimulate pupil activation and attention in the classroom; We use the ExpressPoll voting session; we use the question creation wizard to create test activities in the teacher stimulation; we implement the work with the question set wizard for processing at the pupil's own pace (voting session in the pupil stimulation) in the teaching of the subjects of his/her apprenticeship;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the knowledge tests communicated to pupils through the ActivInspire application and the ActivExpression2 digital voting device to the process of school teaching of the subjects of their approbation in primary/ secondary schools;

Develop skills in statistically processing the results of whole group voting activities into the ActivInspire demonstration workbook, as well as exporting them to Microsoft Excel;

We strengthen professional digital competences in the area of working with complex interactive solutions usable in educational situations with an emphasis on the ActivBoard interactive whiteboard.

Topics:

Design of demonstration workbooks - options for setting up and customizing the ActivInspire work environment; Main toolbar - demonstration for familiarizing yourself with the most commonly used tools - ideas for their use in the creation of interactive educational content; Annotation mode on the desktop; Browsers in ActivInspire; Export/Import (*.flipchart) of demonstration workbooks; Teacher-student collaboration on the whiteboard - dual user mode;

Adding additional graphical content to the ActivInspire demonstration workbook; Importing and exporting asset packages; Defining object properties; Using layers and layering: Blind Map, Magic Tunnel, Magic Ink - ideas for using them when designing educational activities aimed at the target

group of students; Adding and removing links to linked external files/internet resources; Creating and using grid features when designing educational activities; Creating interactive demonstration workbooks - creating containers; Working with actions; Suggestions for their use of selected actions in the creation of interactive materials usable in the educational process; Benefits of using profiles; Annotating the desktop; Using desktop tools; Working in dual user mode; Using mathematical and design tools; Demonstrations of the use of mathematical and design tools in the creation of interactive materials usable in the educational process;

We extend professional digital competencies with the ability to effectively apply gamification educational activities through predefined templates - Sorting into categories, Crossword, Learning Cards, Assigning and Sorting items and other templates supported by the cloud-based ClassFlow application;

Current trends in the application of digital voting solutions for education; Technological principle of digital voting systems; Possibilities of using digital voting systems in school teaching in primary schools / elementary schools ; Methodology of working with digital voting systems; Digital voting solutions - effective support of group cooperation in classrooms stimulating the learning process;

We use the ActivExpression2 digital voting system in the classroom; Components of an intelligent digital voting solution; Registration of ActivExpression2 voting devices in ActivInspire; Voting Browser; Types of voting sessions and test questions supported by the ActivInspire software application and ActivExpression2 voting device; Working with voting session results in ActivInspire - viewing results in different formats; Exporting results from ExpressPoll from prepared questions and from a set of self-paced questions to Microsoft Excel; Suggestions for educational activities and games using ActivExpression2 voting devices to promote student activation and attention in the classroom.

Within the framework of the distance assignment, the student in the ActivInspire environment will develop a set of engaging learning activities, knowledge games, engaging presentations of educational content as new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of students in the classroom. In conceptualising the design and development of the task, the learner will make use of as wide a range of available tools, functions, actions and features as possible. Within a developed demonstration workbook of at least 6 pages, the student will be expected to primarily use the container activity, magic ink, magic tunnel, set actions and constraints on objects, and apply the presentation tools of the ActivInspire environment. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created presentation materials and educational activities stored in *.flipchart format, the student will indicate the methodology of their inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.

Within the distance assignment, the student will create a set of 10 gamification educational activities for the target group of students with the effective use of pre-prepared templates and tools of the ClassFlow cloud environment. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created gamification activities, the student will include the methodology of their integration into the educational activities of the target group of pupils.

Within the framework of the distance task, the student will create, through the ClassFlow cloud environment, a test activity conceived from 20 test questions of supported types with the potential possibility of its use in the teaching of the subjects of his/her apprenticeship to pupils at primary school / secondary school.

We create video presentations of educational content through the cloud application Prezi Video

We develop professional digital competencies in the design and creation of compelling non-linear video presentations of educational content realized through Prezi Present and Prezi Video cloud platform Prezi by expressing visual ideas applicable to our own pedagogical activities;

We are mastering the methodology of applying video presentation of educational content communicated to pupils through Prezi Video to the process of school teaching of subjects of its approbation at primary school / high school;

We innovate didactic approaches to educational activities implemented in schools or educational institutions through immersive non-linear video presentations created in the cloud platform Prezi.

Topics:

We create a video presentation in the Prezi Video online editor environment from scratch using the Quick record tool - selecting a suitable template, inserting text content and other graphic visuals; supplementing the video presentation with a recording of your own image and sound;

We create a video presentation in the Prezi Video online editor environment by offering reusable videos recorded by other Prezi Video users;

Creating a video presentation based on a Prezi Present; Adding an accompanying commentary; Adding a video with accompanying commentary.

Within the distance assignment, the student will create an original didactic and visually engaging video presentation of educational content of his/her choice for the target group of pupils, using the Prezi cloud platform (Prezi Present and Prezi Video), in a range of at least 20 presentation views, usable in teaching the subjects of his/her specialisation at primary school/junior high school.

We use selected Web 2.0 software tools to obtain feedback from students participating in the school teaching process, in the case of students enrolled in distance (online) learning;

We are expanding the knowledge framework in the field of application of gamification (game-based learning) as one of the innovative and activating methods applied in the educational process at the primary school level;

We are expanding digital skills to include the ability to effectively use Socrative 2.0, a cloud-based application designed to create and manage knowledge/quiz activities in order to get immediate feedback from students participating in a lesson in the classroom or at home in the case of distance/hybrid learning;

We are mastering the methodology of applying knowledge/quiz activities communicated to students through Socrative 2.0 to the process of school teaching of subjects of their area of interest in primary schools;

We extend professional digital competences with the ability to create gamification quiz activities and knowledge games through the online platform Kahoot! into the school learning process in a fun and playful way - transforming traditional knowledge testing through quizzes in an activating form using digital means;

We acquire competencies in the application of the application methodology, in the Kahoot! author-prepared, gamified educational and quiz activities to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation in primary school / secondary school;

We develop digital competences by the ability to effectively use the cloud tool Wizer.me for creating multimedia online worksheets with educational content for the target group of primary school pupils;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the processed digital graphic outputs of educational content communicated to students through the cloud tool Wizer.me to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation in primary school / secondary school;

We master the principle and methodology of working with the cloud application Google Forms designed for the creation of online knowledge tests and research tools usable in the context of pedagogical activities;

Topics:

Socrative.com - a web-based tool for online testing of student knowledge; Free registration vs. benefits of paid registration for teachers; Creating a teacher/student account; Test administration panel in Socrative 2.0 - Creating a new test - question types for creating your own tests - multiple

choice, yes/no and short open answer; Managing teacher-created tests; Creating a copy of a test - a variant for a parallel group with slightly different questions; Deleting a test; Downloading a test to a document in *.pdf; Editing the created test; Importing a test from another teacher - sharing tests between teachers; Access to the results of all (already) completed tests; Modes of making the test available to pupils - immediate feedback: Instant Feedback; Teacher-controlled test; Selecting the ways of displaying the results; Defining the appearance of the test results - a general overview of all pupils with statistics of pupil's success and questions; Separate *.Separate pdf files for each pupil; Success statistics for each test question; Practical examples of administered tests in the Socrative 2.0 environment for different educational disciplines and learning topics;

For the sake of brevity, the thematic focus of Kahoot! is analogous in content to the Socrative 2.0 application;

Cloud Computing; Google Drive; Viewing Google Docs options; Description of the Google Forms environment - Questions tab; Creating a form; Editing the appearance of the form; Setting up the form as a quiz; Evaluating the data obtained from the form administration - Answers tab; Sending the form to respondents; Setting up Google Form sharing - in Google Drive and in the Google Forms editor; Setting up Google Form sharing for a user with an un-created Google account. As part of the distance task, the student will create a knowledge test with ten multiple choice questions, ten yes/no questions and ten short free-form questions using the online software application Socrative. The focus of the knowledge test should be situated in a curricular issue of the student's choice falling within the educational content of the subject taught at primary/ secondary school, in the context of the subjects of interest that the student is studying in the teacher education programmes at PdF UK. The knowledge test defined in this way will be implemented by the student among his/her classmates in his/her educational group. As part of the distance assignment, the student will create a software application Kahoot! test activity made up of 20 knowledge questions of supported types. The focus of the test activity should be situated in a teaching issue of his/her own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at primary school / high school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University. The test activity defined in this way will be implemented by the student among the classmates of his/her educational group.

Within the distance assignment, the student will design and create an engaging multimedia worksheet with educational content for the target group of pupils in primary school / secondary school in the environment of the cloud tool Wizer.me; To the created digital graphic output (in a separate file format *.docx), the student will provide a methodology for its inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.

As part of the distance learning assignment, the student will create a knowledge quiz activity with a minimum of 15 questions of supported types via the Google Forms cloud application. The focus of the knowledge quiz should be situated in a teaching issue of the student's own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at primary school / high school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education at PdF UK. The knowledge quiz defined in this way will be implemented by the student among the classmates of his/her educational group.

Creation of digital graphic outputs in the environment of selected cloud applications

Acquire digital competences by the ability to effectively use the Prezi Design cloud tool of the Prezi cloud platform to create multimedia posters with educational content intended for the target group of pupils in primary schools / secondary schools by expressing their own visual ideas;

We develop digital competences by the ability to effectively use the cloud tool Glogster (edu.Glogster.com) for digital storytelling - creating engaging multimedia posters with educational content - designed for the target group of pupils in primary schools / secondary schools by expressing their own visual ideas;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the processed digital graphic outputs of educational content communicated to students through the cloud tool Prezi Design and Glogster to the school teaching of subjects that the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education at Charles University.

Topics:

Prezi Design as a comprehensive graphic design and data visualization tool; Template library; Creating and editing content by adding multimedia items in the editor; Adding, moving and layering objects; Using *.ppt, *.pdf and *.doc in Prezi Design; Implementing animated elements into the graphic content you create; Creating visual effects to images by applying masks and filters; Adding links to text objects, graphics and shapes; Multi-page design; Linking text, images, icons and shapes to pages and sections; Sharing content created in Prezi Design with your audience on social media using private or public links; Collaborating in the Prezi Design environment; Adding/removing collaborators; Adding an entire team of collaborators; Collaborating in real time;

Creating and editing engaging data visualizations using maps; Creating a social post - adding and editing content, adding animation to the content of the post being created; Downloading and sharing the created content for publishing purposes;

Creating infographics for visual representation of information and data, Adding and editing content; Creating gif and mp4 videos in the Prezi Design environment; Embedding videos from Prezi Video into Prezi Design; Adding videos to Prezi Design and editing settings; Media integrations in Prezi Design - adding content from Prezi Video to projects.

Within the distance task, the student will design and create in the cloud tool Prezi Design an engaging digital poster with multiMedial educational content usable in teaching in the intent of the approbation subjects, which the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University; To the created digital graphic output (in a special file format *.docx) the student will provide a methodology for its inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of students.

Within the distance task, the student will design and create in the cloud tool edu.Glogster an engaging digital poster with multiMedial educational content usable in teaching in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University; To the created digital graphic output (in a special file format *.docx) the student will provide a methodology for its inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of students

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

ZÁHOREC, J. (2021). Inovatívne digitálne riešenia v školskej edukácii žiakov. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 141 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5121-8. https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/fileadmin/pdf/Sucasti/Katedry/KDPP/Inovativne_digitalne_riesenia.pdf

ZÁHOREC, J., BREČKA, P. (2021). Uplatnenie platformy SMART Learning Suite v oblasti tvorby edukačných aktivít. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 129 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5127-0 https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/fileadmin/pdf/Sucasti/Katedry/KDPP/Pouzivame_SMART_Learning_Suite.pdf

ZÁHOREC, J., HAŠKOVÁ, A., MUNK, M. (2020). Digitálna gramotnosť učiteľov v kontexte ich profesijnej prípravy. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 305 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-4882-9.

LABJAKOVÁ, I. (2019). Interaktívna tabuľa v edukačnom procese, Bratislava: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum v Bratislave, 2019, 100 s., ISBN 978-80-565-1444-3

Základný študijný text k vzdelávaciemu obsahu disciplíny Digitálne technológie 2 bude študentom pravidelných časových intervaloch sprístupňovaný v elektronickej forme prostredníctvom univerzitného LMS Moodle.

Languages necessary to complete the course: slovak and czech						
Notes: : The maximum number of students per study group within the regular learning form of study is 15 (per one teacher). The seminar is conducted in a computer room, each student has a computer at his/her disposal.						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 85						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
37,65	0,0	30,59	18,82	4,71	2,35	5,88
Lecturers: doc. PaedDr. Ján Záhorec, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde012/22	Course title: Digital technologies 2
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde002/22 - Digital technologies 1	
Course requirements: Prerequisites: B-VUZde002 / Digital technologies 1 Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). # active participation in seminars conducted by both face-to-face and distance learning methods; # processing of partial (distance) deliverables/assignments according to the lecturer's assignment during the semester from the selected subject areas and their submission in electronic form within the set deadline, which are continuously checked and evaluated by the lecturer, constitutes 80% of the total achievable point value; Submission of partial assignments is a condition for the award of credits; # development of a semester project - at the end of the semester, the student develops through the cloud tool Prezi Video an original and visually impressive video presentation of the educational content of the selected teaching subject at primary school / secondary school in the context of the subjects that the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of Charles University; The structure of the video presentation should be based on the presentation created in the Prezi Present software application; Personal presentation of the created project in Prezi in front of the whole study group; Successful implementation and defence of the project constitutes 20% of the total achievable point value and is a condition for the award of credits. The rating shall be awarded on a scale of A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) For successful completion of the educational subject it is necessary to obtain at least 60 % of the score. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation	

in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.

A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 70/30

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes and transferable competences:

The aim of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2 is to develop digital skills in the field of work with selected software (desktop and cloud) didactic applications and to be able to apply them effectively in the context of their future teaching profession.

By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2, the student has the competences and advanced digital skills to effectively use the tools of the software application ActivInspire and the cloud environment ClassFlow by creating gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of students participating in the lesson in the school classroom or in home conditions in the case of distance/hybrid education.

By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2, the student acquires advanced professional digital competences in the concept of design and creation of interactive video presentations of educational content implemented through Prezi Present and Prezi Video cloud platform Prezi by expressing their own visual ideas usable in their own pedagogical activities in schools or educational institutions.

By completing the educational discipline, the student acquires competence and advanced digital skills in the concept of design, creation and administration of (gamification) quiz and educational activities and knowledge games with dynamic elements created through the cloud tool Socrative 2.0, Kahoot!, Wizer.me and Google Forms usable in own educational activities implemented in schools and school facilities for the target group of intact pupils as well as pupils with special educational needs.

By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2, the student has, last but not least, competencies and advanced digital skills in the field of vector graphic creation in the environment of the cloud application Prezi Design in the implementation of the work activities of a pedagogical employee of regional education or his/her own professional development.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course

The content of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2 is divided into four thematic headings and relevant sub-topics in order to ensure the achievement of the set partial educational objectives. The content structure of the educational discipline is designed so that the student continuously develops his didactic-technological competences in the field of selected didactic software applications by completing the educational topics organized in the present and distance form and at the same time strengthens his professional training in the subject area. content structure of the discipline can be adapted to the current requirements of practice and students.

Application of ActivInspire software application and ClassFlow cloud environment in the educational process

We master the principle and methodology of working with selected (Promethean World Ltd) digital didactic resources designed to support interactive teaching of subjects of its approbation in primary and secondary schools;

We develop professional digital competences by the ability to effectively use the software tools of the ActivInspire desktop application and the ClassFlow cloud environment through the creation of gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of pupils (including pupils with special educational needs) participating in a lesson in the school classroom or in home conditions in the case of distance/hybrid education; In the context of the above activity, the trainee is also expected to learn the methodology for applying the activities developed in the school classroom;

We gain knowledge about desktop digital voting solutions and (trendy) cloud-based applications for creating knowledge tests/quizzes currently available on the market as an interactive didactic means of teaching, advantages and disadvantages of their deployment in the teaching process in the field of regional education;

We acquire digital skills in creating, editing and administering knowledge tests through voting sessions and supported by the ActivInspire software application and ActivExpression2 digital voting device to stimulate pupil activation and attention in the classroom; We use the ExpressPoll voting session; we use the question creation wizard to create test activities in the teacher stimulation; we implement the work with the question set wizard for processing at the pupil's own pace (voting session in the pupil stimulation) in the teaching of the subjects of his/her apprenticeship;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the knowledge tests communicated to pupils through the ActivInspire application and the ActivExpression2 digital voting device to the process of school teaching of the subjects of their approbation in primary/ secondary schools;

Develop skills in statistically processing the results of whole group voting activities into the ActivInspire demonstration workbook, as well as exporting them to Microsoft Excel;

We strengthen professional digital competences in the area of working with complex interactive solutions usable in educational situations with an emphasis on the ActivBoard interactive whiteboard.

Topics:

Design of demonstration workbooks - options for setting up and customizing the ActivInspire work environment; Main toolbar - demonstration for familiarizing yourself with the most commonly used tools - ideas for their use in the creation of interactive educational content; Annotation mode on the desktop; Browsers in ActivInspire; Export/Import (*.flipchart) of demonstration workbooks; Teacher-student collaboration on the whiteboard - dual user mode;

Adding additional graphical content to the ActivInspire demonstration workbook; Importing and exporting asset packages; Defining object properties; Using layers and layering: Blind Map, Magic Tunnel, Magic Ink - ideas for using them when designing educational activities aimed at the target

group of students; Adding and removing links to linked external files/internet resources; Creating and using grid features when designing educational activities; Creating interactive demonstration workbooks - creating containers; Working with actions; Suggestions for their use of selected actions in the creation of interactive materials usable in the educational process; Benefits of using profiles; Annotating the desktop; Using desktop tools; Working in dual user mode; Using mathematical and design tools; Demonstrations of the use of mathematical and design tools in the creation of interactive materials usable in the educational process;

We extend professional digital competencies with the ability to effectively apply gamification educational activities through predefined templates - Sorting into categories, Crossword, Learning Cards, Assigning and Sorting items and other templates supported by the cloud-based ClassFlow application;

Current trends in the application of digital voting solutions for education; Technological principle of digital voting systems; Possibilities of using digital voting systems in school teaching in primary schools / elementary schools ; Methodology of working with digital voting systems; Digital voting solutions - effective support of group cooperation in classrooms stimulating the learning process;

We use the ActivExpression2 digital voting system in the classroom; Components of an intelligent digital voting solution; Registration of ActivExpression2 voting devices in ActivInspire; Voting Browser; Types of voting sessions and test questions supported by the ActivInspire software application and ActivExpression2 voting device; Working with voting session results in ActivInspire - viewing results in different formats; Exporting results from ExpressPoll from prepared questions and from a set of self-paced questions to Microsoft Excel; Suggestions for educational activities and games using ActivExpression2 voting devices to promote student activation and attention in the classroom.

Within the framework of the distance assignment, the student in the ActivInspire environment will develop a set of engaging learning activities, knowledge games, engaging presentations of educational content as new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of students in the classroom. In conceptualising the design and development of the task, the learner will make use of as wide a range of available tools, functions, actions and features as possible. Within a developed demonstration workbook of at least 6 pages, the student will be expected to primarily use the container activity, magic ink, magic tunnel, set actions and constraints on objects, and apply the presentation tools of the ActivInspire environment. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created presentation materials and educational activities stored in *.flipchart format, the student will indicate the methodology of their inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.

Within the distance assignment, the student will create a set of 10 gamification educational activities for the target group of students with the effective use of pre-prepared templates and tools of the ClassFlow cloud environment. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created gamification activities, the student will include the methodology of their integration into the educational activities of the target group of pupils.

Within the framework of the distance task, the student will create, through the ClassFlow cloud environment, a test activity conceived from 20 test questions of supported types with the potential possibility of its use in the teaching of the subjects of his/her apprenticeship to pupils at primary school / secondary school.

We create video presentations of educational content through the cloud application Prezi Video

We develop professional digital competencies in the design and creation of compelling non-linear video presentations of educational content realized through Prezi Present and Prezi Video cloud platform Prezi by expressing visual ideas applicable to our own pedagogical activities;

We are mastering the methodology of applying video presentation of educational content communicated to pupils through Prezi Video to the process of school teaching of subjects of its approbation at primary school / high school;

We innovate didactic approaches to educational activities implemented in schools or educational institutions through immersive non-linear video presentations created in the cloud platform Prezi.

Topics:

We create a video presentation in the Prezi Video online editor environment from scratch using the Quick record tool - selecting a suitable template, inserting text content and other graphic visuals; supplementing the video presentation with a recording of your own image and sound;

We create a video presentation in the Prezi Video online editor environment by offering reusable videos recorded by other Prezi Video users;

Creating a video presentation based on a Prezi Present; Adding an accompanying commentary; Adding a video with accompanying commentary.

Within the distance assignment, the student will create an original didactic and visually engaging video presentation of educational content of his/her choice for the target group of pupils, using the Prezi cloud platform (Prezi Present and Prezi Video), in a range of at least 20 presentation views, usable in teaching the subjects of his/her specialisation at primary school/junior high school.

We use selected Web 2.0 software tools to obtain feedback from students participating in the school teaching process, in the case of students enrolled in distance (online) learning;

We are expanding the knowledge framework in the field of application of gamification (game-based learning) as one of the innovative and activating methods applied in the educational process at the primary school level;

We are expanding digital skills to include the ability to effectively use Socrative 2.0, a cloud-based application designed to create and manage knowledge/quiz activities in order to get immediate feedback from students participating in a lesson in the classroom or at home in the case of distance/hybrid learning;

We are mastering the methodology of applying knowledge/quiz activities communicated to students through Socrative 2.0 to the process of school teaching of subjects of their area of interest in primary schools;

We extend professional digital competences with the ability to create gamification quiz activities and knowledge games through the online platform Kahoot! into the school learning process in a fun and playful way - transforming traditional knowledge testing through quizzes in an activating form using digital means;

We acquire competencies in the application of the application methodology, in the Kahoot! author-prepared, gamified educational and quiz activities to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation in primary school / secondary school;

We develop digital competences by the ability to effectively use the cloud tool Wizer.me for creating multimedia online worksheets with educational content for the target group of primary school pupils;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the processed digital graphic outputs of educational content communicated to students through the cloud tool Wizer.me to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation in primary school / secondary school;

We master the principle and methodology of working with the cloud application Google Forms designed for the creation of online knowledge tests and research tools usable in the context of pedagogical activities;

Topics:

Socrative.com - a web-based tool for online testing of student knowledge; Free registration vs. benefits of paid registration for teachers; Creating a teacher/student account; Test administration panel in Socrative 2.0 - Creating a new test - question types for creating your own tests - multiple

choice, yes/no and short open answer; Managing teacher-created tests; Creating a copy of a test - a variant for a parallel group with slightly different questions; Deleting a test; Downloading a test to a document in *.pdf; Editing the created test; Importing a test from another teacher - sharing tests between teachers; Access to the results of all (already) completed tests; Modes of making the test available to pupils - immediate feedback: Instant Feedback; Teacher-controlled test; Selecting the ways of displaying the results; Defining the appearance of the test results - a general overview of all pupils with statistics of pupil's success and questions; Separate *.Separate pdf files for each pupil; Success statistics for each test question; Practical examples of administered tests in the Socrative 2.0 environment for different educational disciplines and learning topics;

For the sake of brevity, the thematic focus of Kahoot! is analogous in content to the Socrative 2.0 application;

Cloud Computing; Google Drive; Viewing Google Docs options; Description of the Google Forms environment - Questions tab; Creating a form; Editing the appearance of the form; Setting up the form as a quiz; Evaluating the data obtained from the form administration - Answers tab; Sending the form to respondents; Setting up Google Form sharing - in Google Drive and in the Google Forms editor; Setting up Google Form sharing for a user with an un-created Google account. As part of the distance task, the student will create a knowledge test with ten multiple choice questions, ten yes/no questions and ten short free-form questions using the online software application Socrative. The focus of the knowledge test should be situated in a curricular issue of the student's choice falling within the educational content of the subject taught at primary/ secondary school, in the context of the subjects of interest that the student is studying in the teacher education programmes at PdF UK. The knowledge test defined in this way will be implemented by the student among his/her classmates in his/her educational group. As part of the distance assignment, the student will create a software application Kahoot! test activity made up of 20 knowledge questions of supported types. The focus of the test activity should be situated in a teaching issue of his/her own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at primary school / high school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University. The test activity defined in this way will be implemented by the student among the classmates of his/her educational group.

Within the distance assignment, the student will design and create an engaging multimedia worksheet with educational content for the target group of pupils in primary school / secondary school in the environment of the cloud tool Wizer.me; To the created digital graphic output (in a separate file format *.docx), the student will provide a methodology for its inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.

As part of the distance learning assignment, the student will create a knowledge quiz activity with a minimum of 15 questions of supported types via the Google Forms cloud application. The focus of the knowledge quiz should be situated in a teaching issue of the student's own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at primary school / high school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education at PdF UK. The knowledge quiz defined in this way will be implemented by the student among the classmates of his/her educational group.

Creation of digital graphic outputs in the environment of selected cloud applications

Acquire digital competences by the ability to effectively use the Prezi Design cloud tool of the Prezi cloud platform to create multimedia posters with educational content intended for the target group of pupils in primary schools / secondary schools by expressing their own visual ideas;

We develop digital competences by the ability to effectively use the cloud tool Glogster (edu.Glogster.com) for digital storytelling - creating engaging multimedia posters with educational content - designed for the target group of pupils in primary schools / secondary schools by expressing their own visual ideas;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the processed digital graphic outputs of educational content communicated to students through the cloud tool Prezi Design and Glogster to the school teaching of subjects that the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education at Charles University.

Topics:

Prezi Design as a comprehensive graphic design and data visualization tool; Template library; Creating and editing content by adding multimedia items in the editor; Adding, moving and layering objects; Using *.ppt, *.pdf and *.doc in Prezi Design; Implementing animated elements into the graphic content you create; Creating visual effects to images by applying masks and filters; Adding links to text objects, graphics and shapes; Multi-page design; Linking text, images, icons and shapes to pages and sections; Sharing content created in Prezi Design with your audience on social media using private or public links; Collaborating in the Prezi Design environment; Adding/removing collaborators; Adding an entire team of collaborators; Collaborating in real time;

Creating and editing engaging data visualizations using maps; Creating a social post - adding and editing content, adding animation to the content of the post being created; Downloading and sharing the created content for publishing purposes;

Creating infographics for visual representation of information and data, Adding and editing content; Creating gif and mp4 videos in the Prezi Design environment; Embedding videos from Prezi Video into Prezi Design; Adding videos to Prezi Design and editing settings; Media integrations in Prezi Design - adding content from Prezi Video to projects.

Within the distance task, the student will design and create in the cloud tool Prezi Design an engaging digital poster with multiMedial educational content usable in teaching in the intent of the approbation subjects, which the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University; To the created digital graphic output (in a special file format *.docx) the student will provide a methodology for its inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of students.

Within the distance task, the student will design and create in the cloud tool edu.Glogster an engaging digital poster with multiMedial educational content usable in teaching in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University; To the created digital graphic output (in a special file format *.docx) the student will provide a methodology for its inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of students

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

ZÁHOREC, J. (2021). Inovatívne digitálne riešenia v školskej edukácii žiakov. Bratislava:

Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 141 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5121-8. https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/fileadmin/pdf/Sucasti/Katedry/KDPP/Inovativne_digitalne_riesenia.pdf

ZÁHOREC, J., BREČKA, P. (2021). Uplatnenie platformy SMART Learning Suite v oblasti tvorby edukačných aktivít. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 129 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5127-0 https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/fileadmin/pdf/Sucasti/Katedry/KDPP/Pouzivame_SMART_Learning_Suite.pdf

ZÁHOREC, J., HAŠKOVÁ, A., MUNK, M. (2020). Digitálna gramotnosť učiteľov v kontexte ich profesijnej prípravy. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 305 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-4882-9.

LABJAKOVÁ, I. (2019). Interaktívna tabuľa v edukačnom procese, Bratislava: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum v Bratislave, 2019, 100 s., ISBN 978-80-565-1444-3

Základný študijný text k vzdelávaciemu obsahu disciplíny Digitálne technológie 2 bude študentom pravidelných časových intervaloch sprístupňovaný v elektronickej forme prostredníctvom univerzitného LMS Moodle.

Languages necessary to complete the course: slovak and czech						
Notes: : The maximum number of students per study group within the regular learning form of study is 15 (per one teacher). The seminar is conducted in a computer room, each student has a computer at his/her disposal.						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 85						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
37,65	0,0	30,59	18,82	4,71	2,35	5,88
Lecturers: doc. PaedDr. Ján Záhorec, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde013/22			Course title: Digital technologies 3			
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 4., 6.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde012/22 - Digital technologies 2						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 3						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
66,67	0,0	33,33	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: doc. PaedDr. Ján Záhorec, PhD.						
Last change: 22.04.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde013/22		Course title: Digital technologies 3				
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 3., 5.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde012/22 - Digital technologies 2						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 3						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
66,67	0,0	33,33	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: doc. PaedDr. Ján Záhorec, PhD.						
Last change: 22.04.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde037/22	Course title: Educational counselling
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Students are required to complete a midterm paper for 40 points and a midterm test for 60 points, for a total of 100 points. The condition for successful completion of the course is obtaining at least 60% of the maximum possible grade for the course. The grade is awarded on a scale: The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student). A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.	

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The aim of the course Educational Counselling is to provide students with an initial framework of knowledge in the field of the educational counselling system. Upon successful completion of the course, students will be able to navigate the school counseling system. They understand the place and role of the guidance counselor in the guidance system and master the prerequisites for the position of guidance counselor. Know the differences in the responsibilities of the class teacher, guidance counsellor or school counsellor in providing guidance on educational issues. They know the methods of educational counselling. They know strategies for the prevention of problem behaviour and understand their importance. They are able to apply the acquired knowledge in solving specific problem situations in educational practice. They are oriented in school career guidance. They are able to describe and interpret the importance of school cooperation with educational guidance and prevention institutions, social and legal protection bodies and others.

Transferable competences: by analysing, solving and discussing seminar assignments, students will be able to communicate their conclusions, think critically and think in context.

Class syllabus:

1. School guidance system.
2. Framework for the work of the educational advisor, professional and personal qualifications of the educational advisor, education of the educational advisor.
3. The class teacher and his/her role in counselling pupils and legal representatives in education and training.
4. Methods of work in educational counselling.
5. Strategies to prevent problem behaviour of pupils.
6. Addressing the educational difficulties of pupils.
7. Methods and possibilities of intervention of problem behaviour of pupils.
8. Career counselling.
9. Educational counselling in the field of care for gifted pupils.
10. Cooperation of the school with other institutions, family. Social and legal protection of children and youth.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

HORVÁTHOVÁ, K. (2002). Aktuálne otázky výchovného poradenstva v školskej praxi. Bratislava: MPC Tomášikova, 57 s. ISBN 80-88796-25-3.

KNOTOVÁ, D. a kol. (2014). Školní poradenství. Praha: Grada. 258 s. ISBN 978-80-247-4502-2

Recommended reading:

DERKOVÁ, J. (2018). Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: IRIS. 144 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-034-7

FERKOVÁ, Š. (2015), Skúsenosti s využitím influenčného programu zameraného na elimináciu rizikového správania žiakov v škole. In Prevencia. roč. 13., č. 4. s. 37 – 34. KYRIACOU, CH. (2003). Řešení výchovných problémů ve škole. Praha: Portál, 151 s. ISBN 80-7178-945-3. ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2014). Problémové správanie žiakov a úloha sociálneho pedagóga v reflexii učiteľov. In: Sociální pedagogika: časopis pro vědu a praxi. 2(1), 22-36. ISSN 1805-8825.																				
Languages necessary to complete the course: slovak and czech																				
Notes: students of the Teacher Education (combined) programme do not enrol in the course																				
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 242 <table> <tr> <th>A</th><th>ABS</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th><th>FX</th></tr> <tr> <td>28,1</td><td>0,0</td><td>31,82</td><td>21,07</td><td>9,09</td><td>7,44</td><td>2,48</td></tr> </table>							A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX	28,1	0,0	31,82	21,07	9,09	7,44	2,48
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX														
28,1	0,0	31,82	21,07	9,09	7,44	2,48														
Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.																				
Last change: 09.11.2022																				
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.																				

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde037/22	Course title: Educational counselling
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Students are required to complete a midterm paper for 40 points and a midterm test for 60 points, for a total of 100 points. The condition for successful completion of the course is obtaining at least 60% of the maximum possible grade for the course. The grade is awarded on a scale: The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student). A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.	

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The aim of the course Educational Counselling is to provide students with an initial framework of knowledge in the field of the educational counselling system. Upon successful completion of the course, students will be able to navigate the school counseling system. They understand the place and role of the guidance counselor in the guidance system and master the prerequisites for the position of guidance counselor. Know the differences in the responsibilities of the class teacher, guidance counsellor or school counsellor in providing guidance on educational issues. They know the methods of educational counselling. They know strategies for the prevention of problem behaviour and understand their importance. They are able to apply the acquired knowledge in solving specific problem situations in educational practice. They are oriented in school career guidance. They are able to describe and interpret the importance of school cooperation with educational guidance and prevention institutions, social and legal protection bodies and others.

Transferable competences: by analysing, solving and discussing seminar assignments, students will be able to communicate their conclusions, think critically and think in context.

Class syllabus:

1. School guidance system.
2. Framework for the work of the educational advisor, professional and personal qualifications of the educational advisor, education of the educational advisor.
3. The class teacher and his/her role in counselling pupils and legal representatives in education and training.
4. Methods of work in educational counselling.
5. Strategies to prevent problem behaviour of pupils.
6. Addressing the educational difficulties of pupils.
7. Methods and possibilities of intervention of problem behaviour of pupils.
8. Career counselling.
9. Educational counselling in the field of care for gifted pupils.
10. Cooperation of the school with other institutions, family. Social and legal protection of children and youth.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

HORVÁTHOVÁ, K. (2002). Aktuálne otázky výchovného poradenstva v školskej praxi. Bratislava: MPC Tomášikova, 57 s. ISBN 80-88796-25-3.

KNOTOVÁ, D. a kol. (2014). Školní poradenství. Praha: Grada. 258 s. ISBN 978-80-247-4502-2

Recommended reading:

DERKOVÁ, J. (2018). Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: IRIS. 144 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-034-7

FERKOVÁ, Š. (2015), Skúsenosti s využitím influenčného programu zameraného na elimináciu rizikového správania žiakov v škole. In Prevencia. roč. 13., č. 4. s. 37 – 34.
 KYRIACOU, CH. (2003). Řešení výchovných problémů ve škole. Praha: Portál, 151 s. ISBN 80-7178-945-3.
 ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2014). Problémové správanie žiakov a úloha sociálneho pedagóga v reflexii učiteľov. In: Sociální pedagogika: časopis pro vědu a praxi. 2(1), 22-36. ISSN 1805-8825.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

students of the Teacher Education (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 242

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
28,1	0,0	31,82	21,07	9,09	7,44	2,48

Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde032/20			Course title: Empirical Research			
Educational activities: Type of activities: internship Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 30s Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 4.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 2						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
50,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	50,0
Lecturers: Mgr. Ivana Dendys, PhD.						
Last change: 09.02.2021						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde030/22	Course title: Environmetal education
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). The student will be evaluated on an ongoing basis: a) defending a term paper with the content of current environmental problems on the territory of the Slovak Republic as well as environmental problems that are solved globally with a maximum value of 20 points b) its elaboration in the form of analysis and critical evaluation of the chosen environmental issue, in the form of creating reflections from each presented environmental topic and application of the chosen topic to the education of pupils with a maximum value of 80 points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. Credit will not be awarded to a student who obtains less than 40 points in a seminar paper and fails to defend the paper. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results), excellent performance, the student can work well with professional literature dealing with the issue of current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level, can critically evaluate environmental problems in society and create appropriate activating methods for the environmental problem usable in pedagogical practice B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), excellent performance, the student can work with the literature dealing with the issues of current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level very well, but critical thinking in the field of environmental science is borderline, but can create appropriate activating methods for the environmental problem usable in pedagogical practice C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), good performance, the student can work well with literature dealing with current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level, but critical thinking in the field of environmental science is partially absent, but can create appropriate activating methods for the environmental problem usable in pedagogical practice	

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), satisfactory performance, the student can only partially work with professional literature dealing with current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level, critical thinking in the field of environmental science is partially absent and only partially knows how to apply environmental theory in the form of the creation of activating methods in pedagogical practice

E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), sufficient performance, the student can work with the literature dealing with current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level at a minimum level, critical thinking in the field of environmental science is absent and his ability to apply environmental theory in the form of creating activating methods in pedagogical practice is minimal

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - additional work required), insufficient performance, student is unable to work with literature dealing with current environmental issues not only in the Slovak Republic but also on a global level, nor critically evaluate environmental issues and is unable to apply environmental theory in the form of creating activating methods to pedagogical practice

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes and transferable competences:

Upon completion of the course, the student will gain basic information on nature conservation, quality of life assurance and remediation options, which will subsequently enable him/her to solve problems in environmental issues. He will be able to apply all this knowledge to teaching practice; he will strengthen his digital skills in searching for literature on environmental issues on appropriate professional domestic and foreign Internet portals, as well as his communication skills, critical thinking and evaluation of environmental problems in society.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. Ecology. (the student can distinguish between the concepts of ecology and environmental science, knows the relationships of organisms in the environment and how endogenous and exogenous factors affect the environment)
2. Stability and biodiversity of ecosystems. Protected areas. Regulation of trophic chains. Anthropocentrism, biocentrism and ecocentrism. (the student will learn to perceive the interconnectedness of relationships in nature, the sensitivity of ecosystem stability, how this stability is affected by man himself and ultimately be able to take a stance on the protection of individual ecosystems for the need to maintain balance in nature)
3. Waste management. (the student will get acquainted with the issues of waste management, the creation and regulation of the amount of waste in society, the problem of black dumps but also with the process of recycling as an option for environmental protection)
4. Transport and the greenhouse effect. (the student is able to assess the negative impact of transport use on the environment and is able to adopt the right attitude to dealing with the issue in terms of protecting the environment in which he/she lives)
5. Environmental education in primary schools. Environmental projects of the Slovak Republic. (The student knows how to incorporate environmental education as a cross-cutting theme in the state educational programme, knows how environmental education contributes to the development of the pupil's personality by acquiring the ability to understand, analyse and evaluate the relationships between man and his environment on the basis of knowledge of the laws governing life on Earth, to know and understand the connections between the development of human population and the relationship to the environment in different areas of the world, to understand the connections between local and global problems and their own responsibility in relation to the environment, ultimately the student will also become familiar with the current environmental projects of the

Slovak Republic that support this education at primary school and will be able to bring the environmental problems to the student's mental level by appropriate activating methods)

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

CHRENŠČOVÁ, V., ELEK, Š., FUCHSOVÁ, M., MATIS, D., ŽARNOVIČAN, H. (2010).

Pracovný zošit o vode pre základné školy - nižšie sekundárne vzdelávanie : Vzdelávací program Modrá škola. Bratislava Mladí vedci Slovenska, 31 s. ISBN 978-80-970496-2-1 http://new.modraskola.sk/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/SV_doplnkoveTexty.pdf

CHRENŠČOVÁ, V., ELEK, Š., ELEK, T., FUCHSOVÁ, M., JASÁŇ, I., LIBOVIČ, M., MATIS, D., ŽARNOVIČAN, H. (2010). Doplnkové študijné texty o vode pre základné školy - nižšie sekundárne vzdelávanie: Vzdelávací program Modrá škola. Bratislava: Mladí vedci Slovenska, 64 s. ISBN 978-80-970496-1-4 http://new.modraskola.sk/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/SV_doplnkoveTexty.pdf

BIELIKOVÁ, D., FÜRY, D., FUCHSOVÁ, M. (2011) Environmentálne aktivity využiteľné vo výchovno-vzdelávacom procese na základných školách. In: Envirohorizonty ... od teórie k praxi Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 20 s, ISBN 978-80-223-3083-1

MAJZLAN, O. A FEDOR, P. (2012). Základy ekológie a environmentalistiky pre pedagogické smery. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 180 s. ISBN 978-80-223-3175-3

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 419

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
66,11	0,0	21,96	6,44	0,72	0,72	4,06

Lecturers: Mgr. Mária Fuchsová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde030/22	Course title: Environmetal education
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). The student will be evaluated on an ongoing basis: a) defending a term paper with the content of current environmental problems on the territory of the Slovak Republic as well as environmental problems that are solved globally with a maximum value of 20 points b) its elaboration in the form of analysis and critical evaluation of the chosen environmental issue, in the form of creating reflections from each presented environmental topic and application of the chosen topic to the education of pupils with a maximum value of 80 points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. Credit will not be awarded to a student who obtains less than 40 points in a seminar paper and fails to defend the paper. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results), excellent performance, the student can work well with professional literature dealing with the issue of current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level, can critically evaluate environmental problems in society and create appropriate activating methods for the environmental problem usable in pedagogical practice B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), excellent performance, the student can work with the literature dealing with the issues of current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level very well, but critical thinking in the field of environmental science is borderline, but can create appropriate activating methods for the environmental problem usable in pedagogical practice C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), good performance, the student can work well with literature dealing with current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level, but critical thinking in the field of environmental science is partially absent, but can create appropriate activating methods for the environmental problem usable in pedagogical practice	

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), satisfactory performance, the student can only partially work with professional literature dealing with current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level, critical thinking in the field of environmental science is partially absent and only partially knows how to apply environmental theory in the form of the creation of activating methods in pedagogical practice

E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), sufficient performance, the student can work with the literature dealing with current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level at a minimum level, critical thinking in the field of environmental science is absent and his ability to apply environmental theory in the form of creating activating methods in pedagogical practice is minimal

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - additional work required), insufficient performance, student is unable to work with literature dealing with current environmental issues not only in the Slovak Republic but also on a global level, nor critically evaluate environmental issues and is unable to apply environmental theory in the form of creating activating methods to pedagogical practice

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes and transferable competences:

Upon completion of the course, the student will gain basic information on nature conservation, quality of life assurance and remediation options, which will subsequently enable him/her to solve problems in environmental issues. He will be able to apply all this knowledge to teaching practice; he will strengthen his digital skills in searching for literature on environmental issues on appropriate professional domestic and foreign Internet portals, as well as his communication skills, critical thinking and evaluation of environmental problems in society.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. Ecology. (the student can distinguish between the concepts of ecology and environmental science, knows the relationships of organisms in the environment and how endogenous and exogenous factors affect the environment)
2. Stability and biodiversity of ecosystems. Protected areas. Regulation of trophic chains. Anthropocentrism, biocentrism and ecocentrism. (the student will learn to perceive the interconnectedness of relationships in nature, the sensitivity of ecosystem stability, how this stability is affected by man himself and ultimately be able to take a stance on the protection of individual ecosystems for the need to maintain balance in nature)
3. Waste management. (the student will get acquainted with the issues of waste management, the creation and regulation of the amount of waste in society, the problem of black dumps but also with the process of recycling as an option for environmental protection)
4. Transport and the greenhouse effect. (the student is able to assess the negative impact of transport use on the environment and is able to adopt the right attitude to dealing with the issue in terms of protecting the environment in which he/she lives)
5. Environmental education in primary schools. Environmental projects of the Slovak Republic. (The student knows how to incorporate environmental education as a cross-cutting theme in the state educational programme, knows how environmental education contributes to the development of the pupil's personality by acquiring the ability to understand, analyse and evaluate the relationships between man and his environment on the basis of knowledge of the laws governing life on Earth, to know and understand the connections between the development of human population and the relationship to the environment in different areas of the world, to understand the connections between local and global problems and their own responsibility in relation to the environment, ultimately the student will also become familiar with the current environmental projects of the

Slovak Republic that support this education at primary school and will be able to bring the environmental problems to the student's mental level by appropriate activating methods)

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

CHRENŠČOVÁ, V., ELEK, Š., FUCHSOVÁ, M., MATIS, D., ŽARNOVIČAN, H. (2010).

Pracovný zošit o vode pre základné školy - nižšie sekundárne vzdelávanie : Vzdelávací program Modrá škola. Bratislava Mladí vedci Slovenska, 31 s. ISBN 978-80-970496-2-1 http://new.modraskola.sk/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/SV_doplnkoveTexty.pdf

CHRENŠČOVÁ, V., ELEK, Š., ELEK, T., FUCHSOVÁ, M., JASÁŇ, I., LIBOVIČ, M., MATIS, D., ŽARNOVIČAN, H. (2010). Doplnkové študijné texty o vode pre základné školy - nižšie sekundárne vzdelávanie: Vzdelávací program Modrá škola. Bratislava: Mladí vedci Slovenska, 64 s. ISBN 978-80-970496-1-4 http://new.modraskola.sk/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/SV_doplnkoveTexty.pdf

BIELIKOVÁ, D., FÜRY, D., FUCHSOVÁ, M. (2011) Environmentálne aktivity využiteľné vo výchovno-vzdelávacom procese na základných školách. In: Envirohorizonty ... od teórie k praxi Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 20 s, ISBN 978-80-223-3083-1

MAJZLAN, O. A FEDOR, P. (2012). Základy ekológie a environmentalistiky pre pedagogické smery. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 180 s. ISBN 978-80-223-3175-3

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 419

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
66,11	0,0	21,96	6,44	0,72	0,72	4,06

Lecturers: Mgr. Mária Fuchsová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde007/22	Course title: Ethics
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar / lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 / 2 per level/semester: 26 / 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture + seminar, 4 hours /week (2P+2S), i.e. 44 hours /semester, combined method (mostly full-time) Student workload: 11 x (4) hours of direct teaching = 44 hours; 36 hours of individual preparation for classes (including written preparation in the form of outlines); 30 hours of preparation for the midterm test; 50 hours of preparation for the oral examination. 160 hours in total. Teaching methods: Individual preparation consists mainly of work with the text (selected passages from the works of major philosophers that are relevant to the subject) or critical reflection on other material (film); during joint classes, it is mainly monological methods of lecturing, interpretation and explanation, which are suitably supplemented by dialogical methods such as conversation, discussion, the Socratic method, and also work with the text.	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course will be completed by oral examination. Weighting of interim and final marks: 50/50 Intermediate assessment is based on the completion of 3 requirements: active participation in class; preparation of text summaries; a midterm test. To pass the course you must have met the requirement of at least 90% attendance at lecture/seminar with (in relation to the total number of students in the course) appropriate active involvement of individuals, obtain a minimum of 60% in the evaluation of the text summaries, 60% in the midterm test and 60% in the oral examination. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results; students have performed excellently during the semester, they have actively participated in the teaching and have shown interest in the subject matter, they can work independently with the literature without any problems and creatively process and present the acquired knowledge, their theoretical knowledge of ethics is at a high level), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard; students performed very well during the semester, they participated in the teaching, they can work independently with the literature and creatively	

process and present the acquired knowledge, their theoretical knowledge of ethics is at a very good level),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work; students performed standardly well during the semester, they were able to work independently with the literature and to process and present the acquired knowledge in an appropriate way, their theoretical knowledge of ethics is at a good level),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results; students are able to work independently with the literature to a limited extent and are able to process and present the acquired knowledge with problems, they have moderate deficiencies in their theoretical knowledge of ethics),

E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria; students have considerable difficulties in working independently with the literature as well as in processing and presenting the acquired knowledge, they have significant deficiencies in theoretical knowledge of ethics),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and learning outcomes: To provide students with the basic information needed to understand ethics and its importance in the life of the individual and society and to introduce them to selected ethical concepts from philosophy, biology and psychology.

After completing the course, the student can: define the subject of ethics and the basic concepts of ethics, give an overview of the most important ethical concepts and can compare them with each other, understand the development of morality and ethical concepts in a historical context, distinguish the ethical from the non-ethical sphere, navigate ethical issues, clarify the basic categories of ethics, find the intrinsic motivational sources of behavior, cultivate and develop the good in us, argue, apply the acquired knowledge in pedagogical practice. By completing the course, the student develops the following transferable competencies: communication skills, interpersonal skills, analytical skills, creativity and abstract thinking skills, critical thinking skills, motivation and the ability to learn, reasoning in context.

Class syllabus:

1. Introduction to ethics as a philosophical discipline; definition of the subject of ethics and the basic concepts of ethics, morality, etiquette; basic forms of ethics
2. Virtue ethics (Aristotle)
3. Ancient hedonism (Epicurus)
4. Ancient scepticism (Sextus Empiricus)
5. Medieval ethical concepts (Augustine, Aquinas)
6. Deontological ethics (Kant)
7. Utilitarianism (Bentham, Mill)
8. Ethics of immoralism (Nietzsche)
9. Ethics of reverence for life (Schweitzer)
10. Environmental ethics
11. Biological interpretations of morality (aggression, altruism; Lorenz, Wilson, Ridley)
12. Psychological ethics (Freud, Fromm, Frankl)
13. The problem of evil (Zimbardo, Milgram, Arendt).
14. The question of guilt and responsibility (Jaspers, Sartre, Lévinas)
15. Discursive ethics (Habermas)
16. Bioethics (Habermas)

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

Kohák, E.: Svoboda, svědomí, soužití. Kapitoly z mezilidské etiky. Praha: SLON, 2004
(První přednáška. Filosofie, etika, svoboda, s. 9 - 23).

Aristoteles: Etika Nikomachova (Kniha druhá, kap. 1-6, zlomky 1103a15-1107a28).
 Epikuros: O šťastnom živote I. Martin: Thetis, 2014 (List Menoikeovi, s. 73-77).
 Sextus Empiricus: Základy pyrrhonskej skepsy. Bratislava: Pravda, 1984 (1. kniha, kap. 1-12, s. 21-29).
 Akvinský, T.: Otázky o cnostech I. Praha: Krystal OP, 2013, (De Virt., q. 1, a. 10, s. 161-183).
 Kant, I.: Základy metafyziky mravov. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2004 (Predslov a Prvá kapitola, s. 9-30).
 Mill, J. S.: Utilitarizmus. In: Mill, J. S.: Logika liberalizmu. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2005 (II. kap. Čo je utilitarizmus, s. 475-499).
 Nietzsche, F.: Mimo dobro a zlo: predehra k filozofii budoucnosti. Praha: Aurora, 2003 (Hlava V: K prírodopisu morálky, s. 83-101).
 Lorenz, K.: Takzvané zlo. Praha: Mladá fronta, 1992 (12-14. kap., s. 187-231).
 Ridley, M.: Původ ctnosti. O evolučních základech a zákonitostech nesobeckého jednání člověka. Praha: Portál, 2015 (3. kap. Věžňovo dilema, s. 63-96).
 Schweitzer, A.: Kultúra a etika. Bratislava: Slovenský spisovateľ, 1986 (Etika úcty k životu, s. 350-376).
 Freud, S.: Totem a tabu. (časť IV, 5-7, s. 95-107).
 Milgram, S.: Poslušnosť vŕči autoritě. Experiment, ktorý spochybnil ľudskou prirodzenosť. Prel. H Antonínová, Praha: Portál, 2017 (11. kap. Proces poslušnosti: analýza experimentu).
 Jaspers, K.: Otázka viny. Praha: Academia, 2006, s. 19-44 (Úvod, časť (A) Schematika rozlíšení).
 Šmausová, G.: Komunikatívni jednání a komunikatívni racionalita v díle J. Habermasa. In: Filozofický časopis, roč. 47, č. 3, 1999, s. 473-485.
 Habermas, J.: Budoucnost lidské prirodzenosti. Na ceste k liberální eugenice? Prel. A. Bakešová, Praha: Filosofia, 2003 (Odůvodněná zdrženlivost, s. 9-23).
 Zaviš, M.: Úvod do systematickej etiky. Žilina: Žilinská univerzita v Žiline, 2017.
 Recommended readings:
 Anzenbacher, A.: Úvod do etiky. Praha: Zvon, 1994
 Čapek, J. et al.: Přístupy k etice II. Praha: Filosofia, 2015.
 Kohák, E.: Člověk, dobro a zlo. Praha: JEŽEK, 1993.
 Remišová, A. (ed.): Dejiny etického myslenia v Európe a USA. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2008.
 Sokol, J.: Etika, život, inštituce. Pokus o praktickú filozofiu. Praha: Vyšehrad, 2014.
 Špinka, Š. et al.: Přístupy k etice I. Praha: Filosofia, 2014.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 289

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
25,61	0,0	24,91	26,99	11,07	2,42	9,0

Lecturers: Mgr. Michal Bizoň, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde007/22	Course title: Ethics
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar / lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 / 2 per level/semester: 26 / 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture + seminar, 4 hours /week (2P+2S), i.e. 44 hours /semester, combined method (mostly full-time) Student workload: 11 x (4) hours of direct teaching = 44 hours; 36 hours of individual preparation for classes (including written preparation in the form of outlines); 30 hours of preparation for the midterm test; 50 hours of preparation for the oral examination. 160 hours in total. Teaching methods: Individual preparation consists mainly of work with the text (selected passages from the works of major philosophers that are relevant to the subject) or critical reflection on other material (film); during joint classes, it is mainly monological methods of lecturing, interpretation and explanation, which are suitably supplemented by dialogical methods such as conversation, discussion, the Socratic method, and also work with the text.	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course will be completed by oral examination. Weighting of interim and final marks: 50/50 Intermediate assessment is based on the completion of 3 requirements: active participation in class; preparation of text summaries; a midterm test. To pass the course you must have met the requirement of at least 90% attendance at lecture/seminar with (in relation to the total number of students in the course) appropriate active involvement of individuals, obtain a minimum of 60% in the evaluation of the text summaries, 60% in the midterm test and 60% in the oral examination. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results; students have performed excellently during the semester, they have actively participated in the teaching and have shown interest in the subject matter, they can work independently with the literature without any problems and creatively process and present the acquired knowledge, their theoretical knowledge of ethics is at a high level), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard; students performed very well during the semester, they participated in the teaching, they can work independently with the literature and creatively	

process and present the acquired knowledge, their theoretical knowledge of ethics is at a very good level),
 C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work; students performed standardly well during the semester, they were able to work independently with the literature and to process and present the acquired knowledge in an appropriate way, their theoretical knowledge of ethics is at a good level),
 D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results; students are able to work independently with the literature to a limited extent and are able to process and present the acquired knowledge with problems, they have moderate deficiencies in their theoretical knowledge of ethics),
 E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria; students have considerable difficulties in working independently with the literature as well as in processing and presenting the acquired knowledge, they have significant deficiencies in theoretical knowledge of ethics),
 Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
 Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and learning outcomes: To provide students with the basic information needed to understand ethics and its importance in the life of the individual and society and to introduce them to selected ethical concepts from philosophy, biology and psychology.

After completing the course, the student can: define the subject of ethics and the basic concepts of ethics, give an overview of the most important ethical concepts and can compare them with each other, understand the development of morality and ethical concepts in a historical context, distinguish the ethical from the non-ethical sphere, navigate ethical issues, clarify the basic categories of ethics, find the intrinsic motivational sources of behavior, cultivate and develop the good in us, argue, apply the acquired knowledge in pedagogical practice. By completing the course, the student develops the following transferable competencies: communication skills, interpersonal skills, analytical skills, creativity and abstract thinking skills, critical thinking skills, motivation and the ability to learn, reasoning in context.

Class syllabus:

1. Introduction to ethics as a philosophical discipline; definition of the subject of ethics and the basic concepts of ethics, morality, etiquette; basic forms of ethics
2. Virtue ethics (Aristotle)
3. Ancient hedonism (Epicurus)
4. Ancient scepticism (Sextus Empiricus)
5. Medieval ethical concepts (Augustine, Aquinas)
6. Deontological ethics (Kant)
7. Utilitarianism (Bentham, Mill)
8. Ethics of immoralism (Nietzsche)
9. Ethics of reverence for life (Schweitzer)
10. Environmental ethics
11. Biological interpretations of morality (aggression, altruism; Lorenz, Wilson, Ridley)
12. Psychological ethics (Freud, Fromm, Frankl)
13. The problem of evil (Zimbardo, Milgram, Arendt).
14. The question of guilt and responsibility (Jaspers, Sartre, Lévinas)
15. Discursive ethics (Habermas)
16. Bioethics (Habermas)

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

Kohák, E.: Svoboda, svědomí, soužití. Kapitoly z mezilidské etiky. Praha: SLON, 2004
 (První přednáška. Filosofie, etika, svoboda, s. 9 - 23).

Aristoteles: Etika Nikomachova (Kniha druhá, kap. 1-6, zlomky 1103a15-1107a28).
 Epikuros: O šťastnom živote I. Martin: Thetis, 2014 (List Menoikeovi, s. 73-77).
 Sextus Empiricus: Základy pyrrhonskej skepsy. Bratislava: Pravda, 1984 (1. kniha, kap. 1-12, s. 21-29).
 Akvinský, T.: Otázky o cnostech I. Praha: Krystal OP, 2013, (De Virt., q. 1, a. 10, s. 161-183).
 Kant, I.: Základy metafyziky mravov. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2004 (Predslov a Prvá kapitola, s. 9-30).
 Mill, J. S.: Utilitarizmus. In: Mill, J. S.: Logika liberalizmu. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2005 (II. kap. Čo je utilitarizmus, s. 475-499).
 Nietzsche, F.: Mimo dobro a zlo: predehra k filozofii budoucnosti. Praha: Aurora, 2003 (Hlava V: K prírodopisu morálky, s. 83-101).
 Lorenz, K.: Takzvané zlo. Praha: Mladá fronta, 1992 (12-14. kap., s. 187-231).
 Ridley, M.: Původ ctnosti. O evolučních základech a zákonitostech nesobeckého jednání člověka. Praha: Portál, 2015 (3. kap. Věžňovo dilema, s. 63-96).
 Schweitzer, A.: Kultúra a etika. Bratislava: Slovenský spisovateľ, 1986 (Etika úcty k životu, s. 350-376).
 Freud, S.: Totem a tabu. (časť IV, 5-7, s. 95-107).
 Milgram, S.: Poslušnosť vŕči autoritě. Experiment, ktorý spochybnil ľudskou prirodzenosť. Prel. H Antonínová, Praha: Portál, 2017 (11. kap. Proces poslušnosti: analýza experimentu).
 Jaspers, K.: Otázka viny. Praha: Academia, 2006, s. 19-44 (Úvod, časť (A) Schematika rozlíšení).
 Šmausová, G.: Komunikatívni jednání a komunikatívni racionalita v díle J. Habermasa. In: Filozofický časopis, roč. 47, č. 3, 1999, s. 473-485.
 Habermas, J.: Budoucnost lidské prirodzenosti. Na ceste k liberální eugenie? Prel. A. Bakešová, Praha: Filosofia, 2003 (Odůvodněná zdrženlivost, s. 9-23).
 Zaviš, M.: Úvod do systematickej etiky. Žilina: Žilinská univerzita v Žiline, 2017.
 Recommended readings:
 Anzenbacher, A.: Úvod do etiky. Praha: Zvon, 1994
 Čapek, J. et al.: Přístupy k etice II. Praha: Filosofia, 2015.
 Kohák, E.: Člověk, dobro a zlo. Praha: JEŽEK, 1993.
 Remišová, A. (ed.): Dejiny etického myslenia v Európe a USA. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2008.
 Sokol, J.: Etika, život, inštituce. Pokus o praktickú filozofiu. Praha: Vyšehrad, 2014.
 Špinka, Š. et al.: Přístupy k etice I. Praha: Filosofia, 2014.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 289

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
25,61	0,0	24,91	26,99	11,07	2,42	9,0

Lecturers: Mgr. Michal Bizoň, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde016/22	Course title: Ethics and professional ethics
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% of the interim grade, which includes 30% class activity (30 points) and 70% project (70 points). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), the student reflects correctly and actively both critically and creatively knowledge, including its meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice. B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), the student reflects critically on knowledge appropriately, including their meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice. C (85-76%, good - routine reliable work), students performed standardly well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge. D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), students were less prepared during the semester, they have slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge. They cannot critically analyze information. E (67-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), the student has limited theoretical knowledge, is unable to critically analyze this knowledge, is only minimally able to apply the knowledge. Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). Learning outcomes: Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences: The thematic focus of the course introduces students to applied ethics and its disciplinary divisions, to defining the basic concepts of ethics, explaining morality as a social regulator, moral norms and the principles of creating ethical codes. It focuses on the professional ethics of the teaching profession (teacher competences, ethical dilemmas in the teaching profession, etc.) and the importance of a code of ethics in this profession. The aim of the course is to highlight the importance of a favourable	

classroom and school climate and to work with the content of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Using selected examples from other branches of applied ethics (bioethics, media ethics, etc.) to show the importance of the presence of a code of ethics in the professions, the possibility of using classical ethical concepts and also different alternatives in solving ethical dilemmas.

This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication).

The course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers by its focus and methods of education.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The thematic focus of the course introduces students to applied ethics and its disciplinary divisions, to

defining the basic concepts of ethics, explaining morality as a social regulator, moral norms and the principles of creating ethical codes. It focuses on the professional ethics of the teaching profession (teacher competences, ethical dilemmas in the teaching profession, etc.) and the importance of a code of ethics in this profession. The aim of the course is to highlight the importance of a favourable classroom and school climate and to work with the content of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Using selected examples from other branches of applied ethics (bioethics, media ethics, etc.) to show the importance of the presence of a code of ethics in the professions, the possibility of using classical ethical concepts and also different alternatives in solving ethical dilemmas.

This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication). The course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers by its focus and methods of education.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. Introduction to the subject: defining the basic concepts: ethics and morality.

Ethics and professional ethics: definition of basic terms: applied ethics - sectoral division of applied ethics. Professional ethics as a part of applied ethics.

