Course descriptions

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. B-VUZde027/22 Abuse prevention.....5
2. B-VUZde027/22 Abuse prevention.....8
3. B-PEDde011/22 Application of digital technologies in the subject of pedagogy.....11
4. B-VUZde008/22 Bachelor thesis seminar.....15
5. B-VUZde008/22 Bachelor thesis seminar.....17
6. B-VUZšt011/15 Bachelor's thesis defence **(state exam)**.....19
7. B-ESPde011/22 Basics of Didactics of Foreign Languages.....22
8. B-VUZde029/22 Basics of the first aid.....25
9. B-VUZde029/22 Basics of the first aid.....28
10. B-VUZde043/24 COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir I.....31
11. B-VUZde043/24 COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir I.....33
12. B-VUZde044/24 COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir II.....35
13. B-VUZde044/24 COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir II.....37
14. B-PEDde027/22 Child and adolescents in life crisis situations.....39
15. B-PEDde027/22 Child and adolescents in life crisis situations.....42
16. B-VUZde031/22 Child biological development and school hygiene.....45
17. B-VUZde031/22 Child biological development and school hygiene.....49
18. B-PEDde031/22 Colloquium on selected issues of upbringing and education.....53
19. B-VUZde005/22 Communication in education.....56
20. B-VUZde005/22 Communication in education.....59
21. B-VUZde025/15 Constructivism in education.....62
22. B-VUZde025/15 Constructivism in education.....63
23. B-PEDde024/22 Contemporary alternative models of education.....64
24. B-PEDde024/22 Contemporary alternative models of education.....68
25. B-ESPde043/22 Creative Drama Workshop in Spanish Language.....72
26. B-ESPde043/22 Creative Drama Workshop in Spanish Language.....74
27. B-ESPde022/22 Culture and Civilization of Spanish-speaking Countries 1.....76
28. B-ESPde022/22 Culture and Civilization of Spanish-speaking Countries 1.....78
29. B-ESPde026/22 Culture and Civilization of Spanish-speaking Countries 2.....80
30. B-ESPde026/22 Culture and Civilization of Spanish-speaking Countries 2.....82
31. B-VUZde035/22 Developing critical thinking.....84
32. B-VUZde035/22 Developing critical thinking.....86
33. B-PEDde033/22 Development of creativity.....88
34. B-PEDde033/22 Development of creativity.....91
35. B-VUZde002/22 Digital technologies 1.....94
36. B-VUZde002/22 Digital technologies 1.....103
37. B-VUZde012/22 Digital technologies 2.....112
38. B-VUZde012/22 Digital technologies 2.....120
39. B-VUZde013/22 Digital technologies 3.....128
40. B-VUZde013/22 Digital technologies 3.....129
41. B-PEDde022/22 Education towards tolerance.....130
42. B-PEDde022/22 Education towards tolerance.....134
43. B-PEDde018/22 Educational counselling.....138
44. B-PEDde018/22 Educational counselling.....141
45. B-VUZde030/22 Environmetal education.....144
46. B-VUZde030/22 Environmetal education.....147
47. B-VUZde016/22 Ethics and professional ethics.....150

48. B-VUZde016/22 Ethics and professional ethics....153
49. B-ESPde037/22 Exercises in Lexicology and Semantics....156
50. B-ESPde037/22 Exercises in Lexicology and Semantics....158
51. B-ESPde027/22 Exercises in Morphology and Syntax 1....160
52. B-ESPde033/22 Exercises in Morphology and Syntax 2....162
53. B-ESPde023/22 Exercises in Phonetics, Phonology and Orthography....164
54. B-ESPde023/22 Exercises in Phonetics, Phonology and Orthography....166
55. B-VUZde024/22 Family education....168
56. B-VUZde024/22 Family education....171
57. B-PEDde032/22 Family education and cooperation between school and family....174
58. B-PEDde032/22 Family education and cooperation between school and family....177
59. B-ESPde046/25 Field trip for Hispanists 1....180
60. B-ESPde046/25 Field trip for Hispanists 1....181
61. B-ESPde047/25 Field trip for Hispanists 2....182
62. B-ESPde047/25 Field trip for Hispanists 2....183
63. B-VUZde018/22 Gender aspects of education....184
64. B-VUZde018/22 Gender aspects of education....187
65. B-PEDde001/22 General educational theory....190
66. B-PEDde007/22 General theory of instruction....194
67. B-PEDde009/22 Guidelines for writing professional texts in education....198
68. B-PEDde009/22 Guidelines for writing professional texts in education....201
69. B-ROMde014/22 History of Linguistic Theories....204
70. B-ROMde014/22 History of Linguistic Theories....208
71. B-VUZde022/22 History of education....212
72. B-VUZde022/22 History of education....214
73. B-VUZde014/22 History of philosophy 1....216
74. B-VUZde014/22 History of philosophy 1....219
75. B-VUZde015/15 History of philosophy 2....222
76. B-VUZde015/15 History of philosophy 2....223
77. B-PEDde003/22 History of schooling and education....224
78. B-ROMde032/22 Inclusive Learning and Pluralistic Approaches to Languages....227
79. B-ROMde032/22 Inclusive Learning and Pluralistic Approaches to Languages....229
80. B-ROMde016/22 Information Technologies in Foreign Language Teaching 1....231
81. B-ROMde016/22 Information Technologies in Foreign Language Teaching 1....234
82. B-ROMde019/22 Information Technologies in Foreign Language Teaching 2....237
83. B-ROMde019/22 Information Technologies in Foreign Language Teaching 2....239
84. B-ROMde035/22 Intercultural Communication....241
85. B-ROMde035/22 Intercultural Communication....243
86. B-PEDde028/20 Internship A....245
87. B-PEDde028/20 Internship A....246
88. B-PEDde029/20 Internship B....247
89. B-PEDde029/20 Internship B....248
90. B-ROMde001/22 Introduction to Linguistics for Students of Romance Languages....249
91. B-ROMde002/22 Introduction to Literature Study for Students of Romance Languages....253
92. B-VUZde017/15 Introduction to gender studies....256
93. B-VUZde017/15 Introduction to gender studies....257
94. B-ESPde003/22 Introduction to the History and Culture of Spanish-speaking Countries....258
95. B-ROMde013/22 Introduction to the Theory of Translation and Interpreting....261
96. B-ROMde013/22 Introduction to the Theory of Translation and Interpreting....265