2. Professional tact and etiquette as a basis for the formation of the code of ethics of individual professions.

3. The teaching profession and the teacher's code of ethics. Status and role of the teacher. Resolving dilemmas in the teaching profession.

4. Positive climate in the classroom, relationships between school climate factors.

5. Convention on the Rights of the Child.

6. A closer definition of bioethics and its areas of interest on concrete examples, the issue of the code of ethics. Classical ethical theories and alternative methods in solving ethical dilemmas.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

ANZENBACHER, A. (2001). Úvod do etiky. Praha: Academia, s. 13 – 17. ISBN 978-80-200-0917-5

GLUCHMAN, V. (2000). Úvod do etiky. Prešov: Univerzum, s. 7 – 103, 122 – 133. ISBN 978-80-890-1200-0

GLUCHMANOVÁ, M., GLUCHMAN, V. (2008). Učiteľská etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 88 – 127, 194 – 215. ISBN 978-80-806-8808-0

Recommended reading:

HUPKOVÁ, M., PETLÁK, E. (2004). Sebareflexia a kompetencie v práci učiteľa. Bratislava: IRIS, 2004. s. 11 – 26, 81 – 96. ISBN 978-80-89018-77-7

KOSOVÁ B. a kol. (2012). Vysokoškolské vzdelávanie učiteľov. Banská Bystrica: Pedagogická fakulta UMB v Banskej Bystrici, s. 6 – 21, 52 – 61. ISBN 978-80-557-0353-4

LIESSMANN, K. P. (2012). Teorie nevzdělanosti. Praha: ACADEMIA, s. 37 – 61. ISBN 978-80-200-1677-5

MALANKIEVIČOVÁ, S. (2008). Profesijná etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 13 – 35. ISBN 978-80-806-8811-0

REMIŠOVÁ, A. (1997). Podnikateľská etika. Nitra: ENIGMA, s. 12 – 49, 198 – 211.

VAJDA, J. (2004). Úvod do etiky. Nitra: Enigma, s. 13 - 56. ISBN 978-80-8913-212-6

VETEŠKA, J., TURECKIOVÁ, M. (2008). Kompetence ve vzdělávání. Praha: GRADA, s. 13 – 57. ISBN 978-80-247-1770-8

ŽILÍNEK, M. (1997). Étos a utváranie mravnej identity osobnosti. Bratislava: IRIS, s. 12 – 108. ISBN 978-80-88778-60-3

WILLIAMS, B. (2021). Morálka: úvod do etiky. Praha: OYIKOYMENH. ISBN 978-80-7298-569-2

DANIŠKOVÁ, Z. (2013). Môže prístup „new public management“ dopomôcť k etablovaníu etického kódexu učiteľa? 2013 dostupné na http://www.casopispedagogika.sk/rocnik-4/cislo-2/studia_daniskova.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 800

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,25	0,0	20,88	9,5	4,13	2,38	4,88

Lecturers: PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde016/22	Course title: Ethics and professional ethics
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% of the interim grade, which includes 30% class activity (30 points) and 70% project (70 points). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), the student reflects correctly and actively both critically and creatively knowledge, including its meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice. B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), the student reflects critically on knowledge appropriately, including their meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice. C (85-76%, good - routine reliable work), students performed standardly well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge. D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), students were less prepared during the semester, they have slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge. They cannot critically analyze information. E (67-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), the student has limited theoretical knowledge, is unable to critically analyze this knowledge, is only minimally able to apply the knowledge. Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). Learning outcomes: Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences: The thematic focus of the course introduces students to applied ethics and its disciplinary divisions, to defining the basic concepts of ethics, explaining morality as a social regulator, moral norms and the principles of creating ethical codes. It focuses on the professional ethics of the teaching profession (teacher competences, ethical dilemmas in the teaching profession, etc.) and the importance of a code of ethics in this profession. The aim of the course is to highlight the importance of a favourable	

classroom and school climate and to work with the content of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Using selected examples from other branches of applied ethics (bioethics, media ethics, etc.) to show the importance of the presence of a code of ethics in the professions, the possibility of using classical ethical concepts and also different alternatives in solving ethical dilemmas.

This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication).

The course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers by its focus and methods of education.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The thematic focus of the course introduces students to applied ethics and its disciplinary divisions, to

defining the basic concepts of ethics, explaining morality as a social regulator, moral norms and the principles of creating ethical codes. It focuses on the professional ethics of the teaching profession (teacher competences, ethical dilemmas in the teaching profession, etc.) and the importance of a code of ethics in this profession. The aim of the course is to highlight the importance of a favourable classroom and school climate and to work with the content of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Using selected examples from other branches of applied ethics (bioethics, media ethics, etc.) to show the importance of the presence of a code of ethics in the professions, the possibility of using classical ethical concepts and also different alternatives in solving ethical dilemmas.

This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication). The course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers by its focus and methods of education.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. Introduction to the subject: defining the basic concepts: ethics and morality.

Ethics and professional ethics: definition of basic terms: applied ethics - sectoral division of applied ethics. Professional ethics as a part of applied ethics.

2. Professional tact and etiquette as a basis for the formation of the code of ethics of individual professions.

3. The teaching profession and the teacher's code of ethics. Status and role of the teacher. Resolving dilemmas in the teaching profession.

4. Positive climate in the classroom, relationships between school climate factors.

5. Convention on the Rights of the Child.

6. A closer definition of bioethics and its areas of interest on concrete examples, the issue of the code of ethics. Classical ethical theories and alternative methods in solving ethical dilemmas.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

ANZENBACHER, A. (2001). Úvod do etiky. Praha: Academia, s. 13 – 17. ISBN 978-80-200-0917-5

GLUCHMAN, V. (2000). Úvod do etiky. Prešov: Univerzum, s. 7 – 103, 122 – 133. ISBN 978-80-890-1200-0

GLUCHMANOVÁ, M., GLUCHMAN, V. (2008). Učiteľská etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 88 – 127, 194 – 215. ISBN 978-80-806-8808-0

Recommended reading:

HUPKOVÁ, M., PETLÁK, E. (2004). Sebareflexia a kompetencie v práci učiteľa. Bratislava: IRIS, 2004. s. 11 – 26, 81 – 96. ISBN 978-80-89018-77-7

KOSOVÁ B. a kol. (2012). Vysokoškolské vzdelávanie učiteľov. Banská Bystrica: Pedagogická fakulta UMB v Banskej Bystrici, s. 6 – 21, 52 – 61. ISBN 978-80-557-0353-4

LIESSMANN, K. P. (2012). Teorie nevzdělanosti. Praha: ACADEMIA, s. 37 – 61. ISBN 978-80-200-1677-5

MALANKIEVIČOVÁ, S. (2008). Profesijná etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 13 – 35. ISBN 978-80-806-8811-0

REMIŠOVÁ, A. (1997). Podnikateľská etika. Nitra: ENIGMA, s. 12 – 49, 198 – 211.

VAJDA, J. (2004). Úvod do etiky. Nitra: Enigma, s. 13 - 56. ISBN 978-80-8913-212-6

VETEŠKA, J., TURECKIOVÁ, M. (2008). Kompetence ve vzdělávání. Praha: GRADA, s. 13 – 57. ISBN 978-80-247-1770-8

ŽILÍNEK, M. (1997). Étos a utváranie mravnej identity osobnosti. Bratislava: IRIS, s. 12 – 108. ISBN 978-80-88778-60-3

WILLIAMS, B. (2021). Morálka: úvod do etiky. Praha: OYIKOYMENH. ISBN 978-80-7298-569-2

DANIŠKOVÁ, Z. (2013). Môže prístup „new public management“ dopomôcť k etablovaníu etického kódexu učiteľa? 2013 dostupné na http://www.casopispedagogika.sk/rocnik-4/cislo-2/studia_daniskova.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 800

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,25	0,0	20,88	9,5	4,13	2,38	4,88

Lecturers: PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde031/20		Course title: Excursion				
Educational activities: Type of activities: internship Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 20s Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 4.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 13						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
84,62	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	15,38
Lecturers: Mgr. Ivana Dendys, PhD.						
Last change: 09.02.2021						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde027/16		Course title: Excursion I.				
Educational activities: Type of activities: excursion Number of hours: per week: 1 per level/semester: 13 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 2.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 182						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
76,92	0,0	13,19	0,55	0,0	0,0	9,34
Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Jaroslav Nemeš, PhD., Mgr. Pavol Makyna, PhD.						
Last change: 08.02.2018						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde024/22	Course title: Family education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student completes an intermediate written examination of knowledge (maximum possible points - 60). For successful completion of the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (min. 60 out of 100 points). The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student). A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help	

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor. A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:

The aim of the Family Education course is to convey basic information about the family as an educational institution and about the possible impact of the specifics of family life and family education on the educational process in school. The student is able to define the family as an educational institution, remembers its functions, knows the typology of families. The student can explain the transformations of the family in a historical context and the transformations of the family within its life cycle. The student knows and understands the educational goals, content, methods and means of family education. The student is able to critically evaluate and articulate the specifics of family education in alternative forms of partnership cohabitation. The student is able to categorize styles of family education. Describe and interpret inappropriate approaches in family education and predict their possible effects on student performance in school. The student is able to apply the acquired knowledge in solving educational difficulties of the pupil resulting from the specifics of family life and family education.

Transferable competences: by analysing, proposing solutions and discussing problem problems assigned during seminars, the student is able to communicate his/her conclusions, think critically, think in context.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. Definition of family (traditional vs. newer concept of family), family typology and functions.
2. Basic demographic changes of the family in historical context (from traditional to postmodern family).
3. Family life cycle. Marriage as an institution. Education for marriage and parenthood.
4. The (un)stable family - the contemporary family and the issue of divorce. Inappropriate approaches in family upbringing in the period of divorce. Pupil in school in the period of parents' divorce process.
5. Alternative forms of cohabitation (cohabitation, mingle, single, "patchwork", homosexual partnerships...) - specifics of family education in alternative forms of cohabitation.
6. Pedagogy of the family. Theory of family education. Objectives, content, methods and means of family education. Styles of family education.
7. Relational attachment as a key prerequisite for harmonious personality development. Pupil's manifestations at school with regard to the type of relational attachment.
8. Alternative family education. Specifics of raising a child in foster care.
9. Different forms of violence in the family and their negative impact on the child's personality.

10. Specifics of family education in families with children with disabilities.						
Recommended literature: Compulsory reading: POTOČÁROVÁ, M. (2018). Etika v rodinných vzťahoch. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 228 s. ISBN 97-880-223-3998-8. POTOČÁROVÁ, M. (2008). Pedagogika rodiny. Teoretické východiská RV. Bratislava: UK, 216 s. ISBN 978-80-223-2458-8. DERKOVÁ, J. (2018). Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: IKAR, 146 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-034-7. Recommended reading: BAKOŠOVÁ, Z., ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2011). Výchova sociálnych a prosociálnych kompetencií v rodine. In: Teórie sociálnej pedagogiky: edukačné, sociálne a komunikačné aspekty [Z. Bakošová]. Bratislava: Slovenská pedagogická spoločnosť SAV, 2011.184 s. ISBN 978-80-970675-0-2.BRISCH, K. H. (2011). Bezpečná vzťahová väzba. Attachment v tehotenstve a prvých rokoch života. Trenčín: Vydavateľstvo F, 150 s. ISBN 978-80-88952-67-1. POTOČÁROVÁ, M. Výchova k hodnotám v slovenskej rodine. In Pedagogická orientace, 45(5-6),1996. PŠENÍČKA, O. 1995. Sexuální výchova v rodině. Hradec Králové: Rodina, 310s. ISBN-10 8090152414. ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. 2008. Fyzické tresty vo výchove detí v rodine. In: Výchova v pedagogickom výskume a praxi. zborník z medzinárodnej vedeckej konferencie. Trnava: Univerzita sv. Cyrila a Metoda, 2008						
Languages necessary to complete the course: slovak language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 692						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
55,35	0,0	23,7	10,26	4,34	2,02	4,34
Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD., prof. PhDr. Mária Potočárová, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde024/22	Course title: Family education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student completes an intermediate written examination of knowledge (maximum possible points - 60). For successful completion of the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (min. 60 out of 100 points). The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student). A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help	

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor. A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:

The aim of the Family Education course is to convey basic information about the family as an educational institution and about the possible impact of the specifics of family life and family education on the educational process in school. The student is able to define the family as an educational institution, remembers its functions, knows the typology of families. The student can explain the transformations of the family in a historical context and the transformations of the family within its life cycle. The student knows and understands the educational goals, content, methods and means of family education. The student is able to critically evaluate and articulate the specifics of family education in alternative forms of partnership cohabitation. The student is able to categorize styles of family education. Describe and interpret inappropriate approaches in family education and predict their possible effects on student performance in school. The student is able to apply the acquired knowledge in solving educational difficulties of the pupil resulting from the specifics of family life and family education.

Transferable competences: by analysing, proposing solutions and discussing problem problems assigned during seminars, the student is able to communicate his/her conclusions, think critically, think in context.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. Definition of family (traditional vs. newer concept of family), family typology and functions.
2. Basic demographic changes of the family in historical context (from traditional to postmodern family).
3. Family life cycle. Marriage as an institution. Education for marriage and parenthood.
4. The (un)stable family - the contemporary family and the issue of divorce. Inappropriate approaches in family upbringing in the period of divorce. Pupil in school in the period of parents' divorce process.
5. Alternative forms of cohabitation (cohabitation, mingle, single, "patchwork", homosexual partnerships...) - specifics of family education in alternative forms of cohabitation.
6. Pedagogy of the family. Theory of family education. Objectives, content, methods and means of family education. Styles of family education.
7. Relational attachment as a key prerequisite for harmonious personality development. Pupil's manifestations at school with regard to the type of relational attachment.
8. Alternative family education. Specifics of raising a child in foster care.
9. Different forms of violence in the family and their negative impact on the child's personality.

10. Specifics of family education in families with children with disabilities.						
Recommended literature: Compulsory reading: POTOČÁROVÁ, M. (2018). Etika v rodinných vzťahoch. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 228 s. ISBN 97-880-223-3998-8. POTOČÁROVÁ, M. (2008). Pedagogika rodiny. Teoretické východiská RV. Bratislava: UK, 216 s. ISBN 978-80-223-2458-8. DERKOVÁ, J. (2018). Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: IKAR, 146 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-034-7. Recommended reading: BAKOŠOVÁ, Z., ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2011). Výchova sociálnych a prosociálnych kompetencií v rodine. In: Teórie sociálnej pedagogiky: edukačné, sociálne a komunikačné aspekty [Z. Bakošová]. Bratislava: Slovenská pedagogická spoločnosť SAV, 2011.184 s. ISBN 978-80-970675-0-2. BRISCH, K. H. (2011). Bezpečná vzťahová väzba. Attachment v tehotenstve a prvých rokoch života. Trenčín: Vydavateľstvo F, 150 s. ISBN 978-80-88952-67-1. POTOČÁROVÁ, M. Výchova k hodnotám v slovenskej rodine. In Pedagogická orientace, 45(5-6),1996. PŠENÍČKA, O. 1995. Sexuální výchova v rodině. Hradec Králové: Rodina, 310s. ISBN-10 8090152414. ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. 2008. Fyzické tresty vo výchove detí v rodine. In: Výchova v pedagogickom výskume a praxi. zborník z medzinárodnej vedeckej konferencie. Trnava: Univerzita sv. Cyrila a Metoda, 2008						
Languages necessary to complete the course: slovak language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 692						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
55,35	0,0	23,7	10,26	4,34	2,02	4,34
Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Mária Potočárová, PhD., Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde016/22	Course title: Gender aspects in education and training
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours per week, i.e. 22 hours in total per semester, combined method (mostly in attendance) Student workload: 2 hours seminar per week (2 credits)= 22 hours of instruction, 15 hours of work on assignments given continuously during the semester. 23 hours of continuous study of literature and preparation for seminar, Total 60 hours per semester. Teaching methods: Discussion, lecturing, work with text - method of analysis, interpretation, application, work with textbook, experiential methods, group work, guided self-study - moodle.uniba.sk	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim/final assessment 100/0 100% of the continuous assessment includes: during the semester there will be approximately 4-6 assignments: focused on working with a professional text and its application in an example and interpretation of an example based on the professional text, analysis of stereotypes in the teaching texts, elaboration of the professional literature into an annotation with questions on the readings. Students will use the e-learning environment moodle for their studies. A minimum score of 60% is required for successful completion of the course. The grade is awarded on a scale of: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, inadequate - additional work required) Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: Students know the mechanisms to prevent the violation of equal opportunities for women and men (girls and boys) in education; they can identify gender stereotypes and their negative consequences	

in the educational process. They use methods to deepen gender democracy in school; They perceive the educational process from a gender perspective. They can name and find connections between gender-sensitive education and the cross-cutting theme of education for marriage and parenthood (sex education); apply the gender perspective in relational sex education. They are able to link the issue to human rights and education for democratic citizenship. Learning objectives: Students will acquire knowledge and skills that will enable them to prevent violations of equal opportunities for women and men (girls and boys), eliminate gender stereotypes and their negative consequences in the educational process, deepen gender democracy in school, perceive the educational process from a gender perspective. They will learn to identify the topics of relational sex education with the topics of civic education
Class syllabus: Brief outline of the course: Definition of the terms: gender, sex, gender stereotypes, gender-sensitive education, direct and indirect discrimination. Education and training from a gender perspective - theories of gender socialization, feminist pedagogy, models of gender-sensitive pedagogy. Gender in the pedagogical process. Inequalities in school from a gender perspective. Language - gender insensitivity. Research, projects, development of study materials, methodologies - gender perspective. Gender aspects of marriage and parenthood/sexual relationship education in schools.
Recommended literature: Compulsory readings: BOSÁ, M., MINAROVICHOVÁ, K. (2011). Rodovo citlivá výchova, In: Kiczková, Z., Szapuová, M. Rodové štúdiá, Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, s. 161-181. ISBN 978-80-223-2934-7 SMETÁČKOVÁ, I. (2016). Genderové vyjednávani ve školních třídách. In: Genderové představy a vztahy. Praha: SLON, s. 177-216. ISBN 978-80-7419-229-6 SMETÁČKOVÁ, I., VLKOVÁ, K. (zost.). (2005). Gender ve škole I, II. Praha: Otevřená společnost, 2005. Recommended readings: BABANOVÁ, A., MIŠKOLCI, J. (zost.). (2007). Genderově citlivá výchova: Kde začít? Praha: Žába na prameni. ISBN 978-80-239-8798-0 BOSÁ, M., MINAROVICHOVÁ, K. (2006). Rodovo citlivá výchova. Bratislava: EsFem. CVIKOVÁ, J., JURÁŇOVÁ, J. (ed.). (2003). Ružový a modrý svet. Bratislava: Aspekt. ISBN 0-89140-02-5. HOPPE, H., HOPPE, S., KREBEL, J. (2001). Sociálně psychologické hry pro dospívající: Rozvoj pohlavní identity, prevence agresivity a zneužívání. Praha: Portál, ISBN 978-80-7178-434-0 JARKOVSKÁ, L. (2014). Gender před tabulí. Praha: SLON. ISBN 978-80-7419-119-0. LUKŠÍK, I., SUPEKOVÁ, M. (2003). Sexualita a rodovosť v sociálnych a výchovných súvislostiach. Bratislava: Humanitas,. ISBN 80-89124-01-1. RENZETTI, C.M., CURRAN, D. J. (2005). Ženy, muži a společnost. Praha: Karolinum. ISBN 80-246-0525-2 ROVNANOVÁ, L., LUKŠÍK, I., LUKŠÍKOVÁ, Ľ. (Eds.). (2007). Príručka pre sexuálnu výchovu na druhom stupni základných škôl v rámci osnov výchovy k manželstvu a rodičovstvu. Bratislava: OKAT PLUS, ISBN 978-80-8872-010-2.
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language

Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 268						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
84,7	0,0	4,85	3,36	1,12	1,49	4,48
Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD., Mgr. Veronika Valkovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde016/22	Course title: Gender aspects in education and training
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours per week, i.e. 22 hours in total per semester, combined method (mostly in attendance) Student workload: 2 hours seminar per week (2 credits)= 22 hours of instruction, 15 hours of work on assignments given continuously during the semester. 23 hours of continuous study of literature and preparation for seminar, Total 60 hours per semester. Teaching methods: Discussion, lecturing, work with text - method of analysis, interpretation, application, work with textbook, experiential methods, group work, guided self-study - moodle.uniba.sk	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim/final assessment 100/0 100% of the continuous assessment includes: during the semester there will be approximately 4-6 assignments: focused on working with a professional text and its application in an example and interpretation of an example based on the professional text, analysis of stereotypes in the teaching texts, elaboration of the professional literature into an annotation with questions on the readings. Students will use the e-learning environment moodle for their studies. A minimum score of 60% is required for successful completion of the course. The grade is awarded on a scale of: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, inadequate - additional work required) Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: Students know the mechanisms to prevent the violation of equal opportunities for women and men (girls and boys) in education; they can identify gender stereotypes and their negative consequences	

in the educational process. They use methods to deepen gender democracy in school; They perceive the educational process from a gender perspective. They can name and find connections between gender-sensitive education and the cross-cutting theme of education for marriage and parenthood (sex education); apply the gender perspective in relational sex education. They are able to link the issue to human rights and education for democratic citizenship. Learning objectives: Students will acquire knowledge and skills that will enable them to prevent violations of equal opportunities for women and men (girls and boys), eliminate gender stereotypes and their negative consequences in the educational process, deepen gender democracy in school, perceive the educational process from a gender perspective. They will learn to identify the topics of relational sex education with the topics of civic education
Class syllabus: Brief outline of the course: Definition of the terms: gender, sex, gender stereotypes, gender-sensitive education, direct and indirect discrimination. Education and training from a gender perspective - theories of gender socialization, feminist pedagogy, models of gender-sensitive pedagogy. Gender in the pedagogical process. Inequalities in school from a gender perspective. Language - gender insensitivity. Research, projects, development of study materials, methodologies - gender perspective. Gender aspects of marriage and parenthood/sexual relationship education in schools.
Recommended literature: Compulsory readings: BOSÁ, M., MINAROVICHOVÁ, K. (2011). Rodovo citlivá výchova, In: Kiczková, Z., Szapuová, M. Rodové štúdiá, Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, s. 161-181. ISBN 978-80-223-2934-7 SMETÁČKOVÁ, I. (2016). Genderové vyjednávani ve školních třídách. In: Genderové představy a vztahy. Praha: SLON, s. 177-216. ISBN 978-80-7419-229-6 SMETÁČKOVÁ, I., VLKOVÁ, K. (zost.). (2005). Gender ve škole I, II. Praha: Otevřená společnost, 2005. Recommended readings: BABANOVÁ, A., MIŠKOLCI, J. (zost.). (2007). Genderově citlivá výchova: Kde začít? Praha: Žába na prameni. ISBN 978-80-239-8798-0 BOSÁ, M., MINAROVICHOVÁ, K. (2006). Rodovo citlivá výchova. Bratislava: EsFem. CVIKOVÁ, J., JURÁŇOVÁ, J. (ed.). (2003). Ružový a modrý svet. Bratislava: Aspekt. ISBN 0-89140-02-5. HOPPE, H., HOPPE, S., KREBEL, J. (2001). Sociálně psychologické hry pro dospívající: Rozvoj pohlavní identity, prevence agresivity a zneužívání. Praha: Portál, ISBN 978-80-7178-434-0 JARKOVSKÁ, L. (2014). Gender před tabulí. Praha: SLON. ISBN 978-80-7419-119-0. LUKŠÍK, I., SUPEKOVÁ, M. (2003). Sexualita a rodovosť v sociálnych a výchovných súvislostiach. Bratislava: Humanitas,. ISBN 80-89124-01-1. RENZETTI, C.M., CURRAN, D. J. (2005). Ženy, muži a společnost. Praha: Karolinum. ISBN 80-246-0525-2 ROVNANOVÁ, L., LUKŠÍK, I., LUKŠÍKOVÁ, Ľ. (Eds.). (2007). Príručka pre sexuálnu výchovu na druhom stupni základných škôl v rámci osnov výchovy k manželstvu a rodičovstvu. Bratislava: OKAT PLUS, ISBN 978-80-8872-010-2.
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language

Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 268						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
84,7	0,0	4,85	3,36	1,12	1,49	4,48
Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde018/22	Course title: Gender aspects of education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed with an assessment, weighted interim/final assessment 100/0. 100% of the continuous assessment includes: during the semester there will be approximately 6 - 8 assignments: focused on working with a professional text and its application in an example and the interpretation of the example processed on the basis of the professional text, the analysis of stereotypes in educational texts, the processing of professional literature into an annotation with questions on the read text. Students will use the e-learning environment moodle for their studies. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help	

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor. A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

Students know the mechanisms to prevent the violation of equal opportunities for women and men (girls and boys) in education; they can identify gender stereotypes and their negative consequences in the educational process. They use methods to deepen gender democracy in school; They perceive the educational process from a gender perspective. They can name and find connections between gender-sensitive education and the cross-cutting theme of education for marriage and parenthood (sex education); apply the gender perspective in relational sex education.

Learning objectives:

Students will acquire knowledge and skills that will enable them to prevent violations of equal opportunities for women and men (girls and boys), eliminate gender stereotypes and their negative consequences in the educational process, deepen gender democracy at school, and perceive the educational process from a gender perspective.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

Definition of the terms: gender, sex, gender stereotypes, gender sensitive education, direct and indirect discrimination. Education and training from a gender perspective - theories of gender socialisation, feminist pedagogy, models of gender-sensitive pedagogy. Gender in the pedagogical process. Inequalities in school from a gender perspective. Language - gender insensitivity. Research, projects, development of study materials, methodologies - gender perspective. Gender aspects of marriage and parenthood/sexual relationship education in schools.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

Compulsory reading:

BOSÁ, M., MINAROVICHOVÁ, K. (2011). Rodovo citlivá výchova, In: Kiczková, Z., Szapuová, M. Rodové štúdiá, Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, s. 161-181. ISBN 978-80-223-2934-7

SMETÁČKOVÁ, I. (2016). Genderové vyjednávani ve školních třídách. In: Genderové představy a vztahy. Praha: SLON, s. 177-216. ISBN 978-80-7419-229-6

SMETÁČKOVÁ, I., VLKOVÁ, K. (zost.). (2005). Gender ve škole I, II. Praha: Otevřená společnost, 2005.

Recommended reading:

BABANOVÁ, A., MIŠKOLCI, J. (zost.). (2007). Genderově citlivá výchova: Kde začít? Praha: Žába na prameni. ISBN 978-80-239-8798-0

BOSÁ, M., MINAROVIČOVÁ, K. (2006). Rodovo citlivá výchova. Bratislava: EsFem.

CVIKOVÁ, J., JURÁŇOVÁ, J. (ed.). (2003). Ružový a modrý svet. Bratislava: Aspekt. ISBN 0-89140-02-5.

HOPPE, H., HOPPE, S., KREBEL, J. (2001). Sociálne psychologické hry pro dospívající: Rozvoj pohlavní identity, prevence agresivity a zneužívání. Praha: Portál, ISBN 978-80-7178-434-0

JARKOVSKÁ, L. (2014). Gender před tabulí. Praha: SLON. ISBN 978-80-7419-119-0.

LUKŠÍK, I., SUPEKOVÁ, M. (2003). Sexualita a rodovosť v sociálnych a výchovných súvislostiach. Bratislava: Humanitas,. ISBN 80-89124-01-1.

OSAĐAN, R. RAPOŠOVÁ, P. SMETANOVÁ, D. (v tlači). Úvod do problematiky rodovosti a sexuality detí. Vysokoškolská učebnica. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Pedagogická fakulta.

RENZETTI, C.M., CURRAN, D. J. (2005). Ženy, muži a společnost. Praha: Karolinum. ISBN 80-246-0525-2

ROVNANOVÁ, L., LUKŠÍK, I., LUKŠÍKOVÁ, Ľ. (Eds.). (2007). Príručka pre sexuálnu výchovu na druhom stupni základných škôl v rámci osnov výchovy k manželstvu a rodičovstvu. Bratislava: OKAT PLUS, ISBN 978-80-8872-010-2.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 611

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
79,05	0,0	10,64	3,27	2,45	1,8	2,78

Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde018/22	Course title: Gender aspects of education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed with an assessment, weighted interim/final assessment 100/0. 100% of the continuous assessment includes: during the semester there will be approximately 6 - 8 assignments: focused on working with a professional text and its application in an example and the interpretation of the example processed on the basis of the professional text, the analysis of stereotypes in educational texts, the processing of professional literature into an annotation with questions on the read text. Students will use the e-learning environment moodle for their studies. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help	

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor. A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

Students know the mechanisms to prevent the violation of equal opportunities for women and men (girls and boys) in education; they can identify gender stereotypes and their negative consequences in the educational process. They use methods to deepen gender democracy in school; They perceive the educational process from a gender perspective. They can name and find connections between gender-sensitive education and the cross-cutting theme of education for marriage and parenthood (sex education); apply the gender perspective in relational sex education.

Learning objectives:

Students will acquire knowledge and skills that will enable them to prevent violations of equal opportunities for women and men (girls and boys), eliminate gender stereotypes and their negative consequences in the educational process, deepen gender democracy at school, and perceive the educational process from a gender perspective.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

Definition of the terms: gender, sex, gender stereotypes, gender sensitive education, direct and indirect discrimination. Education and training from a gender perspective - theories of gender socialisation, feminist pedagogy, models of gender-sensitive pedagogy. Gender in the pedagogical process. Inequalities in school from a gender perspective. Language - gender insensitivity. Research, projects, development of study materials, methodologies - gender perspective. Gender aspects of marriage and parenthood/sexual relationship education in schools.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

Compulsory reading:

BOSÁ, M., MINAROVICHOVÁ, K. (2011). Rodovo citlivá výchova, In: Kiczková, Z., Szapuová, M. Rodové štúdiá, Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, s. 161-181. ISBN 978-80-223-2934-7

SMETÁČKOVÁ, I. (2016). Genderové vyjednávani ve školních třídách. In: Genderové představy a vztahy. Praha: SLON, s. 177-216. ISBN 978-80-7419-229-6

SMETÁČKOVÁ, I., VLKOVÁ, K. (zost.). (2005). Gender ve škole I, II. Praha: Otevřená společnost, 2005.

Recommended reading:

BABANOVÁ, A., MIŠKOLCI, J. (zost.). (2007). Genderově citlivá výchova: Kde začít? Praha: Žába na prameni. ISBN 978-80-239-8798-0

BOSÁ, M., MINAROVIČOVÁ, K. (2006). Rodovo citlivá výchova. Bratislava: EsFem.

CVIKOVÁ, J., JURÁŇOVÁ, J. (ed.). (2003). Ružový a modrý svet. Bratislava: Aspekt. ISBN 0-89140-02-5.

HOPPE, H., HOPPE, S., KREBEL, J. (2001). Sociálne psychologické hry pro dospívající: Rozvoj pohlavní identity, prevence agresivity a zneužívání. Praha: Portál, ISBN 978-80-7178-434-0

JARKOVSKÁ, L. (2014). Gender před tabulí. Praha: SLON. ISBN 978-80-7419-119-0.

LUKŠÍK, I., SUPEKOVÁ, M. (2003). Sexualita a rodovosť v sociálnych a výchovných súvislostiach. Bratislava: Humanitas,. ISBN 80-89124-01-1.

OSAĐAN, R. RAPOŠOVÁ, P. SMETANOVÁ, D. (v tlači). Úvod do problematiky rodovosti a sexuality detí. Vysokoškolská učebnica. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Pedagogická fakulta.

RENZETTI, C.M., CURRAN, D. J. (2005). Ženy, muži a společnost. Praha: Karolinum. ISBN 80-246-0525-2

ROVNANOVÁ, L., LUKŠÍK, I., LUKŠÍKOVÁ, Ľ. (Eds.). (2007). Príručka pre sexuálnu výchovu na druhom stupni základných škôl v rámci osnov výchovy k manželstvu a rodičovstvu. Bratislava: OKAT PLUS, ISBN 978-80-8872-010-2.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 611

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
79,05	0,0	10,64	3,27	2,45	1,8	2,78

Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde010/22	Course title: General history I.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 3 per level/semester: 39 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours lecture + 1-hour seminar/week, 33 hours total per semester, combined; (primarily full-time); 2P+1S (2 credits): 11 x 3 hours of direct instruction = 33 hours; 27 hours of exam preparation; a total of 60 hours of student work; discussion of the topic covered; incident method; experiential methods; e-learning; monologue methods;	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 80 % of the final exam grade is in the form of a test. 20% of the mark for active participation in discussions throughout the semester, which the student will receive if he/she participates in at least one-third of the discussions. The student will take the test at the end of the semester. A minimum of 91% is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81% for a grade of B, a minimum of 73% for a grade of C, a minimum of 66% for a grade of D, and a minimum of 60% for a grade of E. To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the grade is required. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results, the student is knowledgeable and proficient in the subject matter, demonstrates creativity and critical thinking), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the issues, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the issue, has learned, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can orient himself/ herself in the problem only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically)	
Learning outcomes:	

In the course World History I, the student acquires key facts from the prehistoric and ancient history of the world and Slovak provenance with emphasis on the historical development of European civilisation. The student develops historical thinking skills and evaluation of historical and cultural phenomena and contexts in the past with implications for the present. The student is able to analyse ancient civilisations, the causes of their flourishing and decline, and orientates himself/herself to significant personalities. Synthesises information and can update the acquired knowledge about the historical significance of ancient cultures and civilisations. The course is taught to prepare future teachers for their future profession.

Class syllabus:

The course focuses on deepening the knowledge of the development of prehistoric and ancient civilisations, emphasising the development of European society and Slovak provenance. The student will become familiar with the history of prehistory and antiquity through analysing the emergence and decline of individual cultures in the context of their natural conditions or environment. As a future teacher, the student can communicate the acquired knowledge to pupils. The course will concentrate on the following key topics:

- Introduction to the study of the history of prehistory and antiquity. The subject, division, historical sources, and methods of historical research.
- History of prehistory. Basic anthropogenesis. Chronology of the European prehistory, characteristics and periodisation of particular development periods (Paleolithic, Mesolithic, Neolithic, Eneolithic, Bronze Age, Iron Age) and cultures occurring on the territory of Slovakia.
- Characteristics of ancient Mesopotamia. Development of the civilisations of Sumer, Akkad, Babylonia, and Assyria.
- Ancient Near East. Characteristics of the development of ancient Israel, Persian and Elamite empires. A basic chronology and the most important rulers.
- Egypt and its neighbours in the Old, Middle, New and Late Empires. Characteristics of the specific features of Egyptian development. The Hittite and Mitanni empires. The struggle for hegemony in Asia Minor and the Near East. A basic chronology and the most important rulers.
- The Hittites and Mitanni. The struggle for hegemony in Asia Minor and the Near East. A basic chronology, and most important rulers.
- Aegean region - Minoan and Mycenaean civilisation. Homeric epics as a source of knowledge of the "dark period" of Greece, the archaic period of Greece, and the characteristics of conditions in Sparta and Athens.
- The peak of ancient Greek civilisation and the disputes in the Aegean. The Greco-Persian Wars, the Athenian Naval Society, Athenian society in the age of Pericles, characteristics of Sparta and its allies. Causes and periodisation of the Peloponnesian War.
- Theban hegemony, Greece under the domination of Macedonia. The reforms of Philip II and the time of Alexander of Macedon. The Diadochi and the division of the empire after Alexander's death. The emergence of the Hellenistic states and their struggle with Rome. Characteristics of conditions and historical development.
- The area of the western Mediterranean between 800 and 265 BC. Carthage, the Etruscans, the origins and beginnings of Rome, the early period of the Roman Republic, Greek colonisation in the western Mediterranean, and the conquest of Italy by the Romans.
- Emergence of the Roman Empire (264 - 30 BC). Punic wars and conquest of Hellenistic states, crisis and demise of the Republic, reforms of the Gracchus brothers, Marius' reform, Sulla. First and second triumvirate.
- The Roman Empire under the Principate (to 284 A.D.). The rise to power of Rome, barbarian Europe in this period, emphasised the territory of present-day Slovakia.
- Late Roman period (284-395). Characteristics of the Dominate and the whole of that period, up to the division of the Roman Empire. The decline of the Western Roman Empire and the emergence of

the barbarian kingdoms (395-476), migration of peoples, Slavs in the neighbourhood of the Roman Empire.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

KRASNOVSKÝ, B.: Stručné dejiny praveku a staroveku. Bratislava : Pedagogická fakulta Univerzity Komenského v Bratislave, 2010, 146 p.

Recommended readings:

KAMINSKÁ, Ľ.: Dejiny praveku a včasnej doby historickej na Slovensku. Košice : Univerzita P. J. Šafárika v Košiciach, 2019, 220 p.

FURMÁNEK, V.- KUJOVSKÝ, R.: Slovacchia - Crocchia delle civiltà Europee. Florencia : AÚ SAV, Istituto Slovacco, 2005, 133 p.

ROAF, M.: Svět Mezopotámie. Praha : Knižný klub Balios, 1998, 238 p.

BÁRTA, M.: Příběh civilizace. Praha : Academia, 2016, 360 p.

HABAJ, M.: Féničania. Bratislava : Perfekt, 2016, 160 p.

HABAJ, M. a kol.: Od Tróje k Termopylám. Bratislava : Perfekt, 2019, 288 p.

FURIO, D.: Staroveké Řecko. Praha: Rebo, 2011, 228 p.

HABAJ, M.: Alexander Veľký. Bratislava : Perfekt, 2018, 358 p.

GRANT, M.: Dějiny antického Říma, BB/Art, 2011, 472 p.

Audiovisual sources, documentary films.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 497

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
1,61	0,0	8,85	13,88	21,53	25,55	28,57

Lecturers: Mgr. Pavol Makyna, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde002/22	Course title: General history II.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Two hours lecture/week; total per semester 22 hours, combined; (primarily full-time). Student workload: 2P (3 credits): 11x 2 hours of direct instruction # 22 hours; 20 hours of preparation for midterm assessment; 35 hours of exam preparation. Total 77 hours of student work. Learning methods: lecture, discussion of the topic, heuristic method, situation analysis method, e-learning.	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 50% of the interim and 50% of the final assessment. The continuous assessment will consist of a written test in the last lecture, from which the student can obtain a maximum of 40 points, which is 40% of the total grade. 10% of the continuous assessment can be obtained for an active approach during the semester, consisting of asking questions in the discussion after each lecture. The student will take an oral examination during the examination period. In the oral examination, the student will draw two questions, the first on a broad historical issue and the second on a specific problem. The maximum score for both parts of the exam is 50 + 50 points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D, and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. To pass the course, you must obtain a minimum of 60% of the marks. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results, the student is familiar with the subject matter, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the issues, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the subject, has learned the issue, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice),	

E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can navigate the issue only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, and does not demonstrate the ability to think critically).

Learning outcomes:

The course aims to introduce the essential characteristics of the medieval world and its specificities from the end of the Western Roman Empire (476) to the discovery of America (1492). Based on the knowledge acquired, students will be able to analyse the basic categories of medieval society and its thought. They will learn about and be able to navigate the complex history of the Middle Ages. They will be able to analyse medieval European society and evaluate its historical and cultural significance for the present. Particular emphasis will be placed on acquiring knowledge in the development of Christianity and Islam. Adequate attention will also be paid to the history of Islam and non-European civilisations.

Class syllabus:

- The demise of the Western Roman Empire. Huns. Vandals. Visigoths. Ostrogoths. Longobards. Burgundians. Avars. Gepids. Bavarians.
- Early Middle Ages to the division of the Carolingian Empire. Treaty of Verdun (843). The emergence of the European "great nations".
- The Byzantine Empire. The Orthodox Church.
- Slavs in the early Middle Ages in the light of the sources. The emergence of Slavic states. The Norman expansion.
- Medieval Christianity. Religious orders - their importance and position in medieval society. The struggle for investiture. Bishoprics. Medieval education. Universities.
- Crusades. The Avignon captivity of the popes and the great schism.
- Mohammed and the rise of the Arab Empire. Life and culture in the Umayyad and Abbasid empires. Umayyad rule in the Iberian Peninsula.
- Political concepts in medieval Europe. History of England, France and the Holy Roman Empire. Hierarchical organisation of medieval society Individual and society. The Mongol Empire.
- Renaissance, humanism. A view of political events in the Apennine Peninsula.
- Extra-European civilisations. Overseas discoveries from 1415 to 1492.
- Economic history of the Middle Ages. Everyday life in the historical process.

Recommended literature:

KAMENICKÝ, M. et al.: Lexikón svetových dejín. (Lexicon of World History). Bratislava : SPN, 1997 (1st edition), 2001 (2nd edition), 2003 (3rd edition). There are especially pages 30-50 and from page 146 onwards, entries focusing on the history of the Middle Ages.

MÚCSKA, V. et al.: Dejiny európskeho stredoveku I. Raný stredovek (od 5. storočia do polovice 11. storočia). (History of the European Middle Ages I. The Early Middle Ages (from the 5th century to the mid-11th century). Prešov : Michal Vasek Publishing House, 2006.

Recommended readings:

GOFF, Le Jacques: Kultura stredoveké Evropy. (Culture of Medieval Europe). Praha: Odeon 1991.

GOFF, Le Jacques: Peníze a život.(Money and Life). Praha: Argo 2005.

TYERMAN, Christoph: Svaté války. Dějiny křížových výprav (Holy Wars. History of the Crusades). Praha: Nakladatelství Lidové noviny, 2012.

ZELENKA, Jan: Beneficium et feudum. Praha: Historický ústav 2016.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech languages						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 355						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
9,58	0,0	25,07	21,41	21,41	13,24	9,3
Lecturers: doc. PhDr. Miroslav Kamenický, CSc.						
Last change: 10.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde004/22	Course title: General history III.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Type, scope and methods of educational activities: 2 hours lecture/week, a total of 24 hours per semester, attendance method Student workload: 2P (3 credits): 13x2 hours of direct instruction # 26 hours; 20 hours of preparation for midterm assessment; 30 hours of practice for the exam. Total 76 hours of student work. Learning methods: lecture, discussion of the topic covered, situation analysis method, fixation of the lectured information through a mid-term test (after the 7th topic), e-learning.	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 40% of the interim and 60% of the final assessment - test and oral examination. The student will take the test after the seventh topic and the oral examination in the examination period. The written test assesses the knowledge acquired in the first seven lectures. In the midterm evaluation, the test will account for 30%, and 10% will be awarded to the student for active participation in the lectures. This dynamic approach will ask the lecturer at least one question from the ongoing teaching. In the oral exam, the student will pull two questions. One will be on a historical issue, and the other will focus on a figure from early modern history. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D, and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. Credit will not be awarded to a student who accumulates less than 59 points. A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding performance, the student is knowledgeable and proficient in the subject matter, demonstrates creativity and critical thinking skills). Can actively gather information and creatively apply it to solve problems. B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, above average standard, the student is oriented to the issue, has mastery of it, but his/her critical, constructive thinking is weaker when analysing and evaluating historical events). C (80-73%, good-normal reliable work, normal dedicated work, the student knows how to navigate the issues, has learned the material, but cannot evaluate the knowledge gained critically and constructively).	

D (72-66%), satisfactory - acceptable performance, the student can navigate the issues at only a basic level, the student's knowledge is fragmented, and the student does not demonstrate the ability to think critically. He/she has a weak cross-cutting understanding).

E (65-60%, adequate - results meet minimum criteria, the student can navigate the issue with great difficulty, has only the most basic knowledge, and does not demonstrate the ability to think critically. Does not have cross-cutting knowledge).

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required, student cannot navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically).

Learning outcomes:

The course aims to familiarise students with the period of the Modern Age (1492-1789). The student will acquire knowledge and be able to orientate himself/herself in the issues of the fundamental change of society from the Middle Ages to the modern period and in the modern society itself. The student will be able to analyse and categorise the principles of the functioning of society and its cultural and economic developments. Gain new knowledge of overseas discoveries, the price revolution and changes in modern society's economic and social life. The student will be able to navigate the complex religious situation during and after the Reformation (confessionalisation, disciplinisation). The student will become familiar with the causes and historical place of the phenomenon of absolutism in the history of the early modern period.

Class syllabus:

- How to teach about modernity in schools.
- Early modernism. Explanation of the concept. Chronology.
- Overseas discoveries. The formation of the Spanish colonial dominion. The four voyages of Christopher Columbus. Fernao Magalhaes. The origins of the English and French colonial conquests. The economic and social consequences of overseas discoveries. The price revolution.
- The origins of the Reformation. Martin Luther. The Second Reformation. Ulrich Zwingli. John Calvin.
- Recatholization. Counter-Reformation. Council of Trent. Confessionalization. Disciplinization.
- The Habsburgs in the 16th and 17th centuries. England in the 16th century. France in the 16th century.
- Expansion of the Ottoman Empire in the 16th and 17th centuries.
- Eastern Europe in the 16th and 17th centuries. The emergence of the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth. Russia in the 16th and 17th centuries.
- Political, economic and cultural conditions in Europe in the late 16th and early 17th centuries.
- The Thirty Years' War. The English Revolution. The absolutism of Louis XIV.
- Russia under Peter I. Great and Catherine II. Europe 1714 - 1748 (alliance system), the emergence and development of Prussia.
- Colonial expansion in the 17th and 18th centuries. The American Revolution.
- Political, economic and cultural conditions in Europe in the second half of the 17th and 18th centuries. The daily life of people in the modern period.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

HROCH, Miroslav et al.: Encyklopedie dějin novověku 1492 - 1815. (Encyclopedia of Modern History 1492 – 1815). Prague: Libri, 2005.

KAMENICKÝ, M. et al.: Lexikón svetových dejín. (Lexicon of World History). Bratislava : SPN, 1997 (1st ed.), 2001 (2nd ed.), 2003 (3rd ed.), pp. 50-76. From p. 146 entries to general history of modern times.

KINDER, H. - HILGEMANN, W.: Encyklopedický atlas světových dějin. (Encyclopaedic Atlas of World History). Prague : Lidové noviny, 1999.

KOLDINSKÁ-ŠEDIVÁ, M. - CERMÁN, I. et al.: Základní problémy studia raného novověku (Basic Problems of the Study of the Early Modern Period). Prague : Lidové noviny Publishing House/FFUK Prague, 2013.

Recommended readings:

BĚLINA et al.: Dejiny európskej civilizácie II. (History of European Civilization II.). Prague: Litomyšl, Paseka 1995.

DEJINY Európy (History of Europe). Bratislava: Mladé letá 1995.

FRANZEN, August: Malé církevní dějiny. (Small Church History). Prague: Zvon 1995.

HONZÁK, P. et al. Evropa v proměnách staletí (Europe through the ages). Prague: Libri Publishing House, 1997.

NEMEŠ, Jaroslav: Z prameňov dejín raného novoveku. (From the sources of early modern history.) . Teaching texts on the 16th and 17th centuries. Ružomberok: Verbum, 2012.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech languages

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 316

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
18,35	0,0	25,32	22,47	16,77	9,81	7,28

Lecturers: doc. PhDr. Miroslav Kamenický, CSc., doc. Mgr. Jaroslav Nemeš, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde006/22	Course title: General history IV.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours lecture/week, 22 hours total per semester, combined form; (primarily in person) Student workload: 2P (3 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 18 hours of preparation for mid-term evaluation; 50 hours of exam preparation; 90 hours of student work in total. Educational methods: monological method, problem-solving exercises; discussion of the subject; discussion of the topic; e-learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 40% of the interim and 60% of the final evaluation. The student takes the test in the middle of Semester, from which he/she may obtain a maximum of 30 points. This represents 30 % of the grade. 10 %. The student will receive a grade for an active approach in lectures, which will consist of the student asking the teacher at least one question from the material covered during the semester. In the examination period, the student will take an oral examination. As part of the oral examination, the student takes one major question focusing on the focal topic and answers five minor questions focusing on a general overview of the period. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (excellent - excellent results, the student is oriented in the issue, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject matter, but critical thinking is borderline), C (good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the subject, has learned the subject, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can navigate the issue only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice),	

Fx (insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic understanding, and does not demonstrate the ability to think critically).

Learning outcomes:

The student acquires the necessary knowledge and understanding of the political, economic and social development of the European and non-European world between 1789 and 1914. After successful completion, the student should be able to navigate the fundamental issues of general modern history. The course is intended to introduce students to general history from the late 18th century, from the Great French Revolution of 1789-1799, to the beginning of World War I. War, to provide a plastic picture of the transformation of the society during the long 19th century. Student Gain in-depth knowledge and understanding of the 19th century period in world history. They will master information that will enable them to think critically and evaluate this period objectively in the context of the history of individual European states. They will be able to navigate through more complex. The student will be able to deal with more complex problems and master the basic historical concepts of political development. History of the 19th century special emphasis on the study of history because it is in this period that social phenomena and processes have directly influenced society's development up to the present day. Student By taking this course, the student can evaluate the significance of this period for more recent history.

Class syllabus:

Introduction to 19th century history
The Industrial Revolution
The Great French Revolution of 1789-1799
The Napoleonic period in France and Europe, Congress of Vienna
The European system 1815-1848 and its defence
Revolutionary events in Europe in the 1820s, 1830s and 1848-1849
The emergence of nation-states (Italy and Germany)
Great Britain and France in the 19th century
Currents of thought and political trends in the 19th century
The USA in the 19th century, the Civil War
Russian Empire to World War I
Eastern Question to World War I
Fundamental trends in the development of European colonialism in the 19th century
Europe on the road to the First World War

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:
SKŘIVAN, A.: Evropská politika 1648 – 1914. Praha 1999.
SKŘIVAN, A. – Drška, V. – STELLNER, F.: Kapitoly z dějin mezinárodních vztahů 1648 – 1914. Praha 1994.
NÁLEVKA, V.: Koncert velmocí. Mezinárodní vztahy v letech 1871 – 1914. Praha 2006.
Encyklopedický atlas světových dějin. Praha 1999. HROCH, M. a kol.: Encyklopedie dějin novověku 1492 – 1815. Praha 2005.
RAPPORT, M.: Evropa devatenáctého století. Praha 2011.
Recommended readings:
JOHSON, P.: Zrození moderní doby. Praha 1998.
Dějiny jednotlivých států.
Charvát J. a kol.: Dějiny novověku I, II, III. 1969, 1973.
Kol. autorov: Lexikón svetových dejín. Bratislava 1997.
Dějiny evropské civilizace II. Praha 1997. UHLÍŘ, Dušan: Čas kongresů a tajných společností. Praha 2017

Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech languages						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 268						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
29,85	0,0	23,13	20,9	11,94	8,96	5,22
Lecturers: Mgr. Miriam Viršínská, PhD.						
Last change: 10.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde009/22	Course title: General history V.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 4 per level/semester: 52 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 4 hours of lecture per week/ 44 hours per semester, combined method primarily face-to-face dialogic form of lecture; use of feedback to verify the functionality of the form of transmitted information, fixation of lectured information through continuous evaluation (test after 7 lectures)	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 30% of the interim and 70% of the final assessment. The student takes a test during the semester and an oral exam during the exam period. At least 91 points are required to obtain a final grade of A, at least 81 points to obtain a B grade, at least 73 points for a C grade, at least 66 points for a D grade, and at least 60 points for an E grade. Credits will not be granted to a student who does not obtain the prescribed number of points in any part of both conditions. To successfully complete the subject, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the point evaluation. A (100-91%, excellent – excellent, outstanding results - the student fully orients himself in the issue, while at the same time he is aware of the basic relevance and degree of objectivity of the acquired historical knowledge and, in basic principles, the ways of transferring them into the (teaching) practice of history B (90-81%, very good - above average standard - the student is able to orientate himself in the relevant historical issue, his ability to analyse and apply the acquired knowledge to the current conditions of his world and transfer them to teaching practice is, however, gapped and limited C (80-73%, good – conventional reliable work, the student is able to orientate himself in the historical issues he has just learned, but he is unable to transpose the acquired knowledge entirely on his own to other desirable levels of historical (teacher) education D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student satisfactorily reproduces basic historical knowledge, but in the light of the overall context of the issue, his knowledge is fragmentary, while he does not demonstrate the ability to generalise the learned knowledge E (65-60%, sufficient – the results meet the minimum criteria, the student reproduces basic historical factography only with great difficulty, he only masters its most essential material, he cannot summarise or apply the knowledge gained in practice,	

Fx (59-0%, insufficient – additional work is required, the student does not know how to navigate the issue, does not master basic factography, not even demonstrates the ability to think independently)

Learning outcomes:

The lectures' cross-sectional form reflects teachers' goals in teaching History at the bachelor's level of education. This means that the students of the course will get a basic overview of the essential features, trends and periodisation milestones of the 20th Century Modern History. At the end of the course, they will be able to interpret the main features of the causes of different evaluations of their phenomena or relevant historical processes in various current academic historical schools. They will become more familiar with the mutual ties of the social, economic and political history of the 20th century, and they will be specifically drawn to the European and notice the Central European context of general history, all of which is always mentioned with a reminder of the particularities of the interpretations of these ties in School History Teaching.

Class syllabus:

Cross-sectional analysis of essential features, trends and periodisation milestones of the 20th century modern history:

- basic theoretical problems of 20th century History. (History of the 20th century as so-called "short history" and other perspectives, key historical terminology used in the interpretation of the history of the 20th century and its meaning)
- recapitulation of the war conflict 1914-1918 and the Revolutions in Russia
- the world after the First World War: the Versailles system and its influence on the development of international political and economic relations, the interests of the Powers versus the problems of small states, the rise of large non-European countries, the birth of communist socialism and the German question between the two wars, issues of democracy
- totalitarian states on the path of aggression: Germany and the USSR and the causes of the (European) war, Japan and the war outside Europe
- the end of old Europe - the development, outcome and balance of the Second World War
- the interwar period through the eyes of interdisciplinary research: a view of the interwar society with the help of historical sociology, demography, cultural studies
- the world after the Second World War: from the final negotiations of the Allies to the origin and course of the Cold War, the formation of the Soviet bloc and the practice of communist socialism, the possibilities of the welfare state presented in Western European development, Western European integration and the basic trends and consequences of globalisation.
- the economic boom of European and non-European countries, the disintegration of colonial ideology and the "third world" on the international scene
- from the "golden sixties" to the first economic and cultural upheavals of developed countries and a new stage of military-political confrontation between the West and the East, the results of the Brezhnev USSR and the countries of Central and Eastern Europe during the period of validity of The Brezhnev Doctrine, attempts to reform the Soviet system, the collapse of the USSR, the results of the Chinese communist model and the collapse of the international communist movement
- the end of the Cold War on the international scene and question marks over models of global stability: who were the winners?

Recommended literature:

HOBBSAWM, E.: Věk extrémů. Praha : Argo, 1997.

KENNEDY, P.: Vzestup a pád velmocí. Ekonomické proměny a vojenské konflikty v letech 1500 – 2000. Praha : NLN, 1998.

PEČENKA, M. – LUŇÁK, P.: Encyklopedie moderní historie. Praha : Libri, 1999 edition (or reprint 2005,2008)

Recommended readings:

JOHNSON, P.: Dějiny 20. století. (niekoľko vydání - od Praha : Rozmluvy, 1991, najnovšie Leda 2014.
 KAMENICKÝ, M. a kol.: Lexikón svetových dejín. Bratislava : SPN, 1997 (especially „keyword terms“ to the 20th Century History
 Kol.: Stredná a juhovýchodná Európa v politike veľmocí. Sondy do vývoja II. Bratislava : HÚ SAV, 1994.
 Kol: Cesty k trhovému hospodárstvu. Bratislava : EÚ SAV, 1993.
 MEDVEDEV, R. – MEDVEDEV, Ž.: Neznámy Stalin. Bratislava : Slovart, 2006.
 MOULIS, V. – VALENTA, J. – VYKOUKAL, J.: Vznik, krize a rozpad sovětského bloku v Evropě 1944 – 1989. Ostrava : Amosium Servis, 1991.
 RUPNIK, J: Jiná Evropa. Praha : Prostor, 1992.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech languages

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 254

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
34,65	0,0	17,32	18,11	17,32	8,66	3,94

Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Róbert Letz, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde004/22	Course title: General didactics
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar / lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 / 1 per level/semester: 26 / 13 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Recommended semester: 1st - 4th semester Level of study: 1st degree Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by examination, the weighting of the interim and final assessment is 50/50. Students work on continuous work during the semester (setting objectives, taxonomy of objectives, creation of material aids, creation of didactic test, ...). Intermediate works are evaluated for 20 points. At the end of the intermediate teaching they hand in the semester work - methodical preparation for the teaching unit. The semester work is evaluated for 30 points. During the examination period, they take an oral final examination. The final assessment is worth 50 points. The successful completion of the course is subject to obtaining at least 60 % of the maximum possible grade for the course. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), C (85-76%, well - normal reliable work), D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (67-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) Characteristics of student performance: A - excellent results: the student is able to apply procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify the methods and forms presented in school documents. The student is able to create a portfolio of his/her own outcomes according to the intermediate assignments in accordance with the acquired knowledge and the required criteria. The student can critically, factually assess and evaluate the solutions presented by others, and can evaluate the quality of his/her own work. B - above average standard: the student can apply the procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify the methods and forms outlined in school documents. Above-average orientation in general didactics, above-	

average communication skills, completion of assigned tasks. Critical evaluation is at borderline level.

C - normal reliable work: the student is able to work out the assigned tasks, average orientation in the problems of general didactics. Can apply the procedures in the processes according to the model and with the teacher's help. Average activity on intermediate assignments.

D - acceptable results: the student is able to work out intermediate tasks with the help of the teacher, average orientation in general didactics, average student activity.

E - the results meet the minimum criteria: the student can define and define basic didactic concepts and elements, but cannot define them in educational contexts. The student is able to develop intermediate tasks only with the help of the teacher. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent.

FX - extra work is required: the student has very little knowledge of basic didactic terminology, cannot apply it in the outputs, there is no application of procedures, correct elaboration of tasks. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:

The student will acquire knowledge of the importance of general didactics and its essence for successful functioning in the practice of the teaching profession. The student will be able to define the subject and methodological profile of didactics, develop knowledge, competences and attitudes associated with the teaching profession, knowledge and competences of planning and organizing pupils' learning activities. The student is able to define general didactics in the system of pedagogical sciences, list and characterise its functions and significance. Characterise the personality of the pupil and the teacher in educational contexts. Characterise and analyse basic school documents and explain their interrelation, compare their structure. Analyse the curriculum from a didactic point of view, defend and present their solution. Apply procedures in the processes of formulating specific objectives according to different taxonomies of objectives. Recognise the nature and importance of the application of didactic principles in the teaching process. Orient themselves in the theories and concepts of teaching. Can characterise different approaches to the classification of methods and organisational forms of the teaching process. Analyse and classify the methods and forms presented in school documents. Create a portfolio of own outcomes in general didactics in accordance with the acquired knowledge. Be able to critically and factually assess and evaluate the outputs of others.

Transferable competences:

By discussing, solving and presenting the results of continuously assigned tasks, students will develop their analytical and critical thinking, communication skills, interpersonal skills, organizational skills, digital skills, lecturing and metacognitive skills. Students will be able to use the acquired knowledge and competences creatively in the study of other pedagogical disciplines and in their future pedagogical practice.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

General didactics as a basic pedagogical discipline studies the educational process in all its complexity. Didactics helps students to develop and become aware of basic pedagogical knowledge, to understand didactic interrelationships in teaching. It emphasizes the basic concepts and theories of teaching, for the formation of students' individual conceptions of teaching. Makes accessible the formation and essence of basic didactic principles, pedagogical documents and objectives in the teaching process. It leads students to confront theory with practice, to understand a concept in relation to a specific educational activity, to the specific work of the teacher, but above all in relation

to the pupil. It characterises the whole process of preparing a teaching unit, through the interaction of teacher and pupils, i.e. through methods, organisational forms and material means.

Topics:

Historical and contemporary conceptions of general didactics. General didactics as a basic pedagogical discipline and its relation to other sciences. The personality of the pupil and the teacher. Basic pedagogical documents. Didactic principles. Pupils' learning styles and teachers' teaching styles. Didactical analysis of the curriculum. Educational objectives, taxonomies of objectives. Theories and concepts of education. Traditional and activating teaching methods. System of organizational forms. Teaching process, its stages and their analysis. Material teaching means - teaching aids, didactic technology and their importance. Assessment, screening, classification.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

KOSTRUB, D., FERKOVÁ, Š., TÓTHOVÁ, R. (2017). Žiak, učiteľ a výučba. Všeobecná didaktika pre študentov učiteľstva. Prešov: Rokus. 370 s., ISBN 978-80-8951-061-0

Recommended reading:

ČAPEK, R. (2015). Moderní didaktika. Lexikon výukových a hodnoticích metod. Praha:

Grada. 624s., ISBN 978-80-247-3450-7 ČAPEK, R. (2020). Uč jako umělec. Brno: Jan Melvil Publishing, 240s., ISBN 978-80-7555-105-4

KOMENSKÝ, J. A.1954. Veľká didaktika. Bratislava: SPN, 272 s. ISBN 9-3264/53

KOSTRUB, D. (2008). Diet'a/žiak/študent – učiteľ – učivo, didaktický alebo bermudský trojuholník? Prešov: ROKUS. ISBN 978-80-89055-87-6.

KOSTRUB, D., OSTRADICKÝ, P. (2016). Participative didactics as an inspiration for students. In INWENCJA W EDUKACJI DZIECI, MŁODZIEŻY I DOROSŁYCH, 2016, s. 428-438. ISBN 978-83-941235-5-0.

KOSTRUB, D., OSTRADICKÝ, P. (2014). Phenomena that determine didactic teacher thinking within the process of selecting optimal methods of teaching. In EDUKACJA KU PRZYSZŁOŚCI, 2014, s.114-122. ISBN 978-83-62160-20-4.

OBDRŽÁLEK, Z. a kol. (2003). Didaktika pre študentov učiteľstva ZŠ. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2003.

OSTRADICKÝ, P. (2017). Interakčný príklon vs. interakčný odklon vo vyučovaco-učebných činnostiach výučby. In MMK 2017. - Brno: Masarykova univerzita, 2017. ISBN 978-80-87952-22-1. - S. 843-852.

PETLÁK, E. (2016). Všeobecná didaktika. Bratislava: IRIS, 322 s. ISBN: 978-80-8153-064-7

TÓBLOVÁ, E. (2019). Aktivizujúce vyučovacie metódy. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. ISBN 978-80-223-4817-1

TÓBLOVÁ,, E. a kol. (2017). The analysis of educational needs of managing teaching staff in schools in the Slovak Republic. Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods. - Vol. 7, no. 5 (2017), p. 11-17. ISSN 2251-6204

TÓBLOVÁ,, E. a kol. (2017). Inovácia pregraduálnej prípravy učiteľov v oblasti didakticko-technologických kompetencií. Innovation of the pregraduate teacher training aimed at the didactic-technological competence development. Autoevaluační kultura a kvalita vzdělávání. Praha: Extrasystem, 2017. - ISBN 978-80-87570-36-4. - S. 91-95

TUREK,I. (2014). Didaktika. Bratislava: Wolters Kluwer, 2014, ISBN 978-80-8168-004-5

ZORMANOVÁ, L. (2014). Obecná didaktika. Praha: Grada. ISBN 978-80-247-4590-9

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak, czech and english

Notes:

students of the Teacher Education (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 1487						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
39,14	0,0	20,91	16,34	8,74	7,53	7,33
Lecturers: Ing. Eva Tóblová, PhD., prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde004/22	Course title: General didactics
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar / lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 / 1 per level/semester: 26 / 13 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Recommended semester: 1st - 4th semester Level of study: 1st degree Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by examination, the weighting of the interim and final assessment is 50/50. Students work on continuous work during the semester (setting objectives, taxonomy of objectives, creation of material aids, creation of didactic test, ...). Intermediate works are evaluated for 20 points. At the end of the intermediate teaching they hand in the semester work - methodical preparation for the teaching unit. The semester work is evaluated for 30 points. During the examination period, they take an oral final examination. The final assessment is worth 50 points. The successful completion of the course is subject to obtaining at least 60 % of the maximum possible grade for the course. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), C (85-76%, well - normal reliable work), D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (67-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) Characteristics of student performance: A - excellent results: the student is able to apply procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify the methods and forms presented in school documents. The student is able to create a portfolio of his/her own outcomes according to the intermediate assignments in accordance with the acquired knowledge and the required criteria. The student can critically, factually assess and evaluate the solutions presented by others, and can evaluate the quality of his/her own work. B - above average standard: the student can apply the procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify the methods and forms outlined in school documents. Above-average orientation in general didactics, above-	

average communication skills, completion of assigned tasks. Critical evaluation is at borderline level.

C - normal reliable work: the student is able to work out the assigned tasks, average orientation in the problems of general didactics. Can apply the procedures in the processes according to the model and with the teacher's help. Average activity on intermediate assignments.

D - acceptable results: the student is able to work out intermediate tasks with the help of the teacher, average orientation in general didactics, average student activity.

E - the results meet the minimum criteria: the student can define and define basic didactic concepts and elements, but cannot define them in educational contexts. The student is able to develop intermediate tasks only with the help of the teacher. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent.

FX - extra work is required: the student has very little knowledge of basic didactic terminology, cannot apply it in the outputs, there is no application of procedures, correct elaboration of tasks. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:

The student will acquire knowledge of the importance of general didactics and its essence for successful functioning in the practice of the teaching profession. The student will be able to define the subject and methodological profile of didactics, develop knowledge, competences and attitudes associated with the teaching profession, knowledge and competences of planning and organizing pupils' learning activities. The student is able to define general didactics in the system of pedagogical sciences, list and characterise its functions and significance. Characterise the personality of the pupil and the teacher in educational contexts. Characterise and analyse basic school documents and explain their interrelation, compare their structure. Analyse the curriculum from a didactic point of view, defend and present their solution. Apply procedures in the processes of formulating specific objectives according to different taxonomies of objectives. Recognise the nature and importance of the application of didactic principles in the teaching process. Orient themselves in the theories and concepts of teaching. Can characterise different approaches to the classification of methods and organisational forms of the teaching process. Analyse and classify the methods and forms presented in school documents. Create a portfolio of own outcomes in general didactics in accordance with the acquired knowledge. Be able to critically and factually assess and evaluate the outputs of others.

Transferable competences:

By discussing, solving and presenting the results of continuously assigned tasks, students will develop their analytical and critical thinking, communication skills, interpersonal skills, organizational skills, digital skills, lecturing and metacognitive skills. Students will be able to use the acquired knowledge and competences creatively in the study of other pedagogical disciplines and in their future pedagogical practice.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

General didactics as a basic pedagogical discipline studies the educational process in all its complexity. Didactics helps students to develop and become aware of basic pedagogical knowledge, to understand didactic interrelationships in teaching. It emphasizes the basic concepts and theories of teaching, for the formation of students' individual conceptions of teaching. Makes accessible the formation and essence of basic didactic principles, pedagogical documents and objectives in the teaching process. It leads students to confront theory with practice, to understand a concept in relation to a specific educational activity, to the specific work of the teacher, but above all in relation

to the pupil. It characterises the whole process of preparing a teaching unit, through the interaction of teacher and pupils, i.e. through methods, organisational forms and material means.

Topics:

Historical and contemporary conceptions of general didactics. General didactics as a basic pedagogical discipline and its relation to other sciences. The personality of the pupil and the teacher. Basic pedagogical documents. Didactic principles. Pupils' learning styles and teachers' teaching styles. Didactical analysis of the curriculum. Educational objectives, taxonomies of objectives. Theories and concepts of education. Traditional and activating teaching methods. System of organizational forms. Teaching process, its stages and their analysis. Material teaching means - teaching aids, didactic technology and their importance. Assessment, screening, classification.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

KOSTRUB, D., FERKOVÁ, Š., TÓTHOVÁ, R. (2017). Žiak, učiteľ a výučba. Všeobecná didaktika pre študentov učiteľstva. Prešov: Rokus. 370 s., ISBN 978-80-8951-061-0

Recommended reading:

ČAPEK, R. (2015). Moderní didaktika. Lexikon výukových a hodnoticích metod. Praha:

Grada. 624s., ISBN 978-80-247-3450-7 ČAPEK, R. (2020). Uč jako umělec. Brno: Jan Melvil Publishing, 240s., ISBN 978-80-7555-105-4

KOMENSKÝ, J. A.1954. Veľká didaktika. Bratislava: SPN, 272 s. ISBN 9-3264/53

KOSTRUB, D. (2008). Diet'a/žiak/študent – učiteľ – učivo, didaktický alebo bermudský trojuholník? Prešov: ROKUS. ISBN 978-80-89055-87-6.

KOSTRUB, D., OSTRADICKÝ, P. (2016). Participative didactics as an inspiration for students. In INWENCJA W EDUKACJI DZIECI, MŁODZIEŻY I DOROSŁYCH, 2016, s. 428-438. ISBN 978-83-941235-5-0.

KOSTRUB, D., OSTRADICKÝ, P. (2014). Phenomena that determine didactic teacher thinking within the process of selecting optimal methods of teaching. In EDUKACJA KU PRZYSZŁOŚCI, 2014, s.114-122. ISBN 978-83-62160-20-4.

OBDRŽÁLEK, Z. a kol. (2003). Didaktika pre študentov učiteľstva ZŠ. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2003.

OSTRADICKÝ, P. (2017). Interakčný príklon vs. interakčný odklon vo vyučovaco-učebných činnostiach výučby. In MMK 2017. - Brno: Masarykova univerzita, 2017. ISBN 978-80-87952-22-1. - S. 843-852.

PETLÁK, E. (2016). Všeobecná didaktika. Bratislava: IRIS, 322 s. ISBN: 978-80-8153-064-7

TÓBLOVÁ, E. (2019). Aktivizujúce vyučovacie metódy. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. ISBN 978-80-223-4817-1

TÓBLOVÁ,, E. a kol. (2017). The analysis of educational needs of managing teaching staff in schools in the Slovak Republic. Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods. - Vol. 7, no. 5 (2017), p. 11-17. ISSN 2251-6204

TÓBLOVÁ,, E. a kol. (2017). Inovácia pregraduálnej prípravy učiteľov v oblasti didakticko-technologických kompetencií. Innovation of the pregraduate teacher training aimed at the didactic-technological competence development. Autoevaluační kultura a kvalita vzdělávání. Praha: Extrasystem, 2017. - ISBN 978-80-87570-36-4. - S. 91-95

TUREK,I. (2014). Didaktika. Bratislava: Wolters Kluwer, 2014, ISBN 978-80-8168-004-5

ZORMANOVÁ, L. (2014). Obecná didaktika. Praha: Grada. ISBN 978-80-247-4590-9

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak, czech and english

Notes:

students of the Teacher Education (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 1487						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
39,14	0,0	20,91	16,34	8,74	7,53	7,33
Lecturers: Mgr. Peter Ostradický, PhD., prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde019/22	Course title: Global and development studies
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours/week, i.e. 22 hours/semester, combined method (mostly by attendance). Student workload: 22 hours of direct teaching, 20 hours of preparation for the midterm test, 18 hours for the midterm assignment. 60 hours in total. Teaching methods: Problem-based explanation and discussion of the topics covered.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim/final assessment: 100/0 The interim assessment includes an interim written assignment on global and development studies (40% of the mark) and an interim didactic test (60% of the mark). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the total mark is required. The rating is awarded on a scale: A 100-91%, excellent -excellent results. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (the extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the intermediate task, corresponds to an A grade. Note: The score or percentage of the interim assignment reflects the degree to which the student's performance on the interim assignment is consistent with the criteria published in the assignment. The didactic test contains tasks of varying cognitive difficulty, so it stratifies students not only according to the extent of knowledge acquired. Both the test and the interim written task are designed to verify the specified learning outcomes. B 90-81%, very good -above average standard. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the intermediate task, corresponds to a B grade. C 80-73%, good -normal reliable work. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the score (percentage) of the intermediate task, corresponds to a C grade. D 72-66%, satisfactory -acceptable results. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of	

points or percentages, together with the score (percentage) of the intermediate task, corresponds to a D.

E 65-60%, sufficient. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the score (percentage) of the intermediate task, corresponds to an E.

Fx 59-0%, Inadequate. The student has not met the required thresholds for passing the course.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Global and Development Studies focuses on education for enhancing responsibility for the natural, economic, social, cultural environment of the world on both local and global scales, with an emphasis on explaining and understanding the interconnectedness of the world and the need for systemic approaches to global problems. Completion of this course will provide the student with the ability to find the global dimension in the curriculum of traditional academic disciplines, as well as the skill to implement it in context, through project and problem-based inquiry. The graduate will gain the ability to address global issues from the perspective of the individual, the local community, the nation, and the world community.

Class syllabus:

Global and development studies as a dimension of civic education. Millennium Development Goals. Media, its position and role in society. Globalization. Gender equality. Education, its need and accessibility. Environment, Health as a prerequisite for the growth of society. Fair trade. Poverty and hunger. World trade and debt. Humanitarian and development aid. Democracy and civil society. Human rights. War and terrorism. Xenophobia and discrimination; Tolerance and multiculturalism. Minorities, refugees and migration. Demographic developments in the world . Education for sustainable development.

Recommended literature:

LYSÝ, J. a kol. 2007. Globálne rozvojové vzdelávanie, Bratislava. Album, 180 s. ISBN 978-80968667-7-9.

KLINEC, I. 2010. Zelené myslenie pre zelenú budúcnosť. Alternatívne ekonomické a sociálne teórie podporujúce smerovanie k udržateľnému rozvoju. Dostupné na: <http://www.akademickyrepozitar.sk/sk/repozitar/zelene-myslenie.pdf>

SMITH, CH; KOCH, R. 2011. Samovražda Západu, INAQUE.SK, 2016 s. ISBN 978-80970661-5-4

LORENZ, K. 1990. Osm smrteľných hříchů, Praha: Academia. ISBN 80-200-0842-X
Národná stratégia pre globálne rozvojové vzdelávanie 2012 –2016. Dostupné na <http://www.sccd-sk.org/sk/icgv/globalne-vzdelavanie/narodna-strategia-gv>

Globálne vzdelávanie na Slovensku. Portál <http://www.globalnevzdelavanie.sk/>

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 111

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
70,27	0,0	18,92	6,31	1,8	0,9	1,8

Lecturers: Mgr. Lucia Hlavatá, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde019/22	Course title: Global and development studies
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours/week, i.e. 22 hours/semester, combined method (mostly by attendance). Student workload: 22 hours of direct teaching, 20 hours of preparation for the midterm test, 18 hours for the midterm assignment. 60 hours in total. Teaching methods: Problem-based explanation and discussion of the topics covered.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim/final assessment: 100/0 The interim assessment includes an interim written assignment on global and development studies (40% of the mark) and an interim didactic test (60% of the mark). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the total mark is required. The rating is awarded on a scale: A 100-91%, excellent -excellent results. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (the extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the intermediate task, corresponds to an A grade. Note: The score or percentage of the interim assignment reflects the degree to which the student's performance on the interim assignment is consistent with the criteria published in the assignment. The didactic test contains tasks of varying cognitive difficulty, so it stratifies students not only according to the extent of knowledge acquired. Both the test and the interim written task are designed to verify the specified learning outcomes. B 90-81%, very good -above average standard. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the intermediate task, corresponds to a B grade. C 80-73%, good -normal reliable work. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the score (percentage) of the intermediate task, corresponds to a C grade. D 72-66%, satisfactory -acceptable results. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of	

points or percentages, together with the score (percentage) of the intermediate task, corresponds to a D.

E 65-60%, sufficient. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the score (percentage) of the intermediate task, corresponds to an E.

Fx 59-0%, Inadequate. The student has not met the required thresholds for passing the course.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Global and Development Studies focuses on education for enhancing responsibility for the natural, economic, social, cultural environment of the world on both local and global scales, with an emphasis on explaining and understanding the interconnectedness of the world and the need for systemic approaches to global problems. Completion of this course will provide the student with the ability to find the global dimension in the curriculum of traditional academic disciplines, as well as the skill to implement it in context, through project and problem-based inquiry. The graduate will gain the ability to address global issues from the perspective of the individual, the local community, the nation, and the world community.

Class syllabus:

Global and development studies as a dimension of civic education. Millennium Development Goals. Media, its position and role in society. Globalization. Gender equality. Education, its need and accessibility. Environment, Health as a prerequisite for the growth of society. Fair trade. Poverty and hunger. World trade and debt. Humanitarian and development aid. Democracy and civil society. Human rights. War and terrorism. Xenophobia and discrimination; Tolerance and multiculturalism. Minorities, refugees and migration. Demographic developments in the world . Education for sustainable development.

Recommended literature:

LYSÝ, J. a kol. 2007. Globálne rozvojové vzdelávanie, Bratislava. Album, 180 s. ISBN 978-80968667-7-9.

KLINEC, I. 2010. Zelené myslenie pre zelenú budúcnosť. Alternatívne ekonomické a sociálne teórie podporujúce smerovanie k udržateľnému rozvoju. Dostupné na: <http://www.akademickyrepozitar.sk/sk/repozitar/zelene-myslenie.pdf>

SMITH, CH; KOCH, R. 2011. Samovražda Západu, INAQUE.SK, 2016 s. ISBN 978-80970661-5-4

LORENZ, K. 1990. Osm smrteľných hriechů, Praha: Academia. ISBN 80-200-0842-X
Národná stratégia pre globálne rozvojové vzdelávanie 2012 –2016. Dostupné na <http://www.sccd-sk.org/sk/icgv/globalne-vzdelavanie/narodna-strategia-gv>

Globálne vzdelávanie na Slovensku. Portál <http://www.globalnevzdelavanie.sk/>

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 111

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
70,27	0,0	18,92	6,31	1,8	0,9	1,8

Lecturers:

Last change: 10.11.2022
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde034/22	Course title: Historic proseminar
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 3 per level/semester: 39 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 3 hours lecture/week, 33 hours total per semester, combined form; (primarily in person) Student workload: 11x 3 hours of direct instruction = 33 hours; 42 hours of preparation for midterm evaluation; Total of 75 hours of student work. Educational methods: discussion of the topic; small group work; problem-solving tasks, e-learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100% of the intermediate assessment. Seminar paper on a selected topic - processed according to the assignment, which is handed in before the beginning of the examination period. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. Credit will not be awarded to students who obtain less than 15 points in any of the four written examinations. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student is oriented in the issue, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject matter, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the issue, has learned, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can orient himself/herself in the problem only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically)	
Learning outcomes:	

The student will learn to work practically with professional historical literature and sources and apply a historian's work methods within the course. The course will enable the student to acquire basic knowledge in the field of bibliography, work with historical sources and literature, and the method of citation, which will be used during their studies when writing seminar and thesis papers in future pedagogical and professional work. They will acquire the ability to combine theoretical knowledge with practical skills.																				
Class syllabus: The course will focus on the following key topics: - 1. Libraries and their use for the student of history - 2. Introduction to archives and their functioning - 3. Definition of the topic of the term paper - 4. Professional literature and how it differs from popular literature - 5. Historical periodicals - 6. Working with bibliographies - 7. Working with online library catalogues - 8. Use of digitised historical press and literature - 9. Working with specialist literature - extracts, card catalogue - 10. Bibliographic reference and citation - 11. The writing process - 12. Ethics of a historian's work																				
Recommended literature: BARTL, J.: Úvod do štúdia dejepisu. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského, 1997. BERGER, S. – FELDNER, H. – PASSMORE, K. (eds.) Jak se píšou dějiny. Teorie a praxe. Brno: CDK, 2016. BLOCH, M.: Obrana historie aneb historik a jeho řemeslo. Praha: Argo, 2011. EVANS, R. J.: Na obranu historie. Praha : Argo, 2019. HROCH, M. a kol.: Úvod do studia dějepisu. Praha : SPN, 1985. JANKOVIČ, V. – ŠKORUPOVÁ, A.: Bibliografía k dejinám Slovenska. Bratislava 1997. SEDLIAKOVÁ, A.: Historiografía na Slovensku 1990-1994. SEDLIAKOVÁ, A.: Slovenská historiografía 1995-1999. Bratislava : VEDA 2000. SEDLIAKOVÁ, A.: Slovenská historiografía 2000-2004. Bratislava : VEDA 2006. SEDLIAKOVÁ, A.: Slovenská historiografía 2005-2009. Bratislava : VEDA 2000. Bibliografía k dejinám Slovenska. Literatúra do roku 1965. Bratislava 1997. Historiografía na Slovensku 1990-1994. Výberová bibliografía. Bratislava 1995.																				
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech languages																				
Notes:																				
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 451 <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>A</th><th>ABS</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th><th>FX</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>21,06</td><td>0,0</td><td>28,16</td><td>21,51</td><td>12,42</td><td>5,32</td><td>11,53</td></tr> </tbody> </table>							A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX	21,06	0,0	28,16	21,51	12,42	5,32	11,53
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX														
21,06	0,0	28,16	21,51	12,42	5,32	11,53														
Lecturers: prof. Mgr. Pavol Matula, PhD., Mgr. Filip Lopuch, Mgr. Diana Godžaková																				
Last change: 10.11.2022																				
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.																				

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde019/22	Course title: Historical geography
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Two hours seminar/week, 22 hours total per semester, combined; (primarily full-time); 2S (2 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 38 hours of exam preparation; a total of 60 hours of student work; discussion of the topic covered; incident method; experiential methods; e-learning; monologue methods.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 80% of the final exam grade is in the form of a test. 20% of the grade for active participation in discussions throughout the semester, which the student will receive if he/she participates in at least one-third of the discussions. The student will take the test at the end of the semester. A minimum of 91% is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81% for a grade of B, a minimum of 73% for a grade of C, a minimum of 66% for a grade of D, and a minimum of 60% for a grade of E. You must earn a minimum grade of 60% to pass the course. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results, the student is knowledgeable and proficient in the subject matter, demonstrates creativity and critical thinking), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is familiar with the issues, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the issue, has learned, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can orientate himself/herself in the problem only with great difficulty, he/she knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically)	
Learning outcomes:	

In the course Historical Geography, the student learns key facts from the historical development of geography in the broadest sense of the word. The student develops critical and historical thinking skills. The student is able to work with historical maps and knows the historical development of historical territories related to the history of Central Europe. Physically orientates oneself in the landscape (environment) by being able to define individual elements and human-geographical relics of the landscape. The student knows the basic orientation of the discipline and its focal problems. The course is taught in the context of preparing future teachers for their profession so that the student can use both historical and contemporary maps in the educational process.

Class syllabus:

The course aims to deepen the knowledge of the cartographic development of prehistory, ancient civilisations, the Middle Ages, modern times and the modern history of European civilisation. It also emphasises the history of the cartography of Slovak provenance. The course includes an introductory survey of the problems of the environmental history of the globalised world. As a future teacher, the student will also be able to communicate the acquired knowledge to pupils - e.g. when working with electronic databases containing historical maps. The course will concentrate on the following key topics:

- What is historical geography; definitions, methods and sources
- Physical-geographical and human-geographical relics of the landscape
- Environmental history - historical development and current trends
- Cartography and the historical development of the earliest maps I (prehistoric and ancient Greece)
- Historical development of maps II (ancient Rome, medieval and modern times)
- History of the cartographic development of the territory of Slovakia from the Middle Ages to the 20th century
- Working with maps of the so-called military mapping
- Development and changes in the geographic-political boundaries of Slovakia
- Historical territories of Central and South-Eastern Europe
- Excursion
- Historical geography in the training of history teachers
- Use of historical geography in practice

Recommended literature:

Compulsory:

HONZÁK, F., PEČENKA, M., STELLNER, F., VLČKOVÁ, J.: Evropa v proměnách staletí. Praha: Libri, 2001.

RÁBIK, V., LABANC, P., TIBENSKÝ, M.: Historická geografia. Trnava: FF TU, 2013.

Recommended readings:

ČEPLŮ, S., KAČÍREK, L., POCISKOVÁ, M., ŠPROCHOVÁ, T., ŠPROCHA, B., TIŠLIAR, P., VARGOVÁ, L.: Vybrané populačné štruktúry obcí na Slovensku (18. – 1. pol. 20. storočia). Bratislava: Muzeológia a kultúrne dedičstvo, o. z. v spolupráci s Centrom pre historickú demografiu a populačný vývoj Slovenska, Filozofickej fakulty Univerzity Komenského v Bratislave, 2016.

CHRASTINA, P.: Vývoj využívania krajiny Trenčianskej kotliny a jej horskej obruby Nitra: Univerzita Konštantína Filozofa 2009.

MAJTÁN, M.: Názvy obcí Slovenskej republiky. Bratislava: VEDA, 2008.

PORUBSKÁ, B.: Vojenské mapovanie na Slovensku 1769 – 1883. Bratislava: Pamiatkový úrad SR, 2010.

GAJDOŠ, A. a kol. Regionálna geografia Európy. Bratislava: VEDA, 2013.

Kol. autorov: Historica. Veľký atlas svetových dejín s viac ako 1 200 mapami. Bratislava: Slovart, 2011.

SEMOTANOVÁ, E.: Historická geografie českých zemí. Praha: Historický ústav, 1998.
 TIBENSKÝ, J. Priekopníci dejín vedy a techniky na Slovensku. Bratislava: Obzor, 1979.
 MUSIL, F.: Slovensko na najstarších mapách. In: Historická geografie. Praha: 2003, p. 11-19.
 PRIKRYL, L.: Vývoj mapového zobrazenia Slovenska. Bratislava 1977.
 BRŮNA, V., BUCHTA, I., UHLÍŘOVÁ, L.: Interpretace prvků mapy prvního a druhého vojenského mapování. In: Historická geografie. Praha: 2003, p. 93-113.
 Audiovisual sources, documentary films

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 148

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
2,7	0,0	16,22	20,27	25,68	22,3	12,84

Lecturers: Mgr. Pavol Makyna, PhD.

Last change: 31.10.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde029/20		Course title: History Olympiad				
Educational activities: Type of activities: internship Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 20s Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 3.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 8						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
87,5	0,0	12,5	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: Mgr. Ivana Dendys, PhD.						
Last change: 09.02.2021						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde024/22			Course title: History of European culture			
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 0						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:						
Last change:						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde001/22	Course title: History of Slovakia I.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours lecture /week, a total of 22 hours per semester, combined form; (primarily full-time) Student workload: 2L (4 credits): 11x 2 hours of direct teaching # 22 hours; 30 hours preparation for mid-term evaluation; 50 hours exam preparation. Total 102 hours of student work. Learning methods: lecture, discussion of the topic covered; situation analysis method; e-learning.	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 50% of the interim and 50% of the final evaluation. The continuous assessment will consist of a written test in the last lecture, from which the student can obtain a maximum of 40 points, which is 40% of the total grade. 10% of the continuous assessment can be obtained for an active approach during the semester, which will consist, for example, of asking questions in the discussion after each lecture. The student will take an oral examination during the examination period. In the oral examination, the student will draw two questions, the first on a broad historical issue and the second on a specific problem. The maximum score for both parts of the exam is 50 + 50 points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D, and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. To pass the course, you must obtain a minimum of 60% of the marks. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student is oriented in the issue, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is familiar with the issues, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the subject, has learned the issue, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can navigate the issue only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice),	

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, and does not demonstrate the ability to think critically).

Learning outcomes:

The course aims to introduce the essential characteristics of the medieval history of Slovakia. Students will learn about the epoch from the arrival of the Slavs to the Battle of Mohács in 1526. Based on the acquired knowledge, they will be able to analyse the basic categories of medieval society in Slovakia and its composition. They will learn to orientate themselves in political, economic, social, ecclesiastical and cultural issues and to link them by analogy with the present. They will acquire the ability to think critically and evaluate the past objectively. They will be able to analyse this historical period, compare it with developments in other periods and reach synthesising conclusions. Emphasis is placed on orientation to the more complex problems of history. Due attention will also be paid to historical interpretations of individual events, their differentiation, and the acquisition of the ability to think in broader contexts.

Class syllabus:

- Chronology of the development of the Middle Ages in Slovakia.
- The question of the origin of the Slavs and their occurrence on our territory.
- The Empire of Samo. The Principality of Nitra.
- Great Moravia. Personalities of Great Moravia. The Byzantine mission.
- The question of the demise of Great Moravia and the establishment of the Kingdom of Hungary.
- Hungary (especially today's Slovakia) during the reign of the Árpád dynasty.
- Hungary (especially today's Slovakia) during the reign of the Anjou dynasty.
- Louis I, the ideal of a knight king.
- Sigismund of Luxembourg and Matthias Corvinus on the Hungarian throne.
- The Jagiellonian dynasty and the Battle of Mohács.
- Medieval culture in Hungary (especially in today's Slovakia).

Recommended literature:

Relevant literature:

IVANIČ, P. – PINTÉROVÁ, B.: Kapitoly z dejín Slovenska v stredoveku. Vysokoškolská učebnica. Nitra : Univerzita Konštantína Filozofa v Nitre, Filozofická fakulta, 2020 (Reviewed by J. Nemeš).

ČAPLOVIČ, D. – LUKAČKA, J. – ČIČAJ, V. – KOVÁČ, D. – LIPTÁK, Ľ.: Slovenské dejiny. Bratislava : AEP, 2000.

Recommended literature:

NEMEŠ, J. – KOŽIAK, R. (eds.): Pohanstvo a kresťanstvo. Bratislava: Chronos, 2004.

KUČERA, M.: Slovenské dejiny I. Od príchodu Slovanov do roku 1526. Bratislava : Literárne informačné centrum, 2011.

MANNOVÁ, E. et al.: Krátke dejiny Slovenska. Bratislava : AEPress, 2003.

ŠKVARNA, D. et al.: Lexikón slovenských dejín. Bratislava : SPN, 2006.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language

Notes:**Past grade distribution**

Total number of evaluated students: 366

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
12,3	0,0	13,39	19,67	22,4	16,94	15,3

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Jaroslav Nemeš, PhD.
Last change: 31.10.2022
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde003/22	Course title: History of Slovakia II.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours lecture/week, 22 hours total per semester, combined form; (primarily in person) Student workload: 2P (2 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 10 hours preparation for discussions, 68 hours exam preparation. 100 hours of student work in total. Educational methods: monological method, problem-solving exercises; discussion of the subject; e-learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 40% of the interim and 60% of the final evaluation. The student takes the test in the middle of the semester, from which he/she may obtain a maximum of 30 points. The test will focus on the material covered. In the midterm evaluation, the test will account for 30%, and 10% will be given for the active approach in lectures. This active approach will consist of asking the lecturer at least one question from the ongoing teaching. In the examination period, the student will take an oral examination. In the framework of the oral examination, the student will draw two questions. One question is a review "big" question. The other question focuses on specific personalities and events. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student is oriented in the issue, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject matter, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-72%, good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the subject, has learned the issue, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (71-65%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can navigate the issue only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice),	

Fx (less than 59%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically).

Learning outcomes:

The student acquires the necessary knowledge and understanding of Slovakia's political, economic and social development and its inhabitants in modern Hungary between 1526 and 1780. The aim is to acquaint students with the history of Slovakia from the Battle of Mohács to the end of the reign of Maria Theresa, to provide a plastic picture of the transformation of the society during two centuries, the changes in the social structure of the population on the territory of today's Slovakia, to give the influence of European events on the national consciousness process of the Slovak ethnic group, the causes leading to the national revival. The student acquires in-depth knowledge and knowledge about the period of the modern age in Slovak history. The student will receive information based on which he/she will be able to think critically and objectively evaluate this period in the context of Hungarian history. They will be able to navigate more complex problems and historical interpretations and reach synthesising conclusions. The student will consolidate the communication skills necessary for presenting his/her work results.

Class syllabus:

- The Habsburgs and their policy in Hungary in the 16th century (from Ferdinand I to the end of the reign of Rudolf II): The struggles of Ferdinand I and Jan Zápolský for the Hungarian throne
- The reforms of Ferdinand I. and Maximilian I - Revolt of Stefan Bockaj - Dispute of Rudolf II. with his brother Matthias.
- Turkish expansion in Hungary: the Battle of Mohács - Turkish expansion in the first half of the 16th century - The conquests in the second half of the 16th century - The Fifteen Years' War - From the Peace of Zittau to the beginning of the invasion in the 1760s - The defeat of the Turks at Vienna and their gradual expulsion from Hungary.
- Reformation and Counter-Reformation in Slovakia in the 16th and 17th centuries: Penetration of the Reformation into the territory of Hungary - The form of the Reformation doctrine and churches
- Counter-Reformation /the consequences of the Council of Trent and the Jesuit Order in Hungary/
- The organisation of the Evangelical Church - The sharpening of the Recatholization during the reign of Leopold I.
- Hungarian Estates Revolts (from Gabriel Bethlen's Revolt to the Revolt of Francis II Rakoci): Causes of the Estate's Revolts - Revolts as part of the Thirty Years' War (Gabriel Bethlen and George I Rakoci) - Conspiracy of Francis Veseleni - Resistance of Imrich Tököli - Revolt of Francis II Rakoci - Revolt of George II Rakoci - Revolt of Francis II Rakoci.
- Political developments in Hungary after the Peace of Satmar – the reign of Emperor Charles VI and Queen Maria Theresa (1711 - 1780): Reforms of Charles VI - Wars for the Austrian inheritance (Silesian Wars) and the Seven Years' War - Maria Theresa's Enlightenment reforms, foreign policy.
- Economic development in Hungary in the 16th-18th centuries: The development of the feudal economic system - The beginnings of capitalist relations in business and production - Mining and metallurgy in Slovakia - Artisan guilds - The origins of industrial manufactures - Trade and mercantilist politics.
- The population of Hungary and Slovakia - Its ethnic form and social structure - Wallachian colonisation and the migration of Slovaks to the southern regions of Hungary - The status of Slovak ethnicity in Hungary in the 16th-18th centuries.
- Spiritual and thought movements in the 16th-18th centuries: Humanism and reformist religious teachings -The most important Hungarian Renaissance and Baroque writers and thinkers - Reformation and Catholic education

- Science in Slovakia in the 16th-18th centuries - Fine arts and architecture of the Hungarian Renaissance and Baroque, development of Slovak ethnicity, Slovak consciousness in the 16th-18th centuries.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

Kolektív autorov: Dejiny Slovenska II. (1526 – 1848). Bratislava : SAV, 1987 .

BADA, Michal: Slovenské dejiny II. Bratislava : LIC, 2017.

MANNOVÁ, Elena a kol.: Krátke dejiny Slovenska. Bratislava 2004. – vybrané kapitoly

Kolektív autorov: Lexikón slovenských dejín. Bratislava : SPN, 2006 – vybrané heslá

KÓNYA, Peter a kol: Dejiny Uhorska. Prešov 2014.

Recommended readings:

DANGL, Vojtech: Slovensko vo víre stavovských povstaní. Bratislava: SPN, 1986.

DANGL, Vojtech: Vojenské dejiny Slovenska. 2. zv. (1526 – 1711). Bratislava: MO SR, 1995.

HORVÁTH, Pavol - KOPČAN, Vojtech: Turci na Slovensku. Bratislava: SPN, 1971

KAMENICKÝ, Miroslav: Cestopisy informujúce o slovenskom baníctve zo 17. a 18. storočia. In: Európsky význam slovenského baníctva v stredoveku a novoveku. Banská Štiavnica, SBM, 2010, s. 22-27.

KAMENICKÝ, Miroslav: Uplatnenie absolventov Rímskeho kolégia a Nemecko-uhorského kolégia v Ríme v katolíckych cirkevných štruktúrach v Uhorsku v 17. a 18. storočí. In: Cirkev a náboženstvo v Uhorsku v ranom novoveku. Prešov, Vydavateľstvo Prešovskej univerzity, 2020, s. 432-443

KONTLER, László: Dějiny Maďarska. Praha : Nakladatelství Lidové noviny 2001.

KOVÁČ, Dušan a kol.: Kronika Slovenska I. Bratislava: Fortuna Print, 1998.

SEGEŠ, Vladimír - VIŠVÁDER, František a kol.: Kniha kráľov : Panovníci v dejinách Slovenska a Slovákov. Bratislava: SPN, 1998.

KÓNYA, Peter (ed.): Doba kuruckých bojov. Prešov: Vydavateľstvo Prešovskej univerzity, 2014.

Leonard Stöckel a reformácia v strednej Európe. Prešov, Vydavateľstvo Prešovskej univerzity pre Biskupský úrad Východného dištriktu Evanjelickej cirkvi a. v. na Slovensku a Cirkevný zbor ECAV na Slovensku Bardejov, 2011.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech languages

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 315

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
31,75	0,0	24,76	20,95	7,94	10,16	4,44

Lecturers: Mgr. Miriam Viršínská, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde005/22	Course title: History of Slovakia III.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 3 per level/semester: 39 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 3 hours lecture/week, 33 hours total per semester, combined form; (primarily in person) Student workload: 11x 3 hours of direct teaching = 33 hours; 66 hours of exam preparation. Total of 100 hours of student work. Educational methods: monological method, discussion on the subject; problem-solving tasks, e-learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 80% of the mark for the final examination is in the form of a test, and 20% is for active participation in discussions throughout the semester, which the student will receive if he/she participates in at least one-third of the discussions. The student will take the test at the end of the semester. A minimum of 91% is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81% for a grade of B, a minimum of 73% for a grade of C, a minimum of 66% for a grade of D, and a minimum of 60% for a grade of E. You must earn a minimum grade of 60% to pass the course. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student is oriented in the issue, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject matter, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the subject, has learned the subject, but cannot apply the gained knowledge in practice), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can navigate the issue only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, and does not demonstrate the ability to think critically).	

Learning outcomes:

The course enables the student to gain comprehensive knowledge of Slovak history of the long 19th century (1780 - 1918). The student learns to orient himself to social, cultural, national and political problems and to link them analogically with the present. Acquire the ability to think critically and evaluate the recent past objectively. They will be able to analyse and assess this historical period, compare it with developments in other countries and reach synthesising conclusions. They will be able to navigate complex issues and historical interpretations, distinguish between them and think in context.

Class syllabus:

Students will learn about the history of Slovakia from the end of the 18th to the beginning of the 20th century in a cross-sectional way. It focuses on the key issues of the long 19th-century national history. It combines interpretations of the breakthrough periods, helps uncover taboo topics, and gets to know contemporary society and its personalities. It concentrates on these focal themes:

- The Habsburg Monarchy and the Kingdom of Hungary at the end of the 18th and the first half of the 19th century. The reigns of Joseph II, Leopold II, Francis I and Ferdinand V. The Napoleonic Wars. Metternich's absolutism. Relaxation of feudal relations, the redemption of serfs from serfdom. The beginnings of industrial development. Hungarian reform movement.

- Modernisation of the Slovak nation. The Bernolák's and evangelical conceptions. Personalities.

- The second generation of Slovak revivalists in the 1820s and 1830s. Unification of the Catholic and Evangelical camps. Social activity. The most influential personalities.

- Completion of the Slovak national political programme in the 1840s. Unification of the Štúr's and the youngest Bernolák's generation. Adoption of a unified written language and cultural institutions.

- The 1848/49 revolution in the Habsburg monarchy and the Slovak political programme. The March Revolution. The Slavonic Congress. Slovak volunteer expeditions. Efforts to create a Slovak Crown Land.

- The period of neoabsolutism in the 1850s. Centralism and Germanism. The issue of the written language. The Old Slovaks and the Young Slovaks.

- Political development of the Habsburg monarchy in the Provisional period. The Austro-Hungarian Compromise. Economic development of the monarchy and Hungary after the compromise.

- Slovak politics in the 1860s and 1870s. Memorandum, the Slovak Matica and Slovak grammar schools. Nationality Act. Old and New Slovak School. Prominent personalities.

- Period of political passivity. Efforts of the Hungarian governments to transform the Kingdom of Hungary into a mono-national Hungarian state. Turčiansky Svätý Martin and the Slovak National Party. Czech-Slovak cooperation. Russophilism and Pan-Slavism.

- Differentiation of Slovak political currents at the turn of the 19th and 20th centuries. The Industrial Revolution in the Kingdom of Hungary, Hungarian liberalism, the development of the workers' and social democratic movements. Cooperation of non-Hungarian people in Hungary. The Slovak National Party. Slovak People's Party. The young generation grouped around the magazine Hlas and Prúdy. Agrarian current. Slovak Social Democratic Party.

- Slovaks and the First World War, the establishment of the Czechoslovak Republic. The internationalisation of the Slovak question before the First World War. The main centres of Slovak politics during the First World War. American Slovaks. Czechoslovak cooperation, Czechoslovakia and the legions.

Recommended literature:

Dejiny Slovenska II. Bratislava : VEDA, 1987.

Dejiny Slovenska III. Bratislava : VEDA, 1992.

Dejiny Slovenska IV. Bratislava : VEDA, 1986.

KOVÁČ, D. a kol.: Slovensko v 20. storočí I. Na začiatku storočia. Bratislava : VEDA, 2004.

KOVÁČ, D. a kol.: Slovensko v 20. storočí II. Prvá svetová vojna. Bratislava : VEDA, 2008.
MANNOVÁ, E. (ed.): Krátke dejiny Slovenska. Bratislava : AEP, 2003.
MRVA, I.: Slovensko a Slováci v 2. polovici 19. storočia. Bratislava : Slovart, 2010.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 268

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
14,18	0,0	26,87	24,63	19,78	10,82	3,73

Lecturers: prof. Mgr. Pavol Matula, PhD.

Last change: 31.10.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde008/22	Course title: History of Slovakia IV.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 4 per level/semester: 52 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 4 hours lecture/week, the total for semester 44 hours, combined; (primarily full-time) Student workload: 4P (6 credits): 11 x 4 hours of direct instruction = 44 hours; 53 hours of midterm preparation; 53 hours of preparation for the examination. Total 150 hours of student work. Learning methods: discussion of the topic; problem-solving exercises, e-learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 50% of the interim and 50% of the final evaluation. The student takes a written test during the semester and an oral examination during the examination period. Interim assessment - student passes a written test at the end of the semester and an oral examination during the examination period. The written test assesses the knowledge acquired about historical figures and events in the form of completing the correct answer by adding or circling. Final assessment: The student will choose two questions in the oral exam. The first focuses on a specific historical issue or event, and the second focuses on a historical figure. To receive a final grade of A, a minimum of 91 points is required, and a minimum of 81 points is required for a grade of B, for a grade C at least 73 points, for a grade D at least 66 points, and for a grade E at least 60 points. Credit will not be awarded to students who fail any of the four written examinations and receive less than 15 points. Successful course completion requires a minimum of 60% of the grade. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student is familiar with the subject matter, he/she has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject mastery, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student can orient himself in the problem, he has learned, but he cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice),	

E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can navigate the issue only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, and does not demonstrate the ability to think critically).

Learning outcomes:

The course enables the student to acquire comprehensive knowledge of the 20th century Slovak history, specifically the period 1918-1992. The student will learn to navigate social, cultural, national and political issues and to relate them analogically to the present. Acquire the ability to think critically and evaluate the recent past objectively. They will be able to analyse and evaluate this historical period, compare it with developments in other countries and reach synthesising conclusions. They will be able to navigate complex issues and historical interpretations, distinguish between them and think in context.

Class syllabus:

Students will learn about the history of Slovakia in the 20th century. It focuses on the key issues of the national history of the 20th century. It brings closer the interpretations of the turning points, helps uncover taboo topics together, and gets to know contemporary society and its personalities. It concentrates on these central themes:

- The 1st Czechoslovak Republic, its origins, place and significance in the history
- Autonomism versus centralism
- The flowering of culture after 1918
- The personalities of the 1st Czechoslovak Republic
- The Slovak state 1939 – 1945, its origins and place in history
- Forms of collaboration in Slovakia
- Persecutions (political opponents, Jews, Gypsies)
- Foreign resistance, domestic resistance and its personalities
- Slovak National Uprising
- Transitional period 1945 – 1948
- The founding period of the communist regime 1948 – 1953
- Persecutions (political trials, forced collectivisation)
- Socialist society
- The attempt at 'socialism with a human face' in 1968
- Normalisation
- Third Resistance
- The Velvet Revolution and the first steps in democracy

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

LETZ, R.: Slovenské dejiny IV. Bratislava : Literárne informačné centrum, 2010.

LETZ, R.: Slovenské dejiny V. Bratislava : Literárne informačné centrum, 2012.

LETZ, R.: Slovenské dejiny VI. Bratislava : Literárne informačné centrum, 2021.

Recommended readings:

BARNOVSKÝ, M.: Na ceste k monopolu moci. Mocenskopolitické zápasy na Slovensku v rokoch 1945 – 1948. Bratislava : Archa, 1993.

HRADSKÁ, K. – KAMENEC, I. a kol.: Slovenská republika 1939 – 1945. Bratislava : VEDA, 2015.

JABLONICKÝ, J.: Povstanie bez legend. Bratislava : Obzor, 1990.

KAMENEC, I.: Po stopách tragédie. Bratislava : Premedia, 2020.

KLIMEK, A.: Boj o hrad. 1. a 2. diel. Praha : Panevropa, 1998.

LIPTÁK, L. (zost.): Politické strany na Slovensku v r. 1860 – 1989. Bratislava : Archa, 1992.
 LONDÁK, M. – SIKORA, S. – LONDÁKOVÁ, E.: Predjarie. Politický, ekonomický a kultúrny vývoj na Slovensku v rokoch 1960 – 1967. Bratislava : VEDA, 2002.
 LONDÁK, M. – SIKORA, S. a kol.: Rok 1968 a jeho miesto v našich dejinách. Bratislava : VEDA, 2009.
 PEŠEK, J – LETZ, R.: Štruktúry moci na Slovensku 1948 – 1989. Prešov : Vydavateľstvo Michala Vaška, 2004.
 RYCHLÍK, J.: Češi a Slováci ve 20. století. Praha : Vyšehrad, 2015.
 VAŠŠ, M.: Slovenská otázka v 1. ČSR (1918 – 1938). Martin : Matica slovenská, 2011.
 ZEMKO, M. – BYSTRICKÝ, V. (eds.): Slovensko v Československu 1918 – 1939. Bratislava : VEDA, 2004.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 256

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
25,78	0,0	25,0	20,7	16,8	8,2	3,52

Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Róbert Letz, PhD.

Last change: 31.10.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde022/22	Course title: History of education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). The students' task is to solve 10 continuously assigned problems during the semester and to participate in the presentation and discussion of their solutions in seminars. The solution of each problem is evaluated on a 3-0 point scale according to specific criteria set for each problem. The maximum number of points possible is 30. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), C (85-76%, well - normal reliable work), D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (67-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 28 points is required for a final grade of A (excellent - outstanding results), a minimum of 25 points is required for a grade of B (very good - above average standard), a minimum of 22 points is required for a grade of C (good - normal reliable work), a minimum of 20 points is required for a grade of D (satisfactory - acceptable results), and a minimum of 18 points is required for a grade of E (satisfactory - results meet the minimum criteria). A grade of FX (Inadequate - extra work required) will be awarded to students scoring 17 points or less. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: Learning outcomes and transferable competences: Upon completion of the course, students will be able to use professional terminology, define the subject, and describe the system of the History of education. They are able to explain the context of the emergence and the main features of the historical currents of educational thought, describe and compare the systems of education and training in different historical periods, explain the views	

and characterize the work of important figures in the history of education and training, critically evaluate them and identify their influence on education and training today.

Working with original historical sources and their contemporary interpretations, students will be able to critically reflect and discuss ethical issues of education and education, the position and roles of the subjects of education and education. In solving, presenting and discussing the results of ongoing assignments, students will develop their analytical and critical thinking, communication, lecturing and metacognitive skills.

Students will be able to use the acquired knowledge and skills creatively in the study of other pedagogical disciplines and in their future pedagogical practice.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

The subject, terminology and system of the History of education. Education and education in ancient and medieval times. Education and pedagogical ideas in the period of humanism and the Renaissance. Life, work and pedagogical views of J. A. Comenius. The development of education and pedagogical thinking in the works of selected representatives in the world (Lock. Rousseau, Pestalozzi, Herbart, Tolstoy) and in the territory of present-day Slovakia (Bel, Tešedík, Fándly, Lehocký) in the 17th-19th centuries. Pedagogical trends and schools of the 20th century in the world. Education in Slovakia in the 20th century. Contemporary trends in education.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

BRŤKOVÁ, M., et al. (2000). Kapitoly z dejín pedagogiky. Bratislava: Pressent, 250 s. ISBN 80-967824-4-4

JŮVA, V. jun., JŮVA, V. sen. (2003). Stručné dějiny pedagogiky. Brno: Paido, 91 s. ISBN 80-7315-062-X

KASPER, T., KASPEROVÁ, D. (2008). Dějiny pedagogiky. Praha: Grada, 224 s. ISBN 978-80-247-2429-4

KUDLÁČOVÁ, B. (2003). Človek a výchova v dejinách európskeho myslenia. Trnava: PF TU, 168 s. ISBN 80-89074-66-9

PORUBSKÝ, Š. (2012). Úryvky z dejín pedagogického myslenia. Banská Bystrica: PF UMB, 110 s. ISBN: 978-80-557-0433-3

PŠENÁK, J. (2012). Dejiny školstva a pedagogiky. Žilina: EDIS-vydavateľstvo ŽUŽ, 167 s. ISBN 978-80-554-0596-4

ŠMAHELOVÁ, B. (2008). Nástin vývoje pedagogického myšlení. Brno: MSD, spol. s r.o., 98 s. ISBN 978-80-7392-040-1.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 335

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
51,94	0,0	12,84	11,34	6,87	9,85	7,16

Lecturers: Ing. Mgr. Jozef Strakoš, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde022/22	Course title: History of education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). The students' task is to solve 10 continuously assigned problems during the semester and to participate in the presentation and discussion of their solutions in seminars. The solution of each problem is evaluated on a 3-0 point scale according to specific criteria set for each problem. The maximum number of points possible is 30. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), C (85-76%, well - normal reliable work), D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (67-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 28 points is required for a final grade of A (excellent - outstanding results), a minimum of 25 points is required for a grade of B (very good - above average standard), a minimum of 22 points is required for a grade of C (good - normal reliable work), a minimum of 20 points is required for a grade of D (satisfactory - acceptable results), and a minimum of 18 points is required for a grade of E (satisfactory - results meet the minimum criteria). A grade of FX (Inadequate - extra work required) will be awarded to students scoring 17 points or less. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: Learning outcomes and transferable competences: Upon completion of the course, students will be able to use professional terminology, define the subject, and describe the system of the History of education. They are able to explain the context of the emergence and the main features of the historical currents of educational thought, describe and compare the systems of education and training in different historical periods, explain the views	

and characterize the work of important figures in the history of education and training, critically evaluate them and identify their influence on education and training today.

Working with original historical sources and their contemporary interpretations, students will be able to critically reflect and discuss ethical issues of education and education, the position and roles of the subjects of education and education. In solving, presenting and discussing the results of ongoing assignments, students will develop their analytical and critical thinking, communication, lecturing and metacognitive skills.

Students will be able to use the acquired knowledge and skills creatively in the study of other pedagogical disciplines and in their future pedagogical practice.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

The subject, terminology and system of the History of education. Education and education in ancient and medieval times. Education and pedagogical ideas in the period of humanism and the Renaissance. Life, work and pedagogical views of J. A. Comenius. The development of education and pedagogical thinking in the works of selected representatives in the world (Lock. Rousseau, Pestalozzi, Herbart, Tolstoy) and in the territory of present-day Slovakia (Bel, Tešedík, Fándly, Lehocký) in the 17th-19th centuries. Pedagogical trends and schools of the 20th century in the world. Education in Slovakia in the 20th century. Contemporary trends in education.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

BRŤKOVÁ, M., et al. (2000). Kapitoly z dejín pedagogiky. Bratislava: Pressent, 250 s. ISBN 80-967824-4-4

JŮVA, V. jun., JŮVA, V. sen. (2003). Stručné dějiny pedagogiky. Brno: Paido, 91 s. ISBN 80-7315-062-X

KASPER, T., KASPEROVÁ, D. (2008). Dějiny pedagogiky. Praha: Grada, 224 s. ISBN 978-80-247-2429-4

KUDLÁČOVÁ, B. (2003). Človek a výchova v dejinách európskeho myslenia. Trnava: PF TU, 168 s. ISBN 80-89074-66-9

PORUBSKÝ, Š. (2012). Úryvky z dejín pedagogického myslenia. Banská Bystrica: PF UMB, 110 s. ISBN: 978-80-557-0433-3

PŠENÁK, J. (2012). Dejiny školstva a pedagogiky. Žilina: EDIS-vydavateľstvo ŽUŽ, 167 s. ISBN 978-80-554-0596-4

ŠMAHELOVÁ, B. (2008). Nástin vývoje pedagogického myšlení. Brno: MSD, spol. s r.o., 98 s. ISBN 978-80-7392-040-1.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 335

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
51,94	0,0	12,84	11,34	6,87	9,85	7,16

Lecturers: Ing. Mgr. Jozef Strakoš, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde014/22	Course title: History of philosophy 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed with an assessment, the midterm/final assessment ratio is 100/0, which includes a final test in the assessment week of the semester (100% of the assessment). A minimum of 60% of the total mark is required to pass the course. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in class during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing assignments using a model, above-average professional knowledge and application of that knowledge in problem solving assignments using tutorials, above-average problem orientation, above-average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above-average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to prepare assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the teacher, good orientation in the subject, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in the class during the semester at the invitation of the teacher. A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the	

instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the problem, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in class during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Completion of the course will provide students with a certain handle on orientation in the nowadays almost opaque tangle of diverse philosophical directions, movements, orientations and attitudes, will provide them with basic categorical-conceptual equipment and methodological instrumentation useful in other special sciences and disciplines (sociology, pedagogy, political science, psychology, etc.), which will help to bridge their particularism in favour of a global vision and solutions to the problems of the contemporary world. Knowledge of the approaches of different philosophical trends to certain problems will facilitate the students' professional and human orientation in the contemporary world, since many pedagogical, economic, social, legal and political concepts are based on these philosophical trends as their conceptual framework. The course also emphasizes the mapping of these overlaps of philosophy into other components of culture. The problem field thus delineated will help the students to reflect and specify their own position and provide arguments for the vindication of their own positions, thus contributing to better self-knowledge and self-identification. History of Philosophy provides students with the experience of encountering the "other", giving guidance and cultivating habits of coping with it, and thus teaching them tolerance and respect for other points of view. By completing the course, the student develops transferable competencies such as communication skills, analytical skills, abstract thinking skills, critical thinking skills, motivation and the ability to learn, and reasoning in context.

Learning objectives and transferable competences:

To familiarize students with the history of European philosophical thought and to provide them with a basic conceptual and methodological apparatus that they will be able to use in other special sciences such as pedagogy, political science, psychology, or sociology.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

History of Philosophy I focuses on the period from Antiquity to the French Enlightenment.

1. Introduction: philosophy and its importance for man; The study of the history of philosophy as an introduction to philosophy; Periodization of the history of philosophy.

2. Ancient Philosophy: the Pre-Socratics (Ionian Philosophy - School of Miletus, Xenophanes, Heracleitos; Philosophy in the West - Pythagoras, Parmenides, Zeno, Empedocles; Reaction of Ionian Philosophy - Anaxagoras, Democritus); Classical Greek Philosophy (Sophists, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle); Hellenistic Period (Stoicism, Epicureanism, Skepticism).

3. Medieval Philosophy: Patristics (Augustine); Early Scholasticism (Eriugena, Anselm of Canterbury, Abaelard); High Scholasticism (Albert the Great, Aquinas, Bonaventure, R. Bacon); Late Scholasticism (Occam, Scotus)

4. Renaissance philosophy: M. Kuzansky; Renaissance Platonism and Aristotelianism (Ficinus, Mirandola, Pompanazzi); Renaissance natural science (Copernicus, Kepler, Galilei)

Renaissance philosophy of nature (Telesio, Bruno); Renaissance social philosophy (Machiavelli, More, Campanella); Renaissance humanism (Erasmus of Rotterdam, Montaigne); Reformation (Luther, Calvin, Münzer, Zwingli) 5. Modern Philosophy: Origins of Modern Empiricism and Mechanistic Materialism (F. Bacon, Hobbes); Modern Rationalism (Descartes, Spinoza, Leibniz); Modern Empiricism (Locke, Berkley, Hume) 6. The Enlightenment in France (Montesquieu, Voltaire, Rousseau, the Encyclopedists, Materialists)																				
Recommended literature: Recommended reading: LEŠKO, V., MIHINA, F. (1993). Dejiny filozofie. Bratislava: Iris, 357 s., ISBN 80-967013-3-9 STORIG, H. J. (2007). Malé dějiny filosofie. Kostelní Vydří: KNA, 653 s. ISBN 978-80-7195-206-0 https://amper.ped.muni.cz/~jonas/umco/St%c3%b6rig-H.---Mal%c3%a9-d%c4%9bjiny-filosofie.pdf . Dějiny filosofie zv. 1-9. Praha: Oikoymenh, 2001 – 2020. Antológia z diel filozofov - Predsokratovci a Platón. Bratislava: IRIS, 607 s. 1998. Antológia z diel filozofov - Od Aristotela po Plotina. Bratislava: IRIS, 600 s. 2007. Antológia z diel filozofov - Patristika a scholastika. Bratislava: Dobrá kniha, 472 s. 2009. Antológia z diel filozofov - Humanizmus a renesancia. Bratislava: IRIS, 560 s. 2009. Antológia z diel filozofov V. Novoveká empirická a osvietenská filozofia. Bratislava: VPL, 539 s. 1976. Antológia z diel filozofov VI. Novoveká racionalistická filozofia. Bratislava: Epoque, 784 s. 1970.																				
Languages necessary to complete the course: slovak and czech																				
Notes:																				
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 581 <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>A</th><th>ABS</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th><th>FX</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>36,83</td><td>0,0</td><td>30,46</td><td>13,08</td><td>7,06</td><td>4,99</td><td>7,57</td></tr> </tbody> </table>							A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX	36,83	0,0	30,46	13,08	7,06	4,99	7,57
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX														
36,83	0,0	30,46	13,08	7,06	4,99	7,57														
Lecturers: Mgr. Michal Bizoň, PhD., PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.																				
Last change: 09.11.2022																				
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.																				

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde014/22	Course title: History of philosophy 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed with an assessment, the midterm/final assessment ratio is 100/0, which includes a final test in the assessment week of the semester (100% of the assessment). A minimum of 60% of the total mark is required to pass the course. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in class during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing assignments using a model, above-average professional knowledge and application of that knowledge in problem solving assignments using tutorials, above-average problem orientation, above-average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above-average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to prepare assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the teacher, good orientation in the subject, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in the class during the semester at the invitation of the teacher. A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the	

instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the problem, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in class during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Completion of the course will provide students with a certain handle on orientation in the nowadays almost opaque tangle of diverse philosophical directions, movements, orientations and attitudes, will provide them with basic categorical-conceptual equipment and methodological instrumentation useful in other special sciences and disciplines (sociology, pedagogy, political science, psychology, etc.), which will help to bridge their particularism in favour of a global vision and solutions to the problems of the contemporary world. Knowledge of the approaches of different philosophical trends to certain problems will facilitate the students' professional and human orientation in the contemporary world, since many pedagogical, economic, social, legal and political concepts are based on these philosophical trends as their conceptual framework. The course also emphasizes the mapping of these overlaps of philosophy into other components of culture. The problem field thus delineated will help the students to reflect and specify their own position and provide arguments for the vindication of their own positions, thus contributing to better self-knowledge and self-identification. History of Philosophy provides students with the experience of encountering the "other", giving guidance and cultivating habits of coping with it, and thus teaching them tolerance and respect for other points of view. By completing the course, the student develops transferable competencies such as communication skills, analytical skills, abstract thinking skills, critical thinking skills, motivation and the ability to learn, and reasoning in context.

Learning objectives and transferable competences:

To familiarize students with the history of European philosophical thought and to provide them with a basic conceptual and methodological apparatus that they will be able to use in other special sciences such as pedagogy, political science, psychology, or sociology.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

History of Philosophy I focuses on the period from Antiquity to the French Enlightenment.

1. Introduction: philosophy and its importance for man; The study of the history of philosophy as an introduction to philosophy; Periodization of the history of philosophy.
2. Ancient Philosophy: the Pre-Socratics (Ionian Philosophy - School of Miletus, Xenophanes, Heracleitos; Philosophy in the West - Pythagoras, Parmenides, Zeno, Empedocles; Reaction of Ionian Philosophy - Anaxagoras, Democritus); Classical Greek Philosophy (Sophists, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle); Hellenistic Period (Stoicism, Epicureanism, Skepticism).
3. Medieval Philosophy: Patristics (Augustine); Early Scholasticism (Eriugena, Anselm of Canterbury, Abaelard); High Scholasticism (Albert the Great, Aquinas, Bonaventure, R. Bacon); Late Scholasticism (Occam, Scotus)
4. Renaissance philosophy: M. Kuzansky; Renaissance Platonism and Aristotelianism (Ficinus, Mirandola, Pompanazzi); Renaissance natural science (Copernicus, Kepler, Galilei)

Renaissance philosophy of nature (Telesio, Bruno); Renaissance social philosophy (Machiavelli, More, Campanella); Renaissance humanism (Erasmus of Rotterdam, Montaigne); Reformation (Luther, Calvin, Münzer, Zwingli) 5. Modern Philosophy: Origins of Modern Empiricism and Mechanistic Materialism (F. Bacon, Hobbes); Modern Rationalism (Descartes, Spinoza, Leibniz); Modern Empiricism (Locke, Berkley, Hume) 6. The Enlightenment in France (Montesquieu, Voltaire, Rousseau, the Encyclopedists, Materialists)						
Recommended literature: Recommended reading: LEŠKO, V., MIHINA, F. (1993). Dejiny filozofie. Bratislava: Iris, 357 s., ISBN 80-967013-3-9 STORIG, H. J. (2007). Malé dějiny filosofie. Kostelní Vydří: KNA, 653 s. ISBN 978-80-7195-206-0 https://amper.ped.muni.cz/~jonas/umco/St%c3%b6rig-H.---Mal%c3%a9-d%c4%9bjiny-filosofie.pdf . Dějiny filosofie zv. 1-9. Praha: Oikoymenh, 2001 – 2020. Antológia z diel filozofov - Predsokratovci a Platón. Bratislava: IRIS, 607 s. 1998. Antológia z diel filozofov - Od Aristotela po Plotina. Bratislava: IRIS, 600 s. 2007. Antológia z diel filozofov - Patristika a scholastika. Bratislava: Dobrá kniha, 472 s. 2009. Antológia z diel filozofov - Humanizmus a renesancia. Bratislava: IRIS, 560 s. 2009. Antológia z diel filozofov V. Novoveká empirická a osvietenská filozofia. Bratislava: VPL, 539 s. 1976. Antológia z diel filozofov VI. Novoveká racionalistická filozofia. Bratislava: Epoque, 784 s. 1970.						
Languages necessary to complete the course: slovak and czech						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 581						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
36,83	0,0	30,46	13,08	7,06	4,99	7,57
Lecturers: Mgr. Michal Bizoň, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde015/15		Course title: History of philosophy 2				
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 90						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
35,56	0,0	34,44	15,56	5,56	4,44	4,44
Lecturers: PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.						
Last change: 03.09.2016						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde015/15		Course title: History of philosophy 2				
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 90						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
35,56	0,0	34,44	15,56	5,56	4,44	4,44
Lecturers: PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.						
Last change: 03.09.2016						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde005/22	Course title: History of philosophy I.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture+seminar, 2 hours/week, i.e., 22 hours per semester, combined method (mostly full-time) Student workload: 11 x (2) hours of direct teaching = 22 hours; 33 hours of individual preparation for classes (including written); 30 hours of preparation for final test; 35 hours of preparation for exam. 120 hours total. Learning methods: The individual preparation consists mainly of work with the text (selected passages from the works of major philosophers that are relevant to the subject); during the common lessons, it is mainly monological methods of lecturing, interpretation and explanation, which are suitably supplemented by dialogical methods such as conversation, discussion, the Socratic method, and also work with the text.	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde004/22 - Philosophical propedeutics	
Recommended prerequisites: B-VOBde004 Philosophical propedeutics	
Course requirements: The course ends with an oral examination. Weighting of interim and final marks: 50/50 Interim assessment includes: preparation of summaries for assigned texts; final test. To pass the course, a minimum of 60% marks in the outlines, 60% in the test and 60% in the oral examination is required. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), C (85-76%, good - normal reliable work), D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (67-60%, satisfactory - results meet the minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, inadequate - extra work required). The assessment conditions in each assessment area will be specified in the course syllabus. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50	
Learning outcomes:	

Completion of the course will provide students with a certain handle on orientation in the nowadays almost opaque tangle of diverse philosophical directions, movements, orientations and attitudes, will provide them with basic categorical-conceptual equipment and methodological instrumentation useful in other special sciences and disciplines (sociology, pedagogy, political science, psychology, etc.), which will help to bridge their particularism in favour of a global vision and solutions to the problems of the contemporary world. Knowledge of the approaches of different philosophical trends to certain problems will facilitate the students' professional and human orientation in the contemporary world, since many pedagogical, economic, social, legal and political concepts are also based on these philosophical trends as their conceptual framework. The course also emphasizes the mapping of these overlaps of philosophy into other components of culture. The problem field thus delineated will help the students to reflect and specify their own position and provide arguments for the vindication of their own positions, thus contributing to better self-knowledge and self-identification. History of Philosophy provides students with the experience of encountering the "other", giving guidance and cultivating habits of coping with it, and thus teaching them tolerance and respect for other points of view. By completing the course, the student develops transferable competencies such as communication skills, analytical skills, abstract thinking skills, critical thinking skills, motivation and the ability to learn, and reasoning in context.