97. B-ESPde002/22 Language Activity in Interaction – Listening Comprehension in Spanish Language 269
98. B-ESPde007/22 Language Activity in Interaction – Oral Performance in Spanish Language 271
99. B-ESPde004/22 Language Activity in Interaction – Reading Comprehension in Spanish Language 273
100. B-ESPde010/22 Language Activity in Interaction – Written Performance in Spanish Language 275
101. B-ESPde017/22 Language Exercises in Spanish Language 1 277
102. B-ESPde020/22 Language Exercises in Spanish Language 2 279
103. B-ESPde024/22 Language Exercises in Spanish Language 3 281
104. B-ESPde028/22 Language Exercises in Spanish Language 4 283
105. B-ESPde034/22 Language Exercises in Spanish Language 5 284
106. B-ESPde036/22 Language Exercises in Spanish Language 6 286
107. B-VUZde023/22 Leisure time education 288
108. B-VUZde023/22 Leisure time education 291
109. B-ESPde044/22 Literary Reading Support and Promotion 294
110. B-ESPde044/22 Literary Reading Support and Promotion 296
111. B-ESPde031/22 Literature for Children and Youth 298
112. B-ESPde031/22 Literature for Children and Youth 301
113. B-VUZde032/22 Medial education 304
114. B-VUZde032/22 Medial education 307
115. B-ESPde015/22 Mediation Activities in Spanish Language 1 310
116. B-ESPde015/22 Mediation Activities in Spanish Language 1 313
117. B-ESPde045/22 Mediation Activities in Spanish Language 2 316
118. B-ESPde045/22 Mediation Activities in Spanish Language 2 318
119. B-ROMde012/22 Methodology of Professional Text Writing 320
120. B-ROMde031/22 Methodology of Teaching Plurilingualism in EU Countries 323
121. B-ROMde031/22 Methodology of Teaching Plurilingualism in EU Countries 327
122. B-PEDde008/22 Methodology of research in educational science 331
123. B-VUZde033/22 Multicultural education 334
124. B-VUZde033/22 Multicultural education 337
125. B-VUZde020/22 Pathopsychology for teachers 340
126. B-VUZde020/22 Pathopsychology for teachers 341
127. B-PEDde017/22 Pedagogy of children and adolescents with learning disabilities 342
128. B-PEDde017/22 Pedagogy of children and adolescents with learning disabilities 345
129. B-VUZde028/22 Pedagogy of individuals with special educational needs 348
130. B-VUZde028/22 Pedagogy of individuals with special educational needs 352
131. B-ESPde032/22 Practical Exercises in Didactics of Foreign Languages 356
132. B-ESPde032/22 Practical Exercises in Didactics of Foreign Languages 358
133. B-ESPde038/16 Practical Spanish Language 1 360
134. B-ESPde039/16 Practical Spanish Language 2 362
135. B-ESPde040/16 Practical Spanish Language 3 364
136. B-PEDde014/22 Psychodidactics 366
137. B-PEDde014/22 Psychodidactics 369
138. B-PEDde030/22 Psychological development disorders of children and adolescents 372
139. B-VUZde003/22 Psychology for teachers 1 375
140. B-VUZde003/22 Psychology for teachers 1 378
141. B-VUZde007/22 Psychology for teachers 2 381