Learning objectives:

To familiarize students with the history of European philosophical thought and to provide them with a basic conceptual and methodological apparatus that they will be able to use in other special sciences such as pedagogy, political science, psychology, or sociology.

Class syllabus:

1. Introduction: philosophy and its importance for man; The study of the history of philosophy as an introduction to philosophy; Periodization of the history of philosophy.
2. Ancient Philosophy: Pre-Socratics (Ionian philosophy - School of Miletus, Xenophanes, Heracleitos; Philosophy in the West - Pythagoras, Parmenides, Zeno, Empedocles; Reaction of Ionian philosophy - Anaxagoras, Democritus); Classical Greek Philosophy (Sophists, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle); Hellenistic period (Stoicism, Epicureanism, Skepticism).
3. Medieval Philosophy: Patristics (Augustine); Early Scholasticism (Eriugena, Anselm of Canterbury, Abélard); High Scholasticism (Albert the Great, Aquinas, Bonaventure, R. Bacon); Late Scholasticism (Occam, Scotus)
- Renaissance philosophy: M. Kuzansky; Renaissance Platonism and Aristotelianism (Ficinus, Mirandola, Pompanazzi); Renaissance natural science (Copernicus, Kepler, Galileo)
- Renaissance philosophy of nature (Telesio, Bruno); Renaissance social philosophy (Machiavelli, More, Campanella); Renaissance humanism (Erasmus of Rotterdam, Montaigne); Reformation (Luther, Calvin, Münzer, Zwingli)
5. Modern Philosophy: Origins of Modern Empiricism and Mechanistic Materialism (F. Bacon, Hobbes); Modern Rationalism (Descartes, Spinoza, Leibniz); Modern Empiricism (Locke, Berkley, Hume)
6. Enlightenment in France (Montesquieu, Voltaire, Rousseau, Encyclopedists, Materialists)
7. Enlightenment in Germany (Kant)

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

Selected passages from the works:

Antológia z diel filozofov - Predsokratovci a Platón. Bratislava: IRIS, 1998.

Antológia z diel filozofov - Od Aristotela po Plotina. Bratislava: IRIS, 2007.

Antológia z diel filozofov III. - Patristika a scholastika. Bratislava: Pravda, 1975.

Antológia z diel filozofov - Humanizmus a renesancia. Bratislava: IRIS, 2009.
 Antológia z diel filozofov V. Novoveká empirická a osvietenská filozofia. Bratislava: VPL, 1976.
 Antológia z diel filozofov VI. Novoveká racionalistická filozofia. Bratislava: Epoque, 1970.
 Recommended readings:
 Antológia z diel filozofov - Patristika a scholastika. Bratislava: Dobrá kniha, 2009.
 Leško, V. – Mihina, F.: Dejiny filozofie. Bratislava: Iris, 1993.
 Scruton, R.: Krátké dějiny novověké filosofie. Od Descarta k Wittgesteinovi. Brno: Barrister & Principal, 2005.
 Storig, H. J.: Malé dějiny filosofie. Kostelní Vydří: KNA, 2007.
 Dějiny filosofie zv. 1-9. Praha: Oikymenh, 2001 – 2020.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 844

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
31,16	0,0	29,38	19,19	9,0	4,38	6,87

Lecturers: Mgr. Michal Bizoň, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde005/22	Course title: History of philosophy I.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture+seminar, 2 hours/week, i.e., 22 hours per semester, combined method (mostly full-time) Student workload: 11 x (2) hours of direct teaching = 22 hours; 33 hours of individual preparation for classes (including written); 30 hours of preparation for final test; 35 hours of preparation for exam. 120 hours total. Learning methods: The individual preparation consists mainly of work with the text (selected passages from the works of major philosophers that are relevant to the subject); during the common lessons, it is mainly monological methods of lecturing, interpretation and explanation, which are suitably supplemented by dialogical methods such as conversation, discussion, the Socratic method, and also work with the text.	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde004/22 - Philosophical propedeutics	
Recommended prerequisites: B-VOBde004 Philosophical propedeutics	
Course requirements: The course ends with an oral examination. Weighting of interim and final marks: 50/50 Interim assessment includes: preparation of summaries for assigned texts; final test. To pass the course, a minimum of 60% marks in the outlines, 60% in the test and 60% in the oral examination is required. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), C (85-76%, good - normal reliable work), D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (67-60%, satisfactory - results meet the minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, inadequate - extra work required). The assessment conditions in each assessment area will be specified in the course syllabus. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50	
Learning outcomes:	

Completion of the course will provide students with a certain handle on orientation in the nowadays almost opaque tangle of diverse philosophical directions, movements, orientations and attitudes, will provide them with basic categorical-conceptual equipment and methodological instrumentation useful in other special sciences and disciplines (sociology, pedagogy, political science, psychology, etc.), which will help to bridge their particularism in favour of a global vision and solutions to the problems of the contemporary world. Knowledge of the approaches of different philosophical trends to certain problems will facilitate the students' professional and human orientation in the contemporary world, since many pedagogical, economic, social, legal and political concepts are also based on these philosophical trends as their conceptual framework. The course also emphasizes the mapping of these overlaps of philosophy into other components of culture. The problem field thus delineated will help the students to reflect and specify their own position and provide arguments for the vindication of their own positions, thus contributing to better self-knowledge and self-identification. History of Philosophy provides students with the experience of encountering the "other", giving guidance and cultivating habits of coping with it, and thus teaching them tolerance and respect for other points of view. By completing the course, the student develops transferable competencies such as communication skills, analytical skills, abstract thinking skills, critical thinking skills, motivation and the ability to learn, and reasoning in context.

Learning objectives:

To familiarize students with the history of European philosophical thought and to provide them with a basic conceptual and methodological apparatus that they will be able to use in other special sciences such as pedagogy, political science, psychology, or sociology.

Class syllabus:

1. Introduction: philosophy and its importance for man; The study of the history of philosophy as an introduction to philosophy; Periodization of the history of philosophy.
2. Ancient Philosophy: Pre-Socratics (Ionian philosophy - School of Miletus, Xenophanes, Heracleitos; Philosophy in the West - Pythagoras, Parmenides, Zeno, Empedocles; Reaction of Ionian philosophy - Anaxagoras, Democritus); Classical Greek Philosophy (Sophists, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle); Hellenistic period (Stoicism, Epicureanism, Skepticism).
3. Medieval Philosophy: Patristics (Augustine); Early Scholasticism (Eriugena, Anselm of Canterbury, Abélard); High Scholasticism (Albert the Great, Aquinas, Bonaventure, R. Bacon); Late Scholasticism (Occam, Scotus)
- Renaissance philosophy: M. Kuzansky; Renaissance Platonism and Aristotelianism (Ficinus, Mirandola, Pompanazzi); Renaissance natural science (Copernicus, Kepler, Galileo)
- Renaissance philosophy of nature (Telesio, Bruno); Renaissance social philosophy (Machiavelli, More, Campanella); Renaissance humanism (Erasmus of Rotterdam, Montaigne); Reformation (Luther, Calvin, Münzer, Zwingli)
5. Modern Philosophy: Origins of Modern Empiricism and Mechanistic Materialism (F. Bacon, Hobbes); Modern Rationalism (Descartes, Spinoza, Leibniz); Modern Empiricism (Locke, Berkley, Hume)
6. Enlightenment in France (Montesquieu, Voltaire, Rousseau, Encyclopedists, Materialists)
7. Enlightenment in Germany (Kant)

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

Selected passages from the works:

Antológia z diel filozofov - Predsokratovci a Platón. Bratislava: IRIS, 1998.

Antológia z diel filozofov - Od Aristotela po Plotina. Bratislava: IRIS, 2007.

Antológia z diel filozofov III. - Patristika a scholastika. Bratislava: Pravda, 1975.

Antológia z diel filozofov - Humanizmus a renesancia. Bratislava: IRIS, 2009.
 Antológia z diel filozofov V. Novoveká empirická a osvietenská filozofia. Bratislava: VPL, 1976.
 Antológia z diel filozofov VI. Novoveká racionalistická filozofia. Bratislava: Epocha, 1970.
 Recommended readings:
 Antológia z diel filozofov - Patristika a scholastika. Bratislava: Dobrá kniha, 2009.
 Leško, V. – Mihina, F.: Dejiny filozofie. Bratislava: Iris, 1993.
 Scruton, R.: Krátké dějiny novověké filosofie. Od Descarta k Wittgesteinovi. Brno: Barrister & Principal, 2005.
 Storig, H. J.: Malé dějiny filosofie. Kostelní Vydří: KNA, 2007.
 Dějiny filosofie zv. 1-9. Praha: Oikoymenh, 2001 – 2020.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 844

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
31,16	0,0	29,38	19,19	9,0	4,38	6,87

Lecturers: Mgr. Michal Bizoň, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde006/22	Course title: History of philosophy II.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture + seminar; 2 hours weekly/22 hours per semester, blended learning (mainly face-to-face) Student workload: 22 hours of face-to-face learning, 28 hours to work on written assignment, 50 hours of preparation for the final test, 20 hours of preparation for the oral examination. 120 hours in total. Teaching methods: - Problem-based learning. - In seminars: Discussion of the topics covered, work with academic texts.	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde005/22 - History of philosophy I.	
Recommended prerequisites: B-VOBde005 – History of philosophy I.	
Course requirements: Weighting of continuous/final evaluation: 50/50 (the course is completed with an examination) The continuous evaluation includes the written assignment - a structured interpretation of a specific philosophical text related to the period in the history of philosophy in question (30% of the grade) The final evaluation includes a final didactic test (50% of the grade) and an oral examination focused on the understanding of the material (20% of the grade). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the total score is required. Grading Scale: A 100-91%, well done - excellent results. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (the extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the written assignment mark and the oral examination results, corresponds to an A grade. Note: The mark or percentage of the written assignment reflects the extent to which the assignment is consistent with the criteria published in the assignment. The didactic test contains tasks of varying cognitive difficulty, so that it stratifies students not only according to the extent of knowledge acquired. Both the test and the written assignment are designed to verify the specified learning outcomes. The oral examination is aimed at verifying understanding of the material. B 90-81%, very good - above average standard. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in	

terms of points or percentage, together with the written assignment mark and the oral examination results, corresponds to a B grade.

C 80-73%, good - normal reliable work. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the written assignment mark and the oral examination results, corresponds to a C.

D 72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable performance. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the written assignment mark and the oral examination results, corresponds to a D.

E 65-60%, sufficient. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the written assignment mark and the oral examination results, corresponds to an E.

Fx 59-0%, inadequate. The student has not met the required thresholds for passing the course.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the History of Philosophy II course is to present philosophy and its history as a kind of laboratory of human thought and the intellectual achievements of individual philosophers as an inspiring demonstration of how human thought was born, changed over time, and refined and qualitatively developed in the encounter with other points of view. History will be presented as a dialogue in which different, often contradictory views and ideological positions not only clash but also converge. The course will also aim, by comparing philosophical thought in different historical periods, to highlight the basic thematic shifts and changes in the logic of philosophical reasoning and methods of philosophical engagement with the world that have taken place during its history (with a particular focus on contemporary philosophical thought). The student will know the extra-philosophical (cultural-historical) and intra-philosophical preconditions of these changes. The student knows the preconditions for the emergence and formation of selected philosophical trends and orientations and can identify their overlaps with relevant disciplines and other components of culture. The student will also acquire the conceptual and methodological tools related to the profile directions of contemporary philosophical thought. Knowledge of the different approaches of different philosophical trends and their key representatives of certain problems and themes will facilitate students' professional and human orientation in the contemporary world, since many contemporary pedagogical, economic, social, legal and political concepts are based on their conceptual framework. The problem field defined in this way will help students reflect and refine their own position and provide them with arguments for defending their own views and attitudes on certain professional and social topics. The history of philosophy will be presented in a way that allows students to experience the encounter with the "other", thus exposing them to the necessity of coming to terms with plurality and heterogeneity of opinion to teach them tolerance and respect for other opinions and their bearers. It is also an expected goal of a course in the history of philosophy that the discussions that the issues raised by contemporary philosophical thought naturally evoke will cultivate in students the ability to think critically and abstractly and hone their argumentation and communication skills.

Class syllabus:

History of Philosophy II focuses on the period from the end of the German Enlightenment to the present. Criterial features that phrase the thought of that period into certain more ideologically compact sections and formations (paradigms). General characteristics of the philosophical thought developed in that period and the key ideological and thematic shifts that philosophical thought has undergone in comparison with its previous historical forms (literary turn, linguistic turn, pictorial

turn, turn to the everyday, departure from metaphysics, questioning of rationalist attitudes and historical optimism, departure from anthropocentrism, etc.). Representative philosophical trends and orientations developed within contemporary philosophical thought with a closer focus on their cultural-historical and socio-political background, their symptomatic features, methods and their own conceptual maps. The influence of contemporary philosophical thought on selected disciplines, the arts (literature, film and visual arts) and socio-political thought.						
Recommended literature: STORIG, H. J. 2007. Malé dějiny filosofie. Praha: Karmelitánské nakladatelství. ISBN 978-80-7195-206-0. Recommended readings: LEŠKO, V., MIHINA, F. 1993. Dejiny filozofie. Bratislava: Iris, 2. vyd. ISBN 80-967013-8-X. PETŘÍČEK, M. 1999. Úvod do (současné) filosofie. Praha: Herrmann & synové. ISBN 978-80-8003-305-7.						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 301						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
18,27	0,0	16,94	30,9	20,6	10,3	2,99
Lecturers: doc. PhDr. Branislav Malík, CSc.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde006/22	Course title: History of philosophy II.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture + seminar; 2 hours weekly/22 hours per semester, blended learning (mainly face-to-face) Student workload: 22 hours of face-to-face learning, 28 hours to work on written assignment, 50 hours of preparation for the final test, 20 hours of preparation for the oral examination. 120 hours in total. Teaching methods: - Problem-based learning. - In seminars: Discussion of the topics covered, work with academic texts.	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde005/22 - History of philosophy I.	
Recommended prerequisites: B-VOBde005 – History of philosophy I.	
Course requirements: Weighting of continuous/final evaluation: 50/50 (the course is completed with an examination) The continuous evaluation includes the written assignment - a structured interpretation of a specific philosophical text related to the period in the history of philosophy in question (30% of the grade) The final evaluation includes a final didactic test (50% of the grade) and an oral examination focused on the understanding of the material (20% of the grade). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the total score is required. Grading Scale: A 100-91%, well done - excellent results. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (the extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the written assignment mark and the oral examination results, corresponds to an A grade. Note: The mark or percentage of the written assignment reflects the extent to which the assignment is consistent with the criteria published in the assignment. The didactic test contains tasks of varying cognitive difficulty, so that it stratifies students not only according to the extent of knowledge acquired. Both the test and the written assignment are designed to verify the specified learning outcomes. The oral examination is aimed at verifying understanding of the material. B 90-81%, very good - above average standard. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in	

terms of points or percentage, together with the written assignment mark and the oral examination results, corresponds to a B grade.

C 80-73%, good - normal reliable work. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the written assignment mark and the oral examination results, corresponds to a C.

D 72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable performance. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the written assignment mark and the oral examination results, corresponds to a D.

E 65-60%, sufficient. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the written assignment mark and the oral examination results, corresponds to an E.

Fx 59-0%, inadequate. The student has not met the required thresholds for passing the course.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the History of Philosophy II course is to present philosophy and its history as a kind of laboratory of human thought and the intellectual achievements of individual philosophers as an inspiring demonstration of how human thought was born, changed over time, and refined and qualitatively developed in the encounter with other points of view. History will be presented as a dialogue in which different, often contradictory views and ideological positions not only clash but also converge. The course will also aim, by comparing philosophical thought in different historical periods, to highlight the basic thematic shifts and changes in the logic of philosophical reasoning and methods of philosophical engagement with the world that have taken place during its history (with a particular focus on contemporary philosophical thought). The student will know the extra-philosophical (cultural-historical) and intra-philosophical preconditions of these changes. The student knows the preconditions for the emergence and formation of selected philosophical trends and orientations and can identify their overlaps with relevant disciplines and other components of culture. The student will also acquire the conceptual and methodological tools related to the profile directions of contemporary philosophical thought. Knowledge of the different approaches of different philosophical trends and their key representatives of certain problems and themes will facilitate students' professional and human orientation in the contemporary world, since many contemporary pedagogical, economic, social, legal and political concepts are based on their conceptual framework. The problem field defined in this way will help students reflect and refine their own position and provide them with arguments for defending their own views and attitudes on certain professional and social topics. The history of philosophy will be presented in a way that allows students to experience the encounter with the "other", thus exposing them to the necessity of coming to terms with plurality and heterogeneity of opinion to teach them tolerance and respect for other opinions and their bearers. It is also an expected goal of a course in the history of philosophy that the discussions that the issues raised by contemporary philosophical thought naturally evoke will cultivate in students the ability to think critically and abstractly and hone their argumentation and communication skills.

Class syllabus:

History of Philosophy II focuses on the period from the end of the German Enlightenment to the present. Criterial features that phrase the thought of that period into certain more ideologically compact sections and formations (paradigms). General characteristics of the philosophical thought developed in that period and the key ideological and thematic shifts that philosophical thought has undergone in comparison with its previous historical forms (literary turn, linguistic turn, pictorial

turn, turn to the everyday, departure from metaphysics, questioning of rationalist attitudes and historical optimism, departure from anthropocentrism, etc.). Representative philosophical trends and orientations developed within contemporary philosophical thought with a closer focus on their cultural-historical and socio-political background, their symptomatic features, methods and their own conceptual maps. The influence of contemporary philosophical thought on selected disciplines, the arts (literature, film and visual arts) and socio-political thought.						
Recommended literature: STORIG, H. J. 2007. Malé dějiny filosofie. Praha: Karmelitánské nakladatelství. ISBN 978-80-7195-206-0. Recommended readings: LEŠKO, V., MIHINA, F. 1993. Dejiny filozofie. Bratislava: Iris, 2. vyd. ISBN 80-967013-8-X. PETŘÍČEK, M. 1999. Úvod do (současné) filosofie. Praha: Herrmann & synové. ISBN 978-80-8003-305-7.						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 301						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
18,27	0,0	16,94	30,9	20,6	10,3	2,99
Lecturers: doc. PhDr. Branislav Malík, CSc.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde027/20			Course title: Internship I.			
Educational activities: Type of activities: internship Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 32s Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 27						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 24.02.2025						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde027/20			Course title: Internship I.			
Educational activities: Type of activities: internship Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 32s Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 27						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 24.02.2025						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde017/15		Course title: Introduction to gender studies				
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 418						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
75,36	0,0	7,42	7,18	3,35	2,39	4,31
Lecturers: Mgr. Veronika Valkovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 03.09.2016						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde017/15		Course title: Introduction to gender studies				
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 418						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
75,36	0,0	7,42	7,18	3,35	2,39	4,31
Lecturers: Mgr. Veronika Valkovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 03.09.2016						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde001/22	Course title: Introduction to the citizenship education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours/week, i.e., a total of 22 hours per semester, combined method (mostly full-time) Student workload: 11x2 direct teaching = 22 hours per semester. 20 hours preparation of continuous work, 30 hours preparation of the final thesis, 28 hours guided self-study and preparation for the seminar, total 100 hours per semester. Teaching methods: discussion of the topic, small group work, solving model situations, analysis and interpretation of literary sources, analysis of educational documents, guided self-study	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim and final marks: 100/0 Quality of the interim and final work. The topic of the thesis. The student identifies a selected situation in which a public interest is manifested, and the participants must exercise their civic competence. He/she names the situation, interprets its main layers, and identifies the civic competencies that are manifested in it. In the case of combined and e-learning teaching, successfully solving sub-tasks given in e-learning (moodle.uniba.sk) on the same topic as in face-to-face teaching. The ability to orient oneself in the basics of education for citizenship in Slovak education, to search and analyze educational documents of Slovak education, to solve model situations of civic life and the ability to apply the acquired knowledge to them, to construct logical arguments, the ability to orient oneself in the theoretical sources for education for citizenship is evaluated. Evaluation: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), students originally, in detail, adequately and accurately name a current problem in society that concerns public life, originally interpret its layers, B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), students adequately name a current problem in society that relates to public life, creatively interpret its layers, C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), students name a problem in society that relates to public life, interpret its layers, D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable performance), students name a problem in society that relates to public life and fail to distinguish its major layers,	

E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet the minimum criteria), students only simply name a problem in society that relates to public life,
 Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), students cannot adequately name a problem in society that relates to public life and cannot distinguish between its different layers.
 Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The student knows the structure and goals of citizenship education, knows, and identifies the manifestations of citizenship in real life. The student can analyze different situations where citizenship is realized and manifested. The student distinguishes the main civic virtues, rights, and responsibilities He/she develops the ability of argumentation and independent critical thinking in the issues of civic life and in its theoretical reflection. Understands the position and basic structure of civics in the National Curriculum.

Class syllabus:

Targeting citizenship education. The structure and layers of citizenship. Civic virtues, duties, rights, responsibilities, competences of a citizen. Manifestations of citizenship in real life. Exercise of rights and duties of a citizen. National Curriculum, the subject Civic Education. Principles of its teaching, principles of extracurricular education for citizenship. The main institutions dealing with citizenship education in the Slovak Republic.

Recommended literature:

Where are we? Mental maps of Slovakia. 2010. M. Bútorá, M. Kollár, G. M. Mesežnikov, Z. Bútorová (ed.) Bratislava : Kalligram. ISBN 978-80-8934-519-9
 MISTRÍK, E. - GAŽOVIČ, O. - BOLEČEKOVÁ, M. - PROFANT, T. - GODOVIČOVÁ, E. - KURČÍKOVÁ, K. - KAMARÁŠOVÁ, L. - ČERNOTA, M. - CENKER, M. 2014: Development education. Topics and methods. J. Cenker (ed.). Bratislava : Pontis. ISBN 978-8-971310-2-9. s. 6-34
 MISTRÍK, E. - ČUCHRANOVÁ, D. - DÚBRAVOVÁ, V. - LYSÝ, J. - MALÍK, B. 2001: Citizen and citizenship. Bratislava : Pope Print. ISBN 80-968626-6-9
 Citizenship, participation and deliberation in Slovakia. Theory and reality. 2010. J. Plichtová (ed.) Bratislava : Veda. ISBN 978-80-224117-3-8
 Existing textbooks for civic education in primary and secondary schools.
 Students independently search for additional literature as needed for their final coursework, as the analysis of various civic issues requires special resources.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 414

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
51,69	0,0	27,05	8,7	2,9	3,14	6,52

Lecturers: Mgr. Timotej Smutný

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde001/22	Course title: Introduction to the citizenship education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours/week, i.e., a total of 22 hours per semester, combined method (mostly full-time) Student workload: 11x2 direct teaching = 22 hours per semester. 20 hours preparation of continuous work, 30 hours preparation of the final thesis, 28 hours guided self-study and preparation for the seminar, total 100 hours per semester. Teaching methods: discussion of the topic, small group work, solving model situations, analysis and interpretation of literary sources, analysis of educational documents, guided self-study	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim and final marks: 100/0 Quality of the interim and final work. The topic of the thesis. The student identifies a selected situation in which a public interest is manifested, and the participants must exercise their civic competence. He/she names the situation, interprets its main layers, and identifies the civic competencies that are manifested in it. In the case of combined and e-learning teaching, successfully solving sub-tasks given in e-learning (moodle.uniba.sk) on the same topic as in face-to-face teaching. The ability to orient oneself in the basics of education for citizenship in Slovak education, to search and analyze educational documents of Slovak education, to solve model situations of civic life and the ability to apply the acquired knowledge to them, to construct logical arguments, the ability to orient oneself in the theoretical sources for education for citizenship is evaluated. Evaluation: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), students originally, in detail, adequately and accurately name a current problem in society that concerns public life, originally interpret its layers, B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), students adequately name a current problem in society that relates to public life, creatively interpret its layers, C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), students name a problem in society that relates to public life, interpret its layers, D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable performance), students name a problem in society that relates to public life and fail to distinguish its major layers,	

E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet the minimum criteria), students only simply name a problem in society that relates to public life,
 Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), students cannot adequately name a problem in society that relates to public life and cannot distinguish between its different layers.
 Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The student knows the structure and goals of citizenship education, knows, and identifies the manifestations of citizenship in real life. The student can analyze different situations where citizenship is realized and manifested. The student distinguishes the main civic virtues, rights, and responsibilities He/she develops the ability of argumentation and independent critical thinking in the issues of civic life and in its theoretical reflection. Understands the position and basic structure of civics in the National Curriculum.

Class syllabus:

Targeting citizenship education. The structure and layers of citizenship. Civic virtues, duties, rights, responsibilities, competences of a citizen. Manifestations of citizenship in real life. Exercise of rights and duties of a citizen. National Curriculum, the subject Civic Education. Principles of its teaching, principles of extracurricular education for citizenship. The main institutions dealing with citizenship education in the Slovak Republic.

Recommended literature:

Where are we? Mental maps of Slovakia. 2010. M. Bútorá, M. Kollár, G. M. Mesežnikov, Z. Bútorová (ed.) Bratislava : Kalligram. ISBN 978-80-8934-519-9
 MISTRÍK, E. - GAŽOVIČ, O. - BOLEČEKOVÁ, M. - PROFANT, T. - GODOVIČOVÁ, E. - KURČÍKOVÁ, K. - KAMARÁŠOVÁ, L. - ČERNOTA, M. - CENKER, M. 2014: Development education. Topics and methods. J. Cenker (ed.). Bratislava : Pontis. ISBN 978-8-971310-2-9. s. 6-34
 MISTRÍK, E. - ČUCHRANOVÁ, D. - DÚBRAVOVÁ, V. - LYSÝ, J. - MALÍK, B. 2001: Citizen and citizenship. Bratislava : Pope Print. ISBN 80-968626-6-9
 Citizenship, participation and deliberation in Slovakia. Theory and reality. 2010. J. Plichtová (ed.) Bratislava : Veda. ISBN 978-80-224117-3-8
 Existing textbooks for civic education in primary and secondary schools.
 Students independently search for additional literature as needed for their final coursework, as the analysis of various civic issues requires special resources.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 414

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
51,69	0,0	27,05	8,7	2,9	3,14	6,52

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde011/22	Course title: Introduction to the study of history
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours lecture/week, 22 hours total per semester, combined form; (primarily in person) Student workload: 2P (2 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 38 hours of exam preparation; 60 hours of student work in total. Educational methods: problem-solving exercises; discussion of the subject; e-learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 10 % of the interim and 90 % of the final evaluation. The student takes the test in the middle of the semester, from which the student may obtain a maximum of 20 points. In the examination period, the student takes an oral examination. In the oral examination, the student will draw two questions. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (excellent - excellent results, the student is oriented in the issue, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject matter, but critical thinking is borderline), C (good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the subject, has learned the subject, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can navigate the issue only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), Fx (insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic understanding, and does not demonstrate the ability to think critically).	
Learning outcomes: The course focuses on understanding the key concepts of history, its current relevance, acquiring basic knowledge of bibliography, working with historical sources and literature, and citation methods that students will use in their studies when writing Seminar and diploma theses in their	

future pedagogical and professional work. By completing the course, the student will acquire the basic concepts and methods of the historian's work and the prerequisites for their practical use. The student will develop the ability to combine theoretical knowledge with practical skills.

Class syllabus:

- The subject and mission of history
- Procedures and stages of historical research
- Bibliographies
- Study of historical literature
- Writing and documentation
- Classification of historical sources
- Unwritten sources
- Written sources
- Archives and archival aids
- Methods of historical research
- Written processing of research results

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

BLOCH, M.: Obrana historie aneb historik a jeho řemeslo. Praha : Svoboda, 1967.

BARTL, J.: Úvod do štúdia dejepisu, Bratislava : UK, 1997.

Od Hegela k Fukuyamovi. In: Dějiny a současnost 2/1991.

HAVELKA, M.: Dějiny a smysl . Praha : KDS, 1999.

Úvod do studia dějepisu I., II. Brno : Masarykova univerzita, 2014.

FERIANCOVÁ, A. – GLEJTEK, M (eds.): Prameň – jeho funkcia, význam, interpretácia a limity v historickom výskume. Nitra 2010.

Recommended readings:

LE GOFF, J.: Středověký člověk a jeho svět. Praha : Vyšehrad, 1999.

DAWSON, Ch.: Porozumět Evropě. Praha : Zvon, 1995.

ČORNEJ, P.: Tajemství českých kronik. Litomyšl : Paseka, 2003.

LIPTÁK, L.: Storočie dlhšie ako sto rokov. Bratislava : Kalligram, 2011.

KLÍMA, B.: Mládež a dejiny. Brno : CERM, 2001.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech languages

Notes:**Past grade distribution**

Total number of evaluated students: 455

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
14,51	0,0	26,37	21,1	16,04	9,45	12,53

Lecturers: Mgr. Miriam Viršínská, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde015/22	Course title: Latin for History Teachers
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar/week, the total for the semester 22 hours, combined form; (primarily present) Student workload: 2S (2 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct teaching = 22 hours; 9 hours - preparation for individual seminars; 7 hours - preparation for mid-term evaluation (test); 5 hours - preparation of individual assignments; 9 hours - preparation for final evaluation (test). Total 52 hours of student work. Learning methods: teaching Latin grammar, translation of Latin texts and sources of historical nature, work with editions, problem-solving exercises; discussion on the subject; e-learning, heuristic method	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: There will be two written examinations of 25 points each during the semester. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D, and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. Credit will not be awarded to a student who accumulates less than 59 points. The grade shall be awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student learns the basics of Latin grammar, writes both papers for at least 45 points in total and has an excellent command of Latin sources. B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject matter, masters the basics of Latin grammar, writes the papers for a minimum of 41 points, and masters the work with Latin sources. C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student can navigate the issues, has learned, but the papers showed deficiencies as he/she scored less than 40 points cumulatively. D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable performance, the student can orient himself to the problem at a basic level, his knowledge is fragmented. He scored less than 35 points on the papers. E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet the minimum criteria, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only with great difficulty, he/she has only the most basic knowledge. He/she scored less than 29 points in the written examinations. FX (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the subject, does not know the most basic knowledge.	

Learning outcomes:

The student will acquire the basics of Latin grammar by completing the course. The student will acquire basic knowledge of the Latin language, the inflexion of nouns and pronouns and the tense of verbs in the present, past and future tenses. After completing the course, the student will be able to translate simpler texts from Latin into Slovak. The student will learn to work with Latin historical sources and editions.

Class syllabus:

The course focuses on vocabulary, the basics of Latin grammar and working with Latin historical sources.

- An introduction to the study of the Latin language.
- I. Declension. The early age of Roman literature.
- Declension II. The literature of the imperial period.
- I. and II. conjugation. Medieval Latin sources.
- Reinforcement of the syllabus. Editions of medieval documents.
- Conjugations III and IV. Latin sources on the history of medieval and early modern Slovakia.
- The verb esse and its compounds. Latin sources on the history of towns in Slovakia.
- Pronouns. Latin sources for the ecclesiastical history of Slovakia.
- Imperfect verbs of I - IV conjugation. Latin modern sources.
- III. declension. Participium præsens. Futurum of verbs of I. and II. conjugation.
- Repetition, reading of simple texts.

Recommended literature:

BEJLOVEC, J.: Latina pro vysoké školy (Latin for universities). Prague : SPN, 1972.
 MAREK, M.: Slovník stredovekej a novovekej latinčiny na Slovensku (Dictionary of Medieval and Modern Latin in Slovakia). Trnava: FF TU in Trnava and Towarzystwo Słowaków w Polsce, 2020. Second edition.
 ŠPAŇÁR, J. - HRABOVSKÝ, J.: Latinsko-slovenský, slovensko-latinský slovník (Latin-Slovak, Slovak-Latin dictionary). Bratislava : SPN, 2012.
 ŠPAŇÁR, J. - KETTNER, E.: Latinčina pre gymnáziá (Latin for grammar schools). Bratislava : SPN, 1965.
 ZACHOVÁ, J.: Latina pro historiky a archiváře (Latin for historians and archivists). Prague : Academia, 2005.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech languages

Notes:**Past grade distribution**

Total number of evaluated students: 191

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
36,13	0,0	21,47	15,18	7,85	6,28	13,09

Lecturers: doc. PhDr. Miroslav Kamenický, CSc.

Last change: 31.10.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde015/22	Course title: Latin for History Teachers
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar/week, the total for the semester 22 hours, combined form; (primarily present) Student workload: 2S (2 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct teaching = 22 hours; 9 hours - preparation for individual seminars; 7 hours - preparation for mid-term evaluation (test); 5 hours - preparation of individual assignments; 9 hours - preparation for final evaluation (test). Total 52 hours of student work. Learning methods: teaching Latin grammar, translation of Latin texts and sources of historical nature, work with editions, problem-solving exercises; discussion on the subject; e-learning, heuristic method	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: There will be two written examinations of 25 points each during the semester. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D, and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. Credit will not be awarded to a student who accumulates less than 59 points. The grade shall be awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student learns the basics of Latin grammar, writes both papers for at least 45 points in total and has an excellent command of Latin sources. B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject matter, masters the basics of Latin grammar, writes the papers for a minimum of 41 points, and masters the work with Latin sources. C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student can navigate the issues, has learned, but the papers showed deficiencies as he/she scored less than 40 points cumulatively. D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable performance, the student can orient himself to the problem at a basic level, his knowledge is fragmented. He scored less than 35 points on the papers. E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet the minimum criteria, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only with great difficulty, he/she has only the most basic knowledge. He/she scored less than 29 points in the written examinations. FX (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the subject, does not know the most basic knowledge.	

Learning outcomes:

The student will acquire the basics of Latin grammar by completing the course. The student will acquire basic knowledge of the Latin language, the inflexion of nouns and pronouns and the tense of verbs in the present, past and future tenses. After completing the course, the student will be able to translate simpler texts from Latin into Slovak. The student will learn to work with Latin historical sources and editions.

Class syllabus:

The course focuses on vocabulary, the basics of Latin grammar and working with Latin historical sources.

- An introduction to the study of the Latin language.
- I. Declension. The early age of Roman literature.
- Declension II. The literature of the imperial period.
- I. and II. conjugation. Medieval Latin sources.
- Reinforcement of the syllabus. Editions of medieval documents.
- Conjugations III and IV. Latin sources on the history of medieval and early modern Slovakia.
- The verb esse and its compounds. Latin sources on the history of towns in Slovakia.
- Pronouns. Latin sources for the ecclesiastical history of Slovakia.
- Imperfect verbs of I - IV conjugation. Latin modern sources.
- III. declension. Participium pręzenta. Futurum of verbs of I. and II. conjugation.
- Repetition, reading of simple texts.

Recommended literature:

BEJLOVEC, J.: Latina pro vysoké školy (Latin for universities). Prague : SPN, 1972.
 MAREK, M.: Slovník stredovekej a novovekej latinčiny na Slovensku (Dictionary of Medieval and Modern Latin in Slovakia). Trnava: FF TU in Trnava and Towarzystwo Słowaków w Polsce, 2020. Second edition.
 ŠPAŇÁR, J. - HRABOVSKÝ, J.: Latinsko-slovenský, slovensko-latinský slovník (Latin-Slovak, Slovak-Latin dictionary). Bratislava : SPN, 2012.
 ŠPAŇÁR, J. - KETTNER, E.: Latinčina pre gymnáziá (Latin for grammar schools). Bratislava : SPN, 1965.
 ZACHOVÁ, J.: Latina pro historiky a archiváře (Latin for historians and archivists). Prague : Academia, 2005.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech languages

Notes:**Past grade distribution**

Total number of evaluated students: 191

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
36,13	0,0	21,47	15,18	7,85	6,28	13,09

Lecturers: doc. PhDr. Miroslav Kamenický, CSc.

Last change: 31.10.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde023/22	Course title: Leisure time education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% pass mark. Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (40+60, total 100 points). Interim assessment 1: consists of the preparation of a term paper and its oral presentation or practical application in class. Semester work: consists of a creative assignment for 40b (20+20). It includes an electronic portfolio - a plan of experiential activities in the context of leisure education based on a set structure (20b) and its presentation or application of the created activities in the classroom (20b). Intermediate assessment 2: consists of a test of the acquired knowledge, namely a knowledge test for 60p. The written exam/test consists of theoretical preparation for the course in basic concepts and study of the literature. Prerequisites: The rating shall be awarded on a scale of A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of continuous assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation to leisure issues, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A minimum of 81 points is required to obtain a B grade. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach to developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise to problem solving assignments using consultation, above	

average orientation to leisure issues, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above average activity in class during the semester.

For a grade of C at least 73 points. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments using the assigned template, good professional knowledge and its application in problem solving in a group or with the assistance of the instructor, good orientation to leisure issues, good communication skills, completion of assignments, average activity in class during the semester as prompted by the instructor.

At least 66 points for a grade D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application of knowledge in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to leisure issues, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

At least 60 points for an E grade. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments and with the assistance of the instructor, basic/minimal vocational knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to leisure issues, basic communication skills, completion of assignments.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:

By completing the subject Leisure time in education, the student should:

- to know the content of leisure time pedagogy as a scientific discipline: functions, goals and requirements, areas of leisure time education,
- to know the subjects of leisure education and their importance in influencing the leisure time of an individual in society in a meaningful way,
- to be able to apply the acquired knowledge in the creation of leisure activities,
- to be able to create a plan for an activity of interest and to be able to apply it through practical tasks,
- be able to present their creative output to a group,
- be able to justify the appropriateness of the choice of didactic categories in the interest activity plan,
- understand the importance of leisure as a fundamental value in human life.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills through creative tasks on the course, digital skills - the student realizes the creation of a website as an e-portfolio with the use of feedback, interpersonal skills within the group - activation in the group, taking the initiative and responsibility.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

The Leisure time in education is intended to introduce students to the important sphere of leisure through leisure activities, which represent an important value for the individual and which are necessary in the targeted education of children, youth and adults. The Leisure time in education course clarifies the basic pedagogical categories in a direct link between theoretical and practical training. By completing the course, the student will gain knowledge of the basic concepts in the field of leisure pedagogy, of leisure organizations aimed at children, youth, adults, and of activating didactic methods or experiential learning for the creation of leisure activities.

Topics:

Basic concepts of leisure pedagogy. Objectives of leisure education. Didactic process of leisure education and the creation of a plan of activating leisure activities - mission, goals, tasks, principles, content, forms and methods. Educational areas of activity outside the classroom. Interests and

leisure-time education. Problems of education in leisure time. Field work (streetwork), prevention, volunteering. New approaches in leisure time pedagogy. Personality of the pedagogue, social assistant, animator in the processes of education and training in leisure time. Educator and education and training in the school. Institutions and facilities providing leisure and interest activities.

Recommended literature:

NOVOTNÁ, E. (2017). Pedagogika voľného času. Teória výchovy mimo vyučovania vo voľnom čase. Rokus: Prešov. 224s. ISBN 978-80-89540-58-0

ZELINOVÁ, M. (2007). Hry pro rozvoj emocií a komunikace. Koncepce a model tvorivěhumanistické výchovy. Portál: Praha, 2007. 139s. ISBN 978-80-7367-197-6 (e-kniha)

Recommended reading:

JASLOVSKÁ, B., KOLDEOVÁ, L. (v tlači). Voľný čas v práci učiteľa a ďalších pedagogických profesií. Kapitola v metodickom materiáli k Stáži A, Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Pedagogická fakulta.

JASLOVSKÁ, B., STRAKOŠ, J. (v tlači). Profesijný rast učiteľa: formálne a neformálne vzdelávanie, informálne učenie sa. Kapitola v metodickom materiáli k Stáži B, Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Pedagogická fakulta.

KRATOCHVÍLOVÁ, E. (2010). Pedagogika voľného času. Výchova v čase mimo vyučovania v pedagogickej teórii a v praxi. Trnava: Typii Universitatis Tyrnaviensis, VEDY. 356 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-330-6

ZELINOVÁ, M. (2012). Voľný čas efektívne a tvorivo. Teória a prax výchovy mimo vyučovania. Bratislava: Iura Edition, 146s. ISBN 978-80-8078-479-9

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1530

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
43,14	0,0	24,25	13,4	8,76	4,05	6,41

Lecturers: PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD., prof. PaedDr. Ján Danek, CSc.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde023/22	Course title: Leisure time education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% pass mark. Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (40+60, total 100 points). Interim assessment 1: consists of the preparation of a term paper and its oral presentation or practical application in class. Semester work: consists of a creative assignment for 40b (20+20). It includes an electronic portfolio - a plan of experiential activities in the context of leisure education based on a set structure (20b) and its presentation or application of the created activities in the classroom (20b). Intermediate assessment 2: consists of a test of the acquired knowledge, namely a knowledge test for 60p. The written exam/test consists of theoretical preparation for the course in basic concepts and study of the literature. Prerequisites: The rating shall be awarded on a scale of A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of continuous assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation to leisure issues, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A minimum of 81 points is required to obtain a B grade. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach to developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise to problem solving assignments using consultation, above	

average orientation to leisure issues, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above average activity in class during the semester.

For a grade of C at least 73 points. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments using the assigned template, good professional knowledge and its application in problem solving in a group or with the assistance of the instructor, good orientation to leisure issues, good communication skills, completion of assignments, average activity in class during the semester as prompted by the instructor.

At least 66 points for a grade D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application of knowledge in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to leisure issues, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

At least 60 points for an E grade. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments and with the assistance of the instructor, basic/minimal vocational knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to leisure issues, basic communication skills, completion of assignments.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:

By completing the subject Leisure time in education, the student should:

- to know the content of leisure time pedagogy as a scientific discipline: functions, goals and requirements, areas of leisure time education,
- to know the subjects of leisure education and their importance in influencing the leisure time of an individual in society in a meaningful way,
- to be able to apply the acquired knowledge in the creation of leisure activities,
- to be able to create a plan for an activity of interest and to be able to apply it through practical tasks,
- be able to present their creative output to a group,
- be able to justify the appropriateness of the choice of didactic categories in the interest activity plan,
- understand the importance of leisure as a fundamental value in human life.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills through creative tasks on the course, digital skills - the student realizes the creation of a website as an e-portfolio with the use of feedback, interpersonal skills within the group - activation in the group, taking the initiative and responsibility.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

The Leisure time in education is intended to introduce students to the important sphere of leisure through leisure activities, which represent an important value for the individual and which are necessary in the targeted education of children, youth and adults. The Leisure time in education course clarifies the basic pedagogical categories in a direct link between theoretical and practical training. By completing the course, the student will gain knowledge of the basic concepts in the field of leisure pedagogy, of leisure organizations aimed at children, youth, adults, and of activating didactic methods or experiential learning for the creation of leisure activities.

Topics:

Basic concepts of leisure pedagogy. Objectives of leisure education. Didactic process of leisure education and the creation of a plan of activating leisure activities - mission, goals, tasks, principles, content, forms and methods. Educational areas of activity outside the classroom. Interests and

leisure-time education. Problems of education in leisure time. Field work (streetwork), prevention, volunteering. New approaches in leisure time pedagogy. Personality of the pedagogue, social assistant, animator in the processes of education and training in leisure time. Educator and education and training in the school. Institutions and facilities providing leisure and interest activities.

Recommended literature:

NOVOTNÁ, E. (2017). Pedagogika voľného času. Teória výchovy mimo vyučovania vo voľnom čase. Rokus: Prešov. 224s. ISBN 978-80-89540-58-0

ZELINOVÁ, M. (2007). Hry pro rozvoj emocií a komunikace. Koncepce a model tvorivěhumanistické výchovy. Portál: Praha, 2007. 139s. ISBN 978-80-7367-197-6 (e-kniha)

Recommended reading:

JASLOVSKÁ, B., KOLDEOVÁ, L. (v tlači). Voľný čas v práci učiteľa a ďalších pedagogických profesií. Kapitola v metodickom materiáli k Stáži A, Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Pedagogická fakulta.

JASLOVSKÁ, B., STRAKOŠ, J. (v tlači). Profesijný rast učiteľa: formálne a neformálne vzdelávanie, informálne učenie sa. Kapitola v metodickom materiáli k Stáži B, Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Pedagogická fakulta.

KRATOCHVÍLOVÁ, E. (2010). Pedagogika voľného času. Výchova v čase mimo vyučovania v pedagogickej teórii a v praxi. Trnava: Typii Universitatis Tyrnaviensis, VEDY. 356 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-330-6

ZELINOVÁ, M. (2012). Voľný čas efektívne a tvorivo. Teória a prax výchovy mimo vyučovania. Bratislava: Iura Edition, 146s. ISBN 978-80-8078-479-9

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1530

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
43,14	0,0	24,25	13,4	8,76	4,05	6,41

Lecturers: PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD., prof. PaedDr. Ján Danek, CSc.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde032/22	Course title: Medial education
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% of the interim grade, which includes 30% class activity (30 points) and 70% project (70 points). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), the student reflects correctly and actively both critically and creatively knowledge, including its meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice. B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), the student reflects critically on knowledge appropriately, including their meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice. C (85-76%, good - routine reliable work), students performed standardly well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge. D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), students were less prepared during the semester, they have slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge. They cannot critically analyze information. E (67-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), the student has limited theoretical knowledge, is unable to critically analyze this knowledge, is only minimally able to apply the knowledge. Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).	
Learning outcomes: Learning outcomes: Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences: The thematic focus of the course introduces students to applied ethics and its disciplinary divisions, to defining the basic concepts of ethics, explaining morality as a social regulator, moral norms and the principles of creating ethical codes. It focuses on the professional ethics of the teaching profession	

(teacher competences, ethical dilemmas in the teaching profession, etc.) and the importance of a code of ethics in this profession. The aim of the course is to highlight the importance of a favourable classroom and school climate and to work with the content of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Using selected examples from other branches of applied ethics (bioethics, media ethics, etc.) to show the importance of the presence of a code of ethics in the professions, the possibility of using classical ethical concepts and also different alternatives in solving ethical dilemmas.

This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication).

The course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers by its focus and methods of education.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. Introduction to the subject: defining the basic concepts: ethics and morality.

Ethics and professional ethics: definition of basic terms: applied ethics - sectoral division of applied ethics. Professional ethics as a part of applied ethics.

2. Professional tact and etiquette as a basis for the formation of the code of ethics of individual professions.

3. The teaching profession and the teacher's code of ethics. Status and role of the teacher. Resolving dilemmas in the teaching profession.

4. Positive climate in the classroom, relationships between school climate factors.

5. Convention on the Rights of the Child.

6. A closer definition of bioethics and its areas of interest on concrete examples, the issue of the code of ethics. Classical ethical theories and alternative methods in solving ethical dilemmas.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

ANZENBACHER, A. (2001). Úvod do etiky. Praha: Academia, s. 13 – 17. ISBN 978-80-200-0917-5

GLUCHMAN, V. (2000). Úvod do etiky. Prešov: Univerzum, s. 7 – 103, 122 – 133. ISBN 978-80-890-1200-0

GLUCHMANOVÁ, M., GLUCHMAN, V. (2008). Učiteľská etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 88 – 127, 194 – 215. ISBN 978-80-806-8808-0

Recommended reading:

HUPKOVÁ, M., PETLÁK, E. (2004). Sebareflexia a kompetencie v práci učiteľa. Bratislava: IRIS, 2004. s. 11 – 26, 81 – 96. ISBN 978-80-89018-77-7

KOSOVÁ B. a kol. (2012). Vysokoškolské vzdelávanie učiteľov. Banská Bystrica: Pedagogická fakulta UMB v Banskej Bystrici, s. 6 – 21, 52 – 61. ISBN 978-80-557-0353-4

LIESSMANN, K. P. (2012). Teorie nevzdělanosti. Praha: ACADEMIA, s. 37 – 61. ISBN 978-80-200-1677-5

MALANKIEVIČOVÁ, S. (2008). Profesijná etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 13 – 35. ISBN 978-80-806-8811-0

REMIŠOVÁ, A. (1997). Podnikateľská etika. Nitra: ENIGMA, s. 12 – 49, 198 – 211.

VAJDA, J. (2004). Úvod do etiky. Nitra: Enigma, s. 13 - 56. ISBN 978-80-8913-212-6

VETEŠKA, J., TURECKIOVÁ, M. (2008). Kompetence ve vzdělávání. Praha: GRADA, s. 13 – 57. ISBN 978-80-247-1770-8

ŽILÍNEK, M. (1997). Étos a utváranie mravnej identity osobnosti. Bratislava: IRIS, s. 12 – 108. ISBN 978-80-88778-60-3

WILLIAMS, B. (2021). Morálka: úvod do etiky. Praha: OYIKOYMENH. ISBN 978-80-7298-569-2
 DANÍŠKOVÁ, Z. (2013). Môže prístup „new public management“ dopomôcť k etablovaníu etického kódexu učiteľa? 2013 dostupné na http://www.casopispedagogika.sk/rocnik-4/cislo-2/studia_daniskova.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 195

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
60,0	0,0	20,0	8,21	1,54	0,0	10,26

Lecturers: PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde032/22	Course title: Medial education
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% of the interim grade, which includes 30% class activity (30 points) and 70% project (70 points). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), the student reflects correctly and actively both critically and creatively knowledge, including its meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice. B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), the student reflects critically on knowledge appropriately, including their meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice. C (85-76%, good - routine reliable work), students performed standardly well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge. D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), students were less prepared during the semester, they have slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge. They cannot critically analyze information. E (67-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), the student has limited theoretical knowledge, is unable to critically analyze this knowledge, is only minimally able to apply the knowledge. Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).	
Learning outcomes: Learning outcomes: Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences: The thematic focus of the course introduces students to applied ethics and its disciplinary divisions, to defining the basic concepts of ethics, explaining morality as a social regulator, moral norms and the principles of creating ethical codes. It focuses on the professional ethics of the teaching profession	

(teacher competences, ethical dilemmas in the teaching profession, etc.) and the importance of a code of ethics in this profession. The aim of the course is to highlight the importance of a favourable classroom and school climate and to work with the content of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Using selected examples from other branches of applied ethics (bioethics, media ethics, etc.) to show the importance of the presence of a code of ethics in the professions, the possibility of using classical ethical concepts and also different alternatives in solving ethical dilemmas.

This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication).

The course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers by its focus and methods of education.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. Introduction to the subject: defining the basic concepts: ethics and morality.

Ethics and professional ethics: definition of basic terms: applied ethics - sectoral division of applied ethics. Professional ethics as a part of applied ethics.

2. Professional tact and etiquette as a basis for the formation of the code of ethics of individual professions.

3. The teaching profession and the teacher's code of ethics. Status and role of the teacher. Resolving dilemmas in the teaching profession.

4. Positive climate in the classroom, relationships between school climate factors.

5. Convention on the Rights of the Child.

6. A closer definition of bioethics and its areas of interest on concrete examples, the issue of the code of ethics. Classical ethical theories and alternative methods in solving ethical dilemmas.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

ANZENBACHER, A. (2001). Úvod do etiky. Praha: Academia, s. 13 – 17. ISBN 978-80-200-0917-5

GLUCHMAN, V. (2000). Úvod do etiky. Prešov: Univerzum, s. 7 – 103, 122 – 133. ISBN 978-80-890-1200-0

GLUCHMANOVÁ, M., GLUCHMAN, V. (2008). Učiteľská etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 88 – 127, 194 – 215. ISBN 978-80-806-8808-0

Recommended reading:

HUPKOVÁ, M., PETLÁK, E. (2004). Sebareflexia a kompetencie v práci učiteľa. Bratislava: IRIS, 2004. s. 11 – 26, 81 – 96. ISBN 978-80-89018-77-7

KOSOVÁ B. a kol. (2012). Vysokoškolské vzdelávanie učiteľov. Banská Bystrica: Pedagogická fakulta UMB v Banskej Bystrici, s. 6 – 21, 52 – 61. ISBN 978-80-557-0353-4

LIESSMANN, K. P. (2012). Teorie nevzdělanosti. Praha: ACADEMIA, s. 37 – 61. ISBN 978-80-200-1677-5

MALANKIEVIČOVÁ, S. (2008). Profesijná etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 13 – 35. ISBN 978-80-806-8811-0

REMIŠOVÁ, A. (1997). Podnikateľská etika. Nitra: ENIGMA, s. 12 – 49, 198 – 211.

VAJDA, J. (2004). Úvod do etiky. Nitra: Enigma, s. 13 - 56. ISBN 978-80-8913-212-6

VETEŠKA, J., TURECKIOVÁ, M. (2008). Kompetence ve vzdělávání. Praha: GRADA, s. 13 – 57. ISBN 978-80-247-1770-8

ŽILÍNEK, M. (1997). Étos a utváranie mravnej identity osobnosti. Bratislava: IRIS, s. 12 – 108. ISBN 978-80-88778-60-3

WILLIAMS, B. (2021). Morálka: úvod do etiky. Praha: OYIKOYMENH. ISBN 978-80-7298-569-2
 DANÍŠKOVÁ, Z. (2013). Môže prístup „new public management“ dopomôcť k etablovaníu etického kódexu učiteľa? 2013 dostupné na http://www.casopispedagogika.sk/rocnik-4/cislo-2/studia_daniskova.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 195

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
60,0	0,0	20,0	8,21	1,54	0,0	10,26

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde013/22	Course title: Methodology of Writing a Professional Text in the History
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours lecture/week, 22 hours total per semester, combined form; (primarily in person) Student workload: 2S (2 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 20 hours preparation of a seminar paper, 5 hours preparing individual assignments for each lesson, 3 hours preparing the presentation; 50 hours of student work in total. Educational methods: monological method, problem-solving exercises; discussion of the subject; e-learning; heuristic method, analysis of the sources	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100 % of the interim evaluation. The student submits a term paper at the end of the semester - prepare a professional text according to the assignment. For each lesson, he prepares individual work individual assignments. To obtain the final grade, it is necessary to work actively in each lesson, complete the prescribed assignments, and hand in and present the seminar work. The rating is awarded on a scale: A 100-91% (excellent - excellent results, the student is oriented in the issue, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B 90-81% (very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject matter, but critical thinking is borderline), C 80-73% (good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the subject, has learned the subject, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D 72-66% (satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E 65-60% (sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can navigate the issue only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), Fx less than 59% (insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically).	
Learning outcomes:	

The course aims to develop information literacy and professional, methodological and expressive skills of male and female students. After completing the course, the student will: - Knows and can navigate primary and secondary professional and scientific sources. The student can identify and understand the primary and secondary sources in his/her field of study. - The student knows and can apply the principles of authorial ethics. - Can critically evaluate the scholarly quality of online resources. - Can apply the principles of professional writing. - Can structure a professional text by the conventions of the discipline. - Knows how to prepare a presentation, develops communication skills																				
Class syllabus: - Basic requirements for the processing of professional work in history: - Aim and subject of the thesis - Heuristics for historical work - bibliographies, historical literature, sources - Critical study of information sources and their documentation - Preparation of the thesis project, creation of the thesis structure - Preparation and implementation of the professional work - Preparation and implementation of research for the thesis - Processing the results of the thesis research, their analysis, interpretation and synthesis - Final linguistic and formal editing of the thesis																				
Recommended literature: BLOCH, M.: Obrana historie aneb historik a jeho řemeslo. Praha : Svoboda, 1967. KATUŠČÁK, D.: Ako písať vysokoškolské kvalifikačné práce. Bratislava : Stimul, 1998. ŠVEC, Š. a kol.: Metodológia vied o výchove. Bratislava : IRIS, 1998. BARTL, Július: Úvod do štúdia dejepisu. Bratislava. : Univerzita Komenského, 1997 (2003), 133 s. HROCH, Miroslav a kol.: Úvod do studia dějepisu. Praha : SPN, 1985, 304 s.																				
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech languages																				
Notes:																				
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 111 <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>A</th><th>ABS</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th><th>FX</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>35,14</td><td>0,0</td><td>36,04</td><td>16,22</td><td>4,5</td><td>4,5</td><td>3,6</td></tr> </tbody> </table>							A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX	35,14	0,0	36,04	16,22	4,5	4,5	3,6
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX														
35,14	0,0	36,04	16,22	4,5	4,5	3,6														
Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Jaroslav Nemeš, PhD.																				
Last change: 10.11.2022																				
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.																				

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde010/22	Course title: Methodology of writing of citizenship education special text
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar work - 13 hours per semester, combined method Study workload: 13 hours of classes, preparation of a topic for course thesis: 3 parts of the seminar work, 3 x 10 hours = 30 hours, 9 hours of guided self-study. Total of 52 hours. Teaching methods: The pedagogical approaches include a monologue with a presentation (connecting theoretical knowledge about academic writing with practice), collective or group discussions, activating methods as practical exercises (e.g. case studies and quizzes). Part of the final assessment is a collective seminar work divided into three parts - work with literature review and empirical research.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The course is completed with a grade. Weight of interim and final assessment: 100/0 During the semester, students must prepare and submit 3 parts of a group seminar work (100 points in total): - 1. part of the seminar work – 30 points - 2. part of the seminar work – 40 points - 3. part of the seminar work – 30 points The grading is given on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results), B (90-81%, very good – above average standard), C (80-73%, good - regular reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient – the results meet the minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - more additional work required) In order to successfully complete the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the point evaluation. Having passed the course, students with obtain the following skills: Grade A: excellent performance, the student has an excellent command of the theoretical and practical skills of academic writing,	

Grade B: very good performance, the student has very good theoretical knowledge and practical academic writing skills,
 Grade C: good performance, the student has theoretical knowledge of academic writing, but has only weak practical skills,
 Grade D: satisfactory performance, the student has weak theoretical knowledge of academic writing and has weak practical skills,
 Grade E: sufficient performance, the student demonstrates only theoretical or only practical skills in writing an academic text,
 Grade Fx: insufficient performance, the student has not demonstrated any theoretical or practical academic writing skills.
 Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The objectives of the course are the development of professional, methodical and expressive skills of the students, as well as information literacy. The aim of the course is to prepare students for professional communication - writing professional academic texts for successful completion of compulsory subjects and the study itself. Part of the course evaluation is a group seminar work - the aim of the activity is to develop the ability of collective cooperation and mutual motivation.

After completing the course, the student will:

- Know how to navigate primary and secondary professional and scientific sources in the field of study,
- Be able to recognise and apply the principles of research and copyright ethics,
- Be able to critically evaluate the professional quality of information and data sources,
- Be able to apply the principles of writing a professional text,
- Be able to structure a professional text in accordance with the customs of the field.

Class syllabus:

The subject is divided into several thematic areas, which are devoted to the theoretical aspects and the practice of academic writing as a form of professional communication:

Topic 1 – Introduction to the course

Topic 2 - Basics of social science research methods

Topic 3 - Ethics of academic research and writing, processing of sources and selection of suitable data

Topic 4 - Practical aspects of the "introduction and theoretical foundations"

Topic 5 - Argumentation in an academic text

Topic 6 - Practical aspects of "research findings"

Topic 7 - Practical aspects of "academic discussion and conclusions"

Topic 8 - Stylistic coherence of the academic text

Topic 9 - Publication requirements

Topic 10 – Discussion and peer review

Recommended literature:

GAVORA, P. a kol. elektronická učebnica pedagogického výskumu. [online]. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2010. Dostupné na: www.e-metodologia.fedu.uniba.sk

STAROŇOVÁ, K. Vedecké písanie. Bratislava : Osveta, 2011. ISBN 978-80-8063-359-2.

SKUTIL, M. a kol. Zásady pedagogicko-psychologického výzkumu pro studenty učitelství. Praha: Portál, 2011. ISBN 978-80-7367-778-7

Recommended readings:

KATUŠČÁK, D. Ako písať záverečné a kvalifikačné práce. Bratislava : Enigma, 2007. ISBN 80-8913-245-4.

MEŠKO, D., KATUŠČÁK, D., FINDRA, J. a kol. Akademická príručka. Martin: Osveta, 2006. ISBN 80-8063-200-6.
 MACHALOVÁ, T. Akademické psaní pro právníky. Praha: Aleš Čeněk, 2018. ISBN 9-7880-7380-738-2
 MIOVSKÝ, M. Kvalitativní přístup a metody v psychologickém výskumu. Praha: Grada, 2006. ISBN 80-2471-362-4
 VYDRA, A. Akademické písanie: Ako vzniká filozofický text. Trnava: Trnavská univerzita, 2010. ISBN 978-80-8082-380-1.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 312

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
30,13	0,0	37,5	23,08	5,45	0,96	2,88

Lecturers: Mgr. Veronika Valkovičová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde010/22	Course title: Methodology of writing of citizenship education special text
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar work - 13 hours per semester, combined method Study workload: 13 hours of classes, preparation of a topic for course thesis: 3 parts of the seminar work, 3 x 10 hours = 30 hours, 9 hours of guided self-study. Total of 52 hours. Teaching methods: The pedagogical approaches include a monologue with a presentation (connecting theoretical knowledge about academic writing with practice), collective or group discussions, activating methods as practical exercises (e.g. case studies and quizzes). Part of the final assessment is a collective seminar work divided into three parts - work with literature review and empirical research.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The course is completed with a grade. Weight of interim and final assessment: 100/0 During the semester, students must prepare and submit 3 parts of a group seminar work (100 points in total): - 1. part of the seminar work – 30 points - 2. part of the seminar work – 40 points - 3. part of the seminar work – 30 points The grading is given on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results), B (90-81%, very good – above average standard), C (80-73%, good - regular reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient – the results meet the minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - more additional work required) In order to successfully complete the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the point evaluation. Having passed the course, students with obtain the following skills: Grade A: excellent performance, the student has an excellent command of the theoretical and practical skills of academic writing,	

Grade B: very good performance, the student has very good theoretical knowledge and practical academic writing skills,
 Grade C: good performance, the student has theoretical knowledge of academic writing, but has only weak practical skills,
 Grade D: satisfactory performance, the student has weak theoretical knowledge of academic writing and has weak practical skills,
 Grade E: sufficient performance, the student demonstrates only theoretical or only practical skills in writing an academic text,
 Grade Fx: insufficient performance, the student has not demonstrated any theoretical or practical academic writing skills.
 Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The objectives of the course are the development of professional, methodical and expressive skills of the students, as well as information literacy. The aim of the course is to prepare students for professional communication - writing professional academic texts for successful completion of compulsory subjects and the study itself. Part of the course evaluation is a group seminar work - the aim of the activity is to develop the ability of collective cooperation and mutual motivation.

After completing the course, the student will:

- Know how to navigate primary and secondary professional and scientific sources in the field of study,
- Be able to recognise and apply the principles of research and copyright ethics,
- Be able to critically evaluate the professional quality of information and data sources,
- Be able to apply the principles of writing a professional text,
- Be able to structure a professional text in accordance with the customs of the field.

Class syllabus:

The subject is divided into several thematic areas, which are devoted to the theoretical aspects and the practice of academic writing as a form of professional communication:

Topic 1 – Introduction to the course

Topic 2 - Basics of social science research methods

Topic 3 - Ethics of academic research and writing, processing of sources and selection of suitable data

Topic 4 - Practical aspects of the "introduction and theoretical foundations"

Topic 5 - Argumentation in an academic text

Topic 6 - Practical aspects of "research findings"

Topic 7 - Practical aspects of "academic discussion and conclusions"

Topic 8 - Stylistic coherence of the academic text

Topic 9 - Publication requirements

Topic 10 – Discussion and peer review

Recommended literature:

GAVORA, P. a kol. elektronická učebnica pedagogického výskumu. [online]. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2010. Dostupné na: www.e-metodologia.fedu.uniba.sk

STAROŇOVÁ, K. Vedecké písanie. Bratislava : Osveta, 2011. ISBN 978-80-8063-359-2.

SKUTIL, M. a kol. Zásady pedagogicko-psychologického výzkumu pro studenty učitelství. Praha: Portál, 2011. ISBN 978-80-7367-778-7

Recommended readings:

KATUŠČÁK, D. Ako písať záverečné a kvalifikačné práce. Bratislava : Enigma, 2007. ISBN 80-8913-245-4.

MEŠKO, D., KATUŠČÁK, D., FINDRA, J. a kol. Akademická príručka. Martin: Osveta, 2006. ISBN 80-8063-200-6.
 MACHALOVÁ, T. Akademické psaní pro právníky. Praha: Aleš Čeněk, 2018. ISBN 9-7880-7380-738-2
 MIOVSKÝ, M. Kvalitativní přístup a metody v psychologickém výskumu. Praha: Grada, 2006. ISBN 80-2471-362-4
 VYDRA, A. Akademické písanie: Ako vzniká filozofický text. Trnava: Trnavská univerzita, 2010. ISBN 978-80-8082-380-1.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 312

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
30,13	0,0	37,5	23,08	5,45	0,96	2,88

Lecturers: Mgr. Veronika Valkovičová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde033/22	Course title: Multicultural education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: Weighting of interim and final assessment: 100/0, the course ends with an assessment. Students will develop two interim activities/projects as part of the interim coursework assessed at 40% and 40%. Activity and preparation in seminars is assessed (20%). A total of 100% of the interim assessment. Students will choose one of the activities, work it systematically and develop it into a comprehensive lesson preparation according to this structure: a. Objectives of the activity (what skills and abilities it develops - students will choose an appropriate topic according to their apprenticeship, where they will be able to apply the activity as a cross-cutting theme). b. Target group of the activity (for which pupils it could be used). c. Time and space (how long it would take, any special space requirements). d. Activity flow (a brief scenario of how the student would carry it out). e. Evaluation of the activity (different ways of assessing pupils, self-assessment, etc.). f. Theoretical perspective on the activity (interpretation of the activity based on at least three key words from multicultural education theory). g. Literature used. The evaluation will assess the fulfilment of the principles of multicultural education, the suitability of the activity for teaching within the cross-cutting theme of multicultural education, the systematic nature of the preparation and the interconnectedness of its components. Negative evaluations are given to projects that could reinforce stereotypes and prejudices among pupils or in which students present stereotypical views of other cultures. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), students will produce an original project that is thought through as a coherent whole to develop intercultural understanding, all criteria are met at an excellent level. B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), students creatively develop a project that will assist in developing intercultural understanding, Criteria are met at a very good level.	

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), students will produce a project that does not undermine the principles of multicultural education, Criteria are met at a good level.

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), students will develop a project that is not internally intertwined but does not violate the principles of multicultural education, the criteria are met satisfactorily.

E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), students will develop a project that marginally undermines the principles of multicultural education, Criteria are met sufficiently.

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), students will develop a project that promotes cultural stereotypes and biases. The project does not meet the minimum criteria.

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

Students understand the principles and practices of multicultural education. They know how to work with stereotypes and prejudices in the classroom. They can plan and design multicultural education and integrate it as a cross-curricular topic in the classroom. They develop intercultural understanding. They master the main didactical procedures for the implementation of multicultural education as a cross-cutting theme.

Learning objectives:

To provide students with the basic information needed to understand the principles of multicultural education as a cross-cutting theme in the national curriculum. To teach students how to work with the issue of stereotypes and prejudices, to teach them how to plan and design multicultural education in schools as a cross-cutting theme. To familiarize students with the basic didactic practices in the implementation of multicultural education in education.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

The problem facing multicultural education - stereotypes and prejudices in us. Encountering another culture. Culture shock. How we get information about other cultures. How to cope with the arrival of foreigners. What we think about intercultural communication. Migration and values in multicultural education. How to proceed in multicultural education. Assessment in multicultural education.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

Compulsory reading:

MISTRÍK, E. a kol. (2008). Multikultúrna výchova v škole. Bratislava : Nadácia otvorenej spoločnosti. ISBN 978-80-969271-4-2

MISTRÍK, E. a kol. 2006: Multi-kulti-na školách. Metodická príručka pre multikultúrnú výchovu. Bratislava: Nadácia Milana Šimečku. ISBN 80-89008-21-6

Recommended reading:

KASÍKOVÁ, H. a kol. (2003). Interkulturní výchova a vzdělávání: efektivní metody a formy. Praha : FF UK. (bez ISBN)

KOMINAREC, I. – KOMINARECOVÁ, E. (2009). Multikulturalita a komunikácia. Prešov : PO. ISBN 978-80-555-0061-4

MISTRÍK, E. (2008). Multikultúrna výchova. In: Školské vzdelávacie programy. Praktický manuál pre zostavenie vlastného školského vzdelávacieho programu. 3. E1. Bratislava : RAABE. ISBN 978-80-89182-27-5

PRŮCHA, J. (2006). Multikulturní výchova. Praha : Triton. ISBN 80-7254-866-2

VANČÍKOVÁ, K. (2013). Multikultúrna výchova, jej miesto v súčasnej škole. Banská Bystrica: Pdf UMB. ISBN 978-80-557-0512-5

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 134						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
68,66	0,0	20,15	5,22	0,0	0,0	5,97
Lecturers: Mgr. Lucia Hlavatá, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde033/22	Course title: Multicultural education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: Weighting of interim and final assessment: 100/0, the course ends with an assessment. Students will develop two interim activities/projects as part of the interim coursework assessed at 40% and 40%. Activity and preparation in seminars is assessed (20%). A total of 100% of the interim assessment. Students will choose one of the activities, work it systematically and develop it into a comprehensive lesson preparation according to this structure: a. Objectives of the activity (what skills and abilities it develops - students will choose an appropriate topic according to their apprenticeship, where they will be able to apply the activity as a cross-cutting theme). b. Target group of the activity (for which pupils it could be used). c. Time and space (how long it would take, any special space requirements). d. Activity flow (a brief scenario of how the student would carry it out). e. Evaluation of the activity (different ways of assessing pupils, self-assessment, etc.). f. Theoretical perspective on the activity (interpretation of the activity based on at least three key words from multicultural education theory). g. Literature used. The evaluation will assess the fulfilment of the principles of multicultural education, the suitability of the activity for teaching within the cross-cutting theme of multicultural education, the systematic nature of the preparation and the interconnectedness of its components. Negative evaluations are given to projects that could reinforce stereotypes and prejudices among pupils or in which students present stereotypical views of other cultures. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), students will produce an original project that is thought through as a coherent whole to develop intercultural understanding, all criteria are met at an excellent level. B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), students creatively develop a project that will assist in developing intercultural understanding, Criteria are met at a very good level.	

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), students will produce a project that does not undermine the principles of multicultural education, Criteria are met at a good level.

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), students will develop a project that is not internally intertwined but does not violate the principles of multicultural education, the criteria are met satisfactorily.

E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), students will develop a project that marginally undermines the principles of multicultural education, Criteria are met sufficiently.

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), students will develop a project that promotes cultural stereotypes and biases. The project does not meet the minimum criteria.

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

Students understand the principles and practices of multicultural education. They know how to work with stereotypes and prejudices in the classroom. They can plan and design multicultural education and integrate it as a cross-curricular topic in the classroom. They develop intercultural understanding. They master the main didactical procedures for the implementation of multicultural education as a cross-cutting theme.

Learning objectives:

To provide students with the basic information needed to understand the principles of multicultural education as a cross-cutting theme in the national curriculum. To teach students how to work with the issue of stereotypes and prejudices, to teach them how to plan and design multicultural education in schools as a cross-cutting theme. To familiarize students with the basic didactic practices in the implementation of multicultural education in education.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

The problem facing multicultural education - stereotypes and prejudices in us. Encountering another culture. Culture shock. How we get information about other cultures. How to cope with the arrival of foreigners. What we think about intercultural communication. Migration and values in multicultural education. How to proceed in multicultural education. Assessment in multicultural education.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

Compulsory reading:

MISTRÍK, E. a kol. (2008). Multikultúrna výchova v škole. Bratislava : Nadácia otvorenej spoločnosti. ISBN 978-80-969271-4-2

MISTRÍK, E. a kol. 2006: Multi-kulti-na školách. Metodická príručka pre multikultúrnú výchovu. Bratislava: Nadácia Milana Šimečku. ISBN 80-89008-21-6

Recommended reading:

KASÍKOVÁ, H. a kol. (2003). Interkulturní výchova a vzdělávání: efektivní metody a formy. Praha : FF UK. (bez ISBN)

KOMINAREC, I. – KOMINARECOVÁ, E. (2009). Multikulturalita a komunikácia. Prešov : PO. ISBN 978-80-555-0061-4

MISTRÍK, E. (2008). Multikultúrna výchova. In: Školské vzdelávacie programy. Praktický manuál pre zostavenie vlastného školského vzdelávacieho programu. 3. E1. Bratislava : RAABE. ISBN 978-80-89182-27-5

PRŮCHA, J. (2006). Multikulturní výchova. Praha : Triton. ISBN 80-7254-866-2

VANČÍKOVÁ, K. (2013). Multikultúrna výchova, jej miesto v súčasnej škole. Banská Bystrica: Pdf UMB. ISBN 978-80-557-0512-5

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 134						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
68,66	0,0	20,15	5,22	0,0	0,0	5,97
Lecturers: Mgr. Lucia Hlavatá, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde020/22			Course title: Pathopsychology for teachers			
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 3., 5.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 149						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
52,35	0,0	34,9	5,37	0,0	1,34	6,04
Lecturers:						
Last change: 22.04.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde020/22		Course title: Pathopsychology for teachers				
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 149						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
52,35	0,0	34,9	5,37	0,0	1,34	6,04
Lecturers:						
Last change: 22.04.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde028/22	Course title: Pedagogy of individuals with special educational needs
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student completes an intermediate written examination of knowledge (maximum possible points - 60). For successful completion of the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (min. 60 out of 100 points). The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student). A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help	

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor. A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The aim of the course Pedagogy of Individuals with Special Educational Needs is to make available basic information about the specifics of educating individuals with special educational needs and to prepare the student for pedagogical work in the context of the diversity of the educated population. The student will be able to define the term special educational needs, categorize individuals with special educational needs (SEN) in relation to the current system of special education and in accordance with current legislation. Becomes familiar with basic terminology in special education. Is able to characterize the educational opportunities for individuals with SEN. Can explain the implications of a disability/impairment/threat and/or other SEN in the educational process. Knows and understands the specifics of educating individuals with SEND. Can name and justify selected support measures in the education of children and pupils with SEND. Explain the importance of the teacher's cooperation with other educational and professional staff of the school and the school counselling centre in the care of pupils with SEN included in mainstream education. Know the educational programmes for pupils with SEND and understand the Individual Education Programme document. The student is prepared to address the risks associated with the inclusion of a pupil with SEND in a classroom of able-bodied classmates.

Transferable competences developed during the students' work in the seminars: critical thinking skills, contextual reasoning, communication skills.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

General foundations and terminological definition of special education.

Definition of basic terms in special education.

Trends in education, current opportunities and legislative conditions in education of individuals with SEND (with a focus on inclusive education).

Specifics of education and support measures for children and pupils with SEN according to individual categories:

pupils with intellectual disabilities and cognitive impairment; pupils with autism spectrum disorder; pupils with physical disabilities, illnesses and disabilities; pupils with impaired communication skills; pupils with specific learning disabilities; pupils with behavioural disorders; pupils from socially disadvantaged backgrounds; gifted and exceptionally gifted pupils.

Educational programmes and individual educational programme for pupils with SEN.

The position of a pupil with SEN in a class group of intact classmates.

Cooperation of the teacher with other pedagogical and professional staff of the school and the school counselling centre in the care of SEN pupils (inclusive team).

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

LECHTA, V. (eds.), (2016). Inkluzivní pedagogika. Praha: Portál, 600 s. ISBN 978-80-262-1123-5.

VAŠEK, Š. (2013). Základy špeciálnej pedagogiky. Bratislava: SAPIENTIA. 213 s. ISBN 978-80-89229-21-5. http://www.specialnazs.sk/files/Stefan_Vasek_-_Zaklady_specialnej_pedagogiky.pdf

VLADOVÁ, K., a LECHTA, V., a kol., (2017). Aplikácia vzdelávacích programov pre žiakov so zdravotným znevýhodnením a všeobecným intelektovým nadaním. Bratislava: ŠPÚ, 201 s. ISBN 978-80-8118-196-2. <https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/deti-ziaci-so-svvp/deti-ziaci-so-zdravotnym-znevychodnenim-vseobecnym-intelektovym-nadanim/intelektove-nadanie.pdf>

Vzdelávacie programy pre žiakov so zdravotným znevýhodnením a všeobecným intelektovým nadaním: Štátny pedagogický ústav

Zákon č. 245/2008 o výchove a vzdelávaní školský zákon a prislúchajúce vyhlášky

Recommended literature

BOOTH, T., AINSCOW, M., (2019). Index inklúzie: príručka na rozvoj škôl s dôrazom na inkluzívne hodnoty. Nadácia pre deti Slovenska, 224 s. ISBN 978-80-89403-19-6. <https://www.ktochyba.sk/webroot/video/index-inkluzie.pdf>

HARČARÍKOVÁ, T. (eds.), 2020. Integrované/inkluzívne vzdelávanie žiakov so špeciálnymi výchovno-vzdelávacími potrebami (zborník vedeckých príspevkov), Bratislava: IRIS, 187 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-058-3.

FISCHER, S., ŠKODA, J. (2008). Speciální pedagogika. Praha: Triton, 206 s. ISBN 978-80-7387-014-0.

Katalogy podpůrných opatření pro žáky s potřebou podpory ve vzdělávání z důvodu zdravotního nebo sociálního znevýhodnění. Olomouc: Univerzita Palackého v Olomouci, 2015.

RENOTIÉROVÁ, M. a kol. (2006). Speciální pedagogika. Olomouc: UP, 313 s. ISBN 80-244-0873-2.

SLOWÍK, J. (2007). Speciální pedagogika. Praha: Portál, 160 s. ISBN 97-880-2471733-3.

ŠUHAJOVÁ, I. (2014). Termín špeciálne výchovno-vzdelávacie potreby versus dodatočné podporné opatrenia. In Efeta-otvor sa, 24(2), 2014.

TARCSIOVÁ, D.- JURÁNEKOVÁ, Z.- TOMÁŠKOVÁ, M. (2021). Príručka o vzdelávaní žiaka s poruchou sluchu v bežnej škole. Bratislava: IRIS, 114 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-100-9.

VALENTA, M. at al. (2014). Přehled speciální pedagogiky. Praha: Portál, 224 s. ISBN 97-880-2620602-6.

ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2015). Rómske deti a ich vzdelanostný pokrok. In: Slovenská encyklopédia edukológie. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2015.

ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2014). Problémové správanie žiakov a úloha sociálneho pedagóga v reflexii učiteľov. In: Sociální pedagogika: časopis pro vědu a praxi. 2(1), 2014.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 195						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
17,44	0,0	36,92	26,15	9,74	4,1	5,64
Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde028/22	Course title: Pedagogy of individuals with special educational needs
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student completes an intermediate written examination of knowledge (maximum possible points - 60). For successful completion of the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (min. 60 out of 100 points). The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student). A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help	

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor. A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The aim of the course Pedagogy of Individuals with Special Educational Needs is to make available basic information about the specifics of educating individuals with special educational needs and to prepare the student for pedagogical work in the context of the diversity of the educated population. The student will be able to define the term special educational needs, categorize individuals with special educational needs (SEN) in relation to the current system of special education and in accordance with current legislation. Becomes familiar with basic terminology in special education. Is able to characterize the educational opportunities for individuals with SEN. Can explain the implications of a disability/impairment/threat and/or other SEN in the educational process. Knows and understands the specifics of educating individuals with SEND. Can name and justify selected support measures in the education of children and pupils with SEND. Explain the importance of the teacher's cooperation with other educational and professional staff of the school and the school counselling centre in the care of pupils with SEN included in mainstream education. Know the educational programmes for pupils with SEND and understand the Individual Education Programme document. The student is prepared to address the risks associated with the inclusion of a pupil with SEND in a classroom of able-bodied classmates.

Transferable competences developed during the students' work in the seminars: critical thinking skills, contextual reasoning, communication skills.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

General foundations and terminological definition of special education.

Definition of basic terms in special education.

Trends in education, current opportunities and legislative conditions in education of individuals with SEND (with a focus on inclusive education).

Specifics of education and support measures for children and pupils with SEN according to individual categories:

pupils with intellectual disabilities and cognitive impairment; pupils with autism spectrum disorder; pupils with physical disabilities, illnesses and disabilities; pupils with impaired communication skills; pupils with specific learning disabilities; pupils with behavioural disorders; pupils from socially disadvantaged backgrounds; gifted and exceptionally gifted pupils.

Educational programmes and individual educational programme for pupils with SEN.

The position of a pupil with SEN in a class group of intact classmates.

Cooperation of the teacher with other pedagogical and professional staff of the school and the school counselling centre in the care of SEN pupils (inclusive team).

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

LECHTA, V. (eds.), (2016). Inkluzivní pedagogika. Praha: Portál, 600 s. ISBN 978-80-262-1123-5.

VAŠEK, Š. (2013). Základy špeciálnej pedagogiky. Bratislava: SAPIENTIA. 213 s. ISBN 978-80-89229-21-5. http://www.specialnazs.sk/files/Stefan_Vasek_-_Zaklady_specialnej_pedagogiky.pdf

VLADOVÁ, K., a LECHTA, V., a kol., (2017). Aplikácia vzdelávacích programov pre žiakov so zdravotným znevýhodnením a všeobecným intelektovým nadaním. Bratislava: ŠPÚ, 201 s. ISBN 978-80-8118-196-2. <https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/deti-ziaci-so-svvp/deti-ziaci-so-zdravotnym-znevychodnenim-vseobecny-intelektovym-nadanim/intelektove-nadanie.pdf>

Vzdelávacie programy pre žiakov so zdravotným znevýhodnením a všeobecným intelektovým nadaním: Štátny pedagogický ústav

Zákon č. 245/2008 o výchove a vzdelávaní školský zákon a prislúchajúce vyhlášky

Recommended literature

BOOTH, T., AINSCOW, M., (2019). Index inklúzie: príručka na rozvoj škôl s dôrazom na inkluzívne hodnoty. Nadácia pre deti Slovenska, 224 s. ISBN 978-80-89403-19-6. <https://www.ktochyba.sk/webroot/video/index-inkluzie.pdf>

HARČARÍKOVÁ, T. (eds.), 2020. Integrované/inkluzívne vzdelávanie žiakov so špeciálnymi výchovno-vzdelávacími potrebami (zborník vedeckých príspevkov), Bratislava: IRIS, 187 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-058-3.

FISCHER, S., ŠKODA, J. (2008). Speciální pedagogika. Praha: Triton, 206 s. ISBN 978-80-7387-014-0.

Katalogy podpůrných opatření pro žáky s potřebou podpory ve vzdělávání z důvodu zdravotního nebo sociálního znevýhodnění. Olomouc: Univerzita Palackého v Olomouci, 2015.

RENOTIÉROVÁ, M. a kol. (2006). Speciální pedagogika. Olomouc: UP, 313 s. ISBN 80-244-0873-2.

SLOWÍK, J. (2007). Speciální pedagogika. Praha: Portál, 160 s. ISBN 97-880-2471733-3.

ŠUHAJOVÁ, I. (2014). Termín špeciálne výchovno-vzdelávacie potreby versus dodatočné podporné opatrenia. In Efeta-otvor sa, 24(2), 2014.

TARCSIOVÁ, D.- JURÁNEKOVÁ, Z.- TOMÁŠKOVÁ, M. (2021). Príručka o vzdelávaní žiaka s poruchou sluchu v bežnej škole. Bratislava: IRIS, 114 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-100-9.

VALENTA, M. at al. (2014). Přehled speciální pedagogiky. Praha: Portál, 224 s. ISBN 97-880-2620602-6.

ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2015). Rómske deti a ich vzdelanostný pokrok. In: Slovenská encyklopédia edukológie. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2015.

ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2014). Problémové správanie žiakov a úloha sociálneho pedagóga v reflexii učiteľov. In: Sociální pedagogika: časopis pro vědu a praxi. 2(1), 2014.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 195						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
17,44	0,0	36,92	26,15	9,74	4,1	5,64
Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde004/22	Course title: Philosophical propedeutics
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture+seminar, 2 hours weekly/22 hours per semester; blended learning (mainly face-to-face) Student workload: 22 hours of direct teaching, 50 hours of preparation for the final test, 30 hours of continuous written work, 18 hours of guided self-study (work with a philosophical text). 120 hours in total. Learning method: - In the framework of lectures: problem-based interpretation. - In seminars: Discussion of the topics covered. Solution of certain model situations (philosophical antinomies or dilemmas, generation of alternative views and critical positions on certain philosophical concepts, application of certain philosophical methods to a specifically induced problem or situation (Socratic dialogue, methodological skepticism, etc.).	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of continuous/final evaluation: 50/50 The continuous evaluation: includes essay writing (structured essay) on a topic given in advance, written in accordance with pre-specified criteria. The final evaluation: includes passing a didactic test focused on knowledge of basic philosophical categories. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the total score. Grading Scale: A 100-91%, well done - excellent results. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (the extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the grade (percentage) of the written work, corresponds to an A grade. Note: The score or percentage of the structured essay reflects the degree to which the student's essay conforms to the criteria specified in the assignment. The didactic test contains tasks of varying cognitive difficulty, so that students are not stratified only by the extent of knowledge they have acquired. Both the test and the written work are designed to verify the specified learning outcomes. B 90-81%, very good - above average standard. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (the extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in	

terms of points or percentage, together with the grade (percentage) of the written work, corresponds to a B grade. C 80-73%, good - normal reliable work. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the written work, corresponds to a grade of C. D 72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the written work, corresponds to a grade D. E 65-60%, sufficient. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the score (percentage) of the written work, corresponds to an E. Fx 59-0%, inadequate. The student has not met the required thresholds for passing the course. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50
--

Learning outcomes:

The student can define the place and role of philosophy in the whole of human culture and in the system of university education. The student has mastered the conceptual and methodological tools essential to the study of the history of philosophy. The student knows the background of the origins of philosophy and the motives that are considered to initiate philosophical reflection. The student will be familiar with its social functions and the functions it fulfils in relation to the intimate human personality. They will become familiar with the key conceptual problems that philosophy thematizes and addresses and the range of possible solutions to them. Through methodically induced problem situations, the student will cultivate abstract and critical thinking skills and will hone their argumentation and communication skills through mastery of the principles of philosophical dialogue.

Class syllabus:

The place of philosophy in the life of man, in the whole of human culture and in the system of university education. The reasons for the inclusion of philosophy in the ISCED 3 national curriculum and the effects expected from its teaching. Possible ways of 'introduction to philosophy' and their meaning. Identifying features of philosophy and philosophical thinking and its differences from other ways of human learning about the world. Thinking, reflecting and inventing as the intended outcomes of teaching philosophy. Introduction to philosophy through the problems it solves, the questions it answers, the functions attributed to it, its origins and the methods it employs. Basic philosophical categories, methods and disciplines, profiling philosophical thinking in relevant cultural and historical contexts, key philosophical problems and their model solutions. The internal structure of philosophy. Basic philosophical disciplines. The most typical positions within ontology, gnoseology, axiology and philosophy of history. The issue of freedom as the most intense area of overlap between philosophy and civic life. The most famous emancipatory projects in the history of philosophical thought and their reception in relevant socio-political concepts.

Recommended literature:

JASPERS, K. 1996. Úvod do filosofie. Praha: Oikoymenh. 119 s. ISBN 80-86005-05-4.

JASPERS, K. 2002. Malá škola filozofického myslenia. Bratislava: Kaligram. ISBN 80-7149-446-1.

LIESSMANN, K. - ZENATY, G. 1994. O myšlení (Úvod do filosofie). Olomouc: Votobia. ISBN 80-85619-94-6.

Recommended reading:

MICHÁLEK, J. 1992. Co je filosofie? Praha: Oikoymenh. 88 s. ISBN 80-85241-22-6.

NOVOSÁD, F. 2016. Idey na trhovisku. Bratislava: Iris. ISBN 978-80-8153-066-1.

Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 409						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
44,99	0,0	31,54	13,2	2,2	3,42	4,65
Lecturers: doc. PhDr. Branislav Malík, CSc.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde004/22	Course title: Philosophical propedeutics
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture+seminar, 2 hours weekly/22 hours per semester; blended learning (mainly face-to-face) Student workload: 22 hours of direct teaching, 50 hours of preparation for the final test, 30 hours of continuous written work, 18 hours of guided self-study (work with a philosophical text). 120 hours in total. Learning method: - In the framework of lectures: problem-based interpretation. - In seminars: Discussion of the topics covered. Solution of certain model situations (philosophical antinomies or dilemmas, generation of alternative views and critical positions on certain philosophical concepts, application of certain philosophical methods to a specifically induced problem or situation (Socratic dialogue, methodological skepticism, etc.).	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of continuous/final evaluation: 50/50 The continuous evaluation: includes essay writing (structured essay) on a topic given in advance, written in accordance with pre-specified criteria. The final evaluation: includes passing a didactic test focused on knowledge of basic philosophical categories. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the total score. Grading Scale: A 100-91%, well done - excellent results. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (the extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the grade (percentage) of the written work, corresponds to an A grade. Note: The score or percentage of the structured essay reflects the degree to which the student's essay conforms to the criteria specified in the assignment. The didactic test contains tasks of varying cognitive difficulty, so that students are not stratified only by the extent of knowledge they have acquired. Both the test and the written work are designed to verify the specified learning outcomes. B 90-81%, very good - above average standard. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (the extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in	

terms of points or percentage, together with the grade (percentage) of the written work, corresponds to a B grade. C 80-73%, good - normal reliable work. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the written work, corresponds to a grade of C. D 72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the written work, corresponds to a grade D. E 65-60%, sufficient. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the score (percentage) of the written work, corresponds to an E. Fx 59-0%, inadequate. The student has not met the required thresholds for passing the course. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50
--

Learning outcomes:

The student can define the place and role of philosophy in the whole of human culture and in the system of university education. The student has mastered the conceptual and methodological tools essential to the study of the history of philosophy. The student knows the background of the origins of philosophy and the motives that are considered to initiate philosophical reflection. The student will be familiar with its social functions and the functions it fulfils in relation to the intimate human personality. They will become familiar with the key conceptual problems that philosophy thematizes and addresses and the range of possible solutions to them. Through methodically induced problem situations, the student will cultivate abstract and critical thinking skills and will hone their argumentation and communication skills through mastery of the principles of philosophical dialogue.

Class syllabus:

The place of philosophy in the life of man, in the whole of human culture and in the system of university education. The reasons for the inclusion of philosophy in the ISCED 3 national curriculum and the effects expected from its teaching. Possible ways of 'introduction to philosophy' and their meaning. Identifying features of philosophy and philosophical thinking and its differences from other ways of human learning about the world. Thinking, reflecting and inventing as the intended outcomes of teaching philosophy. Introduction to philosophy through the problems it solves, the questions it answers, the functions attributed to it, its origins and the methods it employs. Basic philosophical categories, methods and disciplines, profiling philosophical thinking in relevant cultural and historical contexts, key philosophical problems and their model solutions. The internal structure of philosophy. Basic philosophical disciplines. The most typical positions within ontology, gnoseology, axiology and philosophy of history. The issue of freedom as the most intense area of overlap between philosophy and civic life. The most famous emancipatory projects in the history of philosophical thought and their reception in relevant socio-political concepts.

Recommended literature:

JASPERS, K. 1996. Úvod do filosofie. Praha: Oikoymenh. 119 s. ISBN 80-86005-05-4.

JASPERS, K. 2002. Malá škola filozofického myslenia. Bratislava: Kaligram. ISBN 80-7149-446-1.

LIESSMANN, K. - ZENATY, G. 1994. O myšlení (Úvod do filosofie). Olomouc: Votobia. ISBN 80-85619-94-6.

Recommended reading:

MICHÁLEK, J. 1992. Co je filosofie? Praha: Oikoymenh. 88 s. ISBN 80-85241-22-6.

NOVOSÁD, F. 2016. Idey na trhovisku. Bratislava: Iris. ISBN 978-80-8153-066-1.

Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 409						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
44,99	0,0	31,54	13,2	2,2	3,42	4,65
Lecturers: doc. PhDr. Branislav Malík, CSc.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde022/22	Course title: Political ethics
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 22 hours per semester, combined method. Student workload: 22 hours of direct instruction, 20 hours of preparation for the midterm test, 18 hours for the midterm assignment. Total: 60 hours. Method of training: Problem-based interpretation and discussion of the topics covered.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde003/22 - Political science II.	
Recommended prerequisites: B-VOBde003 Political science II.	
Course requirements: Weighting of intermediate/final assessment: 100/0 The interim assessment includes an interim written assignment on democracy theory (40% of the grade) and an interim didactic test (60% of the grade). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the total mark is required. Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: Intermediate/final grade weighting: 100/0 The interim assessment includes an interim written assignment on the theory of democracies (40% of the grade) and an interim didactic test (60% of the grade). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the total mark is required. The grade is awarded on a scale: A 100-94%, excellent - outstanding results; students perform well, their theoretical knowledge of ethics of responsibility is at an excellent level, they have a standard very good ability to evaluate knowledge critically and analytically, to compare different moral concepts, they hand in assignments on time. They have demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) in the mid-term test which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the score (percentage) of the mid-term written assignment, corresponds to an A grade. B 93-86%, very good - above average standard; students performed well, their theoretical knowledge of ethics of responsibility is at a very good level, they have a good ability to apply knowledge critically and analytically, they critically evaluate and compare literary sources at a very good level, they generally hand in interim assignments on time. They have demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) in the interim test	

which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the interim written assignment, is equivalent to a grade B.

C 85-76%, good - routinely reliable work; students performed standardly well, their theoretical knowledge of the ethics of responsibility is at a good level, but they lack the ability to analytically compartmentalize this knowledge and critically evaluate individual concepts. In the midterm test, they demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) that, in terms of points or percentages, together with the scores (percentages) on the midterm writing assignment, corresponds to a grade of C.

D 75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable performance; students were less prepared during the semester, turning in interim assignments after the assignment due date. They are slightly deficient in the concept of ethics of responsibility; they cannot analytically process the concepts. Information. They demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) in the midterm test that, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the midterm writing assignment, corresponds to a grade of D.

E 67-60%, adequate - results meet minimum criteria, students were less prepared during the semester, turned in assignments after the assignment due date. They are more deficient in the concepts of political ethics, unable to process information analytically and critically. They demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) on the midterm test that, in terms of points or percentages, along with the score (percentage) on the midterm writing assignment, is equivalent to an E grade.

Fx 59-0%, Inadequate - additional work, extra study required.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Students will be introduced to key topics in political ethics, which is a constitutive aspect of political science. They will acquire the ability to critically analyse the moral aspects of politics in the processes of democratic citizenship formation. They will acquire the ability to interpret the paradoxes of morality in modern times. They will be able to present the essential features of political ethics in its entirety and to point out its ambivalent character.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. The ambivalence of modernity and the ambivalence of morality

1.1 The content of the political:

Political ethics as a problem of its mastery

1.2 The process of the political:

Political ethics and the democratic question

1.3 Forms of the political:

Political ethics and social governance

2. Political ethics as a critique of domination

3. Political ethics as a theory of communicative justice

3.1 Distinction between ethics and morality

Justice as a principle of politics

3.2 Moral foundations of justice:

The ethics of discourses

3.3 The political institutionalisation of justice

Morality and deliberative politics

3.4 A Critique of Communicative Ethics

4. The political ethics of communitarianism

4.1 The Substantive Model: The morality of patriotism 4.2 Republican Model: Participation and self-management 4.3 The normative public model: The idea of particularistic justice 4.4 Critique of communitarianism 5. Political ethics as a principle of accountability						
Recommended literature: JONAS, H. 1997. Princip odpovědnosti. Pokus o etiku pro technologickou civilizaci. Praha: OIKOYMENH. 318 s. (vybrané kapitoly) ISBN 80-86005-06-2 JASPERS, K. 1965. Otázka viny. Praha: Mladá fronta 92 s. Recommended readings: SHAPIRO, I. 2003. Morální základy politiky. Praha: Karolinum. 239 s. (kapitola 8). ISBN 80-246-0716-6 ŠMAJS, J. 1995. Ohrožená kultura. Od evoluční ontologie k ekologické politice. 1.vyd. Brno: "Zvláštní vydání..." , 105 s. ISBN 80-85436-38-8						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 207						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
69,08	0,0	25,12	4,83	0,48	0,0	0,48
Lecturers:						
Last change: 10.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde022/22	Course title: Political ethics
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 22 hours per semester, combined method. Student workload: 22 hours of direct instruction, 20 hours of preparation for the midterm test, 18 hours for the midterm assignment. Total: 60 hours. Method of training: Problem-based interpretation and discussion of the topics covered.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde003/22 - Political science II.	
Recommended prerequisites: B-VOBde003 Political science II.	
Course requirements: Weighting of intermediate/final assessment: 100/0 The interim assessment includes an interim written assignment on democracy theory (40% of the grade) and an interim didactic test (60% of the grade). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the total mark is required. Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: Intermediate/final grade weighting: 100/0 The interim assessment includes an interim written assignment on the theory of democracies (40% of the grade) and an interim didactic test (60% of the grade). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the total mark is required. The grade is awarded on a scale: A 100-94%, excellent - outstanding results; students perform well, their theoretical knowledge of ethics of responsibility is at an excellent level, they have a standard very good ability to evaluate knowledge critically and analytically, to compare different moral concepts, they hand in assignments on time. They have demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) in the mid-term test which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the score (percentage) of the mid-term written assignment, corresponds to an A grade. B 93-86%, very good - above average standard; students performed well, their theoretical knowledge of ethics of responsibility is at a very good level, they have a good ability to apply knowledge critically and analytically, they critically evaluate and compare literary sources at a very good level, they generally hand in interim assignments on time. They have demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) in the interim test	

which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the interim written assignment, is equivalent to a grade B.

C 85-76%, good - routinely reliable work; students performed standardly well, their theoretical knowledge of the ethics of responsibility is at a good level, but they lack the ability to analytically compartmentalize this knowledge and critically evaluate individual concepts. In the midterm test, they demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) that, in terms of points or percentages, together with the scores (percentages) on the midterm writing assignment, corresponds to a grade of C.

D 75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable performance; students were less prepared during the semester, turning in interim assignments after the assignment due date. They are slightly deficient in the concept of ethics of responsibility; they cannot analytically process the concepts. Information. They demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) in the midterm test that, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the midterm writing assignment, corresponds to a grade of D.

E 67-60%, adequate - results meet minimum criteria, students were less prepared during the semester, turned in assignments after the assignment due date. They are more deficient in the concepts of political ethics, unable to process information analytically and critically. They demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) on the midterm test that, in terms of points or percentages, along with the score (percentage) on the midterm writing assignment, is equivalent to an E grade.

Fx 59-0%, Inadequate - additional work, extra study required.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Students will be introduced to key topics in political ethics, which is a constitutive aspect of political science. They will acquire the ability to critically analyse the moral aspects of politics in the processes of democratic citizenship formation. They will acquire the ability to interpret the paradoxes of morality in modern times. They will be able to present the essential features of political ethics in its entirety and to point out its ambivalent character.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. The ambivalence of modernity and the ambivalence of morality

1.1 The content of the political:

Political ethics as a problem of its mastery

1.2 The process of the political:

Political ethics and the democratic question

1.3 Forms of the political:

Political ethics and social governance

2. Political ethics as a critique of domination

3. Political ethics as a theory of communicative justice

3.1 Distinction between ethics and morality

Justice as a principle of politics

3.2 Moral foundations of justice:

The ethics of discourses

3.3 The political institutionalisation of justice

Morality and deliberative politics

3.4 A Critique of Communicative Ethics

4. The political ethics of communitarianism

4.1 The Substantive Model: The morality of patriotism 4.2 Republican Model: Participation and self-management 4.3 The normative public model: The idea of particularistic justice 4.4 Critique of communitarianism 5. Political ethics as a principle of accountability						
Recommended literature: JONAS, H. 1997. Princip odpovědnosti. Pokus o etiku pro technologickou civilizaci. Praha: OIKOYMENH. 318 s. (vybrané kapitoly) ISBN 80-86005-06-2 JASPERS, K. 1965. Otázka viny. Praha: Mladá fronta 92 s. Recommended readings: SHAPIRO, I. 2003. Morální základy politiky. Praha: Karolinum. 239 s. (kapitola 8). ISBN 80-246-0716-6 ŠMAJS, J. 1995. Ohrožená kultura. Od evoluční ontologie k ekologické politice. 1.vyd. Brno: "Zvláštní vydání..." , 105 s. ISBN 80-85436-38-8						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 207						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
69,08	0,0	25,12	4,83	0,48	0,0	0,48
Lecturers:						
Last change: 10.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde002/22	Course title: Political science I.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture, 2 hours/week, i.e. 22 hours in total per semester, combined (mostly full-time) Student workload: 22 hours of direct instruction, 50 hours of preparation for the final oral exam, 30 hours for the mid-term written paper, 18 hours of guided self-study (work with a political science text). 120 hours in total Teaching methods: In the lectures: problem-based interpretation. In seminars: Dialogical methods (interview, discussion, polemic, debate, discussion), guided self-study (work with text)	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim/final evaluation: 50/50 The interim assessment involves the test (50% of the mark) The final assessment involves the completion of an oral examination focusing on knowledge of basic political science terms, concepts, categories and political ideologies (50 % of the mark) A minimum of 60% of the total score is required for passing the course. The grade is awarded on a scale of: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results; in a structured essay, the student has demonstrated a level of mastery and alignment of the topic with the specified criteria (knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) that corresponds to an A grade. In the oral examination, the student has correctly, actively, critically and creatively mastered the introduction to the study of politics. Reflects critically, actively and theoretically on the content of politics. The structured essay and oral exam are designed to verify the stated learning outcomes. B (93-86%, very good - above average standard; the student reflects critically on both the knowledge and the frameworks for further development of the discipline in an appropriate manner, (the range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points, percentage, corresponds to a grade of B. C (85-76%, good - normal reliable work; the student reflects more or less actively and critically on the knowledge and the current development of political science knowledge in a structured essay	

and in an oral examination (the extent of acquired knowledge and mobilized cognitive abilities), which corresponds to the grade C in the point, percentage evaluation. D (75-68%, Satisfactory - Acceptable performance; the student reflects critically on knowledge and current developments in the discipline to a limited, moderate degree (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilized) in a structured essay and in an oral examination, demonstrates political science knowledge at a grade of D. E (67-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria, (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) student demonstrated limited critical reflection on knowledge and current developments in the discipline in a structured essay and on an oral examination. Fx (59-0%, insufficient - further work, extra study required). Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50
--

Learning outcomes:

Students will gain an overview of the basic terms, concepts and categories of political science. They will become familiar with the general characteristics of the field in order to gain proficiency and orientation in the schools of thought in contemporary political science, including disputes over the concept of politics. They will gain an overview of contemporary ideological trends and the relationship between politics and morality. They will understand the categories of the political system, political parties and movements, human rights, and education for patriotism. The course includes an explanation of Slovakia's place in the EU, Slovakia's foreign policy, and showing Slovakia's path to parliamentary democracy.

Class syllabus:

Students will gain an overview of the basic terms, concepts and categories of political science. They will become familiar with the general characteristics of the field in order to gain proficiency and orientation in the schools of thought in contemporary political science, including disputes over the concept of politics. They will gain an overview of contemporary ideological trends and the relationship between politics and morality. They will understand the categories of the political system, political parties and movements, human rights, and education for patriotism. The course includes an explanation of Slovakia's place in the EU, Slovakia's foreign policy, and showing Slovakia's path to parliamentary democracy.

Recommended literature:

HEYWOOD, A.: Politologie. Praha. EUROLEX BOHEMIA. 2004 (kapitoly 1,2,3,5,6,12,14) ISBN 9-78086432953

LYSÝ, J. 2004. Úvod do politickej vedy. Bratislava: OZSP. 93 s. ISBN 80-968927-8-9 ako povolanie. Prel. L. Kiczko. Bratislava: Spektrum. 75 s. ISBN 80-218-0055-0

WEBER, M. 1992. Politika ako povolanie. Prel. L. Kiczko. Bratislava: Spektrum. 75 s. ISBN 80-218-0055-0

Ústava SR NR SR, TASR PRESSFOTO. 72 s. ISBN 80-226-0131-4

LYSÝ, J. 2008. Občianska spoločnosť. Bratislava: Právnická fakulta Univerzity Komenského, s. 24-63. ISBN 978-80-7160-249-1

SRB, V. 2008. Stručný průvodce politickou vědou aneb klíč k politické teorii, Kolín: Vysoká škola politických a společenských věd. 83 s. ISBN 80-86879-05-4

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 409						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
37,65	0,0	23,96	25,67	3,67	4,4	4,65
Lecturers: Mgr. Veronika Valkovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 10.09.2024						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde002/22	Course title: Political science I.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture, 2 hours/week, i.e. 22 hours in total per semester, combined (mostly full-time) Student workload: 22 hours of direct instruction, 50 hours of preparation for the final oral exam, 30 hours for the mid-term written paper, 18 hours of guided self-study (work with a political science text). 120 hours in total Teaching methods: In the lectures: problem-based interpretation. In seminars: Dialogical methods (interview, discussion, polemic, debate, discussion), guided self-study (work with text)	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim/final evaluation: 50/50 The interim assessment involves the test (50% of the mark) The final assessment involves the completion of an oral examination focusing on knowledge of basic political science terms, concepts, categories and political ideologies (50 % of the mark) A minimum of 60% of the total score is required for passing the course. The grade is awarded on a scale of: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results; in a structured essay, the student has demonstrated a level of mastery and alignment of the topic with the specified criteria (knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) that corresponds to an A grade. In the oral examination, the student has correctly, actively, critically and creatively mastered the introduction to the study of politics. Reflects critically, actively and theoretically on the content of politics. The structured essay and oral exam are designed to verify the stated learning outcomes. B (93-86%, very good - above average standard; the student reflects critically on both the knowledge and the frameworks for further development of the discipline in an appropriate manner, (the range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points, percentage, corresponds to a grade of B. C (85-76%, good - normal reliable work; the student reflects more or less actively and critically on the knowledge and the current development of political science knowledge in a structured essay	

and in an oral examination (the extent of acquired knowledge and mobilized cognitive abilities), which corresponds to the grade C in the point, percentage evaluation. D (75-68%, Satisfactory - Acceptable performance; the student reflects critically on knowledge and current developments in the discipline to a limited, moderate degree (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilized) in a structured essay and in an oral examination, demonstrates political science knowledge at a grade of D. E (67-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria, (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) student demonstrated limited critical reflection on knowledge and current developments in the discipline in a structured essay and on an oral examination. Fx (59-0%, insufficient - further work, extra study required). Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50
Learning outcomes: Students will gain an overview of the basic terms, concepts and categories of political science. They will become familiar with the general characteristics of the field in order to gain proficiency and orientation in the schools of thought in contemporary political science, including disputes over the concept of politics. They will gain an overview of contemporary ideological trends and the relationship between politics and morality. They will understand the categories of the political system, political parties and movements, human rights, and education for patriotism. The course includes an explanation of Slovakia's place in the EU, Slovakia's foreign policy, and showing Slovakia's path to parliamentary democracy.
Class syllabus: Students will gain an overview of the basic terms, concepts and categories of political science. They will become familiar with the general characteristics of the field in order to gain proficiency and orientation in the schools of thought in contemporary political science, including disputes over the concept of politics. They will gain an overview of contemporary ideological trends and the relationship between politics and morality. They will understand the categories of the political system, political parties and movements, human rights, and education for patriotism. The course includes an explanation of Slovakia's place in the EU, Slovakia's foreign policy, and showing Slovakia's path to parliamentary democracy.
Recommended literature: HEYWOOD, A.: Politologie. Praha. EUROLEX BOHEMIA. 2004 (kapitoly 1,2,3,5,6,12,14) ISBN 9-78086432953 LYSÝ, J. 2004. Úvod do politickej vedy. Bratislava: OZSP. 93 s. ISBN 80-968927-8-9 ako povolanie. Prel. L. Kiczko. Bratislava: Spektrum. 75 s. ISBN 80-218-0055-0 WEBER, M. 1992. Politika ako povolanie. Prel. L. Kiczko. Bratislava: Spektrum. 75 s. ISBN 80-218-0055-0 Ústava SR NR SR, TASR PRESSFOTO. 72 s. ISBN 80-226-0131-4 LYSÝ, J. 2008. Občianska spoločnosť. Bratislava: Právnická fakulta Univerzity Komenského, s. 24-63. ISBN 978-80-7160-249-1 SRB, V. 2008. Stručný průvodce politickou vědou aneb klíč k politické teorii, Kolín: Vysoká škola politických a společenských věd. 83 s. ISBN 80-86879-05-4
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language
Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 409						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
37,65	0,0	23,96	25,67	3,67	4,4	4,65
Lecturers: Mgr. Veronika Valkovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 10.09.2024						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde003/22	Course title: Political science II.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture, 2 hours per week / i.e. total of 22 hours per semester combined (mostly attendance) Student workload: 22 hours of direct instruction, 50 hours of preparation for the final oral examination, 30 hours for the mid-term test, 18 hours of guided self-study (work with a political science text, document). 120 hours in total Teaching methods: In the lectures: problem-based interpretation. In seminars: Dialogical methods (interview, discussion, polemic, debate, discussion)	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde002/22 - Political science I.	
Recommended prerequisites: B-VOBde002 Political Science I.	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim/final evaluation: 50/50 The interim assessment involves the production of a test on a specified topic in the history of political thought (periods, works and personalities) according to specified conditions: (50% of the mark). The final assessment involves the completion of an oral examination focusing on knowledge of political thought in the ancient, medieval and modern periods, the characteristics of each period, key authors, works, documents and ideologies (50 % of the mark). A minimum of 60% of the total mark is required to pass the course. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results; the student has demonstrated in the test a level of mastery and alignment of the topic with the specified criteria (knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) that corresponds to an A grade. In the oral examination, he/she has correctly, critically and creatively mastered the different periods of the history of political thought with their characterisation of history, authors and also in context. Reflects critically and theoretically on the period, personalities and their works. The structured essay and oral examination are designed to verify stated learning outcomes that promote reflexivity of past and contemporary political history as conditions for education for democratic citizenship.	

B (93-86%, very good - above average standard; the student reflects adequately and critically on particular periods in the history of political thought and their relationship to key authors and their works (within the range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilized), which corresponds to a grade of B in terms of points, percentages, and grades. C (85-76%, good - normal reliable work; in a structured essay and in an oral examination, the student reflects more or less critically on the knowledge of the different periods of the history of political thought with their authors and works (within the scope of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities), which corresponds to a grade C in the points, percentage assessment. D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results; the student reflects, to a limited extent, in a moderately critical way, knowledge from individual periods of the history of political thought with their authors and works (within the scope of acquired knowledge and mobilized cognitive abilities). Demonstrates D-level political science knowledge in a structured essay and in an oral examination. E (67-60%, sufficient - results meet the minimum criteria, (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) the student has demonstrated in the structured essay and oral examination only a limited degree of critical reflection on the different periods of the history of political thought, their authors and works, and partial reflection on their contexts. Fx (59-0%, insufficient - further work, extra study required). Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50
Learning outcomes: The study of political science theories and concepts shapes various aspects of students' civic competencies. The course presents the political system of the Slovak Republic with regard to its various institutions. At the same time, it presents these institutions from a European and global perspective. Students critically reflect on their civic competencies and learn about the possibilities of political participation at various levels of government.
Class syllabus: Students will gain an overview of political science theories and concepts related to political institutions. They will become familiar with various institutions of the political system of the Slovak Republic, including the separation of powers. They will understand concepts such as civil society and the principles of political communication. Part of the course involves understanding the possibilities of various forms of political participation.
Recommended literature: LYSÝ J. 2006. Dejiny politického myslenia I., Bratislava: UK ISBN 80-223-2168-8 LYSÝ J. 2006. Dejiny politického myslenia II., Bratislava: UK. ISBN 80-223-2242-3 ČERNÍK, V., VICENÍK, J., VIŠŇOVSKÝ, E. 1997. Historické typy racionality. Bratislava: IRIS.324 s. ISBN 80-88778-45-X PEKNÍK a kol.: Republika Česko-Slovensko. Od monarchie k vlastnému štátu. Ilúzie verzus realita. I. časť, Bratislava 2021. ISBN978-80-224-1904-8 (súbor) PEKNÍK a kol.: Republika Česko-Slovensko. Od monarchie k vlastnému štátu. Ilúzie verzus realita. II. časť, Bratislava 2021. ISBN978-80-224-1891-1 (II. časť) Odporúčaná literatúra: ARENDTOVÁ, H. 2002. Mezi minulostí a budoucností, Brno: CDK, 263 s. ISBN 80-85959-92-5 HEYWOOD, A. 2005. Politické ideologie, Praha: Eurolex Bohemia. 339 s. ISBN80-86861-71-6 NOVOSÁD, F., SMREKOVÁ, D. 2013. Dejiny sociálneho a politického myslela. Bratislava:Kalligram. 797 s. ISBN 978-80-8101-679-0
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language
Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 356						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
32,58	0,0	25,0	20,22	11,24	6,74	4,21
Lecturers:						
Last change: 15.09.2025						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde003/22	Course title: Political science II.
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture, 2 hours per week / i.e. total of 22 hours per semester combined (mostly attendance) Student workload: 22 hours of direct instruction, 50 hours of preparation for the final oral examination, 30 hours for the mid-term test, 18 hours of guided self-study (work with a political science text, document). 120 hours in total Teaching methods: In the lectures: problem-based interpretation. In seminars: Dialogical methods (interview, discussion, polemic, debate, discussion)	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde002/22 - Political science I.	
Recommended prerequisites: B-VOBde002 Political Science I.	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim/final evaluation: 50/50 The interim assessment involves the production of a test on a specified topic in the history of political thought (periods, works and personalities) according to specified conditions: (50% of the mark). The final assessment involves the completion of an oral examination focusing on knowledge of political thought in the ancient, medieval and modern periods, the characteristics of each period, key authors, works, documents and ideologies (50 % of the mark). A minimum of 60% of the total mark is required to pass the course. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results; the student has demonstrated in the test a level of mastery and alignment of the topic with the specified criteria (knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) that corresponds to an A grade. In the oral examination, he/she has correctly, critically and creatively mastered the different periods of the history of political thought with their characterisation of history, authors and also in context. Reflects critically and theoretically on the period, personalities and their works. The structured essay and oral examination are designed to verify stated learning outcomes that promote reflexivity of past and contemporary political history as conditions for education for democratic citizenship.	

B (93-86%, very good - above average standard; the student reflects adequately and critically on particular periods in the history of political thought and their relationship to key authors and their works (within the range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilized), which corresponds to a grade of B in terms of points, percentages, and grades. C (85-76%, good - normal reliable work; in a structured essay and in an oral examination, the student reflects more or less critically on the knowledge of the different periods of the history of political thought with their authors and works (within the scope of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities), which corresponds to a grade C in the points, percentage assessment. D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results; the student reflects, to a limited extent, in a moderately critical way, knowledge from individual periods of the history of political thought with their authors and works (within the scope of acquired knowledge and mobilized cognitive abilities). Demonstrates D-level political science knowledge in a structured essay and in an oral examination. E (67-60%, sufficient - results meet the minimum criteria, (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) the student has demonstrated in the structured essay and oral examination only a limited degree of critical reflection on the different periods of the history of political thought, their authors and works, and partial reflection on their contexts. Fx (59-0%, insufficient - further work, extra study required). Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50
Learning outcomes: The study of political science theories and concepts shapes various aspects of students' civic competencies. The course presents the political system of the Slovak Republic with regard to its various institutions. At the same time, it presents these institutions from a European and global perspective. Students critically reflect on their civic competencies and learn about the possibilities of political participation at various levels of government.
Class syllabus: Students will gain an overview of political science theories and concepts related to political institutions. They will become familiar with various institutions of the political system of the Slovak Republic, including the separation of powers. They will understand concepts such as civil society and the principles of political communication. Part of the course involves understanding the possibilities of various forms of political participation.
Recommended literature: LYSÝ J. 2006. Dejiny politického myslenia I., Bratislava: UK ISBN 80-223-2168-8 LYSÝ J. 2006. Dejiny politického myslenia II., Bratislava: UK. ISBN 80-223-2242-3 ČERNÍK, V., VICENÍK, J., VIŠŇOVSKÝ, E. 1997. Historické typy racionality. Bratislava: IRIS.324 s. ISBN 80-88778-45-X PEKNÍK a kol.: Republika Česko-Slovensko. Od monarchie k vlastnému štátu. Ilúzie verzus realita. I. časť, Bratislava 2021. ISBN978-80-224-1904-8 (súbor) PEKNÍK a kol.: Republika Česko-Slovensko. Od monarchie k vlastnému štátu. Ilúzie verzus realita. II. časť, Bratislava 2021. ISBN978-80-224-1891-1 (II. časť) Odporúčaná literatúra: ARENDTOVÁ, H. 2002. Mezi minulostí a budoucností, Brno: CDK, 263 s. ISBN 80-85959-92-5 HEYWOOD, A. 2005. Politické ideologie, Praha: Eurolex Bohemia. 339 s. ISBN80-86861-71-6 NOVOSÁD, F., SMREKOVÁ, D. 2013. Dejiny sociálneho a politického myslela. Bratislava:Kalligram. 797 s. ISBN 978-80-8101-679-0
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language
Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 356						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
32,58	0,0	25,0	20,22	11,24	6,74	4,21
Lecturers: Mgr. Veronika Valkovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 15.09.2025						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde028/16			Course title: Practice in cultural institution			
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 4.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 20						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
95,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	5,0
Lecturers: Mgr. Pavol Makyna, PhD.						
Last change: 08.02.2018						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde030/20			Course title: Project Activities			
Educational activities: Type of activities: internship Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 20s Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 4.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 4						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
75,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	25,0
Lecturers: Mgr. Ivana Dendys, PhD.						
Last change: 09.02.2021						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22	Course title: Psychology for teachers 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar / lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 / 2 per level/semester: 26 / 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by examination, the weight of the interim and final assessment is 50/50 50% Intermediate assessment: includes a seminar paper (10 points), an intermediate test (40 points). 50% Final assessment: includes a reflective essay (5 points) and a final test (45 points). The student must score at least 50% on each test. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For a grade of A, the student must be consistently outstanding, capable of independent study, and able to critically compare professional texts in psychology. The student is able to navigate a variety of literary sources, is proficient in the fundamentals of general and developmental psychology, understands the thinking, decision-making and emotional responses of children and adults, and can reflect critically on psychological knowledge. A grade of B indicates that the student has performed above average throughout the semester, has been able to study independently, has mastered basic information related to general and developmental psychology, understands how children and adults think, make decisions, and react emotionally, and reflects on psychological knowledge. A grade of C means that the student has performed standardly well during the semester, his/her theoretical knowledge of the subject is at a good level, but the ability to apply this knowledge or critically evaluate and compare individual psychological findings is lacking. A grade of D means that the student has been less prepared during the semester, has slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge related to the subject of education and has more problems in independent implementation work, cannot critically analyze information.	

A grade of E means that the student fails in more than one of the assignments, lacks the ability to analyze and compare multiple pieces of knowledge, and fails in critical thinking and application of theoretical knowledge to practice as well as theoretical knowledge.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The aim of Psychology for Teachers 1 is to make available basic information about the general laws of human experience and behaviour so that they can form a platform for understanding the workings of the human psyche and to synthesize psychological knowledge, facts, theories, research approaches into a coherent view of the individual's psyche for the purposes of creative professional application in pedagogical practice. The student after completing the course

- knows the basic concepts of general, cognitive and developmental psychology, basic models and theories of developmental psychology, knows how to differentiate and classify them into individual categories,

- can apply knowledge of general, cognitive and developmental psychology in an educational context,

- knows the laws of psychic development, especially with regard to the needs of lower and upper secondary education,

- knows the laws of development of cognitive processes and their application in the educational process

- can read and understand professional text and psychological research, understand tables and graphs

- finds logical connections in opinions and thoughts

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

Part of general and cognitive psychology:

1. Introduction to psychology: a brief history of psychology, psychology as a science, the subject of psychological inquiry, the formation of psychological knowledge and psychological methodology. Psychological processes and states.

2. Sensory processes. Perception - characteristics of the process, laws of perception and types of perception.

3. Imagination and attention. Fantasy and memory imagery. Types of ideas and their comparison. Illusions and hallucinations. Types and characteristics of attention.

4. Memory and learning. Theories of memory. Types of memory. Memory processes and information (remembering, retention, reproduction, retrieval). Forgetting, extremes and pathology in memory performance. Characteristics and some theories of learning, types, conditions and principles of learning

5. Thinking, intelligence and speech. Thought operations and forms of thought. Types of thinking. Thinking as problem solving. Thinking and intelligence. Relationship between thinking and language.

6. Dynamics of the psyche: motivation and emotions. Emotional states and feelings. Physiological bases of emotionality. Classification and characteristics of feelings and emotions. Stress, frustration and the experience of conflict. Characteristics and functions of motivation, principles of motivation, energetic basis of the organism, instincts, drives, needs, values, attitudes, aspirations, frustration, volition.