142. B-VUZde007/22 Psychology for teachers 2....384
143. B-VUZde034/22 Rhetoric and communication....387
144. B-VUZde034/22 Rhetoric and communication....390
145. B-ESPde050/25 Romance Languages and Cultures - Strategies of Communication and Culture Transfer in Central Europe....393
146. B-ESPde050/25 Romance Languages and Cultures - Strategies of Communication and Culture Transfer in Central Europe....394
147. B-PEDde010/22 School system and school legislation for teachers....395
148. B-PEDde016/22 Social determination of education....398
149. B-PEDde016/22 Social determination of education....401
150. B-PEDde015/22 Social pedagogy for teachers....404
151. B-PEDde015/22 Social pedagogy for teachers....407
152. B-VUZde019/22 Social psychology for teachers....410
153. B-VUZde019/22 Social psychology for teachers....413
154. B-VUZde026/22 Social skills of teachers training....416
155. B-VUZde026/22 Social skills of teachers training....417
156. B-VUZde021/22 Socio-educational training for teachers....418
157. B-VUZde021/22 Socio-educational training for teachers....421
158. B-PEDde026/22 Socio-pathological phenomena in schools prevention and intervention....424
159. B-PEDde026/22 Socio-pathological phenomena in schools prevention and intervention....427
160. B-ESPde005/22 Spanish Language System 1....430
161. B-ESPde008/22 Spanish Language System 2....433
162. B-VUZde038/22 Specialised foreign language....436
163. B-VUZde038/22 Specialised foreign language....437
164. B-ESPde006/22 Survey of the History of Spanish Literature 1....438
165. B-ESPde009/22 Survey of the History of Spanish Literature 2....442
166. B-VUZde009/22 Teaching practice (A)....446
167. B-VUZde009/22 Teaching practice (A)....449
168. B-VUZde010/22 Teaching practice (B)....452
169. B-VUZde010/22 Teaching practice (B)....455
170. B-PEDde002/22 Theory of education....458
171. B-PEDde005/22 Training of social competencies of teachers....462
172. B-ESPde021/22 Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 1....465
173. B-ESPde021/22 Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 1....467
174. B-ESPde025/22 Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 2....469
175. B-ESPde025/22 Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 2....472
176. B-ESPde029/22 Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 3....475
177. B-ESPde029/22 Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 3....478
178. B-ESPde042/16 Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 4....481
179. B-ESPde042/22 Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 4....484
180. B-VUZde045/25 Učenie hrou....486
181. B-VUZde045/25 Učenie hrou....487
182. B-VUZde039/23 Zvedavé učenie 1....488
183. B-VUZde040/23 Zvedavé učenie 2....489
184. B-VUZde041/24 Študentská vedecká, odborná a umelecká činnosť 1....490
185. B-VUZde042/24 Študentská vedecká, odborná a umelecká činnosť 2....491

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde027/22	**Course title:** Abuse prevention
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** seminar **Number of hours:** **per week:** 2 **per level/semester:** 26 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	

Course requirements:

Prerequisite subjects: none

Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed with an assessment, the ratio of the midterm and final assessment is 100/0. The task of the students is to prepare a midterm paper for 40 points and to pass a midterm test for 60 points, a total of 100 points. A minimum of 60% of the maximum possible course grade is required for successful completion of the course.

The rating is awarded on a scale:

A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),

B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),

E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).

A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.

A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of the course is to provide basic knowledge about the problems of drug consumption, their effects, the etiology of drug addiction. The course pays special attention to the issues of the drug scene, drug policy development and criminal sanctions, or drug crime. Students will be able to identify the internal and external causes of addiction, summarize the manifestations of different types of addiction, indicate and propose specific forms of addiction prevention. They will deepen their knowledge and competences in working with people with addiction, they will learn to apply preventive practices through examples and concrete activities in school practice.
Students and learners will develop communication skills using professional terminology in the field of substance and non-substance addictions. They will improve their skills in working with professional literature and producing professional texts. Students will be able to apply knowledge creatively to problem-based assignments.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Basic terminology (social pathology, drug, addiction, abstinence, codependence, prevention, etc.).
2. Classification and characteristics of selected groups of psychotropic substances.
3. Specifics and classification of substance addictions.
4. Causes and factors of drug use.
5. The emergence of addiction and its symptoms.
6. Non-substance addictions (classification, characteristics).
7. Prevention of addiction and its forms.
8. Options for help in the field of addiction (basic psychotherapeutic approaches in addiction treatment, pharmacotherapeutic help, family accompaniment).
9. National Drug Control Programme. The roles of the Departments of Education, Culture, Health, Justice, Home Affairs and Labour, Social Affairs and Family.

Recommended literature:
Compulsory reading:
HUPKOVÁ, I., LIBERČANOVÁ, K. (2012). Drogové závislosti a ich prevencia. Trnava: Pedagogická fakulta TU v Trnave. 153 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-563-8 Dostupné na: https://pdf.truni.sk/download?e-skripta/hupkova-libercanova-drogove-zavislosti.pdf
Recommended reading:
FERKOVÁ, Š. (2014). Skúsenosti s využitím influenčného programu zameraného na elimináciu rizikového správania žiakov v škole. In Prevencia. roč. 13. č. 4. s. 37 – 44.

FISCHER, S., ŠKODA, J. (2009). Sociální patologie. Analýza příčin a možnosti ovlivňovaní závažných sociálně patologických jevů. Praha: Grada Publishing, a.s. 224 s. ISBN 978-80-247-7813
KALINA, K. (2008). Základy klinickej adiktológie. Praha: Grada, 387 s. ISBN978-80-247-1411-0. K
KALINA, K. et al. (2003). Drogy a drogové závislosti 1. a 2. Mezioborový přístup. Praha: ÚV ČR, 640 s. ISBN80-86734-05-6.
KUKLOVÁ, M. (2016). Kognitivně-behaviorální terapie v léčbě závislostí. Praha: Portál. 152 s. ISBN978-80-262-1101-3
NEŠPOR, K. (2003). Návykové chováni a závislost. Současné poznatky a prespektívy léčby. Praha: Portál. 152 s. ISBN80-7178-831-7.
NOCIAR, A. (2009). Drogové závislosti. Bratislava: Vysoká škola Svätej Alžbety. 123 s. ISBN 978-80-892-7168-9

Languages necessary to complete the course:
: slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 620

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
52,1	0,0	21,77	12,9	6,29	2,26	4,68

Lecturers: Mgr. Štefánia Ferková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde027/22	**Course title:** Abuse prevention
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** seminar **Number of hours:** **per week:** 2 **per level/semester:** 26 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	

Course requirements:

Prerequisite subjects: none

Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed with an assessment, the ratio of the midterm and final assessment is 100/0. The task of the students is to prepare a midterm paper for 40 points and to pass a midterm test for 60 points, a total of 100 points. A minimum of 60% of the maximum possible course grade is required for successful completion of the course.

The rating is awarded on a scale:

A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),

B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),

E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).

A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.

A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of the course is to provide basic knowledge about the problems of drug consumption, their effects, the etiology of drug addiction. The course pays special attention to the issues of the drug scene, drug policy development and criminal sanctions, or drug crime. Students will be able to identify the internal and external causes of addiction, summarize the manifestations of different types of addiction, indicate and propose specific forms of addiction prevention. They will deepen their knowledge and competences in working with people with addiction, they will learn to apply preventive practices through examples and concrete activities in school practice.
Students and learners will develop communication skills using professional terminology in the field of substance and non-substance addictions. They will improve their skills in working with professional literature and producing professional texts. Students will be able to apply knowledge creatively to problem-based assignments.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Basic terminology (social pathology, drug, addiction, abstinence, codependence, prevention, etc.).
2. Classification and characteristics of selected groups of psychotropic substances.
3. Specifics and classification of substance addictions.
4. Causes and factors of drug use.
5. The emergence of addiction and its symptoms.
6. Non-substance addictions (classification, characteristics).
7. Prevention of addiction and its forms.
8. Options for help in the field of addiction (basic psychotherapeutic approaches in addiction treatment, pharmacotherapeutic help, family accompaniment).
9. National Drug Control Programme. The roles of the Departments of Education, Culture, Health, Justice, Home Affairs and Labour, Social Affairs and Family.

Recommended literature:
Compulsory reading:
HUPKOVÁ, I., LIBERČANOVÁ, K. (2012). Drogové závislosti a ich prevencia. Trnava: Pedagogická fakulta TU v Trnave. 153 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-563-8 Dostupné na: https://pdf.truni.sk/download?e-skripta/hupkova-libercanova-drogove-zavislosti.pdf
Recommended reading:
FERKOVÁ, Š. (2014). Skúsenosti s využitím influenčného programu zameraného na elimináciu rizikového správania žiakov v škole. In Prevencia. roč. 13. č. 4. s. 37 – 44.

FISCHER, S., ŠKODA, J. (2009). Sociální patologie. Analýza příčin a možnosti ovlivňovaní závažných sociálně patologických jevů. Praha: Grada Publishing, a.s. 224 s. ISBN 978-80-247-7813
KALINA, K. (2008). Základy klinickej adiktológie. Praha: Grada, 387 s. ISBN978-80-247-1411-0. K
KALINA, K. et al. (2003). Drogy a drogové závislosti 1. a 2. Mezioborový přístup. Praha: ÚV ČR, 640 s. ISBN80-86734-05-6.
KUKLOVÁ, M. (2016). Kognitivně-behaviorální terapie v léčbě závislostí. Praha: Portál. 152 s. ISBN978-80-262-1101-3
NEŠPOR, K. (2003). Návykové chováni a závislost. Současné poznatky a prespektívy léčby. Praha: Portál. 152 s. ISBN80-7178-831-7.
NOCIAR, A. (2009). Drogové závislosti. Bratislava: Vysoká škola Svätej Alžbety. 123 s. ISBN 978-80-892-7168-9

Languages necessary to complete the course:
: slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 620

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
52,1	0,0	21,77	12,9	6,29	2,26	4,68

Lecturers: Mgr. Štefánia Ferková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-PEDde011/22	**Course title:** Application of digital technologies in the subject of pedagogy
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** seminar **Number of hours:** **per week:** 2 **per level/semester:** 26 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde002/22 - Digital technologies 1	

Course requirements:

Prerequisites for passing the course: 100% pass mark.
Assessment: consists of an interim and a final assessment (50+50 points).
The interim evaluation consists of:
- from a critical analysis of foreign and domestic literary sources, which will be used to select specific DT and software applications and to select the possibilities of their application in the teaching of pedagogy (20 points),
- the creation of a concept/formulation of partial components of a semester project using selected DT and software applications in teaching pedagogy (30 points).

The final assessment consists of: completion of a proposal of a semester project concept using the selected DT and software applications in teaching pedagogy and preparation for the presentation - 50 points.
The semester project consists of: the creation of a project according to a set structure for 80b. It includes the creation of an idea/problem, project planning, project implementation, evaluation and self-reflection of the project using the selected DT(s) and software applications in teaching pedagogy = its oral presentation by students (micro-output) in the 11th week of continuous teaching.
Prerequisites:
The rating shall be awarded on a scale of
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.