Part of developmental psychology:

7. The subject of investigation and methods of developmental psychology. Subject, aims of developmental psychology; interdisciplinary relations. Assessment of psychological development - basic methods of diagnosing developmental level, developmental screening and scales, psychological tests.
8. Mental development in general - developmental changes and laws, factors of development. Developmental changes and their classification, basic developmental processes. Factors of development - conditions of psychic development, external and internal factors of psychic development and their interrelations; maturation and learning, development and education. Developmental laws.
9. Theoretical models and concepts of psychological development. Periodization of development. Problems of unified classification of psychological development, classification systems. Critical or sensitive developmental stages, developmental crisis. Basic psychological characteristics of developmental phases.
10. The most important theoretical models of psychological development and their critical evaluation - psychoanalytic, humanistic, biodimensional model, Piaget's school, Vygotsky and the Russian school ai... Theoretical concepts of determination of psychological development - nativism and endogenistic theories, empiricism and exogenistic theories, interaction theoretical models.
11. Developmental roles of the different periods - prenatal development, newborn, infant, toddler, pre-school age, younger school age, adolescence, adulthood and old age.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

KUBÁNI, V., (2010). Všeobecná psychológia. Prešov: Prešovská univerzita. ISBN: 978-80-555-0172-7,

JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z. (2021). Od narodenia po maturitu. Poznatky vývinovej psychológie v kontexte vzdelávania. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. ISBN 978-80-223-5169-0.

Recommended reading:

LEMEŠOVÁ, M., SOKOLOVÁ, L., MINAROVÍČOVÁ, K. (2015). Psychológia (inter)aktívne. Univerzita Komenského

NAKONEČNÝ M. (2016). Obecná psychologie. Triton.

SOKOLOVÁ, L., BROZMANOVÁ, E. (2015). Psychológia trochu inak. Univerzita Komenského

ŠRAMOVÁ, B. (2008). Osobnosť v procese ontogenézy. Melius

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak, czech and english

Notes:

students of the psychology teaching (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1547

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
18,29	0,0	25,02	20,88	15,13	12,28	8,4

Lecturers: PhDr. Romana Schunová, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22	Course title: Psychology for teachers 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar / lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 / 2 per level/semester: 26 / 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by examination, the weight of the interim and final assessment is 50/50 50% Intermediate assessment: includes a seminar paper (10 points), an intermediate test (40 points). 50% Final assessment: includes a reflective essay (5 points) and a final test (45 points). The student must score at least 50% on each test. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For a grade of A, the student must be consistently outstanding, capable of independent study, and able to critically compare professional texts in psychology. The student is able to navigate a variety of literary sources, is proficient in the fundamentals of general and developmental psychology, understands the thinking, decision-making and emotional responses of children and adults, and can reflect critically on psychological knowledge. A grade of B indicates that the student has performed above average throughout the semester, has been able to study independently, has mastered basic information related to general and developmental psychology, understands how children and adults think, make decisions, and react emotionally, and reflects on psychological knowledge. A grade of C means that the student has performed standardly well during the semester, his/her theoretical knowledge of the subject is at a good level, but the ability to apply this knowledge or critically evaluate and compare individual psychological findings is lacking. A grade of D means that the student has been less prepared during the semester, has slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge related to the subject of education and has more problems in independent implementation work, cannot critically analyze information.	

A grade of E means that the student fails in more than one of the assignments, lacks the ability to analyze and compare multiple pieces of knowledge, and fails in critical thinking and application of theoretical knowledge to practice as well as theoretical knowledge.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The aim of Psychology for Teachers 1 is to make available basic information about the general laws of human experience and behaviour so that they can form a platform for understanding the workings of the human psyche and to synthesize psychological knowledge, facts, theories, research approaches into a coherent view of the individual's psyche for the purposes of creative professional application in pedagogical practice. The student after completing the course

- knows the basic concepts of general, cognitive and developmental psychology, basic models and theories of developmental psychology, knows how to differentiate and classify them into individual categories,
- can apply knowledge of general, cognitive and developmental psychology in an educational context,
- knows the laws of psychic development, especially with regard to the needs of lower and upper secondary education,
- knows the laws of development of cognitive processes and their application in the educational process
- can read and understand professional text and psychological research, understand tables and graphs
- finds logical connections in opinions and thoughts

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

Part of general and cognitive psychology:

1. Introduction to psychology: a brief history of psychology, psychology as a science, the subject of psychological inquiry, the formation of psychological knowledge and psychological methodology. Psychological processes and states.
2. Sensory processes. Perception - characteristics of the process, laws of perception and types of perception.
3. Imagination and attention. Fantasy and memory imagery. Types of ideas and their comparison. Illusions and hallucinations. Types and characteristics of attention.
4. Memory and learning. Theories of memory. Types of memory. Memory processes and information (remembering, retention, reproduction, retrieval). Forgetting, extremes and pathology in memory performance. Characteristics and some theories of learning, types, conditions and principles of learning
5. Thinking, intelligence and speech. Thought operations and forms of thought. Types of thinking. Thinking as problem solving. Thinking and intelligence. Relationship between thinking and language.
6. Dynamics of the psyche: motivation and emotions. Emotional states and feelings. Physiological bases of emotionality. Classification and characteristics of feelings and emotions. Stress, frustration and the experience of conflict. Characteristics and functions of motivation, principles of motivation, energetic basis of the organism, instincts, drives, needs, values, attitudes, aspirations, frustration, volition.

Part of developmental psychology:

7. The subject of investigation and methods of developmental psychology. Subject, aims of developmental psychology; interdisciplinary relations. Assessment of psychological development - basic methods of diagnosing developmental level, developmental screening and scales, psychological tests.
8. Mental development in general - developmental changes and laws, factors of development. Developmental changes and their classification, basic developmental processes. Factors of development - conditions of psychic development, external and internal factors of psychic development and their interrelations; maturation and learning, development and education. Developmental laws.
9. Theoretical models and concepts of psychological development. Periodization of development. Problems of unified classification of psychological development, classification systems. Critical or sensitive developmental stages, developmental crisis. Basic psychological characteristics of developmental phases.
10. The most important theoretical models of psychological development and their critical evaluation - psychoanalytic, humanistic, biodimensional model, Piaget's school, Vygotsky and the Russian school ai... Theoretical concepts of determination of psychological development - nativism and endogenistic theories, empiricism and exogenistic theories, interaction theoretical models.
11. Developmental roles of the different periods - prenatal development, newborn, infant, toddler, pre-school age, younger school age, adolescence, adulthood and old age.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

KUBÁNI, V., (2010). Všeobecná psychológia. Prešov: Prešovská univerzita. ISBN: 978-80-555-0172-7,

JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z. (2021). Od narodenia po maturitu. Poznatky vývinovej psychológie v kontexte vzdelávania. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. ISBN 978-80-223-5169-0.

Recommended reading:

LEMEŠOVÁ, M., SOKOLOVÁ, L., MINAROVIČOVÁ, K. (2015). Psychológia (inter)aktívne. Univerzita Komenského

NAKONEČNÝ M. (2016). Obecná psychologie. Triton.

SOKOLOVÁ, L., BROZMANOVÁ, E. (2015). Psychológia trochu inak. Univerzita Komenského

ŠRAMOVÁ, B. (2008). Osobnosť v procese ontogenézy. Melius

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak, czech and english

Notes:

students of the psychology teaching (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1547

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
18,29	0,0	25,02	20,88	15,13	12,28	8,4

Lecturers:

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde007/22	Course title: Psychology for teachers 2
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar / lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 / 2 per level/semester: 26 / 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1	
Course requirements: Prerequisites: B-VUZde003 / Psychology for teachers 1 Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed with an assessment, 100% of the continuous assessment, which includes continuous work - completion of a self-awareness test (15% of the assessment) and a seminar paper (20% of the assessment), completion of a continuous test in personality psychology (30% of the assessment), a reflective essay (5% of the assessment), and a final test (30% of the assessment). Credit will not be awarded to a student who scores less than 50% on any of the four written examinations. To pass the course, you must obtain a minimum of 60 % of the marks. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) For an A grade, the student must have excellent theoretical knowledge, be able to evaluate and critically analyse it, and use the knowledge flexibly in practically set tasks. For a grade of B, the student must have excellent theoretical knowledge, be able to evaluate and critically analyze it, and have slight deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks. For a grade of C, the student must have excellent theoretical knowledge, moderate deficiencies in its evaluation and critical analysis, moderate deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks. For a grade D, the student must have basic theoretical knowledge, moderate deficiencies in their evaluation and critical analysis, moderate deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks). For an E grade, the student must have basic theoretical knowledge, moderate deficiencies in their evaluation and critical analysis, and major deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks.	

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0
Learning outcomes: Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences: The aim is - Know the principles of personality formation and use them to develop the cognitive, affective and competence of pupils and students; - to build and develop the individual components of the educational process in the context of the personalities of the pupils in the classroom; - in accordance with the personality of the pupils and students, choose an empathetic approach and encourage self-actualizing tendencies; - apply social and emotional competencies to promote their own psychological well-being; - to know the basic psychological problems of education and to be able to work effectively with them in the educational process, - be able to actively acquire information and use it to solve practical tasks in the field.
Class syllabus: Brief outline of the course: Psychology of personality 1. Basic definition and concepts of personality psychology - structure, dynamics. 2. Concepts of the psychoanalytic approach - the structure of personality according to S. Freud, defence mechanisms of A. Freud and their relationship to psychological difficulties in the educational environment (burnout syndrome, depression, etc.). 3. Concepts of individual and analytical psychology - the need for power A. Adler, the need for power in pupils in the educational environment; archetypes of C. Jung, the acceptance of archetypal tendencies and their relation to the educational process. 4. Behaviorism and neobehaviorism - the concept of behaviorism E. Thorndike, J. Watson, operant conditioning B. Skinner in teacher-pupil relationship, social learning of A. Bandura - teacher as a role model. 5. Humanistic psychology - concepts of A. Maslow, V. Frankl, C. Rogers, self-actualization and the promotion of the self-actualizing instinct as the goal of the educational process. Educational psychology 6. Basic definition and concepts of educational psychology. 7. Approach to pupils with special educational needs (specific focus on the intellectually gifted, pupils with Asperger's syndrome, ADHD). 8. Psychohygiene of teachers in educational settings - stress management, attitudes, assertiveness and assertive techniques, teachers' attitudes and biases. 9. Psychological problems in pupils and students - perfectionism, hypersensitivity, stress and anxiety. 10. Psychological aspects of education - intrinsic and extrinsic motivation of pupils, assessment. 11. Psychological aspects of working with gifted individuals in the classroom.
Recommended literature: Compulsory reading: DRAPELA, V. J. (2011). Přehled teorií osobnosti. Praha: Portál. ISBN 8071787663 FÁBIK, D. (2019). Psychológia intelektovo nadaných žiakov. Košice: Equilibria. 328 s. ISBN 9788081432521 Recommended reading: FÁBIK, D. (2021). Obranné mechanizmy v sne. Psychoterapie. 15(2), 122-133. ISSN 1802-3983 HALL, C.S., & LINDZEY, G. (1997). Psychológia osobnosti. Bratislava: SPN.

JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z., LEMEŠOVÁ, M., MIŠKOLCI, J., CABANOVÁ, K., HORVÁTHOVÁ, Ľ., SOKOLOVÁ, L. (2019). Postoje, inklúzia a predsudky v slovenských školách. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, s. 199. ISBN: 978-80-223-4814-0

JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z. (2015). Osobnosť začínajúcich učiteľov a učiteliek. In Osobnosť a sociálno-psychologické kompetencie učiteľov a učiteliek. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, s. 102-112. ISBN 978-80-223-3950-6.

JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z. (2015). Akí sú študenti učiteľstva na začiatku svojej kariéry? Motivácia a osobnosť začínajúcich študentov voliacich si učiteľskú profesiu. Ecoletra.com [elektronický zdroj]. - roč. 1, č. 1, s. 68-83.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Notes: students of the psychology teaching (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1018

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
41,45	0,0	25,83	16,5	6,39	5,5	4,32

Lecturers: PhDr. Romana Schunová, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde007/22	Course title: Psychology for teachers 2
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar / lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 / 2 per level/semester: 26 / 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1	
Course requirements: Prerequisites: B-VUZde003 / Psychology for teachers 1 Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed with an assessment, 100% of the continuous assessment, which includes continuous work - completion of a self-awareness test (15% of the assessment) and a seminar paper (20% of the assessment), completion of a continuous test in personality psychology (30% of the assessment), a reflective essay (5% of the assessment), and a final test (30% of the assessment). Credit will not be awarded to a student who scores less than 50% on any of the four written examinations. To pass the course, you must obtain a minimum of 60 % of the marks. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) For an A grade, the student must have excellent theoretical knowledge, be able to evaluate and critically analyse it, and use the knowledge flexibly in practically set tasks. For a grade of B, the student must have excellent theoretical knowledge, be able to evaluate and critically analyze it, and have slight deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks. For a grade of C, the student must have excellent theoretical knowledge, moderate deficiencies in its evaluation and critical analysis, moderate deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks. For a grade D, the student must have basic theoretical knowledge, moderate deficiencies in their evaluation and critical analysis, moderate deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks). For an E grade, the student must have basic theoretical knowledge, moderate deficiencies in their evaluation and critical analysis, and major deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks.	

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0
Learning outcomes: Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences: The aim is - Know the principles of personality formation and use them to develop the cognitive, affective and competence of pupils and students; - to build and develop the individual components of the educational process in the context of the personalities of the pupils in the classroom; - in accordance with the personality of the pupils and students, choose an empathetic approach and encourage self-actualizing tendencies; - apply social and emotional competencies to promote their own psychological well-being; - to know the basic psychological problems of education and to be able to work effectively with them in the educational process, - be able to actively acquire information and use it to solve practical tasks in the field.
Class syllabus: Brief outline of the course: Psychology of personality 1. Basic definition and concepts of personality psychology - structure, dynamics. 2. Concepts of the psychoanalytic approach - the structure of personality according to S. Freud, defence mechanisms of A. Freud and their relationship to psychological difficulties in the educational environment (burnout syndrome, depression, etc.). 3. Concepts of individual and analytical psychology - the need for power A. Adler, the need for power in pupils in the educational environment; archetypes of C. Jung, the acceptance of archetypal tendencies and their relation to the educational process. 4. Behaviorism and neobehaviorism - the concept of behaviorism E. Thorndike, J. Watson, operant conditioning B. Skinner in teacher-pupil relationship, social learning of A. Bandura - teacher as a role model. 5. Humanistic psychology - concepts of A. Maslow, V. Frankl, C. Rogers, self-actualization and the promotion of the self-actualizing instinct as the goal of the educational process. Educational psychology 6. Basic definition and concepts of educational psychology. 7. Approach to pupils with special educational needs (specific focus on the intellectually gifted, pupils with Asperger's syndrome, ADHD). 8. Psychohygiene of teachers in educational settings - stress management, attitudes, assertiveness and assertive techniques, teachers' attitudes and biases. 9. Psychological problems in pupils and students - perfectionism, hypersensitivity, stress and anxiety. 10. Psychological aspects of education - intrinsic and extrinsic motivation of pupils, assessment. 11. Psychological aspects of working with gifted individuals in the classroom.
Recommended literature: Compulsory reading: DRAPELA, V. J. (2011). Přehled teorií osobnosti. Praha: Portál. ISBN 8071787663 FÁBIK, D. (2019). Psychológia intelektovo nadaných žiakov. Košice: Equilibria. 328 s. ISBN 9788081432521 Recommended reading: FÁBIK, D. (2021). Obranné mechanizmy v sne. Psychoterapie. 15(2), 122-133. ISSN 1802-3983 HALL, C.S., & LINDZEY, G. (1997). Psychológia osobnosti. Bratislava: SPN.

JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z., LEMEŠOVÁ, M., MIŠKOLCI, J., CABANOVÁ, K., HORVÁTHOVÁ, Ľ., SOKOLOVÁ, L. (2019). Postoje, inklúzia a predsudky v slovenských školách. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, s. 199. ISBN: 978-80-223-4814-0 JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z. (2015). Osobnosť začínajúcich učiteľov a učiteliek. In Osobnosť a sociálno-psychologické kompetencie učiteľov a učiteliek. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, s. 102-112. ISBN 978-80-223-3950-6. JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z. (2015). Akí sú študenti učiteľstva na začiatku svojej kariéry? Motivácia a osobnosť začínajúcich študentov voliacich si učiteľskú profesiu. Ecoletra.com [elektronický zdroj]. - roč. 1, č. 1, s. 68-83.																				
Languages necessary to complete the course: slovak and czech																				
Notes: Notes: students of the psychology teaching (combined) programme do not enrol in the course																				
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 1018 <table> <tr> <th>A</th><th>ABS</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th><th>FX</th></tr> <tr> <td>41,45</td><td>0,0</td><td>25,83</td><td>16,5</td><td>6,39</td><td>5,5</td><td>4,32</td></tr> </table>							A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX	41,45	0,0	25,83	16,5	6,39	5,5	4,32
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX														
41,45	0,0	25,83	16,5	6,39	5,5	4,32														
Lecturers:																				
Last change: 30.10.2025																				
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.																				

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde012/22	Course title: Regional history of Slovakia
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar/week; 22 hours total per semester, combined; (primarily full-time) Student workload: 2S (2 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 20 hours of continuous seminar work on the topics covered; 10 hours of class preparation and field learning; 10 hours of discussion; 15 hours of final presentation and defense of a thesis (project) on a free topic in regional history. A total of 77 hours of student work. Educational methods: E-U-R (evocation-awareness-reflection); analysis of historical sources; walk; group work; role-play method; structured staging; project method; discussion/colloquium on the subject; SWOT analysis	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100 % of the intermediate verbal and scored marks. During the semester, the student analyses and reflects verbally, in writing and in pictures the content of the course's thematic focus. The student applies the E-U-R method, discussion, and group work in solving the tasks assigned on an ongoing basis (also in the field during field trips). The output of the final seminar work is presented to a team and is verbally evaluated. The overall assessment is concluded with a discussion/colloquium, a summary of the scores obtained and the application of SWOT analysis. At least 91 points are required for a final grade of A, at least 81 points for a grade of B, at least 73 points for a grade of C, at least 66 points for a grade of D, and at least 60 points for a grade of E. Credit will not be awarded to students who obtain less than 15 points in any of the four written examinations. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student demonstrates the required results in the application of transferable knowledge in the field of the discipline and related sciences, can apply them in theoretical and practical contexts and in the contexts of local, national, and Central European history. He/she is oriented in the current state of knowledge of borderline scientific disciplines, thinks conceptually, can decipher historical sources, actively obtain information and creatively apply it in solving problem tasks, actively expands his/her knowledge and critically evaluates it and considers the suitability of its application for pedagogical and historical practice),	

B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is oriented in the issue, knows it, can independently acquire new knowledge, and solve professional tasks, but his critical, constructive thinking is borderline when analysing and assessing the planes of normative and reflective didactics),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, although the student can orient himself in the problem, independently search and analyse information, can learn, but he cannot think critically and constructively enough, evaluate the solved problems, and apply the acquired knowledge creatively and conceptually in broader theoretical and practical contexts),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student is able to orientate himself in the problem at a basic level, his knowledge is fragmented and incomplete, and at a lower level of satisfaction, he also applies transferable knowledge of the field and related disciplines, in the area of factual, conceptual, procedural and metacognitive knowledge he shows more serious deficiencies),

E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student is able to orient himself in the problem only with great difficulty, he knows only the most basic knowledge, he does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and does not apply the acquired knowledge in a systematic way to the solution of practical tasks),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the problem, does not master the most basic knowledge, and does not demonstrate the ability to argue and think critically).

Learning outcomes:

The graduate of the course can work with any material of regional "microhistory", understands the necessity of linking local and national history in the study of history, as well as interdisciplinary connections, and can reflect on the importance of regional research - whether historical, ethnographic, sociological, which provides contextual links to the teaching of history. Demonstrates basic skills in processing regional source material and knows the methodology of creating didactic, educational aids for popularising regional history. In addition to applying the cognitive function of history, he/she can also use the educational and deleterious function.

Class syllabus:

The student will become familiar with selected problems of teaching regional history, identify the requirements placed on the education of teachers and pupils in the field of cultural and natural heritage, acquiring knowledge about the application of methods and strategies recommended for learning the "timeless" values of (not only) local history. He/she will experience implementing teamwork in the so-called "revival" of history.

The course content focuses on the following core themes:

- History outdoors - deciphering history in the field in Spatio-temporal coordinates.
- "Reviving" history textbooks in the city of Bratislava. Three walks with a map and a timeline in hand. The importance of object didactics in educational practice.
- Model situations (frameworks) of historical and procedural cognition. Model examples of processing selected topics of regional history.
- Cognition of historical regions of Slovakia, specifics of their development and perspectives.
- Historical and symbolic paths through the regions of Slovakia, regional history in the context of national and Central European history.
- Active perception of interdisciplinary connections in transferable topics of regional history.
- Places of memory; what do the preserved monuments commemorate?
- The place of regional history in the preparation of future history teachers.
- Creation of projects popularising artefacts of regional (local) history.

Recommended literature:

Required reading:

BOCKOVÁ, A.: Kultúrne a prírodné dedičstvo Slovenska – spoločné dedičstvo ľudstva. In: Verbum historiae 2/2019.

BOCKOVÁ, A.: Pamäť miest stredoeurópskeho regiónu (neformálna výučba histórie v teréne). In : Verbum historiae 1/2021.

BOCKOVÁ, A. – GÁPA, M.: Možnosti transferu historických poznatkov (múzejných zbierok) do edukačného procesu. In: Muzeológia a kultúrne dedičstvo I. 6/2018.

KAČÍREK, Ľ.: Kultúrne dedičstvo Slovenska. Vysokoškolské skriptá. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského, 2016.

Recommended reading:

BOCKOVÁ, A.: Regionálne dejiny v súvislosti s výchovou a vzdelávaním v oblasti kultúrneho a prírodného dedičstva. In : Regionální dějiny v dějepisném vyučování na českých a slovenských školách. Ústí nad Labem, UJEP, 2006.

BOJKOVÁ, A.: Dejepis globálne. In : Rozvojové vzdelávanie. Témy a metódy IV. Bratislava : Portis 2018.

Monografie miest a obcí (podľa tematického výberu).

ŠTEFÁNIK, M. – LUKÁČKA, J. a kol.: Lexikón stredovekých miest na Slovensku. Bratislava : HÚ SAV, 2010.

ZDECHOVANOVA, D.-BOCKOVÁ, A. (eds.): Kultúrne dedičstvo inovatívnou formou (e-learning). Výukové CD. Bratislava : Strom života, 2012.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech languages

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 278

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
48,92	0,0	27,7	17,27	1,8	0,36	3,96

Lecturers: Mgr. Ivana Dendys, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde026/22			Course title: Religion systems			
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 0						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:						
Last change:						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde026/22			Course title: Religion systems			
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 0						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:						
Last change:						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde034/22	Course title: Rhetoric and communication
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde005/22 - Communication in education	
Course requirements: Prerequisites: B-VUZde005 / Communication in education Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: the course is completed with an evaluation, 100% of the continuous evaluation. Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (60+40, total 100 points). Interim assessment 1: consists of the development of a semester cooperative work and its oral presentation or practical application in class. This is a prepared micro-team output: the creation of a student conference. Semester work: consists of a creative assignment for 60p (30+30). It includes the preparation of a group simulation of a student conference (30b) together with a professional paper on a selected topic (30b) for approximately 45 minutes. Intermediate assessment 2: consists of the creation of documents for the student conference (40b): poster, invitation, programme, etc. in an electronic environment (web development). Prerequisites: The rating shall be awarded on a scale of A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above	

average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:

By completing the Rhetoric and Communication course, the student should:

- be able to select information, identify relevant sources on the selected topic,
- be able to justify the choice of specialist sources for the semester thesis,
- understand the importance of selection and analysis of information and information sources,
- be able to work in a team, organise activities to accomplish sub-tasks,
- be able to present intermediate tasks to the group,
- be able to justify and discuss in a group various social topics related to education and training,
- acquire presentation and communication skills and be able to apply them through creative activities in the classroom,
- Know methods for Developing of critical thinking and good reasoning,
- should be able to identify manipulative behaviour.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills, analytical thinking in evaluating information and working with text, critical thinking and thinking in context in the development of arguments and their application in discussion, interpersonal skills within the group - activation and taking initiative and responsibility, also creative work with e-portfolio (ability to create an interesting website) through creative tasks in the course.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

The aim of the course is the practical application of knowledge about effective communication through practicing rhetorical outcomes and teamwork, i.e. through cooperative methods and interactive activities. Using various activating methods and problem-based tasks, students will actively participate in the learning process and create their own course content, in which they will use their own potential and develop the intra and interpersonal aspects of their own personality. An important part of this is a semester-long group project through which students will learn how to organize major events, while developing proper communication and rhetorical skills.

Topics:

Rhetoric - types of speech, practicing presentation skills through micro performances. Content/form/communication skills (verbal and non-verbal) of oral presentation and analysis of speakers. Presentation - structure and organization of speech/speech/lecture. Evaluating information and

finding relevant sources. Simulating a debate. Organisation of time and group cooperation. Visualisation and generating interest in the listener. Argumentation - types of arguments and logical fallacies. Manipulation and manipulation techniques, assertiveness. Types of conversation, discussion - how to conduct a conversation, structuring a conversation. Division of discussion methods. Feedback - self-evaluation and self-reflection. Creating a website and e-portfolio with student outputs. Implementation of student conference - simulation/workshop.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

ALLHOFF, D.-W. (2008). Rétorika a komunikace. Praha: Grada publishing, 200 s.. ISBN 978-80-247-2283-2

KLAPETEK, M. (2008). Komunikace, argumentace, rétorika. Praha: Grada publishing, 256 s. ISBN 978-80247-2652-6

Recommended reading:

JASLOVSKÁ B., PISOŇOVÁ, M. (2019). Humanisticky orientovaná komunikácia a jej vplyv na produktivitu žiakov v edukačnom procese. Hradec Králové: Magnanimitas akademické sdružení, 45-56s. ISBN 978-80-87952-29-0

JASLOVSKÁ, B. (2019). Nástroj na sebahodnotenie žiakov v rámci Encounterovej skupiny a učiteľ ako facilitátor procesu hodnotenia. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 37-45s. ISBN 978-80-223-4847-8

KURUC, M. (2018). Rozvoj sebaregulácie a motivácie ako faktor úspechu slovenských žiakov v kontexte teórie sebaurčenia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 143-160s. ISBN 978-80-223-4661-0

ŠUŤÁKOVÁ, V., FERENCOVÁ, J., ZAHATŇANSKÁ, M. (2017). Sociálna a didaktická komunikácia. Prešov: Wolters Kluwer. 208s. ISBN 978-80-81685-48-4

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

smaller groups, up to a maximum of 25 students, are required for the course for effective classroom interaction

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 21

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
95,24	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	4,76

Lecturers: PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde034/22	Course title: Rhetoric and communication
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde005/22 - Communication in education	
Course requirements: Prerequisites: B-VUZde005 / Communication in education Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: the course is completed with an evaluation, 100% of the continuous evaluation. Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (60+40, total 100 points). Interim assessment 1: consists of the development of a semester cooperative work and its oral presentation or practical application in class. This is a prepared micro-team output: the creation of a student conference. Semester work: consists of a creative assignment for 60p (30+30). It includes the preparation of a group simulation of a student conference (30b) together with a professional paper on a selected topic (30b) for approximately 45 minutes. Intermediate assessment 2: consists of the creation of documents for the student conference (40b): poster, invitation, programme, etc. in an electronic environment (web development). Prerequisites: The rating shall be awarded on a scale of A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above	

average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:

By completing the Rhetoric and Communication course, the student should:

- be able to select information, identify relevant sources on the selected topic,
- be able to justify the choice of specialist sources for the semester thesis,
- understand the importance of selection and analysis of information and information sources,
- be able to work in a team, organise activities to accomplish sub-tasks,
- be able to present intermediate tasks to the group,
- be able to justify and discuss in a group various social topics related to education and training,
- acquire presentation and communication skills and be able to apply them through creative activities in the classroom,
- Know methods for Developing of critical thinking and good reasoning,
- should be able to identify manipulative behaviour.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills, analytical thinking in evaluating information and working with text, critical thinking and thinking in context in the development of arguments and their application in discussion, interpersonal skills within the group - activation and taking initiative and responsibility, also creative work with e-portfolio (ability to create an interesting website) through creative tasks in the course.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

The aim of the course is the practical application of knowledge about effective communication through practicing rhetorical outcomes and teamwork, i.e. through cooperative methods and interactive activities. Using various activating methods and problem-based tasks, students will actively participate in the learning process and create their own course content, in which they will use their own potential and develop the intra and interpersonal aspects of their own personality. An important part of this is a semester-long group project through which students will learn how to organize major events, while developing proper communication and rhetorical skills.

Topics:

Rhetoric - types of speech, practicing presentation skills through micro performances. Content/form/communication skills (verbal and non-verbal) of oral presentation and analysis of speakers. Presentation - structure and organization of speech/speech/lecture. Evaluating information and

finding relevant sources. Simulating a debate. Organisation of time and group cooperation. Visualisation and generating interest in the listener. Argumentation - types of arguments and logical fallacies. Manipulation and manipulation techniques, assertiveness. Types of conversation, discussion - how to conduct a conversation, structuring a conversation. Division of discussion methods. Feedback - self-evaluation and self-reflection. Creating a website and e-portfolio with student outputs. Implementation of student conference - simulation/workshop.						
Recommended literature: Compulsory reading: ALLHOFF, D.-W. (2008). Rétorika a komunikace. Praha: Grada publishing, 200 s.. ISBN 978-80-247-2283-2 KLAPETEK, M. (2008). Komunikace, argumentace, rétorika. Praha: Grada publishing, 256 s. ISBN 978-80247-2652-6 Recommended reading: JASLOVSKÁ B., PISOŇOVÁ, M. (2019). Humanisticky orientovaná komunikácia a jej vplyv na produktivitu žiakov v edukačnom procese. Hradec Králové: Magnanimitas akademické sdružení, 45-56s. ISBN 978-80-87952-29-0 JASLOVSKÁ, B. (2019). Nástroj na sebahodnotenie žiakov v rámci Encounterovej skupiny a učiteľ ako facilitátor procesu hodnotenia. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 37-45s. ISBN 978-80-223-4847-8 KURUC, M. (2018). Rozvoj sebaregulácie a motivácie ako faktor úspechu slovenských žiakov v kontexte teórie sebaurčenia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 143-160s. ISBN 978-80-223-4661-0 ŠUŤÁKOVÁ, V., FERENCOVÁ, J., ZAHATŇANSKÁ, M. (2017). Sociálna a didaktická komunikácia. Prešov: Wolters Kluwer. 208s. ISBN 978-80-81685-48-4						
Languages necessary to complete the course: slovak and czech						
Notes: smaller groups, up to a maximum of 25 students, are required for the course for effective classroom interaction						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 21						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
95,24	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	4,76
Lecturers: Mgr. Barbora Jaslovská, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde036/22	Course title: School management
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100% of continuous assessment Assessment: consists of an interim and a final assessment (30+40, 70 points in total). Interim assessment: consists of a 30-item knowledge test to be given in week 6 of the interim course. A written examination/test, consisting of theoretical preparation for the teaching of the individual topics of the teaching units, which will be carried out by the 6th week of continuous teaching and the study of the literature. The final assessment consists of: the semester project and its oral presentation. The semester project consists of: the creation of a project according to a set structure for 40b. It includes idea/problem creation, project planning, project implementation, evaluation and self-reflection of the project = its oral presentation by students (creators of the semester project) in the 11th week of continuous teaching. Prerequisites: The rating shall be awarded on a scale of A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 64 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 57 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above	

average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 51 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 46 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 42 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

- to know the content of school management as a scientific discipline: the essence of school management in the Slovak Republic at all levels of school management with an emphasis on internal school management and in the context of managerial functions
- be familiar with the basic legal documents and be able to correctly interpret the meanings given in these legal documents that regulate the activities of primary and secondary schools, with an emphasis on the needs of the work of the teaching staff,
- be able to apply the acquired knowledge and knowledge from other disciplines in the focus area: school image, culture and climate, in the area of cooperation with the school management and legal representatives of children/pupils in solving standard, specific and problematic tasks and situations and in the framework of school development,
- be able to creatively use the acquired knowledge and competences in the study of other pedagogical disciplines and in their future school practice.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills, organizational skills, interpersonal skills within a group - group activation, taking initiative and responsibility, creativity and imagination, critical thinking, analytical skills, problem-solving skills, and others through the creation of a semester project in the course.

Class syllabus:

Basic terminology, options for studying school management, sources of study. Schools and concepts of management. Specifics of school management. The system of school management in the Slovak Republic and the system of internal school management in the context of managerial functions. Legal regulations governing the activities of primary and secondary schools (laws, decrees, working regulations, pedagogical-organisational guidelines). Personality of the head of teaching staff - legal and personality requirements. School image, school culture. School climate.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

PISOŇOVÁ, M. a kol. 2014. Školský manažment pre študijné odbory učiteľstva a prípravu vedúcich pedagogických zamestnancov. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského, 2014. 227 s. ISBN 978-80-223-3621-5

https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/uploads/media/Skolsky_manazment.pdf

Pisoňová, M. a kol. 2017. Školský manažment terminologický a výkladový slovník. Bratislava : Wolters Kluwer, 2017, 1. vyd., 167 s., ISBN 978-80-8168-660-3
<https://moodle.uniba.sk/mod/quiz/view.php?id=57866>
 Učebný zdroj: ŠKOLSKÝ MANAŽMENT (moodle):
<https://moodle.uniba.sk/course/view.php?id=162>
 Constitution of the Slovak Republic.
 Current legislative norms related to the establishment and activities of primary schools, secondary schools in the Slovak Republic.
 Zákon č. 245/2008 Z. z. o výchove a vzdelávaní (školský zákon) a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov.
 Zákon č. 596/2003 Z. z. o štátnej správe v školstve a školskej samospráve a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov.
 Zákon č. 138/2019 Z. z. o pedagogických zamestnancoch a odborných zamestnancoch a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov.
 Zákon č. 597/2003 Z. z. o financovaní základných škôl, stredných škôl a školských zariadení.
 Vyhláška Ministerstva školstva, vedy, výskumu a športu Slovenskej republiky č. 1/2020 Z. z. o kvalifikačných predpokladoch pedagogických zamestnancov a odborných zamestnancov.
 Vyhláška Ministerstva školstva, vedy, výskumu a športu Slovenskej republiky č. 361/2019 Z. z. o vzdelávaní v profesijnom rozvoji.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

students of the Teacher Education (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 915

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
33,66	0,0	32,79	13,88	8,85	4,81	6,01

Lecturers: Mgr. Eva Gáliková, PhD., PaedDr. Tímea Šeben Zaťková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde036/22	Course title: School management
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100% of continuous assessment Assessment: consists of an interim and a final assessment (30+40, 70 points in total). Interim assessment: consists of a 30-item knowledge test to be given in week 6 of the interim course. A written examination/test, consisting of theoretical preparation for the teaching of the individual topics of the teaching units, which will be carried out by the 6th week of continuous teaching and the study of the literature. The final assessment consists of: the semester project and its oral presentation. The semester project consists of: the creation of a project according to a set structure for 40b. It includes idea/problem creation, project planning, project implementation, evaluation and self-reflection of the project = its oral presentation by students (creators of the semester project) in the 11th week of continuous teaching. Prerequisites: The rating shall be awarded on a scale of A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 64 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 57 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above	

average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 51 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 46 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 42 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

- to know the content of school management as a scientific discipline: the essence of school management in the Slovak Republic at all levels of school management with an emphasis on internal school management and in the context of managerial functions
- be familiar with the basic legal documents and be able to correctly interpret the meanings given in these legal documents that regulate the activities of primary and secondary schools, with an emphasis on the needs of the work of the teaching staff,
- be able to apply the acquired knowledge and knowledge from other disciplines in the focus area: school image, culture and climate, in the area of cooperation with the school management and legal representatives of children/pupils in solving standard, specific and problematic tasks and situations and in the framework of school development,
- be able to creatively use the acquired knowledge and competences in the study of other pedagogical disciplines and in their future school practice.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills, organizational skills, interpersonal skills within a group - group activation, taking initiative and responsibility, creativity and imagination, critical thinking, analytical skills, problem-solving skills, and others through the creation of a semester project in the course.

Class syllabus:

Basic terminology, options for studying school management, sources of study. Schools and concepts of management. Specifics of school management. The system of school management in the Slovak Republic and the system of internal school management in the context of managerial functions. Legal regulations governing the activities of primary and secondary schools (laws, decrees, working regulations, pedagogical-organisational guidelines). Personality of the head of teaching staff - legal and personality requirements. School image, school culture. School climate.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

PISOŇOVÁ, M. a kol. 2014. Školský manažment pre študijné odbory učiteľstva a prípravu vedúcich pedagogických zamestnancov. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského, 2014. 227 s. ISBN 978-80-223-3621-5

https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/uploads/media/Skolsky_manazment.pdf

Pisoňová, M. a kol. 2017. Školský manažment terminologický a výkladový slovník. Bratislava : Wolters Kluwer, 2017, 1. vyd., 167 s., ISBN 978-80-8168-660-3
<https://moodle.uniba.sk/mod/quiz/view.php?id=57866>
 Učebný zdroj: ŠKOLSKÝ MANAŽMENT (moodle):
<https://moodle.uniba.sk/course/view.php?id=162>
 Constitution of the Slovak Republic.
 Current legislative norms related to the establishment and activities of primary schools, secondary schools in the Slovak Republic.
 Zákon č. 245/2008 Z. z. o výchove a vzdelávaní (školský zákon) a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov.
 Zákon č. 596/2003 Z. z. o štátnej správe v školstve a školskej samospráve a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov.
 Zákon č. 138/2019 Z. z. o pedagogických zamestnancoch a odborných zamestnancoch a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov.
 Zákon č. 597/2003 Z. z. o financovaní základných škôl, stredných škôl a školských zariadení.
 Vyhláška Ministerstva školstva, vedy, výskumu a športu Slovenskej republiky č. 1/2020 Z. z. o kvalifikačných predpokladoch pedagogických zamestnancov a odborných zamestnancov.
 Vyhláška Ministerstva školstva, vedy, výskumu a športu Slovenskej republiky č. 361/2019 Z. z. o vzdelávaní v profesijnom rozvoji.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

students of the Teacher Education (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 915

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
33,66	0,0	32,79	13,88	8,85	4,81	6,01

Lecturers: Mgr. Eva Gáliková, PhD., PaedDr. Tímea Šeben Zaťková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde014/22	Course title: Seminar on General History I.
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Two hours seminar/week, 22 hours total per semester, combined; (primarily full-time); 2S (2 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 19 hours of preparation for the midterm evaluation + 19 hours of preparation for the seminar paper; 60 hours of student work in total; discussion of the topic; problem-solving exercises; e-learning; small group work, didactic games.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100 % of continuous assessment. The student will hand in the seminar paper in the examination period, from which he/she can obtain 70% of the total grade. During the semester, he/she also presents a selected topic (30% of the total grade), on which he/she is continuously working, in front of his/her classmates and the lecturer. He/she will provoke a discussion and prepare a didactic game. A final grade of A requires a score of at least 91%, a grade of B requires a score of at least 81%, a grade of C requires a score of at least 73%, a grade of D requires a score of at least 66%, and a grade of E requires a score of at least 60%. A minimum of 60% of the marks must be obtained to pass the course. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results, the student is familiar with the subject matter, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject matter, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the subject, has learned the subject, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can orient himself/herself in the problem only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically)	

Learning outcomes:

In the course, the student will thoroughly master the methods of working with contemporary historical sources from the history of antiquity in the years 2000 BC - 476 AD. and their possible use in the pedagogical process at primary or secondary school. The student will be able to deal professionally with written historical sources of different natures (epics, scribes, contemporary historiographical writings, etc.) and will deepen his/her knowledge by analysing contemporary historical sources. They will be able to evaluate the meaning of sources, interpret them and compare them with other sources. In addition, they will learn to think critically, argue more independently and discuss issues.

Class syllabus:

Kurz sa zameriava na prehĺbenie poznania a dôkladnejšie poznanie pramennej bázy starovekých dejín. Študent/-ka sa oboznámi s vývojom v období staroveku prostredníctvom analýzy historických prameňov. Študent/-ka ako budúci pedagóg/-ička tak získa schopnosť sprostredkovať nadobudnuté vedomosti žiakom. Kurz sa sústreďí na tieto ťažiskové témy:

- epos o Gilgamešovi
- Chammurapiho zákonník
- Chetitský zákonník
- Starogrécke báje
- Gortýnske zákony
- Ilias a Odysea
- Herodotove dejiny
- Zákony XII tabúl
- Zápisky o vojne v Galii
- a i.

Recommended literature:

VALACHOVIČ, P.: Zákonníky starovekých národov. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 2003, 50 p.

PETIŠKA, E.: Staré grécke báje a povesti. Praha : Ottovo nakladatelství, 2010, 191 p.

PROSECKÝ, J.: Epos o Gilgamešovi. Praha : Nakladatelství Lidové noviny, 2019, 448 p.

HOMÉR: Ilias a Odysea. Praha : Leda, 2021, 440 + 552 p.

HÉRODOTOS: Dejiny. Praha : Academia, 2004, 552 p.

CAESAR, G. I.: Zápisky o vojne v Galii. Bratislava : Vydavateľstvo Spolku slovenských spisovateľov, 2008, 208 p.

Audiovisual sources, documentary films.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language

Notes:**Past grade distribution**

Total number of evaluated students: 379

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
42,48	0,0	31,4	14,78	2,64	1,06	7,65

Lecturers: Mgr. Pavol Makyna, PhD.

Last change: 31.10.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde017/22	Course title: Seminar on General History II.
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar /week, total per semester 22 hours, combined form; (primarily full-time). Student workload: 2S (2 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 3 hours of preparation for a paper; 20 hours preparation of a seminar paper; 15 hours preparation of individual assignments for each hour. Total 60 hours of student work. Learning methods: problem-solving; analysis of medieval sources; discussion of the subject; e-learning, heuristic method, work with text; guided discussion.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100 % of the continuous assessment. In each seminar, students are assigned different assignments. Medieval sources will be read and interpreted. For example, the Chronicle of the Franks, Gregory of Tours, and Einhard's Vita Caroli Magni (Biography of Charlemagne). In addition to various sub-assignments, the most important output will be the students' term papers. At the beginning of the semester, each student will choose the topic of his/her seminar paper. From the fifth two-hour period, students will gradually present the topics of their seminar papers in the form of papers. The papers will be verbally evaluated not only by the teacher but also by other students in discussion. Then the student will complete the seminar paper, which must be a minimum of 15 pages. It must include notes and a list of references used and sources. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. a minimum of 81 points for a B grade, a minimum of 73 points for a C grade, and a minimum of 73 points for a grade D, at least 66 points and for grade E at least 60 points. No credits will be awarded to students who obtain less than 15 points in any of the four written examinations. For passing the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student is knowledgeable and proficient in the subject matter and demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically. The student is able to acquire information actively and creatively apply it in solving problem tasks, actively expands his/her knowledge and critically reviews it and considers the appropriateness of its application for pedagogical and history practice. He/she has prepared the seminar work at an excellent level), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard. The student is oriented in the issue, knows it, and can independently solve professional tasks. His/her seminar paper is written at a very good level).	

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student is safe in reproducing the acquired knowledge, however, he/she applies and synthesises the acquired knowledge only with difficulty. His/her term paper is written at a reasonable level). D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orientate himself in the problem only at a basic level, his knowledge is fragmental, he does not demonstrate the ability to think critically, and he cannot apply the acquired knowledge in practice. E (65-60%, satisfactory - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice. His/her seminar work meets only the minimum criteria. Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, and does not demonstrate the ability to think critically. His/her seminar work is not at the required level).
Learning outcomes: The student will be able to work with medieval written sources such as chronicles, annals, documents, charters, etc. The student can analyse them. In the seminar, these sources will not only be read but also interpreted. The information from the sources will also be confronted with the data in the professional literature and discussed. Students will also be introduced to working with editions of sources and with medievalist specialist literature.
Class syllabus: Familiarisation with the focus of the seminar. Students will be asked to think about the topics of their seminar papers. At each subsequent seminar, two students will inform the topics of their seminar papers. - Working with medieval chronicles. Gregory of Tours: <i>Historia Francorum</i>. - Readings from the Chronicle of Gregory of Tours - Book 2 - from the accession of Chlodovicus I. - Interpretation of the information obtained from the Chronicle of Gregory of Tours. - Einhard: <i>Vita Caroli Magni</i>. Interpretation of the biography of Charlemagne. - Work with medievalist literature. - Working with editions of sources. - Marco Polo's travelogue <i>The Million</i>. Interpretation. - Medieval documents. - Electronic medievalist information resources. - Colloquium term papers.
Recommended literature: EINHARDUS: ... a neuniknout budoucímu věku. <i>Vita Caroli Magni</i>. Praha: Set Out, 1999. GREGOR Z TOURS: O boji králů a údělu spravedlivých. Praha: Odeon, 1986. KAMENICKÝ, M. a kol.: Lexikón svetových dejín. Bratislava : SPN, 1997 (1. vydanie), 2001 (2. vydanie), 2003 (3. vydanie). MÚČSKA, V. a kol.: Dejiny európskeho stredoveku I. Raný stredovek (od 5. storočia do polovice 11. storočia). Prešov: Vydavateľstvo Michala Vaška, 2006.
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech languages
Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 291						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
37,11	0,0	36,43	12,71	3,09	2,75	7,9
Lecturers: doc. PhDr. Miroslav Kamenický, CSc.						
Last change: 10.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde021/22	Course title: Seminar on General History III.
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar /week, a total of 22 hours per semester, combined form; (primarily full-time) Student workload: 2S (2 credits): 11x 2 hours of direct teaching # 22 hours; 20 hours preparation for mid-term evaluation; 18 hours preparation for seminar paper presentation. Total 60 hours of student work. Learning methods: lecture, discussion of the topic covered; situation analysis method; problem-solving tasks; e-learning.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100% of the intermediate assessment. The seminar work will be presented during the lecture period at the colloquium in the form of a paper and then handed in writing. The professional quality of the seminar paper will be evaluated, and the student may receive 70 points, representing 70% of the total grade. 30 points may be awarded for presenting the topic and generating discussion. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D, and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. To pass the course, you must obtain a minimum of 60% of the marks. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student is oriented in the issue, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is familiar with the issues, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the subject, has learned the subject, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can navigate the issue only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice),	

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, and does not demonstrate the ability to think critically).

Learning outcomes:

The seminar aims to learn the methods of working with historical sources from the general history of the early modern period (16th to 18th centuries). Based on the acquired knowledge, students will be able to deal professionally with contemporary sources of different natures and deepen their understanding through their analysis. They will become familiar with and be able to navigate the complex history of the early modern period. They will be able to evaluate the significance of individual historical events, interpret and compare them, and confront them with specialised literature. Particular emphasis is placed on the acquisition of critical thinking and professional argumentation. Adequate attention will be paid to the mutual discussion of selected issues and their contribution to contemporary knowledge.

Class syllabus:

- Work with modern historical sources and the methodology of their research.
- Editions of modern historical documents.
- Humanism and Renaissance in the light of sources.
- Sources to the discovery of America, the origins of colonisation and the defense of the rights of the natives.
- Analysis of sources on the history of the Reformation in the German lands. Martin Luther.
- Sources on the Reformation in other countries. Calvinists and Anglicans.
- The Thirty Years' War (1618–1648) in the light of historical sources.
- Characteristics of absolutism in the light of historical sources.
- Analysis of sources on the history of France in the early modern period.
- Sources on the history of Russia in the early modern period and their interpretation.
- Sources on the American Revolution (1765–1783).
- Colloquium of seminar papers 1.
- Colloquium of seminar papers 2.

Recommended literature:

Relevant literature:

NEMEŠ, J.: Z prameňov dejín raného novoveku. Učebné texty k 16. a 17. storočiu.

Ružomberok : Verbum, 2012.

KAMENICKÝ, M. Et al.: Lexikón svetových dejín. Bratislava : SPN, 1997, 2001, 2003.

KINDER, H. – HILGEMANN, W.: Encyklopedický atlas svetových dejín. Praha : Lidové noviny, 1999.

Recommended literature:

NEMEŠ, J. – ĎURČO, M.: Kauza Martina Luthera (1520 – 1521). In: Kultúrne dejiny / Cultural History, 2017, vol. 8, n. 2, pp. 240 – 286.

HAMILTON, A. et al.: Listy federalistov. Bratislava : Kalligram, 2002.

KOHÚTOVÁ, M.: Vybrané kapitoly z dejín novoveku. Trnava : FF TrU, 2008.

ŠTĚPÁNEK, K.: Obecné dějiny novověku I. (16. století). Brno : Masarykova univerzita, Pedagogická fakulta, 2018.

ŠTĚPÁNEK, K. – VACULÍK, J. Obecné dějiny novověku II.-III. (17. a 18. století). Brno : Masarykova univerzita, 2017.

Domestic and foreign professional journals.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language

Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 221						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
47,96	0,0	24,43	13,12	4,52	6,33	3,62
Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Jaroslav Nemeš, PhD.						
Last change: 10.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde023/22	Course title: Seminar on General History IV.
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours lecture/week, 22 hours total per semester, combined form; (primarily in person) Student workload: 2S (2 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 3 hours preparation for the paper; 10 hours preparing a review; 15 hours preparing individual assignments for each class; 50 hours of student work in total. Educational methods: problem-solving exercises; discussion of the subject; e-learning; heuristic method, work with text, didactic games (make-up games, quizzes, blind maps), application of theoretical knowledge to practical examples	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100 % of the interim evaluation. At the end of the semester, the student will submit a review of the selected scientific publication. The student will prepare and present a paper on the chosen topic during the semester. The student will prepare individual working materials for each class. The student's active participation in each class is required to complete the course successfully. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (excellent - excellent results, the student is oriented in the issue, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject matter, but critical thinking is borderline), C (good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the subject, has learned the subject, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can navigate the issue only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), Fx (insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, and does not demonstrate the ability to think critically).	

Learning outcomes:

The student acquires the necessary knowledge and understanding of political, economic and social developments of the European and non-European world between 1789 and 1914. The student should be able to navigate the fundamental issues of general modern history after successfully completing it. The course is intended to introduce students to general history from the late 18th century, from the Great French Revolution of 1789-1799, to the beginning of World War I. War, to provide a plastic picture of the transformation of the society during the long 19th century. Student Gain in-depth knowledge and understanding of the modern period in world history. Student Gain the communication skills necessary to present his/her work results. The history of The 19th century is given special emphasis in the study of history because it is in this period that the social phenomena and processes have directly influenced the development of society up to the present times. The student will learn to work with sources on the general history between 1789 and 1914, learn how to analyse and interpret historical sources and reconstruct based on these historical events.

Class syllabus:

The course focuses on working with sources on the history of the Long 19th century. The course will concentrate on the following focal topics:

The Enlightenment

The Industrial Revolution

The Great French Revolution

The Napoleonic period in France and Europe, the Congress of Vienna

The European system 1815-1848 and its defence

Revolutionary events in Europe in the 1820s, 1830s and 1848-1849

The emergence of nation-states (Italy and Germany)

Great Britain and France in the 19th century

Currents of thought and political trends in the 19th century

The USA in the 19th century, the Civil War

Russian Empire to World War I

Eastern Question to World War I

Fundamental trends in the development of European colonialism in the 19th century

Europe on the road to the First World War

Recommended literature:

Source editions (correspondence) and contemporary press will be assigned in individual classes.

Myška, M. – Zářický, A.: Prameny k hospodářským a sociálním dějinám novověku. I. a II. Ostrava 2008, 2010.

MEJDŘICKÁ, Květa: Listy ze stromu svobody. Praha 1989.

TINKOVÁ, Daniela: Zrození občana. Praha 2015

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech languages

Notes:**Past grade distribution**

Total number of evaluated students: 178

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
53,93	0,0	29,21	9,55	1,69	1,69	3,93

Lecturers: Mgr. Miriam Viršínská, PhD.

Last change: 31.10.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde026/22	Course title: Seminar on General History V.
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar/week, total per semester 22 hours, attendance method 2S (2 credits): 11x 2 hours of direct teaching = 22 hours; 38 hours of preparing for continuous assessment and seminar work. A total of 60 hours of student work. moderated discussion on the subject; ongoing individual and team solutions to partial seminar topics, ongoing outputs in the form of presentations and simulation procedures for solving model situations	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100% of the interim assessment. The seminar paper will be delivered during the examination period. At least 91 points are required to obtain a final grade of A, at least 81 points to obtain a B grade, at least 73 points for a C grade, at least 66 points for a D grade, and at least 60 points for an E grade. To successfully complete the subject, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the point evaluation. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent – excellent, outstanding results, the student fully orients himself in the issues of individual topics while argumentatively and confidently synthesising his knowledge and conclusions from model situations so that their application can be considered generally usable and demonstrable), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student demonstrates that he is fully oriented in the issues of all partial topics, his solution of model situations shows certain non-negligible limits), C (80-73%, good – normal reliable work, the student moves safely in the area of reproducing the information obtained, but only with difficulties applies and synthesises the acquired knowledge when solving model situations), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student is oriented only on the fundamental causal issue of the influence of ideological doctrines on individual spheres of the political, economic and social life of modern societies, does not demonstrate the ability to apply the acquired knowledge convincingly enough), E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student navigates the issue of the influence of ideological doctrines on the daily life of modern societies only with great difficulty and rigidly, so he cannot present separate clear conclusions for practice),	

Fx (59-0%, insufficient – additional work is required, the student does not know how to navigate the issue, does not master the most basic knowledge, and does not demonstrate the ability to think critically)

Learning outcomes:

The course aims to develop and deepen the information about the important trends of modern history obtained at the lectures by learning the contradictory optics used by (contemporary and from the relevant time) mutually competing ideologies when evaluating these trends. The graduate can recognise their essential criteria in their evaluation of the past and present. By analysing their respective signs (manifestations), he can independently characterise and evaluate them. In this way, he is prepared for a possible future confrontation between his and the student's attitudes, i.e., for his pedagogical work in the diverse student body of contemporary Slovak society by developing communication skills.

Class syllabus:

Moderated discussion analyses of ideologies and political doctrines that arose and developed during the so-called modern history; analysis of the modifications of these doctrines on specific historical material and with the help of essential theoretical works on the relevant problem:

- „culture - science - ideology": defining ideological currents of the 19th and 20th centuries, their mutual connection, continuity and modifications
- "fraternity - equality - liberty": contradictory principles of the French (and American) revolution
- liberalism in the 19th and liberalism in the 20th century - similarities and changes
- radical social ideologies in the 19th century and their prominent representatives
- social ideologies in the 20th century and the reality of their implementation
- conservatism in the 19th and conservatism in the 20th century
- great churches in modern history: the secularisation of life in the 19th and 20th Centuries and the role of science
- totalitarian ideologies in the 20th Century and their influence

Recommended literature:

AMSTRONG, K.: Islam. Bratislava : Slovart, 2002.
AMSTRONG, K.: Dějiny Boha. Praha: Argo 1996.
BRAUDEL, F.: Dynamika kapitalismu. Praha : Argo, 1999.
DAHRENDORF, R.: Moderný sociálny konflikt. Bratislava : Archa, 1991.
DAWSON, Ch.: Porozumět Evropě. Praha: Zvon, 1995.
FURET, F.: Promýšlet Francouzskou revoluci. Praha : Atlantis, 2003.
FUKUYAMA, F.: Konec dějin a poslední člověk. Praha : Rybka Publishers, 2002.
HUTTINGTON, S. P.: Sřet civilizací. Praha : Rybka Publishers, 2001.
NOLTE, E. – FURET, F.: Konfrontácia názorov. Bratislava : Agora, 2001.
GUARDINI, R.: Konec novověku. Praha : Vyšehrad, 1992.
NOVAK, M.: Filozofia slobody. Bratislava : Charis, 1996.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language, Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 35

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
60,0	0,0	28,57	5,71	0,0	2,86	2,86

Lecturers: Mgr. Pavol Makyna, PhD.
Last change: 31.10.2022
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde016/22	Course title: Seminar on History of Slovakia I.
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar /week, a total of 22 hours per semester, combined form; (primarily full-time) Student workload: 2S (2 credits): 11x 2 hours of direct teaching # 22 hours; 20 hours preparation for mid-term evaluation; 18 hours preparation for seminar paper presentation. Total 60 hours of student work. Learning methods: lecture, discussion of the topic covered; situation analysis method; problem-solving tasks; e-learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100% of the intermediate assessment. The seminar work will be presented during the lecture period at the colloquium in the form of a paper and then handed in writing. The professional quality of the seminar paper will be evaluated, and the student may receive 70 points, representing 70% of the total grade. 30 points may be awarded for presenting the topic and generating discussion. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D, and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. To pass the course, you must obtain a minimum of 60% of the marks. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student is oriented in the issue, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is familiar with the issues, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the subject, has learned the subject, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can navigate the issue only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice),	

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, and does not demonstrate the ability to think critically).

Learning outcomes:

The seminar aims to learn the methods of working with historical sources on the history of Slovakia in the Middle Ages (until 1526). Based on the acquired knowledge, students will be able to professionally handle contemporary sources of various natures (documents, legends, chronicles, annals, etc.) and deepen their understanding through their analysis. They will become familiar with and be able to navigate the complex history of the Middle Ages. They will be able to evaluate the significance of individual historical events, interpret and compare them, and confront them with specialised literature. Particular emphasis is placed on the acquisition of critical thinking and professional argumentation. Adequate attention will be paid to the mutual discussion of the problems of Slovakia in the medieval period.

Class syllabus:

- Chronology of the development of the Middle Ages in Slovakia.
- The question of the origin of the Slavs and their occurrence on our territory.
- The Empire of Samo. The Principality of Nitra.
- Great Moravia. Personalities of Great Moravia. The Byzantine mission.
- The question of the demise of Great Moravia and the establishment of the Kingdom of Hungary.
- Hungary (especially today's Slovakia) during the reign of the Árpád dynasty.
- Hungary (especially today's Slovakia) during the reign of the Anjou dynasty.
- Louis I, the ideal of a knight king.
- Sigismund of Luxembourg and Matthias Corvinus on the Hungarian throne.
- The Jagiellonian dynasty and the Battle of Mohács.
- Medieval culture in Hungary (especially in today's Slovakia).
- Colloquium of seminar papers 1.
- Colloquium of seminar papers 2.

Recommended literature:

Relevant literature:

MARSINA, R. (ed.): V kráľovstve svätého Štefana. Vznik uhorského štátu a čas arpádovských kráľov. Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov III. Bratislava : LIC, 2003.

BREZOVIÁKOVÁ, B. – LUKAČKA, J. – SEDLÁK, V. – SOPKO, J. (eds.): Pod vládou anjouovských kráľov. Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov IV. Bratislava : LIC, 2002.

BARTL, J. – DVOŘÁKOVÁ, D. – LUKAČKA, J. – Sopko, J. (eds.): Prvý cisár na uhorskom tróne. Slovensko v čase polstoročnej vlády uhorského, českého, lombardského a nemeckého kráľa a rímskeho cisára Žigmunda Luxemburského, syna Karola IV. Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov V. Bratislava : LIC, 2001.

Recommended literature:

NEMEŠ, J. (ed.): Lampert z Hersfeldu: Veľké nemecké putovanie do Jeruzalema v rokoch 1064 – 1065. In: Kultúrne dejiny / Cultural History, 2014, vol. 5, n. 2, pp. 238 – 251.

ČAPLOVIČ, D. et al: Dokumenty slovenskej národnej identity a štátnosti I. Bratislava : LIC, 1998.

RATKOŠ, P. (ed.): Pramene k dejinám Veľkej Moravy. Bratislava : Vydavateľstvo SAV, 1968.

MARSINA, R. – STEINHUBEL, J. – LUKAČKA, J. – PAULINY, J. (eds.): Slovensko očami cudzincov. Vzácné správy o histórii nášho územia od 6. do 10. storočia, tak ako sa javia v písomnostiach prevažne cudzieho pôvodu. Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov II. Bratislava : LIC, 1999.

LUKAČKA, J. – SEGEŠ, V. – FRIMMOVÁ, E. – SKLADANÝ, M. – HRNČIAROVÁ, D. – ŠTEFÁNIK, M. (eds.): Pod osmanskou hrozbou. Osudy Slovenska od Albrechta Habsburského

do tragickej bitky pri Moháči v roku 1526 s prihliadnutím na začiatky renesancie v čase vlády Mateja Korvína. Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov VI. Budmerice : Rak 2005.
 SOPKO, J. (ed.): Kroniky stredovekého Slovenska. Budmerice: Rak, 1995.
 MARSINA, R. (ed.): Legendy stredovekého Slovenska. Budmerice : Rak, 1997.
 MÚCSKA, V. (ed.): Kronika anonymného notára kráľa Bela. Budmerice : Rak, 2000.
 MARSINA, R., MAREK, M. (eds.): Tatársky vpád. Budmerice : Rak, 2008.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 263

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
28,52	0,0	18,25	28,14	7,98	7,98	9,13

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Jaroslav Nemeš, PhD.

Last change: 31.10.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde020/22	Course title: Seminar on History of Slovakia II.
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar/week, 22 hours total per semester, combined form; (primarily in person) Student workload: 11x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 8 hours preparation of a report; 20 hours preparation of a seminar paper. Total 50 hours of student work. Educational methods: discussion of the topic; small group work; problem-solving by students, e-learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100% of the intermediate assessment. Seminar paper on a selected topic - processed according to the assignment, which is handed in before the beginning of the examination period. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. Credit will not be awarded to students who obtain less than 15 points in any of the four written examinations. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student is oriented in the issue, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject matter, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the issue, has learned, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can orient himself/herself in the problem only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically)	

Learning outcomes:

Within the course, the student will thoroughly master the methods of working with historical sources from the history of Slovakia in the years 1526 - 1780 and their possible use in the pedagogical process at primary or secondary school. The student will deepen his/her knowledge by analysing contemporary historical sources. The student will be able to evaluate the significance of the sources, interpret them and compare them with other sources. At the same time, the student will broaden his/her perception of history by adding the dimension of everyday life. In addition, they will learn to think critically, argue more independently and debate issues.

Class syllabus:

The course focuses on deepening knowledge and a more thorough understanding of the source base of the history of Slovakia from the 16th to the 18th century, as well as the history of everyday life. The student will get acquainted with the history of Slovakia in the modern period through the analysis of historical sources, but also with daily life in that period in the processing of specific topics and their presentations. As a future teacher, the student will thus be able to convey the acquired knowledge to pupils. The course will concentrate on the following main topics:

- principles of working with historical sources of Slovak provenance in the modern period
- analysis of sources on the Battle of Mohács in 1526
- working with sources relating to the first rulers of the Habsburg dynasty
- sources on the history of Ottoman expansion
- sources on the history of Bratislava as a coronation city
- sources on the Estates' uprisings in Hungary and Slovakia
- sources on the history of the development of education
- the Janosik tradition in sources
- Maria Theresa and her son Joseph II in historical sources
- Slovak defence writings in the 18th century (J. B. Magin, S. Timon and others)
- hygiene and health care
- catering
- woman (family and society)
- children (family and school)
- social stratification
- religious question and confessionalism
- transport
- literature and theatre

Recommended literature:

Dokumenty slovenskej národnej identity a štátnosti I. Bratislava : Národné literárne centrum, 1998.

DUCHOŇOVÁ, D. – LENGYELOVÁ, T.: Hradné kuchyne a šľachtické stravovanie v ranom novoveku. Radosti slávností, strasti každodennosti. Bratislava : Veda, 2016.

LENGYELOVÁ, T.: Život na šľachtickom dvore. Odev, strava, domácnosť, hygiena, voľný čas. Bratislava : Slovart, 2016.

NAGY, László: Odevy a účesy. Dunajská Streda : Lilium Aurum, 2004.

Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov VI. Pod osmanskou hrozbou. Osudy Slovenska od Albrechta Habsburského do tragickej bitky pri Moháči. Budmerice : Rak, 2005.

Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov VII. Turci v Uhorsku. Budmerice : Rak, 2005.

Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov VIII. Nový pohľad na svet. Budmerice : Rak, 2007.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language

Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 193						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
39,38	0,0	28,5	13,99	7,25	5,7	5,18
Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Jaroslav Nemeš, PhD.						
Last change: 31.10.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde022/22	Course title: Seminar on History of Slovakia III.
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar/week, 22 hours total per semester, combined form; (primarily in person) Student workload: 11x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 8 hours preparation of a report; 20 hours preparation of a seminar paper. Total 50 hours of student work. Educational methods: discussion of the topic; small group work; problem-solving by students, e-learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100% of the intermediate assessment. Seminar paper on a selected topic - processing according to the assignment, which is handed in before the beginning of the examination period. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. Credit will not be awarded to students who obtain less than 15 points in any of the four written examinations. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student is oriented in the issue, has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject matter, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the issue, has learned, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his/her knowledge is fragmented, he/she does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can orient himself/herself in the problem only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the issues, does not master the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically)	

Learning outcomes:

Within the course, the student will thoroughly master the methods of working with historical sources from the history of Slovakia in the years 1780-1918 and their possible use in the pedagogical process at primary or secondary school. The student will deepen his/her knowledge by analysing contemporary historical sources. The student will be able to evaluate the significance of the sources, interpret them and compare them with other sources. At the same time, the student will broaden his/her perception of history by adding the dimension of everyday life. In addition, they will learn to think critically, argue more independently and debate issues.

Class syllabus:

The course focuses on deepening knowledge and a more thorough understanding of the source base of the history of Slovakia from the 18th to the 20th century, as well as the history of everyday life. The student will get acquainted with the history of Slovakia in the modern period through the analysis of historical sources, but also with daily life in that period in the processing of specific topics and their presentations. As a future teacher, the student will thus be able to convey the acquired knowledge to pupils. The course will concentrate on the following main topics:

- sources to the Bernolák's generation
- sources to the Kollár's generation
- sources to the Štúr's generation
- sources to the expeditions of Slovak volunteers
- illustration of neoabsolutism in contemporary sources
- the Memorandum Movement and the Slovak Matica in the sources
- the Slovak national movement and the Austro-Hungarian Compromise
- cooperation between the Slovak, Serbian and Romanian movements at the end of the 19th century
- personalities of the Slovak national movement at the turn of the 19th and 20th centuries
- sources on the First World War
- hygiene and health care
- catering
- woman (family and society)
- children (family and school)
- social stratification
- religious question and confessionality
- transport
- literature and theatre

Recommended literature:

Dokumenty slovenskej národnej identity a štátnosti I. Bratislava : Národné literárne centrum, 1998.

LENGYELOVÁ, T.: Život na šľachtickom dvore. Odev, strava, domácnosť, hygiena, voľný čas. Bratislava : Slovart, 2016. Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov IX. Na prahu modernej doby. Bratislava : Rak, 2008.

Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov X. Od revolúcie 1848 – 1849 k dualistickému Rakúsko-Uhorsku. Bratislava : LIC, 2009.

Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov XI. a. Slováci po rakúsko-uhorskom vyrovnaní. Bratislava : LIC, 2012.

Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov XI.b. Slováci v prvej svetovej vojne 1914 – 1918. Bratislava : LIC, 2011.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language

Notes:						
Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 87						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,62	0,0	31,03	4,6	2,3	2,3	1,15
Lecturers: prof. Mgr. Pavol Matula, PhD., Mgr. Filip Lopuch						
Last change: 10.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde025/22	Course title: Seminar on History of Slovakia IV.
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar/week, total per semester 22 hours, combined; (primarily full-time) Student workload: 2S (2 credits): 11x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 28 hours seminar paper preparation, 10 hours presentation preparation; Total 60 hours of student work. Learning methods: discussion of the topic; problem-solving exercises, e-learning; small group work	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100% of the intermediate assessment. Seminar work will be handed in during the examination period. It is based on relevant literature (at least ten sources) and possibly archival sources. It contains a didactic-historical dimension. The final grade of A is a minimum of 91 points, a minimum of 81 points for a B grade and a minimum of 81 points for a grade C at least 73 points, grade D at least 66 points and grade E at least 60 points. Credit will not be awarded to a student who fails any of the four written in any of the four written examinations. To pass the course, obtaining a minimum of 60% of the grade is necessary. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student is familiar with the subject matter, he/she has mastered it, demonstrates a creative approach and the ability to think critically), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is knowledgeable about the subject mastery, but critical thinking is borderline), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, the student does know his/her way around the issue, learns, but cannot apply the knowledge gained to practice), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student can orient himself/herself to the problem only at a basic level, his knowledge is fragmental, he does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student can orient only with great difficulty, knows only the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate ability to think critically and cannot apply the acquired knowledge to practice), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the problem, does not know the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to think critically)	

Learning outcomes:

During the course, the student will thoroughly master the methods of working with contemporary historical sources from the history of Slovakia in the years 1918 - 1989 and their possible use in the pedagogical process in primary or secondary school. The student will be able to professionally handle historical sources of different natures (written, audio, video) and deepen his knowledge through analysing contemporary historical sources. The student will be able to evaluate the significance of sources, interpret them and compare them with other sources. In addition, they will learn to think, argue and discuss issues more independently.

Class syllabus:

The course aims to deepen knowledge thoroughly and to a more profound understanding of the source base of the history of Slovakia in the 20th century. The student will become familiar with the history of Slovakia in the 20th century through analysing historical sources. As a future educator, the student will thus be able to convey the acquired knowledge to the pupils. The course will focus on the following key topics:

- Sources on the founding of the Czechoslovak Republic
- Interwar cultural life in the light of historical documents
- Sources on the personalities of the First Czechoslovak Republic
- Analysis of sources on autonomism and centralism
- The establishment of the Slovak state in the light of sources
- Society in the Slovak state (sources on education, culture and social history)
- Personalities of the Slovak State
- Sources on persecutions (political opponents, Jews, Gypsies)
- Sources on the Second Resistance, searching for connections between foreign and domestic resistance
- The transitional years 1945-1948, in the light of historical sources
- Analysis of sources on the persecutions (political trials, forced collectivisation)
- Socialist society and propaganda
- Sources on 1968
- Analysis of sources on normalisation
- Sources on the Third Resistance
- The events of November 1989, in the light of the sources

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

Kol.: Dokumenty slovenskej národnej identity a štátnosti. Zv. 1. – 2. Bratislava : Národné literárne centrum, 1998, 1999.

Recommended readings:

LETZ, R. – VAŠŠ, M. – ROGULOVÁ, J. – PODOLEC, O. (eds.): Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov. XII a. Slováci pri budovaní Československej republiky. Bratislava : LIC, 2013.

LETZ, R. – PODOLEC, O. – ROGULOVÁ, J. – VAŠŠ, M. (eds.): Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov. XII b. Slováci vo víre tridsiatych rokov. Bratislava : LIC, 2014.

ROGULOVÁ, J. a kol. (eds.): Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov. XIII a. Slováci a druhá svetová vojna. Bratislava : LIC, 2015.

BYSTRICKÝ, V. – LETZ, R. – PODOLEC, O. (zost.): Vznik Slovenského štátu. 14. marec 1939. Spomienky aktérov historických udalostí. 1. diel. Bratislava : AEP, 2007.

BYSTRICKÝ, V. – LETZ, R. – PODOLEC, O. (zost.): Vznik Slovenského štátu. 14. marec 1939. Spomienky aktérov historických udalostí. 2. diel. Bratislava : AEP, 2008.

NIŽŇANSKÝ, E. (ed.): Holokaust na Slovensku 1 – 7. Dokumenty. Bratislava, Zvolen : Nadácia M. Šimečku, Židovská náboženská obec, 2001 – 2005.

ROGULOVÁ, J. a kol. (eds.): Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov. XIII c. Slováci a nástup socializmu. Bratislava : LIC, 2017. ROGULOVÁ, J. a kol. (eds.): Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov. XIII d. Slováci a Československá socialistická republika. Bratislava : LIC, 2018. HLAVOVÁ, V. – ŽATKULIAK, J. (eds.): Pramene k dejinám Slovenska a Slovákov. XIV. Novembrová revolúcia a česko-slovenský rozchod. Bratislava : LIC, 2002. Thematically oriented audiovisual sources, documentary films.																				
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak language																				
Notes:																				
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 89 <table> <tr> <th>A</th><th>ABS</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th><th>FX</th></tr> <tr> <td>62,92</td><td>0,0</td><td>15,73</td><td>14,61</td><td>2,25</td><td>2,25</td><td>2,25</td></tr> </table>							A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX	62,92	0,0	15,73	14,61	2,25	2,25	2,25
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX														
62,92	0,0	15,73	14,61	2,25	2,25	2,25														
Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Róbert Letz, PhD.																				
Last change: 10.11.2022																				
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.																				

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde024/22	Course title: Seminar on the Basics of Modern Didactics of History Teaching
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar/week; 22 hours total per semester, combined; (primarily full-time) Student workload: 2S (2 credits): 11 x 2 hours of direct instruction = 22 hours; 40 hours of seminar paper production; 15 hours of discussion, argumentation, and constructive evaluation of students' interim outcomes. Total 77 hours of student work. Educational methods: instruction; E-U-R (evocation-awareness-reflection); analysis of texts, iconic texts and educational materials; brainstorming; creation of educational aids, e.g. mind maps, construction projects; discussion of the presented seminar work; SWOT analysis	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100 % of the intermediate verbal and scored marks. During the semester, the student analyses and reflects on thematic assignments, solve problems, and regularly presents and verifies the outputs in discussions at individual seminar sessions. The quality of the outcomes is assessed collectively and by applying SWOT analysis. The student's ability to use the E-U-R method, skill, and ability to evaluate, create and apply theoretical, practical, emotional and metacognitive levels of knowledge are included in the interim and overall assessment. The conclusion of the continuous assessment is done transparently by discussing and summarising the scores obtained. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D, and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. Credit will not be awarded to students who obtain less than 15 points in any of the four written examinations. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. The grade is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results, the student has a broad, compact knowledge in the specialised field of the discipline and can apply it in theoretical and practical contexts, is oriented in the current state of knowledge of borderline scientific disciplines, thinks conceptually, can actively acquire information and creatively apply it in solving problem tasks, actively expands his/her knowledge and critically reviews it and considers the suitability of its application for pedagogical and historical practice),	

B (90-81%, very good - above average standard, the student is oriented in the issue, knows it, can independently acquire new knowledge, and solve professional tasks, but his critical, constructive thinking is borderline when analysing and assessing the planes of normative and reflective didactics),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, although the student can orient himself in the problem, independently search and analyse information, can learn, but he cannot think critically and constructively enough, evaluate the issues solved, and apply the acquired knowledge creatively and conceptually in broader theoretical and practical contexts),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, the student is able to orientate himself in the problem at a basic level, his knowledge is fragmented and incomplete, and at a lower level of satisfaction, he also applies transferable knowledge of the field and related disciplines, he shows more severe deficiencies in the area of factual, conceptual, procedural and metacognitive knowledge),

E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria, the student is able to orient himself in the problem only with great difficulty, he knows only the most basic knowledge, he does not demonstrate the ability to think critically and does not apply the acquired knowledge in a systematic way to the solution of practical tasks),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work is required, the student is unable to navigate the problem, does not master the most basic knowledge, does not demonstrate the ability to argue and think critically)

Learning outcomes:

By completing the course, the student will gain an overview of the European dimension of the modernisation of history teaching in Slovakia and the functionality of the current didactic system of history, and he/she will be able to analyse the partial problems of modern didactics and the results of empirical research in contemporary school practice. He is also oriented in the creation of teaching media and the process of critical assessment and design of educational materials and programmes.

Class syllabus:

Students will become familiar with selected problems of modern didactics of history, identify the requirements for teacher education and gain knowledge about the application of methods and strategies recommended for teaching and learning of pupils in primary and secondary schools. Through the creative activity, they will gain experience and insight into the process of modernising history teaching in educational practice.

The content focus of the course concentrates on the following focal themes and activities:

- Presentation of the understanding and application of key concepts of history didactics: "modern" history didactics, methodology, historical consciousness, historical culture, historical knowledge, historical education, historical awareness, historical memory, functional literacy, functional factuality.
- Provide examples of the 'tense' relationship between historical scholarship and history and forms/ methods of popularising historical knowledge (e.g., the 'public history' method).
- Designing (conceptualising) history as a school subject, visions and perspectives, requirements and recommendations of RE and expert commissions for teaching history in the 21st century, updating the selection of history curriculum in the educational field: Human and society - critical analysis and evaluation of educational programmes and recommendations, making own proposals on the topic.
- Guided discussion (or panel discussion) on the functioning didactic system of history education.
- Creation of examples on applying the 3 essential functions of history.
- Apply the "operationalisation" of goals and objectives within an arbitrary selection of history curriculum. What is the theory, and what is the practice?
- Analyse the results of selected empirical research on the state of school history practice.

- Discussion and briefing on the topic: why pay attention to the function of "neurodidactics" in the educational process? What types of tasks and techniques to select in this context for pupils in the teaching and learning process?
- Recognising the practical applicability of the structural elements of the textbook within the classroom.
- Brainstorming the usefulness and application of resources for the implementation of history in a more entertaining way (didactic games, comics, cartoons, collages, graphic diagrams, etc.).

Recommended literature:

Required reading:

BOCKOVÁ, A.: Dejepisné vzdelávanie je hodnototvorný proces. In: Vita historiae dedicata, Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského, 2018.

BOCKOVÁ, A.: Projektová činnosť. Metodika stáže. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského, 2020.

ČAPEK, R.: Líný učiteľ – Jak učiť dobre a efektívne. Bratislava : Raabe, 2018.

PETTY, G.: Moderní vyučování. Praha: Portál, 1996.

STRADLING, R.: Vyučovanie európskej histórie dvadsiateho storočia. Bratislava : Metocké centrum mesta Bratislavy, 2002.

Recommended reading:

BAUMANN, E.: Hra vo výučbe – výučba hrou (ukážka možnosti využitia spoločenskej hry pri výučbe z dejín Strednej Európy na príklade praxe). In: Verbum historiae 2/2020.

BOCKOVÁ, A.: Empirický prieskum. Metodika stáže. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského, 2021.

BURIAN, V.: Trendy, ktoré možno zatrasú školami. In: Dobrá škola, roč. 7, 2015, č. 3.

DENDYS, I.: Didaktické hry a herné princípy vo výučbe dejepisu. In : Duchoňová, J.-Hošová,D.-Koleňáková, R.Š: (eds.): Inovatívne trendy v odborových didaktikách. Prepojenie teórie a praxe výučbových stratégií kritického a tvorivého myslenia. Nitra : Univerzita Konštantína Filozofa, 2019.

HAŠKOVÁ, A.: Učiteľ ako aktér inovácií. In: Duchoňová, J.-Hošová,D.-Koleňáková, R.Š: (eds.): Inovatívne trendy v odborových didaktikách. Prepojenie teórie a praxe výučbových stratégií kritického a tvorivého myslenia. Nitra : Univerzita Konštantína Filozofa, 2019

KMEŤ, M.: História a dejepis (Vybrané kapitoly z didaktiky dejepisu). Banská Bystrica : Univerzita Mateja Bela, 2017.

LABISCHOVÁ, D.-GRACOVÁ, B.: Příručka k didaktice dějepisu, Ostrava : Ostravská univerzita v Ostrave, 2010.

TUREK, I.: Klúčové kompetencie. Bratislava : Metodicko-pedagogické centrum v Bratislave, 2003.

Učebnice dejepisu pre základné školy, gymnáziá a stredné školy;
Inovovaný Štátny vzdelávací program pre vzdelávaciu oblasť Človek a spoločnosť - dejepis,
dostupný na: www.statpedu.sk

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech languages

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 197

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
64,97	0,0	25,38	7,61	1,02	0,51	0,51

Lecturers: Mgr. Ivana Dendys, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde025/22			Course title: Situational ethics			
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 0						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:						
Last change:						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde025/22			Course title: Situational ethics			
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 0						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:						
Last change:						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde018/22	Course title: Social anthropology
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours weekly/22 hours per semester, blended learning (mainly face-to-face learning) Student workload: 22 hours of face-to-face learning, 20 hours for preparation for midterm test, 18 hours to work on written assignment. Total 60 hours Teaching methods: problem-based interpretation and discussion of the topics covered.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of continuous/final evaluation: 100/0 The continuous evaluation includes a written assignment on school ethnography (40% of the grade) and a midterm test (60% of the grade). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the total score is required. Grading Scale: A 100-91%, well done - excellent results. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (the extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the grade (percentage) of the written assignment, corresponds to an A grade. Note: The score or percentage of the written assignment reflects the degree to which the student's work on the written assignment is consistent with the criteria published in the assignment. The didactic test contains tasks of varying cognitive difficulty, so it stratifies students not only according to the extent of knowledge acquired. Both the test and the written assignment are designed to verify the specified learning outcomes. B 90-81%, very good - above average standard. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the grade (percentage) of the written assignment, corresponds to a grade of B. C 80-73%, good - normal reliable work. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the grade (percentage) of the written assignment, corresponds to a C grade.	

D 72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable performance. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the grade (percentage) of the intermediate task, corresponds to a D. E 65-60%, sufficient. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the grade (percentage) of the written assignment, corresponds to an E. Fx 59-0%, Inadequate. The student has not met the required thresholds for passing the course. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0
Learning outcomes: The aim of the course is to expand the knowledge of human beings acquired in other courses to include a sociocultural dimension. It will provide the student with a basic understanding of human sociocultural development as it has taken place in time. He will know the essential connections between the nature of man, the nature of human society and the nature of culture. The student will know the factors maintaining the social cohesion and cultural identity of pre-industrial societies as well as the factors responsible for their persistence over time. The knowledge acquired will enable the student to critically assess those concepts of social reality that are based on anthropocentric postulates. The student will be able to operationalise the knowledge acquired to the conditions of his own society and to the conditions of the school environment. The student will also become acquainted with the basic knowledge of the social and cultural realities of cultural minority groups living in our geographical area. From this perspective, social anthropology can be seen as a valuable contribution to multicultural education. Exposure to the life of other cultures based on different normative orders and worldviews is expected to have a significant preventive effect against various forms of tribalism, ethnocentrism, cultural imperialism, racism and xenophobia.
Class syllabus: The subject of social anthropology and the benefits of social anthropological knowledge for human civic life. Its place in the system of anthropological sciences. Subdisciplines of social anthropology. Differences in the understanding of society in social anthropology, sociology, social philosophy and sociobiology. Common features (cultural universals) and differences between different developmental types of societies (archaic, traditional, modern society and its successor forms). Key events that led to their demarcation. An analysis of the emotional, normative, relational, behavioural, power, economic and linguistic aspects of the integrity of these types of societies. The regulatory function of culture. The importance of tradition in maintaining the social cohesion of non-industrialized societies. Important figures, methods, trends and schools in social anthropology.
Recommended literature: SOUKUP, M. (2020) Základy kulturní antropologie. Praha: Nakladatelství Pavel Mervart. ISBN 978-80-7465-186-1. Recommended readings: SOUKUP, M. (2019) Antropologie. Teorie, koncepty a osobnosti. Praha: Nakladatelství Pavel Mervart. ISBN 978-80-7465-355-1. KANOVSKÝ, M. 2004. Kultúrna a sociálna antropológia. Osobnosti a teórie. Bratislava: Chronos, 249 s. ISBN 80-89027-10-5.
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language
Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 195						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
27,18	0,0	20,51	29,74	14,36	3,08	5,13
Lecturers:						
Last change: 10.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde018/22	Course title: Social anthropology
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours weekly/22 hours per semester, blended learning (mainly face-to-face learning) Student workload: 22 hours of face-to-face learning, 20 hours for preparation for midterm test, 18 hours to work on written assignment. Total 60 hours Teaching methods: problem-based interpretation and discussion of the topics covered.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of continuous/final evaluation: 100/0 The continuous evaluation includes a written assignment on school ethnography (40% of the grade) and a midterm test (60% of the grade). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the total score is required. Grading Scale: A 100-91%, well done - excellent results. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (the extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the grade (percentage) of the written assignment, corresponds to an A grade. Note: The score or percentage of the written assignment reflects the degree to which the student's work on the written assignment is consistent with the criteria published in the assignment. The didactic test contains tasks of varying cognitive difficulty, so it stratifies students not only according to the extent of knowledge acquired. Both the test and the written assignment are designed to verify the specified learning outcomes. B 90-81%, very good - above average standard. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of acquired knowledge and mobilised cognitive abilities) which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the grade (percentage) of the written assignment, corresponds to a grade of B. C 80-73%, good - normal reliable work. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the grade (percentage) of the written assignment, corresponds to a C grade.	

D 72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable performance. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the grade (percentage) of the intermediate task, corresponds to a D. E 65-60%, sufficient. In the didactic test, the student has demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the grade (percentage) of the written assignment, corresponds to an E. Fx 59-0%, Inadequate. The student has not met the required thresholds for passing the course. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0
Learning outcomes: The aim of the course is to expand the knowledge of human beings acquired in other courses to include a sociocultural dimension. It will provide the student with a basic understanding of human sociocultural development as it has taken place in time. He will know the essential connections between the nature of man, the nature of human society and the nature of culture. The student will know the factors maintaining the social cohesion and cultural identity of pre-industrial societies as well as the factors responsible for their persistence over time. The knowledge acquired will enable the student to critically assess those concepts of social reality that are based on anthropocentric postulates. The student will be able to operationalise the knowledge acquired to the conditions of his own society and to the conditions of the school environment. The student will also become acquainted with the basic knowledge of the social and cultural realities of cultural minority groups living in our geographical area. From this perspective, social anthropology can be seen as a valuable contribution to multicultural education. Exposure to the life of other cultures based on different normative orders and worldviews is expected to have a significant preventive effect against various forms of tribalism, ethnocentrism, cultural imperialism, racism and xenophobia.
Class syllabus: The subject of social anthropology and the benefits of social anthropological knowledge for human civic life. Its place in the system of anthropological sciences. Subdisciplines of social anthropology. Differences in the understanding of society in social anthropology, sociology, social philosophy and sociobiology. Common features (cultural universals) and differences between different developmental types of societies (archaic, traditional, modern society and its successor forms). Key events that led to their demarcation. An analysis of the emotional, normative, relational, behavioural, power, economic and linguistic aspects of the integrity of these types of societies. The regulatory function of culture. The importance of tradition in maintaining the social cohesion of non-industrialized societies. Important figures, methods, trends and schools in social anthropology.
Recommended literature: SOUKUP, M. (2020) Základy kulturní antropologie. Praha: Nakladatelství Pavel Mervart. ISBN 978-80-7465-186-1. Recommended readings: SOUKUP, M. (2019) Antropologie. Teorie, koncepty a osobnosti. Praha: Nakladatelství Pavel Mervart. ISBN 978-80-7465-355-1. KANOVSKÝ, M. 2004. Kultúrna a sociálna antropológia. Osobnosti a teórie. Bratislava: Chronos, 249 s. ISBN 80-89027-10-5.
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language
Notes:

Past grade distribution						
Total number of evaluated students: 195						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
27,18	0,0	20,51	29,74	14,36	3,08	5,13
Lecturers: doc. PhDr. Branislav Malík, CSc.						
Last change: 10.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde019/22	Course title: Social psychology for teachers
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1	
Course requirements: Prerequisites: B-VUZde003 Psychology for teachers 1 Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% of the interim assessment. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. Seminar paper (40% of points) - students work in class with pre-prepared record sheets that contain assignments reflecting on a textbook text or a text of research studies focused on the role of the teacher in an interpersonal context. After completing the worksheets, they discuss the authors' responses in small groups with classmates. Students are evaluated at the end of the seminar for the worksheets they have developed and turned in. Test (60% of points) - the test reflects the knowledge acquired from the teacher's brief explanation, which will always take place before working with the record sheets. The test consists of open questions or also questions (A/B/C). The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For an A grade, students need to continuously submit outstanding performance throughout the semester. Record sheets indicate a high ability of students to reflect on social psychology knowledge in dealing with interpersonal situations in the classroom. They also reflect at a high level on their own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses of their own persona in a hypothetical school group interaction. The knowledge demonstrated in the student's test demonstrates their excellent mastery of theoretical introductions to seminars across a variety of social psychology topics. A grade of B means that students performed very well continuously throughout the semester. The record sheets reflect well on social psychology knowledge in dealing with interpersonal situations in the classroom. They also reflect at a very good level on their own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses of their own persona in a hypothetical school group interaction. The knowledge	

demonstrated in the student's test shows a very good mastery of the theoretical introductions to seminars in the vast majority of social psychology topics.

A grade of C means that the students performed well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge of various educational situations in the school environment and their solutions is at a good level, but there are also shortcomings in the ability to apply this knowledge. The knowledge demonstrated in the student's test shows a good grasp of the theoretical introductions with few errors.

A grade of D indicates that students underperformed during the semester. The record sheets show little motivation to think critically about different social situations and are filled in as if "just out of obligation". One's own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses of one's own persona in a hypothetical school group interaction are only minimally reflected upon. The knowledge demonstrated in the students' test shows a sufficient mastery of the theoretical introductions to the seminars.

A grade of E indicates that students performed minimally during the semester. The record sheets do not show an ability to think critically about various social situations and are filled out only sternly, which limits the student's ability to engage in quality group discussion. The student's own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses are not reflected in the hypothetical school group interaction. The knowledge demonstrated in the test is minimal.

A grade of FX indicates that the student has not sufficiently completed the course requirements and extra work is required.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The goal of the Social Psychology for Teachers course is to (1) introduce students to the issues of social dynamics and social group interaction, specifically in the school classroom; (2) help students identify social psychological phenomena and mechanisms in concrete examples from the school setting.

By critically reflecting on interpersonal situations from the school environment, the student deepens and actively thinks about (a) solutions to these situations and (b) the application of social psychology knowledge in practice. The student may also reflect on how he or she would address the social needs of students, using communication or intervention practices in the school classroom to achieve desired student behavior. The primary goal of the course is to supplement the student's ability to plan and design instruction with an awareness of the social aspects of the classroom, but also to deepen the student's self-awareness of his/her own functioning (strengths/weaknesses) in interpersonal model situations.

The student after completing the course:

- Knows the process of social group formation and its dynamics.
- Can explain the relationship between group phenomena and pupil behaviour in the classroom.
- Can apply the knowledge of social psychology to the process of school classroom management.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. The pupil in the interaction of social environment and education.
2. Social cognition, the process of forming an impression.
3. Social groups, classification of social groups, group development. group dynamics
4. Social role and social status, role identification and role conflict.
5. Process and types of communication. Teacher-pupil communication.
6. Social behaviour in the school classroom. Social interaction in informal groups.
7. Group norms. Leadership and power.

8. Social impact.
9. Aggressiveness, assertiveness, altruism.
10. Social attitudes.
11. Conflicts and possibilities of their resolution.
12. The use of social psychology knowledge in education and training.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

CANGELOSI, J. S. (2006). Strategie řízení třídy. Praha: Portál, 296 s. ISBN 80-7367-118-2

Recommended reading:

FONTANA, D. (2003). Psychologie ve školní praxi. Praha: Portál, 383 s. ISBN 8071786268

GAVORA, P. (2001). Výskum životného príbehu: učiteľka Adamová. Pedagogika, 51(3), 352-368.

KOLLÁRIK, T. (2004). Sociálna psychológia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 548 s. ISBN 9788022324793

LEMEŠOVÁ, M. (2012). Socializačné aspekty kultúry školy: o pravidlách, hraniciach a problémoch v školskom prostredí. In Lukšík, I. (Eds.). Kultúra škôl a výchovných zariadení. Univerzita Komenského, s. 146-168.

MASARYK, R. (2013). Medzi človekom a ľuďmi: Úvod do sociálnej psychológie. Bratislava: Iris, 202 s. ISBN 9788089238736

PETRÍK, J., & POPPER, M. (2020). Contact Based School Intervention Program: Enhancing Cooperation Intention and Reducing Prejudice toward Roma. Studia Psychologica, 62(3), 232-245.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, Czech and English

Notes:

Notes: students of the psychology teacher education programme (combined) do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 386

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
56,22	0,0	21,24	9,33	5,18	4,92	3,11

Lecturers: Mgr. Miroslava Lemešová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde019/22	Course title: Social psychology for teachers
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1	
Course requirements: Prerequisites: B-VUZde003 Psychology for teachers 1 Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% of the interim assessment. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. Seminar paper (40% of points) - students work in class with pre-prepared record sheets that contain assignments reflecting on a textbook text or a text of research studies focused on the role of the teacher in an interpersonal context. After completing the worksheets, they discuss the authors' responses in small groups with classmates. Students are evaluated at the end of the seminar for the worksheets they have developed and turned in. Test (60% of points) - the test reflects the knowledge acquired from the teacher's brief explanation, which will always take place before working with the record sheets. The test consists of open questions or also questions (A/B/C). The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For an A grade, students need to continuously submit outstanding performance throughout the semester. Record sheets indicate a high ability of students to reflect on social psychology knowledge in dealing with interpersonal situations in the classroom. They also reflect at a high level on their own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses of their own persona in a hypothetical school group interaction. The knowledge demonstrated in the student's test demonstrates their excellent mastery of theoretical introductions to seminars across a variety of social psychology topics. A grade of B means that students performed very well continuously throughout the semester. The record sheets reflect well on social psychology knowledge in dealing with interpersonal situations in the classroom. They also reflect at a very good level on their own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses of their own persona in a hypothetical school group interaction. The knowledge	

demonstrated in the student's test shows a very good mastery of the theoretical introductions to seminars in the vast majority of social psychology topics.

A grade of C means that the students performed well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge of various educational situations in the school environment and their solutions is at a good level, but there are also shortcomings in the ability to apply this knowledge. The knowledge demonstrated in the student's test shows a good grasp of the theoretical introductions with few errors.

A grade of D indicates that students underperformed during the semester. The record sheets show little motivation to think critically about different social situations and are filled in as if "just out of obligation". One's own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses of one's own persona in a hypothetical school group interaction are only minimally reflected upon. The knowledge demonstrated in the students' test shows a sufficient mastery of the theoretical introductions to the seminars.

A grade of E indicates that students performed minimally during the semester. The record sheets do not show an ability to think critically about various social situations and are filled out only sternly, which limits the student's ability to engage in quality group discussion. The student's own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses are not reflected in the hypothetical school group interaction. The knowledge demonstrated in the test is minimal.

A grade of FX indicates that the student has not sufficiently completed the course requirements and extra work is required.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The goal of the Social Psychology for Teachers course is to (1) introduce students to the issues of social dynamics and social group interaction, specifically in the school classroom; (2) help students identify social psychological phenomena and mechanisms in concrete examples from the school setting.

By critically reflecting on interpersonal situations from the school environment, the student deepens and actively thinks about (a) solutions to these situations and (b) the application of social psychology knowledge in practice. The student may also reflect on how he or she would address the social needs of students, using communication or intervention practices in the school classroom to achieve desired student behavior. The primary goal of the course is to supplement the student's ability to plan and design instruction with an awareness of the social aspects of the classroom, but also to deepen the student's self-awareness of his/her own functioning (strengths/weaknesses) in interpersonal model situations.

The student after completing the course:

- Knows the process of social group formation and its dynamics.
- Can explain the relationship between group phenomena and pupil behaviour in the classroom.
- Can apply the knowledge of social psychology to the process of school classroom management.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1. The pupil in the interaction of social environment and education.
2. Social cognition, the process of forming an impression.
3. Social groups, classification of social groups, group development. group dynamics
4. Social role and social status, role identification and role conflict.
5. Process and types of communication. Teacher-pupil communication.
6. Social behaviour in the school classroom. Social interaction in informal groups.
7. Group norms. Leadership and power.

8. Social impact.
9. Aggressiveness, assertiveness, altruism.
10. Social attitudes.
11. Conflicts and possibilities of their resolution.
12. The use of social psychology knowledge in education and training.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

CANGELOSI, J. S. (2006). Strategie řízení třídy. Praha: Portál, 296 s. ISBN 80-7367-118-2

Recommended reading:

FONTANA, D. (2003). Psychologie ve školní praxi. Praha: Portál, 383 s. ISBN 8071786268

GAVORA, P. (2001). Výskum životného príbehu: učiteľka Adamová. Pedagogika, 51(3), 352-368.

KOLLÁRIK, T. (2004). Sociálna psychológia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 548 s. ISBN 9788022324793

LEMEŠOVÁ, M. (2012). Socializačné aspekty kultúry školy: o pravidlách, hraniciach a problémoch v školskom prostredí. In Lukšík, I. (Eds.). Kultúra škôl a výchovných zariadení. Univerzita Komenského, s. 146-168.

MASARYK, R. (2013). Medzi človekom a ľuďmi: Úvod do sociálnej psychológie. Bratislava: Iris, 202 s. ISBN 9788089238736

PETRÍK, J., & POPPER, M. (2020). Contact Based School Intervention Program: Enhancing Cooperation Intention and Reducing Prejudice toward Roma. Studia Psychologica, 62(3), 232-245.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, Czech and English

Notes:

Notes: students of the psychology teacher education programme (combined) do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 386

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
56,22	0,0	21,24	9,33	5,18	4,92	3,11

Lecturers: Mgr. Miroslava Lemešová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde026/22		Course title: Social skills of teachers training				
Educational activities: Type of activities: practicals Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 24s Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 510						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
91,96	0,0	2,35	0,39	0,39	0,0	4,9
Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD., PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD.						
Last change: 22.04.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde026/22		Course title: Social skills of teachers training				
Educational activities: Type of activities: practicals Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 24s Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 510						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
91,96	0,0	2,35	0,39	0,39	0,0	4,9
Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD., Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD., Mgr. Peter Ostradický, PhD.						
Last change: 22.04.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde014/22	Course title: Social-psychological training for citizenship education
Educational activities: Type of activities: practicals Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 26s Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Scope, type/method of teaching and organizational form: Practicum, 26 hours per semester, combined method (primarily full-time) Student workload: 26 hours of direct teaching; 12 hours of preparation before the training; 2 hours devoted to reflection on the events of the training after its completion; 10 hours of self-study on the subject. 50 hours of work in total. Teaching methods: the methodological framework consists of active social learning and experiential learning (dialogical and discussion methods, didactic, psychological and cooperative games, self-discovery techniques, staging methods, reflective essay), e-learning (Moodle).	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim and final marks: 100/0 Continuous assessment includes the evaluation of the performance of continuous tasks and assignments, which are carried out in an individual or group form in the pre-, during and post-training phase. Before the training, the interim evaluation consists of three tasks (preparation of the self-presentation = 10%; development of criteria for quality of life assessment = 10%; self-perception development exercise = 10%), which are further worked on during the training. In the course of the training, activities and exercises are carried out to enable the development of the full range of social-psychological competences necessary for the exercise of the teaching profession (50%), e.g. interpersonal or social-inclusive. After the training, the continuous evaluation consists of structured feedback on the events in the training group and the development of own competences (20%). To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. The assessment is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria),	

Fx (59-0%, inadequate - additional work required)

For a grade of A, the student completed all assignments given before and immediately after the training. During the course of the training he/she actively participates in the activities and shows interest and effort to develop his/her own social-psychological competences and civic competences, seeks original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects on the emerging changes brought by the training, manifests himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.

A grade of B means that the student has completed all the tasks set before and immediately after the training. During the training, the student is actively involved in individual activities and shows interest and effort to develop his/her own social-psychological and civic competences, to a lesser extent looks for original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects the emerging changes that the training brings, but needs more time and space for their verbalization, shows himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.

A grade of C will be awarded if the student has completed all the tasks set before and immediately after the training. At the same time, he/she is usually actively involved in individual activities, shows interest and effort in developing some of his/her social-psychological and civic competences, makes little use of his/her personality assumptions in finding original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects only to some extent the emerging changes brought about by the training, manifests himself/herself as a full-fledged member of the training group, and actively expresses his/her own opinion.

A grade of D means that the student has completed at least half of the tasks set before and immediately after the training. The student has limited involvement in individual activities and shows only sporadic interest and effort to develop his/her competences, often only with external help looking for original and creative solutions to the tasks set, cannot adequately reflect the emerging changes that the training brings, is more passive and more like a peripheral member of the training group, although actively expressing his/her own opinion.

A grade of E will be awarded to a student who has completed only some of the tasks set before and immediately after the training. The student behaves passively and is minimally involved in individual activities, shows no interest and effort to develop his/her competences, relies on the group to find original and creative solutions to the tasks set, cannot adequately reflect the emerging changes brought about by the training, cannot find his/her place in the training group, expresses his/her own opinion sporadically and not always in an appropriate way.

The Fx grade is given exceptionally, most often due to non-participation in training and failure to complete the set training tasks, non-cooperation, refusal to take a stand on the required topics and to express his/her own opinion.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The main aim of the course Social Psychological Training for Education for Citizenship is to develop the whole spectrum of social psychological competences of students, with particular emphasis on the area of self-knowledge, knowledge of others, cooperation and acceptance of difference in society. All training activities have an overlap towards the development of students' civic competences.

The student after completing the course:

- is aware of his/her values and attitudes and is able to compare them with the values and attitudes essential for life in a democratic society,
- is able to detect stereotypes and prejudices in the perception of the world from a global and local perspective,
- perceive and realise the importance of accepting difference and the importance of tolerance and human rights,

- can link themes of personal development and self-knowledge with themes of civic participation, social and environmental responsibility and decision-making,
- is aware of the importance of self-knowledge and personal development in teaching practice.

Class syllabus:

1. Self-knowledge and cognition of others (social-psychological mechanisms of social cognition and the most common mistakes).
2. Development of one's own social-psychological competences and their overlap into the field of civic competences (one's own competence model, strengths and weaknesses).
3. Own identity and the identity of others (self as a personality).
4. Value and attitudinal orientation in relation to the natural and social environment (self as a result of the natural, social and cultural environment).
5. Acceptance, tolerance and respect for the diversity of different countries, nations and ethnicities (self and others).
6. World culture - blurring of boundaries, local traditions or regional differences.

Recommended literature:

Hermochová, S. (2006). Teambuilding. Grada.
 Komárková, R. Slaměník, I., & Výrost, J. (2001). Aplikovaná sociální psychologie III. Sociálněpsychologický výcvik. Grada.
 Kuneš, D. (2009). Sebepoznání. Portál.
 Lemešová, M. (2021). Metodika vedenia sociálno-psychologického tréningu v prostredí školy. 2.0. Slovenská asociácia pre učiteľstvo psychológie.
 Lemešová, M., Hamranová, A., Minarovičová, K., Sabová, L., & Sokolová, L. (2017). Psychológia zážitkom. Metodická príručka. Univerzita Komenského.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 329

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
93,01	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	6,99

Lecturers: Mgr. Miroslava Lemešová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde014/22	Course title: Social-psychological training for citizenship education
Educational activities: Type of activities: practicals Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 26s Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Scope, type/method of teaching and organizational form: Practicum, 26 hours per semester, combined method (primarily full-time) Student workload: 26 hours of direct teaching; 12 hours of preparation before the training; 2 hours devoted to reflection on the events of the training after its completion; 10 hours of self-study on the subject. 50 hours of work in total. Teaching methods: the methodological framework consists of active social learning and experiential learning (dialogical and discussion methods, didactic, psychological and cooperative games, self-discovery techniques, staging methods, reflective essay), e-learning (Moodle).	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim and final marks: 100/0 Continuous assessment includes the evaluation of the performance of continuous tasks and assignments, which are carried out in an individual or group form in the pre-, during and post-training phase. Before the training, the interim evaluation consists of three tasks (preparation of the self-presentation = 10%; development of criteria for quality of life assessment = 10%; self-perception development exercise = 10%), which are further worked on during the training. In the course of the training, activities and exercises are carried out to enable the development of the full range of social-psychological competences necessary for the exercise of the teaching profession (50%), e.g. interpersonal or social-inclusive. After the training, the continuous evaluation consists of structured feedback on the events in the training group and the development of own competences (20%). To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. The assessment is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria),	

Fx (59-0%, inadequate - additional work required)

For a grade of A, the student completed all assignments given before and immediately after the training. During the course of the training he/she actively participates in the activities and shows interest and effort to develop his/her own social-psychological competences and civic competences, seeks original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects on the emerging changes brought by the training, manifests himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.

A grade of B means that the student has completed all the tasks set before and immediately after the training. During the training, the student is actively involved in individual activities and shows interest and effort to develop his/her own social-psychological and civic competences, to a lesser extent looks for original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects the emerging changes that the training brings, but needs more time and space for their verbalization, shows himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.

A grade of C will be awarded if the student has completed all the tasks set before and immediately after the training. At the same time, he/she is usually actively involved in individual activities, shows interest and effort in developing some of his/her social-psychological and civic competences, makes little use of his/her personality assumptions in finding original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects only to some extent the emerging changes brought about by the training, manifests himself/herself as a full-fledged member of the training group, and actively expresses his/her own opinion.

A grade of D means that the student has completed at least half of the tasks set before and immediately after the training. The student has limited involvement in individual activities and shows only sporadic interest and effort to develop his/her competences, often only with external help looking for original and creative solutions to the tasks set, cannot adequately reflect the emerging changes that the training brings, is more passive and more like a peripheral member of the training group, although actively expressing his/her own opinion.

A grade of E will be awarded to a student who has completed only some of the tasks set before and immediately after the training. The student behaves passively and is minimally involved in individual activities, shows no interest and effort to develop his/her competences, relies on the group to find original and creative solutions to the tasks set, cannot adequately reflect the emerging changes brought about by the training, cannot find his/her place in the training group, expresses his/her own opinion sporadically and not always in an appropriate way.

The Fx grade is given exceptionally, most often due to non-participation in training and failure to complete the set training tasks, non-cooperation, refusal to take a stand on the required topics and to express his/her own opinion.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The main aim of the course Social Psychological Training for Education for Citizenship is to develop the whole spectrum of social psychological competences of students, with particular emphasis on the area of self-knowledge, knowledge of others, cooperation and acceptance of difference in society. All training activities have an overlap towards the development of students' civic competences.

The student after completing the course:

- is aware of his/her values and attitudes and is able to compare them with the values and attitudes essential for life in a democratic society,
- is able to detect stereotypes and prejudices in the perception of the world from a global and local perspective,
- perceive and realise the importance of accepting difference and the importance of tolerance and human rights,

- can link themes of personal development and self-knowledge with themes of civic participation, social and environmental responsibility and decision-making,
- is aware of the importance of self-knowledge and personal development in teaching practice.

Class syllabus:

1. Self-knowledge and cognition of others (social-psychological mechanisms of social cognition and the most common mistakes).
2. Development of one's own social-psychological competences and their overlap into the field of civic competences (one's own competence model, strengths and weaknesses).
3. Own identity and the identity of others (self as a personality).
4. Value and attitudinal orientation in relation to the natural and social environment (self as a result of the natural, social and cultural environment).
5. Acceptance, tolerance and respect for the diversity of different countries, nations and ethnicities (self and others).
6. World culture - blurring of boundaries, local traditions or regional differences.

Recommended literature:

Hermochová, S. (2006). Teambuilding. Grada.
 Komárková, R. Slaměník, I., & Výrost, J. (2001). Aplikovaná sociální psychologie III. Sociálněpsychologický výcvik. Grada.
 Kuneš, D. (2009). Sebepoznání. Portál.
 Lemešová, M. (2021). Metodika vedenia sociálno-psychologického tréningu v prostredí školy. 2.0. Slovenská asociácia pre učiteľstvo psychológie.
 Lemešová, M., Hamranová, A., Minarovičová, K., Sabová, L., & Sokolová, L. (2017). Psychológia zážitkom. Metodická príručka. Univerzita Komenského.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 329

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
93,01	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	6,99

Lecturers: Mgr. Miroslava Lemešová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde021/22	Course title: Socio-educational training for teachers
Educational activities: Type of activities: practicals Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 24s Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1	
Course requirements: 100% of continuous assessment Continuous assessment includes the evaluation of the performance of continuous tasks and assignments, which are carried out in an individual or group form in the pre-, during and post-training phase. Before the training, the mid-term evaluation consists of three tasks (reflective essay on expectations related to the self-discovery training = 10%; work with tests and questionnaires = 10%; individual preparation for the role-play = 10%), which are further worked on during the training. In the course of the training, activities and exercises are carried out to facilitate, in particular, a wide range of social-psychological competences: the development of intrapersonal (20%), interpersonal (20%) and social-inclusive competences (20%) of the students (dating activities, role-playing games, cooperative games, trust games, etc.). After the training, the continuous evaluation consists of structured feedback on the events in the training group (10%). The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. For an A grade, the student is actively involved in individual activities and shows interest and effort to develop his/her competencies, seeks original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects on the emerging changes that training brings, shows himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion. B grade means that the student is actively involved in individual activities and shows interest and effort to develop his/her competences, to a lesser extent looks for original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects the emerging changes that the training brings, but needs more time and space for their verbalization, manifests himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.	

A C grade is awarded if the student is usually actively involved in individual activities, shows interest and effort to develop his/her competences, but only in some topics and areas, makes little use of his/her personality in finding original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects to some extent the emerging changes brought by the training, shows himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.

The grade D means that the student is involved to a limited extent in individual activities and shows only sporadic interest and effort to develop his/her competencies, often with external help looking for original and creative solutions to the tasks, cannot adequately reflect the emerging changes that the training brings, is more passive and more like a marginal member of the training group, although he/she actively expresses his/her own opinion.

Grade E is awarded to a student who behaves passively and minimally participates in individual activities, does not show interest and effort to develop his/her competencies, relies on the group for original and creative solutions to the tasks set, cannot adequately reflect the emerging changes that the training brings, cannot find his/her place in the training group, expresses his/her own opinion sporadically and not always in an appropriate way.

The grade of Fx is given on an exceptional basis, most often due to non-attendance and failure to complete assigned midterm assignments, non-cooperation, refusal to take a stand on required topics and to express one's own opinion.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the Social Psychological Training for Teachers course is to motivate and develop self-knowledge and self-reflection in teacher education students of different study programmes.

The student after completing the course:

- is aware of the importance of self-knowledge, reflection and personal development in teaching practice,
- can define their strengths and weaknesses as well as challenges and pitfalls in their own self-development,
- can name and identify the most general errors in social perception and apply them to teaching practice,
- identifies with the teacher's role, profession and its specifics,
- Through experiential activities, gain experience of active social and experiential learning.

Class syllabus:

The course is implemented through experiential activities and exercises aimed mainly at:

1. The sphere of the external world in the process of self-discovery (the individual's membership in various social groups, the teacher's role in the school classroom and the teacher's team).
2. The area of the inner world in the process of self-knowledge (experiencing, thinking, decision-making, the ways in which our emotions or our body affect us, what hidden beliefs influence our thinking, etc.).
3. The area of the transition zone of self-knowledge (behaviour, communication, etc.).
4. Increasing sensitivity to one's own experience and to the experience and emotions of others.
5. Building a positive self-image in the context of pro-teacher identification.

Recommended literature:

KOMÁRKOVÁ, R. (2001). Aplikovaná sociální psychologie III. Sociálněpsychologický výcvik. Praha: Grada, 224 s. ISBN 8024701804

KOLAŘÍK, M. (2011). Interakční psychologický výcvik. Praha: Grada, 160 s. ISBN 9788024729411

KOLAŘÍK, M. (2013). Interakční psychologický výcvik pro praxi. Praha: Grada, 128 s. ISBN 978-80-247-8602-5

KUNEŠ, D. (2009). Sebepoznání. Praha: Portál, 152 s. ISBN 978-80-7367-541-7
 LEMEŠOVÁ, M., HAMRANOVÁ, A., MINAROVÍČOVÁ, K., SABOVÁ, L., SOKOLOVÁ L. (2017). Psychológia zážitkom. Metodická príručka. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 497 s. ISBN 978-80-223-3655-0

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Students of the study programme Psychology Teaching (combined) do not enrol in the course, the teaching is carried out in a block form.

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 131

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
93,89	0,0	1,53	0,0	0,0	0,0	4,58

Lecturers: Mgr. Miroslava Lemešová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde021/22	Course title: Socio-educational training for teachers
Educational activities: Type of activities: practicals Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 24s Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1	
Course requirements: 100% of continuous assessment Continuous assessment includes the evaluation of the performance of continuous tasks and assignments, which are carried out in an individual or group form in the pre-, during and post-training phase. Before the training, the mid-term evaluation consists of three tasks (reflective essay on expectations related to the self-discovery training = 10%; work with tests and questionnaires = 10%; individual preparation for the role-play = 10%), which are further worked on during the training. In the course of the training, activities and exercises are carried out to facilitate, in particular, a wide range of social-psychological competences: the development of intrapersonal (20%), interpersonal (20%) and social-inclusive competences (20%) of the students (dating activities, role-playing games, cooperative games, trust games, etc.). After the training, the continuous evaluation consists of structured feedback on the events in the training group (10%). The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required) For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. For an A grade, the student is actively involved in individual activities and shows interest and effort to develop his/her competencies, seeks original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects on the emerging changes that training brings, shows himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion. B grade means that the student is actively involved in individual activities and shows interest and effort to develop his/her competences, to a lesser extent looks for original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects the emerging changes that the training brings, but needs more time and space for their verbalization, manifests himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.	

A C grade is awarded if the student is usually actively involved in individual activities, shows interest and effort to develop his/her competences, but only in some topics and areas, makes little use of his/her personality in finding original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects to some extent the emerging changes brought by the training, shows himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.

The grade D means that the student is involved to a limited extent in individual activities and shows only sporadic interest and effort to develop his/her competencies, often with external help looking for original and creative solutions to the tasks, cannot adequately reflect the emerging changes that the training brings, is more passive and more like a marginal member of the training group, although he/she actively expresses his/her own opinion.

Grade E is awarded to a student who behaves passively and minimally participates in individual activities, does not show interest and effort to develop his/her competencies, relies on the group for original and creative solutions to the tasks set, cannot adequately reflect the emerging changes that the training brings, cannot find his/her place in the training group, expresses his/her own opinion sporadically and not always in an appropriate way.

The grade of Fx is given on an exceptional basis, most often due to non-attendance and failure to complete assigned midterm assignments, non-cooperation, refusal to take a stand on required topics and to express one's own opinion.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the Social Psychological Training for Teachers course is to motivate and develop self-knowledge and self-reflection in teacher education students of different study programmes.

The student after completing the course:

- is aware of the importance of self-knowledge, reflection and personal development in teaching practice,
- can define their strengths and weaknesses as well as challenges and pitfalls in their own self-development,
- can name and identify the most general errors in social perception and apply them to teaching practice,
- identifies with the teacher's role, profession and its specifics,
- Through experiential activities, gain experience of active social and experiential learning.

Class syllabus:

The course is implemented through experiential activities and exercises aimed mainly at:

1. The sphere of the external world in the process of self-discovery (the individual's membership in various social groups, the teacher's role in the school classroom and the teacher's team).
2. The area of the inner world in the process of self-knowledge (experiencing, thinking, decision-making, the ways in which our emotions or our body affect us, what hidden beliefs influence our thinking, etc.).
3. The area of the transition zone of self-knowledge (behaviour, communication, etc.).
4. Increasing sensitivity to one's own experience and to the experience and emotions of others.
5. Building a positive self-image in the context of pro-teacher identification.

Recommended literature:

KOMÁRKOVÁ, R. (2001). Aplikovaná sociální psychologie III. Sociálněpsychologický výcvik. Praha: Grada, 224 s. ISBN 8024701804

KOLAŘÍK, M. (2011). Interakční psychologický výcvik. Praha: Grada, 160 s. ISBN 9788024729411

KOLAŘÍK, M. (2013). Interakční psychologický výcvik pro praxi. Praha: Grada, 128 s. ISBN 978-80-247-8602-5

KUNEŠ, D. (2009). Sebepoznání. Praha: Portál, 152 s. ISBN 978-80-7367-541-7
 LEMEŠOVÁ, M., HAMRANOVÁ, A., MINAROVÍČOVÁ, K., SABOVÁ, L., SOKOLOVÁ L. (2017). Psychológia zážitkom. Metodická príručka. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 497 s. ISBN978-80-223-3655-0

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Students of the study programme Psychology Teaching (combined) do not enrol in the course, the teaching is carried out in a block form.

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 131

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
93,89	0,0	1,53	0,0	0,0	0,0	4,58

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde009/22	Course title: Sociology
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture+Seminar, 2 hours/week, i.e. 22 hours in total per semester, combined (mostly full-time) Student workload: 22 hours of direct teaching, 15 hours of preparation and processing of intermediate assignments, 15 hours of seminar preparation, 55 hours of test preparation, 13 hours of guided self-study = 120 hours in total Teaching methods: lecture combined with discussion, work with technical text, group work, guided self-study (e-learning, problem solving and assignments)	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The course is completed by examination, the weight of the intermediate and final assessment is 50/50. Intermediate assessment includes 4-5 assignments focused on working with a professional text using the moodle e-learning environment (50% of the assessment). In order to participate in the final assessment, all interim assignments must be handed in. The final assessment during the exam period includes a final test (50% of the assessment, a minimum of 40% of the maximum score is required to pass the test). The grade is awarded on a scale: A 100-94%, excellent - outstanding results; students have performed well, their theoretical knowledge of sociology is at an excellent level, they have a standard ability to apply knowledge, critically evaluate and compare literary sources in sociology, they hand in intermediate assignments on time, they have passed the test at an excellent level. B 93-86%, very good - above average standard; students performed well, their theoretical knowledge of sociology is at a very good level, they have a good ability to apply knowledge, critically evaluate and compare literary sources at a very good level, they usually hand in intermediate assignments on time, they passed the test at a very good level. C 85-76%, good - routinely reliable work; students performed standardly well, their theoretical knowledge of sociology is at a good level, but they lack the ability to apply this knowledge, critically	

evaluate and compare literary sources and knowledge of sociology, they passed the test at a good level.

D 75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results; students were less prepared during the semester, turning in midterm assignments after the assignment due date. Slightly deficient in sociology theory, unable to process information analytically, took the test at a satisfactory level.

E 67-60%, Satisfactory - Results meet minimum criteria, students were less prepared during the semester, turned in assignments after the assignment due date. They are more deficient in sociological theory, they cannot process information analytically and critically, they took the test at a satisfactory level.

Fx 59-0%, insufficient - additional work required, extra study

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:

Educational Objective:

Students will gain an overview of basic sociological concepts and theories. The course also aims to show, in the context of contemporary sociological thought, the connection between the biographical experience of individual actors and the historical dynamics of the institutional structures of society. Students will become familiar with the social aspects of relationships, institutions and social life.

Learning Outcomes:

The course will introduce students to the key concepts of sociology. Selected topics include understanding sociology as a form of knowledge, issues of people and society, social change, and power structures. At the end of the course, students will become familiar with the basic methods of sociological research, gain competence in reading research reports with comprehension, and become familiar with statistical concepts, graphs and tables. They will be able to look at changes in society through the lens of sociological vision.

Class syllabus:

1. Introduction to the subject - What is sociology, what is it concerned with and how can sociology be useful to us, sociological theories.
2. Culture, society and the individual. Types of societies. Social interaction in everyday life. Symbols, language of culture and religion.
3. Socialization - Gender and sexuality - gender differences (nature vs. culture), socialization by gender, gender identity and sexuality, human sexuality. Body and society, ageing.
4. Stratification, class structure of society, ethnicity. Class inequalities, prejudice and discrimination, poverty and inequality.
5. Work and economic life - paid and unpaid work, women in the workforce. Modern organisations, bureaucracy.
6. Governments, political power and war. Revolution and social movements. Social control, social deviance and crime.
7. Mass media and popular culture
8. Sociological research methods, results and data interpretation.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

Baumann, Z. (2010). Myslet sociologicky. Praha: SLON.

Jandourek, J. (2003). Úvod do sociologie. Praha: Portál.

Recommended readings:

Giddens, A. (2013). Sociologie. Praha: Argo.

Keller, J. (2012). Úvod do sociologie. Praha: SLON.

Kol. autorov. (2009). Stručný prehľad sociológie. Bratislava: Enigma.

Malík, B. (2021). Sociálna filozofia. Bratislava: Iris.

Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 318						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
64,47	0,0	21,7	5,35	3,14	1,57	3,77
Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde009/22	Course title: Sociology
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Lecture+Seminar, 2 hours/week, i.e. 22 hours in total per semester, combined (mostly full-time) Student workload: 22 hours of direct teaching, 15 hours of preparation and processing of intermediate assignments, 15 hours of seminar preparation, 55 hours of test preparation, 13 hours of guided self-study = 120 hours in total Teaching methods: lecture combined with discussion, work with technical text, group work, guided self-study (e-learning, problem solving and assignments)	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The course is completed by examination, the weight of the intermediate and final assessment is 50/50. Intermediate assessment includes 4-5 assignments focused on working with a professional text using the moodle e-learning environment (50% of the assessment). In order to participate in the final assessment, all interim assignments must be handed in. The final assessment during the exam period includes a final test (50% of the assessment, a minimum of 40% of the maximum score is required to pass the test). The grade is awarded on a scale: A 100-94%, excellent - outstanding results; students have performed well, their theoretical knowledge of sociology is at an excellent level, they have a standard ability to apply knowledge, critically evaluate and compare literary sources in sociology, they hand in intermediate assignments on time, they have passed the test at an excellent level. B 93-86%, very good - above average standard; students performed well, their theoretical knowledge of sociology is at a very good level, they have a good ability to apply knowledge, critically evaluate and compare literary sources at a very good level, they usually hand in intermediate assignments on time, they passed the test at a very good level. C 85-76%, good - routinely reliable work; students performed standardly well, their theoretical knowledge of sociology is at a good level, but they lack the ability to apply this knowledge, critically	

evaluate and compare literary sources and knowledge of sociology, they passed the test at a good level.

D 75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results; students were less prepared during the semester, turning in midterm assignments after the assignment due date. Slightly deficient in sociology theory, unable to process information analytically, took the test at a satisfactory level.

E 67-60%, Satisfactory - Results meet minimum criteria, students were less prepared during the semester, turned in assignments after the assignment due date. They are more deficient in sociological theory, they cannot process information analytically and critically, they took the test at a satisfactory level.

Fx 59-0%, insufficient - additional work required, extra study

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:

Educational Objective:

Students will gain an overview of basic sociological concepts and theories. The course also aims to show, in the context of contemporary sociological thought, the connection between the biographical experience of individual actors and the historical dynamics of the institutional structures of society. Students will become familiar with the social aspects of relationships, institutions and social life.

Learning Outcomes:

The course will introduce students to the key concepts of sociology. Selected topics include understanding sociology as a form of knowledge, issues of people and society, social change, and power structures. At the end of the course, students will become familiar with the basic methods of sociological research, gain competence in reading research reports with comprehension, and become familiar with statistical concepts, graphs and tables. They will be able to look at changes in society through the lens of sociological vision.

Class syllabus:

1. Introduction to the subject - What is sociology, what is it concerned with and how can sociology be useful to us, sociological theories.
2. Culture, society and the individual. Types of societies. Social interaction in everyday life. Symbols, language of culture and religion.
3. Socialization - Gender and sexuality - gender differences (nature vs. culture), socialization by gender, gender identity and sexuality, human sexuality. Body and society, ageing.
4. Stratification, class structure of society, ethnicity. Class inequalities, prejudice and discrimination, poverty and inequality.
5. Work and economic life - paid and unpaid work, women in the workforce. Modern organisations, bureaucracy.
6. Governments, political power and war. Revolution and social movements. Social control, social deviance and crime.
7. Mass media and popular culture
8. Sociological research methods, results and data interpretation.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

Baumann, Z. (2010). Myslet sociologicky. Praha: SLON.