A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Learning objectives and outcomes:
By completing the course Online Teaching Tools and Fundamentals of E-Learning, the student should:
- to get an overview of the available DT and software applications in the focus on teaching pedagogy,
- Gain knowledge of both the effective use of DT and software applications and the techniques and methodologies for their successful integration in a pedagogy teaching focus,
- to acquire basic competences in the creation of electronic teaching materials and interactive presentations for selected parts of the curricula of general education subjects at the secondary level of education with the use of supporting software applications,
- to acquire basic competences with design, creation of new interactive forms of teaching materials through selected DT and software applications in teaching pedagogy.
Transferable competences: students apply the acquired knowledge and competences in the use of modern DT and software applications for electronic support of teaching pedagogy primarily through their own creative activity and in their future school practice.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
By completing the course Online Teaching Tools and Fundamentals of E-Learning, the student should:
- to get an overview of the available DT and software applications in the focus on teaching pedagogy,
- Gain knowledge of both the effective use of DT and software applications and the techniques and methodologies for their successful integration in a pedagogy teaching focus,
- to acquire basic competences in the creation of electronic teaching materials and interactive presentations for selected parts of the curricula of general education subjects at the secondary level of education with the use of supporting software applications,
- to acquire basic competences with design, creation of new interactive forms of teaching materials through selected DT and software applications in teaching pedagogy.

Transferable competences: students apply the acquired knowledge and competences in the use of modern DT and software applications for electronic support of teaching pedagogy primarily through their own creative activity and in their future school practice.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

Brief introduction to the development, current situation and estimation of future trends in the field of DT and software applications at the relevant educational levels. Programs and tools for creating teaching materials for teaching pedagogy. Possibilities and methodology of creating educational materials through selected DT and software applications in teaching pedagogy. Design, creation and evaluation, self-reflection of the created semester project in the focus on teaching pedagogy. Methodology of presenting (teaching) in the online environment. Oral presentation by students (micro-presentation).

Due to the rapid production of new software usable in the pedagogical process, the specific content structure of the course will be adapted to the current requirements of practice and students.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

BRESTENSKÁ, B. a kol. 2020. Inovatívne učenie s podporou digitálnych technológií – vysokoškolská učebnica pre študentov učiteľského štúdia. UK v Bratislave, 2020. ISBN 978-80-223-4927-7. Dostupné na internete: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Beata-Brestenska/publication/348298290_BrestenskaB_a_kol_Inovativne_ucenie_s_podporou_digitalnych_technologii/links/5ff6ebc245851553a026e870/BrestenskaB-a-kol-Inovativne-ucenie-s-podporou-digitalnych-technologii.pdf (31-1-2022).

Doplňujúce študijné texty k vzdelávaciemu obsahu disciplíny budú študentom pravidelných časových intervaloch sprístupňované v elektronickej forme prostredníctvom univerzitného LMS Moodle.

KORMANCOVÁ, KOPÁSKOVÁ, I. 2015. Digitálne kompetencie učiteľa strednej školy. Bratislava: MPC, 2015. Dostupné na internete: https://mpc-edu.sk/sites/default/files/projekty/vystup/16_ops_kormancova_kopaskova_ivana_-_digitalne_kompetencie_ucitela_strednej_skoly.pdf (31-1-2022).

LABJAKOVÁ, I. (2019). Interaktívna tabuľa v edukačnom procese, 1. vyd., Bratislava: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum v Bratislave, 2019, 100 s., ISBN 978-80-565-1444-3

Mayer, K. 2019. DIGITÁLNE TECHNOLÓGIE AKO EFEKTÍVNY NÁSTROJ PEDAGÓGA V KONTEXTE REŠPEKTOVANIA OSOBNOSTI UČIACEHO SA. In: Edukácia (vedecko-odborný časopis), ročník 3, číslo 2, 2019. ISSN 1339-8725 https://www.upjs.sk/public/media/21787/Mayer.pdf

Učíme online - Digitálne nástroje pre podporu online vzdelávania (metodická príručka spracovaná v rámci projektu a vďaka podpore Európskeho sociálneho fondu a Európskeho fondu regionálneho rozvoja v rámci OP ĽZ). IT akadémia. Dostupné na: https://itakademia.sk/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/Digitalne_nastroje_pre_podporu_online_vzdelavania.pdf (31-1-2022).

ZÁHOREC, J. (2021). Inovatívne digitálne riešenia v školskej edukácii žiakov. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 1. vydanie. Rozsah vytlačenej publikácie 141 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5121-8. [Vyšlo ako elektronická publikácia].

ZÁHOREC, J. – HAŠKOVÁ, A. – MUNK, M. (2020). Digitálna gramotnosť učiteľov v kontexte ich profesijnej prípravy. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 1. vydanie. Rozsah vytlačenej publikácie 225 strán, rozsah vrátane časti príloh 305 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-4882-9.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak language and czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 90

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
76,67	0,0	12,22	5,56	1,11	1,11	3,33

Lecturers: Ing. Eva Tóblová, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KP/B-VUZde008/22	Bachelor thesis seminar

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).
The student's task is to solve the assignments given continuously during the semester and to hand in the final thesis project at the end of the midterm evaluation. The student actively participates in presenting and discussing solutions to the interim assignments in seminars, for which he/she receives points (20%). The solution of each interim assignment that is handed in on the due date is evaluated with points (40%). The student receives points (40%) for the final thesis project at the end of the interim assessment. All points will be added together at the end of the interim assessment.
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), the student actively works on continuous assignments, participates in the presentation and discussion of the assignments in seminars. Reflects both critically and creatively on interim assignments. He/she is able to produce a final thesis project at an excellent level.
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), the student actively works adequately on the continuous assignments, participates in the presentation and discussion of the given assignments in seminars. He/she reflects adequately on the intermediate assignments and is able to produce a final thesis project at a very good level.
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), the student has performed standardly well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge. The final thesis project is done at a good level.
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), the student was less prepared during the semester, has slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge. Cannot critically analyze information. He/she prepared the final thesis project at a satisfactory level.
E (66-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), the student has limited theoretical knowledge, is unable to critically analyze this knowledge, is only minimally able to apply the knowledge. Intermediate tasks meet the minimum criteria, as well as the development of the final thesis project.

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of the course is to familiarize students theoretically and practically with the principles and procedures that need to be mastered for the successful preparation of a bachelor's thesis.
The student is able to define the topic of his/her own bachelor thesis, is able to correctly determine the methods of its processing, acquires the ability to work selectively and correctly with the professional literature on the chosen topic. He/she knows the individual parts of the final thesis. Is able to prepare and present the results of the thesis and is able to respond to questions on the thesis in discussion.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
Types of bachelor theses. The timetable of thesis processing. Definition of the topic and goal of the thesis. Study of literature. Excerpting of material. Theoretical and methodological conception of the thesis. Methodology of problem processing. Methodology of elaboration of the thesis. Formal-compositional requisites of the final thesis. How to prepare for the defence of the thesis.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:
Selection of literature according to the chosen topic of the thesis;
Current directive of the Rector of Charles University on the basic requirements of thesis;
LICHNEROVÁ, L. (2016). Písanie a obhajoba záverečných prác - vysokoškolské skriptá pre študentov Univerzity Komenského v Bratislave. Bratislava: Stimul. ISBN 978-80-8127-155-7
KATUŠČÁK, D. (2013). Ako písať záverečné a kvalifikačné práce. Nitra: Enigma. ISBN 8089132454
STAROŇOVÁ, K. (2011). Vedecké písanie: ako písať akademické a vedecké texty. Bratislava: Osveta, . ISBN 978-80-8063-359-2.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language (in the case of language subject approbations also the target language)

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1081

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,93	0,0	23,77	10,18	2,78	1,57	2,78

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KP/B-VUZde008/22	Bachelor thesis seminar

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).
The student's task is to solve the assignments given continuously during the semester and to hand in the final thesis project at the end of the midterm evaluation. The student actively participates in presenting and discussing solutions to the interim assignments in seminars, for which he/she receives points (20%). The solution of each interim assignment that is handed in on the due date is evaluated with points (40%). The student receives points (40%) for the final thesis project at the end of the interim assessment. All points will be added together at the end of the interim assessment.
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), the student actively works on continuous assignments, participates in the presentation and discussion of the assignments in seminars. Reflects both critically and creatively on interim assignments. He/she is able to produce a final thesis project at an excellent level.
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), the student actively works adequately on the continuous assignments, participates in the presentation and discussion of the given assignments in seminars. He/she reflects adequately on the intermediate assignments and is able to produce a final thesis project at a very good level.
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), the student has performed standardly well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge. The final thesis project is done at a good level.
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), the student was less prepared during the semester, has slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge. Cannot critically analyze information. He/she prepared the final thesis project at a satisfactory level.
E (66-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), the student has limited theoretical knowledge, is unable to critically analyze this knowledge, is only minimally able to apply the knowledge. Intermediate tasks meet the minimum criteria, as well as the development of the final thesis project.

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of the course is to familiarize students theoretically and practically with the principles and procedures that need to be mastered for the successful preparation of a bachelor's thesis.
The student is able to define the topic of his/her own bachelor thesis, is able to correctly determine the methods of its processing, acquires the ability to work selectively and correctly with the professional literature on the chosen topic. He/she knows the individual parts of the final thesis. Is able to prepare and present the results of the thesis and is able to respond to questions on the thesis in discussion.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
Types of bachelor theses. The timetable of thesis processing. Definition of the topic and goal of the thesis. Study of literature. Excerpting of material. Theoretical and methodological conception of the thesis. Methodology of problem processing. Methodology of elaboration of the thesis. Formal-compositional requisites of the final thesis. How to prepare for the defence of the thesis.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:
Selection of literature according to the chosen topic of the thesis;
Current directive of the Rector of Charles University on the basic requirements of thesis;
LICHNEROVÁ, L. (2016). Písanie a obhajoba záverečných prác - vysokoškolské skriptá pre študentov Univerzity Komenského v Bratislave. Bratislava: Stimul. ISBN 978-80-8127-155-7
KATUŠČÁK, D. (2013). Ako písať záverečné a kvalifikačné práce. Nitra: Enigma. ISBN 8089132454
STAROŇOVÁ, K. (2011). Vedecké písanie: ako písať akademické a vedecké texty. Bratislava: Osveta, . ISBN 978-80-8063-359-2.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language (in the case of language subject approbations also the target language)

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1081

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,93	0,0	23,77	10,18	2,78	1,57	2,78

Lecturers: Mgr. Miriam Viršinská, PhD., Mgr. Veronika Valkovičová, PhD., Mgr. Miroslava Repiská, PhD., PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD., doc. PhDr. Marian Groma, PhD., PhDr. Dušan Damián Brezány, Mgr. Marína Hríbová, PhD., prof. György Domokos, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., doc. Tivadar Palágyi, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., doc. PaedDr. Martina Šipošová Chochulová, PhD., Dr. habil. Tamás Karáth, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

STATE EXAM DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZšt011/15	**Course title:** Bachelor's thesis defence
Number of credits: 12	
Educational level: I.	