Jandourek, J. (2003). Úvod do sociologie. Praha: Portál.

Recommended readings:

Giddens, A. (2013). Sociologie. Praha: Argo.

Keller, J. (2012). Úvod do sociologie. Praha: SLON.

Kol. autorov. (2009). Stručný prehľad sociológie. Bratislava: Enigma.

Malík, B. (2021). Sociálna filozofia. Bratislava: Iris.

Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 318						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
64,47	0,0	21,7	5,35	3,14	1,57	3,77
Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde020/22	Course title: Sociology of education and youth
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours/week, i.e. a total of 22 hours per semester, combined method (mostly pre-sessional) Student workload: 22 hours of direct instruction, 18 hours of processing of interim assignments, 10 hours of guided self-study, 50 hours total. Teaching methods: lecture, work with professional text, guided self-study, discussion, group work	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim/final assessment 100/0 Includes about 6-8 intermediate tasks. Students will use the e-learning environment moodle. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. Grades are awarded on a scale of A (excellent - outstanding results), B (very good - above average standard), C (good - normal reliable work), D (satisfactory - acceptable results), E (adequate - results meet minimum criteria) and Fx (inadequate - extra work required). Grading (ECTS): A: 100-91%, B 90-81%, C 80-73%, D 72-66%, E 65-60%, FX 59-0%. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: Definition of sociology of education (sociology, definition and themes of social education, outline of development). Sociological approaches in the sociology of education (functionalist, interactionist, conflict). The relationship between society, education and education. Functions of upbringing and education in society. Upbringing and education in relation to human socialization. Social and educational communication, school status and roles. The school as an organisation, an institution. Equality of opportunity in education, training and life. Youth and youth as a social phenomenon, youth subcultures, deviance and youth sexual behaviour. Youth organizations, state policy - youth care, youth participation in civil society.	
Class syllabus: Definition of sociology of education (sociology, definition and themes of social education, outline of development). Sociological approaches in the sociology of education (functionalist, interactionist, conflict). The relationship between society, education and education. Functions of upbringing and	

education in society. Upbringing and education in relation to human socialization. Social and educational communication, school status and roles. The school as an organisation, an institution. Equality of opportunity in education, training and life. Youth and youth as a social phenomenon, youth subcultures, deviance and youth sexual behaviour. Youth organizations, state policy - youth care, youth participation in civil society.						
Recommended literature: HAVLÍK, R., KOŤA, J. Sociologie výchovy a školy. Praha : Portál, 2011. 176 s. ISBN 978-80-262-0042-0 ONDREJKOVIČ, P. Negatívne stránky individualizácie mládeže. PDF UK : Bratislava, 2000. ISBN 80-88868-60-2. ONDREJKOVIČ, P. Socializácia v sociológii výchovy. Bratislava : Veda, 2004. 200 s. ISBN 80-224-0781-X.						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 266						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
84,96	0,0	6,02	2,26	2,26	1,88	2,63
Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 10.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde020/22	Course title: Sociology of education and youth
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Seminar, 2 hours/week, i.e. a total of 22 hours per semester, combined method (mostly pre-sessional) Student workload: 22 hours of direct instruction, 18 hours of processing of interim assignments, 10 hours of guided self-study, 50 hours total. Teaching methods: lecture, work with professional text, guided self-study, discussion, group work	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weighting of interim/final assessment 100/0 Includes about 6-8 intermediate tasks. Students will use the e-learning environment moodle. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. Grades are awarded on a scale of A (excellent - outstanding results), B (very good - above average standard), C (good - normal reliable work), D (satisfactory - acceptable results), E (adequate - results meet minimum criteria) and Fx (inadequate - extra work required). Grading (ECTS): A: 100-91%, B 90-81%, C 80-73%, D 72-66%, E 65-60%, FX 59-0%. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: Definition of sociology of education (sociology, definition and themes of social education, outline of development). Sociological approaches in the sociology of education (functionalist, interactionist, conflict). The relationship between society, education and education. Functions of upbringing and education in society. Upbringing and education in relation to human socialization. Social and educational communication, school status and roles. The school as an organisation, an institution. Equality of opportunity in education, training and life. Youth and youth as a social phenomenon, youth subcultures, deviance and youth sexual behaviour. Youth organizations, state policy - youth care, youth participation in civil society.	
Class syllabus: Definition of sociology of education (sociology, definition and themes of social education, outline of development). Sociological approaches in the sociology of education (functionalist, interactionist, conflict). The relationship between society, education and education. Functions of upbringing and	

education in society. Upbringing and education in relation to human socialization. Social and educational communication, school status and roles. The school as an organisation, an institution. Equality of opportunity in education, training and life. Youth and youth as a social phenomenon, youth subcultures, deviance and youth sexual behaviour. Youth organizations, state policy - youth care, youth participation in civil society.						
Recommended literature: HAVLÍK, R., KOŤA, J. Sociologie výchovy a školy. Praha : Portál, 2011. 176 s. ISBN 978-80-262-0042-0 ONDREJKOVIČ, P. Negatívne stránky individualizácie mládeže. PDF UK : Bratislava, 2000. ISBN 80-88868-60-2. ONDREJKOVIČ, P. Socializácia v sociológii výchovy. Bratislava : Veda, 2004. 200 s. ISBN 80-224-0781-X.						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 266						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
84,96	0,0	6,02	2,26	2,26	1,88	2,63
Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.						
Last change: 10.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde038/22		Course title: Specialised foreign language				
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 141						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
56,74	0,0	21,99	7,09	2,84	2,13	9,22
Lecturers: doc. Tivadar Palágyi, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD.						
Last change:						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde038/22		Course title: Specialised foreign language				
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 141						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
56,74	0,0	21,99	7,09	2,84	2,13	9,22
Lecturers: PaedDr. Peter Gergel, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD., doc. Tivadar Palágyi, PhD., Mgr. Zuzana Tóth, Dr.phil						
Last change:						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde023/22	Course title: Teacher's communication and presentation skills
Educational activities: Type of activities: practicals Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 26s Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Scope, type/method of teaching and organizational form: Exercise, 26 hours per semester, combined (mostly full-time) Student workload: 26 hours of direct instruction; preparation for group presentation = 10 hours; preparation for direct instruction (reading of professional texts) = 14 hours. 50 hours in total. Teaching methods: Discussion, interview, role-play method, quizzes, brainstorming, mind-mapping, interpretation, text work, small group work, group presentation	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Scope of interim and final evaluation: 100/0 The evaluation will consist of the following components: - Participation in teaching or active involvement in discussions and activities (50 points); - group presentation (50 points): The topic of the presentation is different communication didactic methods from the publication: ČAPEK, R. (2015). Modern didactics. Modern Teaching of Modern Pedagogy (modern pedagogical methods): Grada. Each group will present a different group of didactic methods, which they will also practically demonstrate with their classmates. The final grade A requires at least 91 points, grade B at least 81 points, grade C at least 73 points, grade D at least 66 points and grade E at least 60 points. To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the points must be obtained. For a grade of A, the student must perform consistently well, be able to study independently, and be able to reflect on the problems presented. The student can critically evaluate the information presented, identify problems and propose original solutions, can select relevant sources for his/her studies, can analyse and select professional or scientific information, is proficient in theoretical and empirical knowledge in the field of communication in the school environment and can apply it in his/her teaching practice. At the same time, students are able to work in a team and collaborate on assignments.	

A grade of B means that the student has performed above average throughout the semester, has been able to study independently, is proficient in basic information related to communication in the school environment, can navigate the literature, and can apply the knowledge gained to practice in working with children and students, but there are deficiencies in his/her critical and creative thinking. At the same time, students are able to work in teams and collaborate on assignments.

A grade of C means that the student has performed well during the semester, his or her theoretical knowledge of the subject is at a good level, but the ability to apply this knowledge, critically evaluate and compare different literary sources is lacking. There are more weaknesses in critical and inductive thinking as well as in group work.

A grade of D means that the student has been less well prepared during the semester, has moderate deficiencies in theoretical knowledge related to the subject of education and has more problems in independent implementation work as well as in group work, cannot critically analyse information and has problems applying knowledge to practice.

A grade of E means that the student fails in more than one of the assignments, lacks the ability to analyse and compare multiple pieces of knowledge, and fails to think critically and apply theoretical knowledge to practice, but is proficient in most of the theoretical knowledge of the course.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to give students the opportunity to improve their communication and presentation skills. To use experiential learning to discuss the need for good and respectful communication with students, parents and colleagues. Thorough acquisition and development of communication skills can contribute not only to the improvement of pupil/child learning but also to the building of open working and friendly relationships in the school.

They will also be able to assess, analyse and compare information, be able to think critically and evaluate individual examples of good practice and generalise knowledge.

Class syllabus:

- The meaning of communication, basic concepts, pedagogical communication.
- Aspects of communication - what I perceive, what I hear as a teacher - relational and factual aspect.
- Experiential learning - training in active listening, questioning and feedback and its use for strengthening presentation skills.
- Non-verbal communication, the body as a means of self-expression and expressing relationships with others - especially in relation to presentation. Working with the voice - modulation of speech and its impact on pupils
- Videotaped examples of good practice in teacher communication.
- Analysis of own presentation skills.
- Typologies of teacher communication styles and power constellations in the classroom.
- Communication and cooperation between teachers and parents

Recommended literature:

ČÁP, J. a MAREŠ, J. (2001). Psychologie pro učitele. Praha: Portál.

ČAPEK, R. (2015). Moderní didaktika. Praha: Grada

ČERNOTOVÁ, M. (2005). Ako komunikovať s žiakmi. Prešov: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum v Prešove

HIEROLD, E. (2005) Rétorika a prezentace. Praha : Grada, ISBN 80-247-0782-9.

LEMOV, D. (2015) Teach like a champion 2.0: 62 techniques that put students on the path to college. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass

ŠEĐOVÁ, K., ŠVARÍČEK, R. a ŠALAMOUNOVÁ, Z. (2012). Komunikace ve školní třídě. Praha: Portál

VYBÍRAL, Z. (2005). Psychologie komunikace. Praha: Portál.

Languages necessary to complete the course: Czech and English language						
Notes: knowledge of the English language is an advantage						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 251						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
94,42	0,0	0,8	0,0	0,4	0,8	3,59
Lecturers: Mgr. Lucia Hlavatá, PhD.						
Last change: 10.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde023/22	Course title: Teacher's communication and presentation skills
Educational activities: Type of activities: practicals Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 26s Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Scope, type/method of teaching and organizational form: Exercise, 26 hours per semester, combined (mostly full-time) Student workload: 26 hours of direct instruction; preparation for group presentation = 10 hours; preparation for direct instruction (reading of professional texts) = 14 hours. 50 hours in total. Teaching methods: Discussion, interview, role-play method, quizzes, brainstorming, mind-mapping, interpretation, text work, small group work, group presentation	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Scope of interim and final evaluation: 100/0 The evaluation will consist of the following components: - Participation in teaching or active involvement in discussions and activities (50 points); - group presentation (50 points): The topic of the presentation is different communication didactic methods from the publication: ČAPEK, R. (2015). Modern didactics. Modern Teaching of Modern Pedagogy (modern pedagogical methods): Grada. Each group will present a different group of didactic methods, which they will also practically demonstrate with their classmates. The final grade A requires at least 91 points, grade B at least 81 points, grade C at least 73 points, grade D at least 66 points and grade E at least 60 points. To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the points must be obtained. For a grade of A, the student must perform consistently well, be able to study independently, and be able to reflect on the problems presented. The student can critically evaluate the information presented, identify problems and propose original solutions, can select relevant sources for his/her studies, can analyse and select professional or scientific information, is proficient in theoretical and empirical knowledge in the field of communication in the school environment and can apply it in his/her teaching practice. At the same time, students are able to work in a team and collaborate on assignments.	

A grade of B means that the student has performed above average throughout the semester, has been able to study independently, is proficient in basic information related to communication in the school environment, can navigate the literature, and can apply the knowledge gained to practice in working with children and students, but there are deficiencies in his/her critical and creative thinking. At the same time, students are able to work in teams and collaborate on assignments.

A grade of C means that the student has performed well during the semester, his or her theoretical knowledge of the subject is at a good level, but the ability to apply this knowledge, critically evaluate and compare different literary sources is lacking. There are more weaknesses in critical and inductive thinking as well as in group work.

A grade of D means that the student has been less well prepared during the semester, has moderate deficiencies in theoretical knowledge related to the subject of education and has more problems in independent implementation work as well as in group work, cannot critically analyse information and has problems applying knowledge to practice.

A grade of E means that the student fails in more than one of the assignments, lacks the ability to analyse and compare multiple pieces of knowledge, and fails to think critically and apply theoretical knowledge to practice, but is proficient in most of the theoretical knowledge of the course.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to give students the opportunity to improve their communication and presentation skills. To use experiential learning to discuss the need for good and respectful communication with students, parents and colleagues. Thorough acquisition and development of communication skills can contribute not only to the improvement of pupil/child learning but also to the building of open working and friendly relationships in the school.

They will also be able to assess, analyse and compare information, be able to think critically and evaluate individual examples of good practice and generalise knowledge.

Class syllabus:

- The meaning of communication, basic concepts, pedagogical communication.
- Aspects of communication - what I perceive, what I hear as a teacher - relational and factual aspect.
- Experiential learning - training in active listening, questioning and feedback and its use for strengthening presentation skills.
- Non-verbal communication, the body as a means of self-expression and expressing relationships with others - especially in relation to presentation. Working with the voice - modulation of speech and its impact on pupils
- Videotaped examples of good practice in teacher communication.
- Analysis of own presentation skills.
- Typologies of teacher communication styles and power constellations in the classroom.
- Communication and cooperation between teachers and parents

Recommended literature:

ČÁP, J. a MAREŠ, J. (2001). Psychologie pro učitele. Praha: Portál.

ČAPEK, R. (2015). Moderní didaktika. Praha: Grada

ČERNOTOVÁ, M. (2005). Ako komunikovať s žiakmi. Prešov: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum v Prešove

HIEROLD, E. (2005) Rétorika a prezentace. Praha : Grada, ISBN 80-247-0782-9.

LEMOV, D. (2015) Teach like a champion 2.0: 62 techniques that put students on the path to college. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass

ŠEĐOVÁ, K., ŠVARÍČEK, R. a ŠALAMOUNOVÁ, Z. (2012). Komunikace ve školní třídě. Praha: Portál

VYBÍRAL, Z. (2005). Psychologie komunikace. Praha: Portál.

Languages necessary to complete the course: Czech and English language						
Notes: knowledge of the English language is an advantage						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 251						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
94,42	0,0	0,8	0,0	0,4	0,8	3,59
Lecturers: Mgr. Lucia Hlavatá, PhD.						
Last change: 10.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde009/22	Course title: Teaching practice (A)
Educational activities: Type of activities: practice Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 1t Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). The student completes an introductory practice seminar with the practice methodologist and a hospitality in a primary or secondary school according to the subject/subject specialization of the practice in the range of 10 - 20 hours. The student will keep a practice portfolio of the hours of hospitalisation, which he/she will submit to the practice methodologist for evaluation in print or electronic form (as agreed with the practice methodologist). The final assessment takes the form of a colloquium. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student). A - excellent results: the student is able to apply procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. The student is able to work effectively with professional sources. The student can critically, factually assess and evaluate the presented solutions of others, can evaluate the quality of his/her own work. B - above average standard: the student can apply the procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. Above-average orientation in the problems of general didactics, above-average communication skills, completion of assigned tasks. Critical evaluation is at borderline level.	

C - normal reliable work: the student is able to work out the assigned tasks, average orientation in the problems of general didactics. Can apply the procedures in the processes according to the model and with the teacher's help. Average activity on intermediate assignments.

D - acceptable results: the student is able to work out intermediate tasks with the help of the teacher, average orientation in general didactics, average student activity.

E - the results meet the minimum criteria: the student can define and define basic didactic concepts and elements, but cannot define them in educational contexts. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent. Sufficient level of practical skills and professional knowledge.

FX - extra work is required: the student has very little knowledge of basic didactic terminology, cannot apply it in the outputs, there is no application of procedures, correct elaboration of tasks. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The goal of the Teaching Practicum (A) is to initiate the professional vision of male and female student teachers, particularly in terms of descriptive and identification. After completing the course, the student knows and can navigate in school documentation, can process the record of the educational unit hospitalization, can describe educational phenomena (elements of communication in the learning group, individual differences of pupils, phenomena of the dynamics of the learning group), can identify the individual educational goals, methods, forms, principles and content elements of education. The aim of the course is also to observe and analyse the manifestations of the teacher's personality and the classroom environment. The student will be able to distinguish and evaluate the application of positive and negative evaluation in teaching, be able to identify non-standard educational situations and evaluate the effectiveness of their solution.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

Hospitalization activity:

Preparatory phase of the hospitalization - pre-praxis meeting: defining the objectives, methods and focus of the hospitalization.

The implementation phase of hospitalization - own observation of the educational process and processing of the hospitalization portfolio with emphasis on aspects of Communication in education, elements of communication, individual differences of pupils, phenomena of the dynamics of the learning group, educational goals, methods, forms, principles and content elements of education, manifestations of the teacher's personality and the classroom environment, positive and negative evaluations in teaching, non-standard educational situations.

The final phase of the hospitalization - post-hospitalization colloquium: analysis of the practice portfolio, reflection and interpretation of the observed educational phenomena. Familiarisation with the school documentation: state and school curriculum, electronic class book, online student book, Edupage information system. Educational standards, individual education plans, etc.

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:

Compulsory reading:

DOUŠKOVÁ, K., LUPTÁKOVÁ-VANČÍKOVÁ, K. (2009). Pedagogická prax v príprave učiteľa. Banská IVANOVIČOVÁ, J., KRAMÁREKOVÁ, H. (2010). Pedagogická prax – súčasť pregraduálnej prípravy budúcich učiteľov. Nitra: Fakulta prírodných vied, 108 s. ISBN 978-80-8094-680-7.

KONTÍROVÁ, S. (2011). Pedagogická prax študentov učiteľstva akademických predmetov. Košice: Univerzita Pavla Jozefa Šafárika, 101 s. ISBN 978-80-7097-904-4.
 KOSTRUB, D., SEVERINI, E. Portfólio v pedagogickej praxi študenta. In: Švec, V., Lojdová, K., Pravdová, B. 2016. Učiteľské praxe – súčasné poznatky a perspektivy. Brno. 2016. Masarykova univerzita. ISBN 978-80-210-8274-8
 STRAKOŠ, J. 2021. Mimovyučovacie činnosti učiteľa v škole: Metodika stáže A. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2021. ISBN 978-80-223-5231-4
 The national curriculum for ISCED 2 and ISCED 3.
 Pedagogical documentation

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak language

Notes:

The student enrolls in Teaching practice (A) concurrently with the course or after completing the course Theoretical basics of education. Pedagogical Practices are carried out on dates determined by the schedule of the academic year of the Faculty of Arts of Charles University and are preceded by an introductory instructional session with the student's practice methodologist.

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1409

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
62,6	0,0	27,18	5,75	0,85	0,57	3,05

Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD., PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD., Mgr. Štefánia Ferková, PhD., PaedDr. Tímea Šeben Zát'ková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde009/22	Course title: Teaching practice (A)
Educational activities: Type of activities: practice Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 1t Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). The student completes an introductory practice seminar with the practice methodologist and a hospitality in a primary or secondary school according to the subject/subject specialization of the practice in the range of 10 - 20 hours. The student will keep a practice portfolio of the hours of hospitalisation, which he/she will submit to the practice methodologist for evaluation in print or electronic form (as agreed with the practice methodologist). The final assessment takes the form of a colloquium. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student). A - excellent results: the student is able to apply procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. The student is able to work effectively with professional sources. The student can critically, factually assess and evaluate the presented solutions of others, can evaluate the quality of his/her own work. B - above average standard: the student can apply the procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. Above-average orientation in the problems of general didactics, above-average communication skills, completion of assigned tasks. Critical evaluation is at borderline level.	

C - normal reliable work: the student is able to work out the assigned tasks, average orientation in the problems of general didactics. Can apply the procedures in the processes according to the model and with the teacher's help. Average activity on intermediate assignments.

D - acceptable results: the student is able to work out intermediate tasks with the help of the teacher, average orientation in general didactics, average student activity.

E - the results meet the minimum criteria: the student can define and define basic didactic concepts and elements, but cannot define them in educational contexts. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent. Sufficient level of practical skills and professional knowledge.

FX - extra work is required: the student has very little knowledge of basic didactic terminology, cannot apply it in the outputs, there is no application of procedures, correct elaboration of tasks. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:

The goal of the Teaching Practicum (A) is to initiate the professional vision of male and female student teachers, particularly in terms of descriptive and identification. After completing the course, the student knows and can navigate in school documentation, can process the record of the educational unit hospitalization, can describe educational phenomena (elements of communication in the learning group, individual differences of pupils, phenomena of the dynamics of the learning group), can identify the individual educational goals, methods, forms, principles and content elements of education. The aim of the course is also to observe and analyse the manifestations of the teacher's personality and the classroom environment. The student will be able to distinguish and evaluate the application of positive and negative evaluation in teaching, be able to identify non-standard educational situations and evaluate the effectiveness of their solution.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

Hospitalization activity:

Preparatory phase of the hospitalization - pre-praxis meeting: defining the objectives, methods and focus of the hospitalization.

The implementation phase of hospitalization - own observation of the educational process and processing of the hospitalization portfolio with emphasis on aspects of Communication in education, elements of communication, individual differences of pupils, phenomena of the dynamics of the learning group, educational goals, methods, forms, principles and content elements of education, manifestations of the teacher's personality and the classroom environment, positive and negative evaluations in teaching, non-standard educational situations.

The final phase of the hospitalization - post-hospitalization colloquium: analysis of the practice portfolio, reflection and interpretation of the observed educational phenomena. Familiarisation with the school documentation: state and school curriculum, electronic class book, online student book, Edupage information system. Educational standards, individual education plans, etc.

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:

Compulsory reading:

DOUŠKOVÁ, K., LUPTÁKOVÁ-VANČÍKOVÁ, K. (2009). Pedagogická prax v príprave učiteľa. Banská IVANOVIČOVÁ, J., KRAMÁREKOVÁ, H. (2010). Pedagogická prax – súčasť pregraduálnej prípravy budúcich učiteľov. Nitra: Fakulta prírodných vied, 108 s. ISBN 978-80-8094-680-7.

KONTÍROVÁ, S. (2011). Pedagogická prax študentov učiteľstva akademických predmetov. Košice: Univerzita Pavla Jozefa Šafárika, 101 s. ISBN 978-80-7097-904-4.
 KOSTRUB, D., SEVERINI, E. Portfólio v pedagogickej praxi študenta. In: Švec, V., Lojdová, K., Pravdová, B. 2016. Učiteľské praxe – súčasné poznatky a perspektivy. Brno. 2016. Masarykova univerzita. ISBN 978-80-210-8274-8
 STRAKOŠ, J. 2021. Mimovyučovacie činnosti učiteľa v škole: Metodika stáže A. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2021. ISBN 978-80-223-5231-4
 The national curriculum for ISCED 2 and ISCED 3.
 Pedagogical documentation

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak language

Notes:

The student enrolls in Teaching practice (A) concurrently with the course or after completing the course Theoretical basics of education. Pedagogical Practices are carried out on dates determined by the schedule of the academic year of the Faculty of Arts of Charles University and are preceded by an introductory instructional session with the student's practice methodologist.

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1409

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
62,6	0,0	27,18	5,75	0,85	0,57	3,05

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde010/22	Course title: Teaching practice (B)
Educational activities: Type of activities: practice Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 1t Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). The student will attend a pre-practise seminar with the practice methodologist, and will complete at least 10 hours of hospitalization at a primary or secondary school according to the subject/content specialization. The student will keep a personal portfolio of teaching practice from the hours of hospitalization. The personal portfolio is an indicator of the student's personal commitment and responsibility. The portfolio may be in print or electronic format. The portfolio is submitted by the student to the Practice Methodologist for evaluation, and at the end of the portfolio the student will attend a colloquium to evaluate and reflect on the teaching practice. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). A - excellent results: the student is able to apply procedures in the processes of formulating objectives according to different taxonomies of objectives. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. The student is able to work effectively with professional sources. The student can critically, factually assess and evaluate the presented solutions of others, can evaluate the quality of his/her own work. B - above average standard: the student can apply the procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. Above-average orientation in the problems of general didactics, above-average communication skills, completion of assigned tasks. Critical evaluation is at borderline level.	

C - normal reliable work: the student is able to work out the assigned tasks, average orientation in the problems of general didactics. Can apply the procedures in the processes according to the model and with the teacher's help. Average activity on intermediate assignments.

D - acceptable results: the student is able to work out intermediate tasks with the help of the teacher, average orientation in general didactics, average student activity.

E - the results meet the minimum criteria: the student can define and define basic didactic concepts and elements, but cannot define them in educational contexts. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent. Sufficient level of practical skills and professional knowledge.

FX - extra work is required: the student has very little knowledge of basic didactic terminology, cannot apply it in the outputs, there is no application of procedures, correct elaboration of tasks. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes and transferable competences:

The goal of Teaching Practice B is to initiate students' professional vision at the level of descriptive and identification. After completing the pedagogical practice B, the student knows and can work with pedagogical documentation, can process the record of the teaching unit hospitalization. Identifies the main objectives, methods, forms and phases of the teaching process. Can describe the elements of communication and classroom dynamics.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

The aim of teaching practice B is to enable students to observe the teaching process from the perspective of a future teacher. During the practicum, students observe the teaching process of the practicing teachers and, on the basis of theoretical knowledge of general didactics, analyse the teaching process in its entirety and, in the final reflection, formulate a characterisation of the observed teaching, the working atmosphere, evaluate the pedagogical competence of the practicing teachers, point out the positives and negatives, propose their own solutions and describe suggestions for change, and give their own reflections on the profession of teaching, where they are in relation to the observed teaching process.

Preparatory phase for the practice: pre-practicum seminar, definition of requirements for the implementation of the practice, preparation and study of hospitality sheets, study of didactic literature, pre-hospitalization interview with the trainee teacher.

The implementation phase of the practice: self-observation of the teaching process, processing of hospitality sheets with emphasis on aspects of objectives, methods, Communication in education, teacher and pupils' activities, identification of teaching phases, cognitive functions, pupils' activity and activation, and a comprehensive record of the teaching process.

Evaluation phase of practice: interpretation of observed educational phenomena, analysis of the hospital portfolio, reflection on the pedagogical practice B.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

ČAPEK, R. (2020). Uč jako umělec. Jan Melvil Publishing, 240s., ISBN 978-80-7555-105-4

IVANOVIČOVÁ, J., KRAMÁREKOVÁ, H. (2010). Pedagogická prax – súčasť pregraduálnej prípravy budúcich učiteľov. Nitra: Fakulta prírodných vied, 108 s. ISBN 978-80-8094-680-7.

KONTÍROVÁ, S. (2011). Pedagogická prax študentov učiteľstva akademických predmetov. Košice: Univerzita Pavla Jozefa Šafárika, 101 s. ISBN 978-80-7097-904-4.

KOMENSKÝ, J. A. (1954). Veľká didaktika. Bratislava: SPN, 272 s. ISBN 9-3264/53

KOSTRUB, D., SEVERINI, E. Portfólio v pedagogickej praxi študenta. In: ŠVEC, V.,

LOJDOVÁ, K., PRAVDOVÁ, B. 2016. Učiteľské praxe – súčasné poznatky a perspektivy.

Brno: Masarykova univerzita. ISBN 978-80-210-8274-8 <https://munispace.muni.cz/library/catalog/book/829>

KOSTRUB, D., FERKOVÁ, Š., TÓTHOVÁ, R. (2017). Žiak, učiteľ a výučba. Všeobecná didaktika pre študentov učiteľstva. Prešov: Rokus. 370 s., ISBN 978-80-8951-061-0

KOSTRUB, D. 2008. Dieťa/žiak/študent – učiteľ – učivo, didaktický alebo bermudský trojuholník? Prešov: ROKUS. ISBN 978-80-89055-87-6

KOSTRUB, D., OSTRADICKÝ, P. (2016). Participative didactics as an inspiration for students. In INWENCJA W EDUKACJI DZIECI, MŁODZIEŻY I DOROSŁYCH, 2016, s. 428-438. ISBN 978-83-941235-5-0.

KRATOCHVÍLOVÁ, J., SVOJANOVSKÝ, P. a kol. (2020). Standard kvality profesních kompetencí studenta učitelství. Brno: Masarykova Univerzita, Katedra pedagogiky. 28 s. ISBN 978-80-210-9581-6 https://www.phil.muni.cz/do/fi/is/sebehodnotici_nastroj/Standard-kvality-profesnich-kompetenci.pdf

STRAKOŠ, J. 2021. Mimovýučovacie činnosti učiteľa v škole: Metodika stáže A. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2021, 30 s., (1,45 AH), ISBN 978-80-223-5231-4

National curriculum for ISCED 2 and ISCED 3

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak, english and czech

Notes:

The student enrolls in Pedagogical Practice (B) concurrently with the course or after completing the course General Didactics. Pedagogical Practices are carried out on dates determined by the schedule of the academic year of the Faculty of Arts of Charles University and are preceded by an introductory instructional session with the student's practice methodologist.

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1269

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
53,66	0,0	25,06	12,21	4,81	2,29	1,97

Lecturers: Ing. Eva Tóblová, PhD., PhDr. Dušan Damián Brezány, Mgr. Peter Ostradický, PhD., Mgr. Eva Gáliková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde010/22	Course title: Teaching practice (B)
Educational activities: Type of activities: practice Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 1t Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment). The student will attend a pre-practise seminar with the practice methodologist, and will complete at least 10 hours of hospitalization at a primary or secondary school according to the subject/content specialization. The student will keep a personal portfolio of teaching practice from the hours of hospitalization. The personal portfolio is an indicator of the student's personal commitment and responsibility. The portfolio may be in print or electronic format. The portfolio is submitted by the student to the Practice Methodologist for evaluation, and at the end of the portfolio the student will attend a colloquium to evaluate and reflect on the teaching practice. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). A - excellent results: the student is able to apply procedures in the processes of formulating objectives according to different taxonomies of objectives. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. The student is able to work effectively with professional sources. The student can critically, factually assess and evaluate the presented solutions of others, can evaluate the quality of his/her own work. B - above average standard: the student can apply the procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. Above-average orientation in the problems of general didactics, above-average communication skills, completion of assigned tasks. Critical evaluation is at borderline level.	

C - normal reliable work: the student is able to work out the assigned tasks, average orientation in the problems of general didactics. Can apply the procedures in the processes according to the model and with the teacher's help. Average activity on intermediate assignments.

D - acceptable results: the student is able to work out intermediate tasks with the help of the teacher, average orientation in general didactics, average student activity.

E - the results meet the minimum criteria: the student can define and define basic didactic concepts and elements, but cannot define them in educational contexts. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent. Sufficient level of practical skills and professional knowledge.

FX - extra work is required: the student has very little knowledge of basic didactic terminology, cannot apply it in the outputs, there is no application of procedures, correct elaboration of tasks. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes and transferable competences:

The goal of Teaching Practice B is to initiate students' professional vision at the level of descriptive and identification. After completing the pedagogical practice B, the student knows and can work with pedagogical documentation, can process the record of the teaching unit hospitalization. Identifies the main objectives, methods, forms and phases of the teaching process. Can describe the elements of communication and classroom dynamics.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

The aim of teaching practice B is to enable students to observe the teaching process from the perspective of a future teacher. During the practicum, students observe the teaching process of the practicing teachers and, on the basis of theoretical knowledge of general didactics, analyse the teaching process in its entirety and, in the final reflection, formulate a characterisation of the observed teaching, the working atmosphere, evaluate the pedagogical competence of the practicing teachers, point out the positives and negatives, propose their own solutions and describe suggestions for change, and give their own reflections on the profession of teaching, where they are in relation to the observed teaching process.

Preparatory phase for the practice: pre-practicum seminar, definition of requirements for the implementation of the practice, preparation and study of hospitality sheets, study of didactic literature, pre-hospitalization interview with the trainee teacher.

The implementation phase of the practice: self-observation of the teaching process, processing of hospitality sheets with emphasis on aspects of objectives, methods, Communication in education, teacher and pupils' activities, identification of teaching phases, cognitive functions, pupils' activity and activation, and a comprehensive record of the teaching process.

Evaluation phase of practice: interpretation of observed educational phenomena, analysis of the hospital portfolio, reflection on the pedagogical practice B.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

ČAPEK, R. (2020). Uč jako umělec. Jan Melvil Publishing, 240s., ISBN 978-80-7555-105-4

IVANOVIČOVÁ, J., KRAMÁREKOVÁ, H. (2010). Pedagogická prax – súčasť pregraduálnej prípravy budúcich učiteľov. Nitra: Fakulta prírodných vied, 108 s. ISBN 978-80-8094-680-7.

KONTÍROVÁ, S. (2011). Pedagogická prax študentov učiteľstva akademických predmetov. Košice: Univerzita Pavla Jozefa Šafárika, 101 s. ISBN 978-80-7097-904-4.

KOMENSKÝ, J. A. (1954). Veľká didaktika. Bratislava: SPN, 272 s. ISBN 9-3264/53

KOSTRUB, D., SEVERINI, E. Portfólio v pedagogickej praxi študenta. In: ŠVEC, V., LOJDOVÁ, K., PRAVDOVÁ, B. 2016. Učiteľské praxe – súčasné poznatky a perspektivy.

Brno: Masarykova univerzita. ISBN 978-80-210-8274-8 <https://munispace.muni.cz/library/catalog/book/829>

KOSTRUB, D., FERKOVÁ, Š., TÓTHOVÁ, R. (2017). Žiak, učiteľ a výučba. Všeobecná didaktika pre študentov učiteľstva. Prešov: Rokus. 370 s., ISBN 978-80-8951-061-0

KOSTRUB, D. 2008. Dieťa/žiak/študent – učiteľ – učivo, didaktický alebo bermudský trojuholník? Prešov: ROKUS. ISBN 978-80-89055-87-6

KOSTRUB, D., OSTRADICKÝ, P. (2016). Participative didactics as an inspiration for students. In INWENCJA W EDUKACJI DZIECI, MŁODZIEŻY I DOROSŁYCH, 2016, s. 428-438. ISBN 978-83-941235-5-0.

KRATOCHVÍLOVÁ, J., SVOJANOVSKÝ, P. a kol. (2020). Standard kvality profesních kompetencí studenta učitelství. Brno: Masarykova Univerzita, Katedra pedagogiky. 28 s. ISBN 978-80-210-9581-6 https://www.phil.muni.cz/do/fi/is/sebehodnotici_nastroj/Standard-kvality-profesnich-kompetenci.pdf

STRAKOŠ, J. 2021. Mimovýučovacie činnosti učiteľa v škole: Metodika stáže A. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2021, 30 s., (1,45 AH), ISBN 978-80-223-5231-4

National curriculum for ISCED 2 and ISCED 3

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak, english and czech

Notes:

The student enrolls in Pedagogical Practice (B) concurrently with the course or after completing the course General Didactics. Pedagogical Practices are carried out on dates determined by the schedule of the academic year of the Faculty of Arts of Charles University and are preceded by an introductory instructional session with the student's practice methodologist.

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1269

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
53,66	0,0	25,06	12,21	4,81	2,29	1,97

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde001/22	Course title: Theoretical basics of education
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by examination, 50% of the interim and 50% of the final assessment The interim evaluation includes the following: - continuous work during the semester focused on current issues of education, defining educational goals, concretizing educational principles, identifying educational methods, etc. - 15 points, - term paper focused on a selected area of education - 35 points. The final evaluation includes the following: - final examination in written form - 50 points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good	

professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor. A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to prepare continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation in the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:

Students will gain knowledge and understanding of the educational and self-educational process in a social context with emphasis on the application of the principles of creative-humanistic education and the presentation of new approaches and perspectives in the development of modern educational theory. On a theoretical-empirical level, students are able to implement a variety of educational activities and activities that can be applied within the profession of teacher/educator/teaching assistant and have the competence to solve basic problem situations in educational practice in collaboration with other professionals.

Transferable competences: the course develops students' analytical, critical, evaluative and creative thinking, reasoning in context and supports the development of their interpersonal skills, self-development and personal and professional growth.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

An introduction to the discipline of educational theory. Theoretical foundations and determinants of education. Creative-humanistic education.

Views on education. Education in different historical periods.

School documents and legislation with a focus on education. Educational programme.

Goals of education and their determination.

The concept of education and self-education, functions, conditions and factors of education. The process of education/self-education and its phases.

Educational methods and new trends.

Characteristics and classification of educational principles.

Concretization of the content of educational action: moral education, pro-social education, intellectual education, aesthetic education, work education, physical education, ecological and environmental education, multicultural education, etc.

Organizational forms and means of education.

The personality of the teacher/educator/teaching assistant in the educational process. Educational styles and requirements for the personality of the teacher/educator/teaching assistant. Code of ethics of the teaching profession.

The personality of the child in the educational process. Categorization of factors of personality formation, bio-psycho-social determination of personality development and education.

Educational environment and its importance for a person.

Family and family education. Educational styles in the family. Negative tendencies in family upbringing.
Educational conditions of the school environment. Negative phenomena in the school environment and their prevention.
Education outside the classroom and its specifics.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

POTOČÁROVÁ, M., DERKOVÁ, J., FERKOVÁ, Š., KOLDEOVÁ, L. (2021). Teória výchovy 1. Bratislava: UK. ISBN 978-80-223-5327-4

GOGO VÁ, A., KROČKOVÁ, Š., PINTES, G. (2004). Žiak - sloboda - výchova. Prešov: Vydavateľstvo M. Vaška. 312 s. ISBN 80-8050-675-2

Zákon č. 245/2008 Z. z. o výchove a vzdelávaní (školský zákon) a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov

Recommended reading:

DERKOVÁ, J. (2018). Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: Iris, 2018. 144s. ISBN 978-80-8200 034-7

FERKOVÁ, Š., PREVENDÁROVÁ, J., KOLDEOVÁ, L. et al. (2017). Morálne kompetencie žiakov. Metodická príručka pre učiteľov na nižšom sekundárnom stupni vzdelávania. Bratislava: UK. 212 s. ISBN 978-80-223-4432-6

HLÁSNA, S., HORVÁTHOVÁ, K., MUCHA, M., TÓTHOVÁ, R. (2006). Úvod do pedagogiky. Nitra: Enigma, 2006. 356 s. ISBN 80-89132-29-4

KOLDEOVÁ, L. (2018). Odmeny a tresty v rodinnej výchove. Bratislava: UK. 161 s. ISBN 978-80-223-4649-8

KOSOVÁ, B. (2015). Filozofické a globálne súvislosti edukácie. Banská Bystrica: Belianum. 174 s. ISBN 978-80-557-1021-1

KRATOCHVÍLOVÁ, E. et al. (2007). Úvod do pedagogiky. Trnava: PF TU. 168 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-145-6

PELIKÁN, J. (1995). Výchova jako teoretický problém. Ostrava: Amosium Servis. 234 s. ISBN 80-85498-27-8

SKARUPSKÁ, H. 2016. Filozofie a Teorie výchovy. Studijní opora. Praha: Hnutí R. 51 s. ISBN 978-80-86798-70-7

POTOČÁROVÁ, M. (2008). Pedagogika rodiny. Teoretické východiská rodinnej výchovy. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. 216 s. ISBN: 978-80-223-2458-8

STROUHAL, M. (2013). Teorie výchovy. Praha: Grada. 192 s. ISBN 978-80-2474-212-0

TRABALÍKOVÁ, J., MACHÁČEK, D., ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2014). Výchova v utváraní osobnosti. Žilina: Žilinská univerzita. 195 s. ISBN 978-80-554-0904-7

ZELINA, M. (2010). Teórie výchovy alebo hľadanie dobra. Bratislava: SPN. 232 s. ISBN 978-80-1001-884-0

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Notes: students of the Teacher Education (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1602

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
43,88	0,0	23,97	13,8	5,81	4,37	8,18

Lecturers: prof. PaedDr. Ján Danek, CSc., PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde001/22	Course title: Theoretical basics of education
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture + seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: none Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed by examination, 50% of the interim and 50% of the final assessment The interim evaluation includes the following: - continuous work during the semester focused on current issues of education, defining educational goals, concretizing educational principles, identifying educational methods, etc. - 15 points, - term paper focused on a selected area of education - 35 points. The final evaluation includes the following: - final examination in written form - 50 points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors), B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors), C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results), D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur), E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required). For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester. A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester. A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good	

professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor. A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to prepare continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation in the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:

Students will gain knowledge and understanding of the educational and self-educational process in a social context with emphasis on the application of the principles of creative-humanistic education and the presentation of new approaches and perspectives in the development of modern educational theory. On a theoretical-empirical level, students are able to implement a variety of educational activities and activities that can be applied within the profession of teacher/educator/teaching assistant and have the competence to solve basic problem situations in educational practice in collaboration with other professionals.

Transferable competences: the course develops students' analytical, critical, evaluative and creative thinking, reasoning in context and supports the development of their interpersonal skills, self-development and personal and professional growth.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

An introduction to the discipline of educational theory. Theoretical foundations and determinants of education. Creative-humanistic education.

Views on education. Education in different historical periods.

School documents and legislation with a focus on education. Educational programme.

Goals of education and their determination.

The concept of education and self-education, functions, conditions and factors of education. The process of education/self-education and its phases.

Educational methods and new trends.

Characteristics and classification of educational principles.

Concretization of the content of educational action: moral education, pro-social education, intellectual education, aesthetic education, work education, physical education, ecological and environmental education, multicultural education, etc.

Organizational forms and means of education.

The personality of the teacher/educator/teaching assistant in the educational process. Educational styles and requirements for the personality of the teacher/educator/teaching assistant. Code of ethics of the teaching profession.

The personality of the child in the educational process. Categorization of factors of personality formation, bio-psycho-social determination of personality development and education.

Educational environment and its importance for a person.

Family and family education. Educational styles in the family. Negative tendencies in family upbringing.
Educational conditions of the school environment. Negative phenomena in the school environment and their prevention.
Education outside the classroom and its specifics.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

POTOČÁROVÁ, M., DERKOVÁ, J., FERKOVÁ, Š., KOLDEOVÁ, L. (2021). Teória výchovy 1. Bratislava: UK. ISBN 978-80-223-5327-4

GOGO VÁ, A., KROČKOVÁ, Š., PINTES, G. (2004). Žiak - sloboda - výchova. Prešov: Vydavateľstvo M. Vaška. 312 s. ISBN 80-8050-675-2

Zákon č. 245/2008 Z. z. o výchove a vzdelávaní (školský zákon) a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov

Recommended reading:

DERKOVÁ, J. (2018). Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: Iris, 2018. 144s. ISBN 978-80-8200 034-7

FERKOVÁ, Š., PREVENDÁROVÁ, J., KOLDEOVÁ, L. et al. (2017). Morálne kompetencie žiakov. Metodická príručka pre učiteľov na nižšom sekundárnom stupni vzdelávania. Bratislava: UK. 212 s. ISBN 978-80-223-4432-6

HLÁSNA, S., HORVÁTHOVÁ, K., MUCHA, M., TÓTHOVÁ, R. (2006). Úvod do pedagogiky. Nitra: Enigma, 2006. 356 s. ISBN 80-89132-29-4

KOLDEOVÁ, L. (2018). Odmeny a tresty v rodinnej výchove. Bratislava: UK. 161 s. ISBN 978-80-223-4649-8

KOSOVÁ, B. (2015). Filozofické a globálne súvislosti edukácie. Banská Bystrica: Belianum. 174 s. ISBN 978-80-557-1021-1

KRATOCHVÍLOVÁ, E. et al. (2007). Úvod do pedagogiky. Trnava: PF TU. 168 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-145-6

PELIKÁN, J. (1995). Výchova jako teoretický problém. Ostrava: Amosium Servis. 234 s. ISBN 80-85498-27-8

SKARUPSKÁ, H. 2016. Filozofie a Teorie výchovy. Studijní opora. Praha: Hnutí R. 51 s. ISBN 978-80-86798-70-7

POTOČÁROVÁ, M. (2008). Pedagogika rodiny. Teoretické východiská rodinnej výchovy. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. 216 s. ISBN: 978-80-223-2458-8

STROUHAL, M. (2013). Teorie výchovy. Praha: Grada. 192 s. ISBN 978-80-2474-212-0

TRABALÍKOVÁ, J., MACHÁČEK, D., ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2014). Výchova v utváraní osobnosti. Žilina: Žilinská univerzita. 195 s. ISBN 978-80-554-0904-7

ZELINA, M. (2010). Teórie výchovy alebo hľadanie dobra. Bratislava: SPN. 232 s. ISBN 978-80-1001-884-0

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Notes: students of the Teacher Education (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1602

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
43,88	0,0	23,97	13,8	5,81	4,37	8,18

Lecturers: PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD., prof. PaedDr. Ján Danek, CSc.

Last change: 09.11.2022
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde017/22	Course title: Theory of democracy
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar per week, total per semester 22 hours combined (mostly attendance) Student workload: 22 hours of direct teaching, 20 hours of preparation for the midterm test, 18 hours for the midterm assignment, 60 hours in total Teaching methods: problem-based interpretation and discussion of the assigned topics	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde003/22 - Political science II.	
Recommended prerequisites: B-VOBde003 Political science II.	
Course requirements: Interim/final mark weighting: 100/0 Interim assessment includes the completion of an ongoing written assignment on the theory of democracies (40 % of the mark) and a mid-term test (60 % of the mark). At least 60 % of the total mark must be obtained in order to pass the course Learning outcomes/ Objectives and learning outcomes: The rating is awarded on a scale: A 100-94%, excellent - outstanding results; students performed well, their theoretical knowledge of theories of democracy is at an excellent level, they have a standard very good ability to critically and analytically evaluate knowledge, compare different concepts of democracies, they hand in assignments on time. In the midterm test, they have demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the score (percentage) of the midterm written assignment, corresponds to an A grade. B 93-86%, very good - above average standard; students performed well, their theoretical knowledge of theories of democracy is at a very good level, they have a good ability to apply knowledge critically and analytically, they critically evaluate and compare literary sources at a very good level, they generally hand in interim assignments on time. They have demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) in the interim test	

which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the interim written assignment, corresponds to a grade B.

C 85-76%, good - routinely reliable work; students performed standardly well, their theoretical knowledge of theories of democracy is at a good level, but they lack the ability to compare this knowledge analytically and critically evaluate individual concepts. In the midterm test, they demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) that, in terms of points or percentages, together with the scores (percentages) on the midterm writing assignment, corresponds to a grade of C.

D 75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable performance; students were less prepared during the semester, turning in interim assignments after the assignment due date. They are slightly deficient in theories of democracies; they cannot analytically process the concepts. Information. They demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) in the midterm test that, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the midterm writing assignment, corresponds to a grade of D.

E 67-60%, adequate - results meet minimum criteria, students were less prepared during the semester, turned in assignments after the assignment due date. They are more deficient in theories of democracies, unable to process information analytically and critically. In the midterm test, they demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) that, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the midterm writing assignment, corresponds to a grade of E.

Fx 59-0%, Inadequate - additional work, extra study required.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Students will be introduced to the theories and transformations of democracy and to the basic analytical concept of democracy. They will acquire the ability to critically analyse the democratic process, to work through a problem in theories of democracy and to demonstrate the knowledge gained in a continuous work. They will acquire the ability to interpret and define the basic concepts of theories of democracy. They will be able to differentiate between theories, explain the analytical concept of democracy, understand it and the theories, and find adequate responses to the democratic and democratisation processes of the present day.

Class syllabus:

The many meanings of democracy. Transformations of democracy from a historical perspective. Democracy in analytical perspective. The structure of the democratic process. Overview of theories of democracy from Athens to polyarchy and deliberative democracy. Direct democracy, semi-direct democracy, referendum as a form of direct democracy. Constitutional anchoring of the referendum. The status of self-government. Education for democratic citizenship. Council of Europe documents on education for democratic citizenship. The political system of the Slovak Republic. Elections and electoral systems. Culture and morality in Slovak politics. Corruption.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

GOŇCOVÁ, M. 2010 Krize demokracie ve 20. století a Evropa. Brno : Masarykova univerzita. 182 s. ISBN 978-80-210-5346-5

HLOUŠEK, V.; KOPEČEK, L. 2003. Demokracie. Brno: IIPS. 379 s. ISBN 978-80-210-4249-0

Recommended readings:

SARTORI, G. 1994. Teória demokracie. Bratislava: Archa. 512 s. ISBN 80-7115-049-5

HABERMAS, J.: 2018 Diskursivní teorie liberální demokracie. Praha. Karolinum. Kapitola 3. ISBN 978-80-246-3826-3

FUKUYAMA, F. 2002 Konec dějin a poslední člověk. Praha : Rybka Publishers. 382 s. ISBN80-86182-27-4						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 160						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
38,75	0,0	39,38	13,75	6,25	1,25	0,63
Lecturers:						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde017/22	Course title: Theory of democracy
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar per week, total per semester 22 hours combined (mostly attendance) Student workload: 22 hours of direct teaching, 20 hours of preparation for the midterm test, 18 hours for the midterm assignment, 60 hours in total Teaching methods: problem-based interpretation and discussion of the assigned topics	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde003/22 - Political science II.	
Recommended prerequisites: B-VOBde003 Political science II.	
Course requirements: Interim/final mark weighting: 100/0 Interim assessment includes the completion of an ongoing written assignment on the theory of democracies (40 % of the mark) and a mid-term test (60 % of the mark). At least 60 % of the total mark must be obtained in order to pass the course Learning outcomes/ Objectives and learning outcomes: The rating is awarded on a scale: A 100-94%, excellent - outstanding results; students performed well, their theoretical knowledge of theories of democracy is at an excellent level, they have a standard very good ability to critically and analytically evaluate knowledge, compare different concepts of democracies, they hand in assignments on time. In the midterm test, they have demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilised) which, in terms of points or percentages, together with the score (percentage) of the midterm written assignment, corresponds to an A grade. B 93-86%, very good - above average standard; students performed well, their theoretical knowledge of theories of democracy is at a very good level, they have a good ability to apply knowledge critically and analytically, they critically evaluate and compare literary sources at a very good level, they generally hand in interim assignments on time. They have demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive abilities mobilised) in the interim test	

which, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the interim written assignment, corresponds to a grade B.

C 85-76%, good - routinely reliable work; students performed standardly well, their theoretical knowledge of theories of democracy is at a good level, but they lack the ability to compare this knowledge analytically and critically evaluate individual concepts. In the midterm test, they demonstrated a level of knowledge (range of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) that, in terms of points or percentages, together with the scores (percentages) on the midterm writing assignment, corresponds to a grade of C.

D 75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable performance; students were less prepared during the semester, turning in interim assignments after the assignment due date. They are slightly deficient in theories of democracies; they cannot analytically process the concepts. Information. They demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) in the midterm test that, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the midterm writing assignment, corresponds to a grade of D.

E 67-60%, adequate - results meet minimum criteria, students were less prepared during the semester, turned in assignments after the assignment due date. They are more deficient in theories of democracies, unable to process information analytically and critically. In the midterm test, they demonstrated a level of knowledge (extent of knowledge acquired and cognitive skills mobilized) that, in terms of points or percentage, together with the score (percentage) of the midterm writing assignment, corresponds to a grade of E.

Fx 59-0%, Inadequate - additional work, extra study required.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:

Students will be introduced to the theories and transformations of democracy and to the basic analytical concept of democracy. They will acquire the ability to critically analyse the democratic process, to work through a problem in theories of democracy and to demonstrate the knowledge gained in a continuous work. They will acquire the ability to interpret and define the basic concepts of theories of democracy. They will be able to differentiate between theories, explain the analytical concept of democracy, understand it and the theories, and find adequate responses to the democratic and democratisation processes of the present day.

Class syllabus:

The many meanings of democracy. Transformations of democracy from a historical perspective. Democracy in analytical perspective. The structure of the democratic process. Overview of theories of democracy from Athens to polyarchy and deliberative democracy. Direct democracy, semi-direct democracy, referendum as a form of direct democracy. Constitutional anchoring of the referendum. The status of self-government. Education for democratic citizenship. Council of Europe documents on education for democratic citizenship. The political system of the Slovak Republic. Elections and electoral systems. Culture and morality in Slovak politics. Corruption.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory readings:

GOŇCOVÁ, M. 2010 Krize demokracie ve 20. století a Evropa. Brno : Masarykova univerzita. 182 s. ISBN 978-80-210-5346-5

HLOUŠEK, V.; KOPEČEK, L. 2003. Demokracie. Brno: IIPS. 379 s. ISBN 978-80-210-4249-0

Recommended readings:

SARTORI, G. 1994. Teória demokracie. Bratislava: Archa. 512 s. ISBN 80-7115-049-5

HABERMAS, J.: 2018 Diskursivní teorie liberální demokracie. Praha. Karolinum. Kapitola 3. ISBN 978-80-246-3826-3

FUKUYAMA, F. 2002 Konec dějin a poslední člověk. Praha : Rybka Publishers. 382 s. ISBN80-86182-27-4						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak and Czech language						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 160						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
38,75	0,0	39,38	13,75	6,25	1,25	0,63
Lecturers:						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde045/25		Course title: Učenie hrou				
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 23						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
73,91	0,0	17,39	0,0	0,0	8,7	0,0
Lecturers: PhDr. Dušan Damián Brezány, doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD., Mgr. Timotej Smutný, Mgr. Barbora Jaslovská, PhD.						
Last change: 08.09.2025						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde045/25			Course title: Učenie hrou			
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 23						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
73,91	0,0	17,39	0,0	0,0	8,7	0,0
Lecturers:						
Last change: 08.09.2025						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde015/22	Course title: Values and education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar/week, 22 hours total per semester, combined (mostly attendance) Student workload: 11 x 2 hours of teaching = 22 hours; 28 hours of project work and vindication. 50 hours of work. Teaching methods: - Seminar: lecture combined with discussion of the topic under discussion; various examples are given for each subject area. Critical reflection and awareness of the possibility of applying this knowledge to school or life practice is essential. Emphasis on discussion, cooperation. Outcome - presentation and defence of the project.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weight of continuous and final appraising 100/0, which includes 30% class activity and 70% group project (2-3 students). A minimum of 60% of the course rating is required for successful completion of the course. The grade is awarded on a scale of: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding), the student reflects knowledges correctly and actively both critically and creatively, including its meaningful integration into life reality and pedagogical practice. B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), the student reflects appropriately critically on the knowledge, including their meaningful integration into life reality and pedagogical practice. C (85-76%, good - routinely reliable work), students performed at a good standard during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge. D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable performance), students were less well prepared during the semester, they have moderate deficiencies in theoretical knowledges. They are unable to critically analyze information. E (67-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria), students have limited theoretical knowledges, are unable to critically analyze the knowledges, and are only minimally able to apply the knowledges.	

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - additional work is required).

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Students will realize that values are what give events, things, and relationships meaning as well as individuality and authenticity. In the content area, they will acquire the necessary knowledge of value theory (concepts, orientations, problems). They will gain the impetus for understanding the goals and methods of values education (civic education, ethics education, personal and social education). They will acquire the skills to present and defend their own views on the role of values in education.

This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication).

Objectives of the course: the course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers in accordance with the profile of the graduate by its focus and educational methods.

Class syllabus:

1. Values and needs, values and norms, hierarchy of values.
2. The nature of axiology, theory of values. Axiology its relation to pedagogy and to the problems of education.
3. Values and culture, plurality of values in the contemporary world - implications for communication culture and education.
4. Leadership to values in the family and school environment.
5. Logotherapy

Recommended literature:

BROŽÍK, V. Hodnotové orientácie. Nitra : FF UKF, 2000. ISBN 80-8050-368-0. DÚBRAVOVÁ, V. Výchova k hodnotám v príprave budúcich učiteľov. In: Hodnoty a výchova. In: Šimoník, O., Horká, H., Střelec (eds). Zborník Hodnoty a výchova. Brno : PdF MU(CD), 2007. ISBN 978-80-86633-78-7.

EYRE, L., EYRE, R. Jak naučit děti hodnotám. Praha : Portál, 2007. ISBN 978-80-7367-275-1.

KUČEROVÁ, S. Člověk – hodnoty – výchova. Prešov : ManaCon, 1996. ISBN 80-85668-34-3.

LUKASOVÁ, E. Základy logoterapie. Bratislava : Lúč, 2009. ISBN 978-80-7114-704-6.

PELIKÁN, J. Hledání těžiště výchovy. Praha : Karolinum, 2007. ISBN 978-80246-1265-2.

VARGOVÁ, D. Hodnoty v etickej výchove. In.: Hodnoty ve výchově, umění a sportu.

Praha : KREACE, 2008.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 209

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
82,3	0,0	4,78	6,22	2,39	0,96	3,35

Lecturers: PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KEOV/B-VOBde015/22	Course title: Values and education
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information 2 hours seminar/week, 22 hours total per semester, combined (mostly attendance) Student workload: 11 x 2 hours of teaching = 22 hours; 28 hours of project work and vindication. 50 hours of work. Teaching methods: - Seminar: lecture combined with discussion of the topic under discussion; various examples are given for each subject area. Critical reflection and awareness of the possibility of applying this knowledge to school or life practice is essential. Emphasis on discussion, cooperation. Outcome - presentation and defence of the project.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Weight of continuous and final appraising 100/0, which includes 30% class activity and 70% group project (2-3 students). A minimum of 60% of the course rating is required for successful completion of the course. The grade is awarded on a scale of: A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding), the student reflects knowledges correctly and actively both critically and creatively, including its meaningful integration into life reality and pedagogical practice. B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), the student reflects appropriately critically on the knowledge, including their meaningful integration into life reality and pedagogical practice. C (85-76%, good - routinely reliable work), students performed at a good standard during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge. D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable performance), students were less well prepared during the semester, they have moderate deficiencies in theoretical knowledges. They are unable to critically analyze information. E (67-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria), students have limited theoretical knowledges, are unable to critically analyze the knowledges, and are only minimally able to apply the knowledges.	

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - additional work is required).

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Students will realize that values are what give events, things, and relationships meaning as well as individuality and authenticity. In the content area, they will acquire the necessary knowledge of value theory (concepts, orientations, problems). They will gain the impetus for understanding the goals and methods of values education (civic education, ethics education, personal and social education). They will acquire the skills to present and defend their own views on the role of values in education.

This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication).

Objectives of the course: the course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers in accordance with the profile of the graduate by its focus and educational methods.

Class syllabus:

1. Values and needs, values and norms, hierarchy of values.
2. The nature of axiology, theory of values. Axiology its relation to pedagogy and to the problems of education.
3. Values and culture, plurality of values in the contemporary world - implications for communication culture and education.
4. Leadership to values in the family and school environment.
5. Logotherapy

Recommended literature:

BROŽÍK, V. Hodnotové orientácie. Nitra : FF UKF, 2000. ISBN 80-8050-368-0. DÚBRAVOVÁ, V. Výchova k hodnotám v príprave budúcich učiteľov. In: Hodnoty a výchova. In: Šimoník, O., Horká, H., Střelec (eds). Zborník Hodnoty a výchova. Brno : PdF MU(CD), 2007. ISBN 978-80-86633-78-7.

EYRE, L., EYRE, R. Jak naučit děti hodnotám. Praha : Portál, 2007. ISBN 978-80-7367-275-1.

KUČEROVÁ, S. Člověk – hodnoty – výchova. Prešov : ManaCon, 1996. ISBN 80-85668-34-3.

LUKASOVÁ, E. Základy logoterapie. Bratislava : Lúč, 2009. ISBN 978-80-7114-704-6.

PELIKÁN, J. Hledání těžiště výchovy. Praha : Karolinum, 2007. ISBN 978-80246-1265-2.

VARGOVÁ, D. Hodnoty v etickej výchove. In.: Hodnoty ve výchově, umění a sportu.

Praha : KREACE, 2008.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 209

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
82,3	0,0	4,78	6,22	2,39	0,96	3,35

Lecturers: PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KH/B-HISde033/20			Course title: Workshop Activities			
Educational activities: Type of activities: internship Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 30s Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 2						
Recommended semester: 3.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 8						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
87,5	0,0	12,5	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: Mgr. Ivana Dendys, PhD.						
Last change: 09.02.2021						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde039/23		Course title: Zvedavé učenie 1				
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites:						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 71						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
76,06	0,0	11,27	0,0	2,82	0,0	9,86
Lecturers: Mgr. Barbora Jaslovská, PhD., doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD., Mgr. Eva Gáliková, PhD.						
Last change:						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026						
University: Comenius University Bratislava						
Faculty: Faculty of Education						
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde040/23			Course title: Zvedavé učenie 2			
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 26 Form of the course: on-site learning						
Number of credits: 3						
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.						
Educational level: I.						
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde039/23 - Zvedavé učenie 1						
Course requirements:						
Learning outcomes:						
Class syllabus:						
Recommended literature:						
Languages necessary to complete the course:						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 34						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
76,47	0,0	17,65	0,0	2,94	0,0	2,94
Lecturers: Mgr. Barbora Jaslovská, PhD., doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD., Mgr. Eva Gáliková, PhD.						
Last change:						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde041/24	Course title: Študentská vedecká, odborná a umelecká činnosť 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements:	
Learning outcomes:	
Class syllabus:	
Recommended literature:	
Languages necessary to complete the course:	
Notes:	
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 6	
ABS	M
100,0	0,0
Lecturers:	
Last change: 20.02.2025	
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.	

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde042/24	Course title: Študentská vedecká, odborná a umelecká činnosť 2
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements:	
Learning outcomes:	
Class syllabus:	
Recommended literature:	
Languages necessary to complete the course:	
Notes:	
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 0	
ABS	M
0,0	0,0
Lecturers:	
Last change: 20.02.2025	
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.	