Course requirements:

Prerequisite subjects: none

Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:

The state examination of the defence of the thesis, its conditions and procedural aspects are regulated by the Internal Regulation No.1/2020 Study Regulations of the Faculty of Arts of Charles University, as well as by the Internal Regulation No. 5/2021 Study Regulations of the Charles University.

A student may take the state examination a) after obtaining at least such number of credits that after obtaining credits for successful completion of the last state examination he/she achieves the necessary number of credits for proper completion of studies and b) after successful completion of compulsory courses, compulsory elective courses and elective courses in the composition determined by the study programme except for the state examination and c) no disciplinary proceedings are conducted against him/her.

In the bachelor's degree, the student receives 12 credits for the successful defence of the bachelor's thesis (credits included in the UZ study plan).

According to the VP 23/2021 Internal Quality Assurance System for Higher Education of the Charles University in Bratislava, the student is evaluated in particular:

- Demonstration of the student's ability to collect and interpret relevant data (facts), usually within the field of study in which the student has completed the programme of study, with the possibility of interdisciplinary overlap into other fields if necessary;
- Demonstrate the ability to make informed decisions that also take into account social, scientific and ethical considerations;
- Ability to communicate information, concepts, problems and solutions to both professional and lay audiences.
- the ability to think and work creatively in the field of study in which they have completed their degree programme.
- knowledge and understanding of the problem/issue addressed.
- Applying their skills in collecting, interpreting and processing basic literature, which may include its application in practice, or solving a sub-task related to the student's future field of study/ assignment.

The rating is awarded on a scale:

A 100 - 91% (excellent - outstanding results),
B 90 - 81% (very good - above average standard),
C 80 - 73% (good - normal reliable operation),
D 72 - 66% (satisfactory - acceptable results),
E 65 - 60% (sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx 59% or less (insufficient).

A student is evaluated with the FX grade if he/she has fulfilled the requirements for the grade only at a level not exceeding 60% of the total highest possible level of fulfilment of the requirements for the completion of the subject of the state examination - the defence of the bachelor thesis. In the same way, a student shall be assessed with an FX grade if he/she has submitted a thesis in violation of paragraph 7 of Article 56 of the UK Internal Regulation 23/2021 or has submitted a thesis that does not substantially meet the requirements under paragraph 1(d), paragraph 2(d) or paragraph 3(d) of Article 56 of the UK Internal Regulation 23/2021 Internal Quality Assurance System for Higher Education at the University of Bratislava.
The details and specifications of the requirements of the final theses for the individual teacher study programmes in combination (approbation) will be specified in the respective Study Programme Descriptions.

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:
The learning outcome is in accordance with the Long-Term Plan of the Charles University/PdF, the mission and strategic goals of the Charles University/PdF, in accordance with the description of the field of study, in accordance with the NKR/SKR/DD, in accordance with the profile of the graduate, in accordance with the learning objectives and learning outcomes in the individual subjects of the study programme curriculum, and other relevant documents.
The student is able to demonstrate his/her ability to work creatively in the field of study in which he/she has completed the study programme when conceiving his/her bachelor thesis. The student is able to demonstrate adequate knowledge of the subject matter and to apply his/her skills in collecting, interpreting and processing the basic literature, or its application in practice, or is able to solve a sub-task related to the focus of the student's bachelor's thesis.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
1. Contribution of the thesis to the field of study. The evaluation of the bachelor thesis assesses whether the student has adequately demonstrated the ability to work creatively in the field of study in which he/she has completed the study programme, reflects the degree of demonstration of knowledge and knowledge of the issue, assesses the skills applied in collecting, interpreting and processing the basic literature, or the extent to which the student has mastered the application of theoretical assumptions in practice or how he/she is able to solve a subtask that is related to the focus of the student's bachelor thesis;
2. Originality of the thesis (the thesis must not be plagiarized, must not infringe the copyrights of other authors), the documentation for the defence of the thesis as a subject of the state examination includes originality protocols: from the Central Register and Theses, the results of which are commented on by the supervisor and the opponent in their opinions;
3. Correctness and correctness of citation of used information sources, research results of other authors and author teams, correctness of description of methods and working procedures of other authors or author teams;
4. Compliance of the structure of the thesis with the prescribed structure defined by the Internal Regulation No. 2/2018 Directive of the Rector of Charles University in Bratislava;
5. Respecting the recommended scope of the final thesis (the recommended scope of a bachelor's thesis is usually 30 - 40 standard pages - 54 000 to 72 000 characters including spaces), the adequacy of the scope of the thesis is assessed by the supervisor of the thesis;
6. Linguistic and stylistic level of the work and formal editing;
7. The manner and form of defending the thesis and the student's ability to respond adequately to the comments and questions in the supervisor's and opponent's evaluations.

8. In the study programme focused on the teaching of art-educational subjects, the presentation of artistic outputs and performances may be part of the final thesis and its defence.

State exam syllabus:

Recommended literature:
Recommended reading:
according to the focus of the bachelor thesis topic

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, in the case of language approbations also the language of the respective subject approbation

Notes:
Examination committee approved by the Scientific Council of PdF UK. State examination: the defence of the bachelor thesis is carried out only from one approbation. Credits are counted towards "UZ".

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde011/22	Basics of Didactics of Foreign Languages

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar / lecture
Number of hours:
per week: 2 / 2 **per level/semester:** 26 / 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours a week lecture, 2 hours per week seminar; total per semester 44 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 44 hours of in-person teaching; 40 hours of ongoing tasks and study; 20 of hours preparation of an assignment requiring individual work; 46 hours individual study of professional literature and preparation for exam. A total of 150 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: interpretation, explanation, discussion, application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, written works, presentations, controlled self-study, work in small groups, solution of tasks and assignments, observation and written reflection, brainstorming, class simulation and written self-reflection, e-learning.

Number of credits: 6

Recommended semester: 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be evaluated continuously and at the end of the course.
The interim evaluation is 35 %: active participation in classroom activities10 %; elaboration of ongoing written tasks on individual topics of 10 %; elaboration of an individual task according to the assignment of 15 %. Fulfilment of the conditions for interim evaluation is a condition for participation in the examination.
The final test represents 65 % of the evaluation.
Assessment of the test:
(the sum of the points for all responses reflects the level of knowledge acquisition)
60-65: The student has learned knowledge of the basics of didactics of the Spanish language, is excellently oriented in the given issue and knows terminology.
55-59: The student has learned knowledge of the basics of Spanish-language didactics and focuses on the issue at above-standard level, in the answers there are occasional minor inaccuracies, he uses the correct terminology.
50-54: The student has acquired standard knowledge of the basics of didactics of the Spanish language, in the answers there are less serious terminological or content deficiencies.
45-49: The student has acquired knowledge of the basics of Spanish-language didactics at a satisfactory level, the level of responses is average, there are terminological or content deficiencies.

44-40: The student has acquired knowledge of the basics of Didactics of the Spanish language at a minimum acceptable level, the level of responses is unbalanced, there are several content deficiencies and terminological inaccuracy.
0-39: The student does not have sufficient acquired knowledge of the basics of didactics of the Spanish language; does not control terminology; responses are incomplete and unsatisfactory.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to acquire the ability to orientate in the basic issue of didactics of the Spanish language as a foreign language in both theoretical and practical terms.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• knows the basics of foreign language didactics and knows the teaching methods and forms supporting the active learning of the pupil,
• can define the objectives of teaching and formulate them in the form of teaching requirements,
• become acquainted with the main principles for planning and designing teaching, implementation of teaching, evaluation of the progress and results of teaching and learning of pupils, is able to apply them in the preparation and implementation of sub-tasks in teaching and short outputs,
• knows learning materials (textbooks, games, paintings, songs, films, etc.),
• is aware of the content and requirements of the Common European Framework of Reference.
The completion of the course contributes to the development of the student's communication competence in the Spanish language, in particular the ability to understand longer speech, including lectures from his/her specialization, the ability to understand appropriately demanding professional texts from his specialization, as well as the development of oral and written speech in Spanish.

Class syllabus:

• Didactics: definition and objectives.
• Teaching pronunciation, grammar and vocabulary.
• Teaching methods and approaches.
• Common European Framework of Reference and Portfolio.
• Language activities: oral and written speech, listening and reading with comprehension, interaction and mediation activities.
• Didactic materials.
• Planning a lesson.
• Evaluation and correction of errors.
• Exercises focused on the practical aspects of Spanish language teaching.

Recommended literature:

During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
BUTAŠOVÁ, A. et. al. 2007. Koncepcia vyučovania cudzích jazykov v základných a stredných školách. Bratislava. ISBN 978-80-892-2531-6.
GÓMEZ-PABLOS, B. 2014. Didáctica de ELE. Fundamentos de didáctica. Nümbrecht: Kirsch Verlag. ISBN 978-3-943906-15-8.

RADA EURÓPY EURÓPSKEJ ÚNIE. 2017. Spoločný európsky referenčný rámec pre jazyky. Bratislava: Štátny pedagogický ústav. Available at: https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/publikacna-cinnost/publikacie/serr_tlac-indd.pdf
TUREK, I. 2014. Didaktika. Bratislava: Wolters Kluwer. ISBN 978-80-8168-004-5.
Fundamental pedagogical documents (Štátny vzdelávací program State educational programme, Decrees of the Ministry of Education on the teaching of foreign languages).

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 68

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
30,88	0,0	20,59	17,65	11,76	7,35	11,76

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde029/22	Basics of the first aid

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment:
(a) a continuous written assessment with a maximum of 80 points aimed at testing knowledge and understanding of general first aid principles as well as basic first aid principles for life-threatening conditions (cessation of breathing, blood circulation), serious injuries (bleeding, burning, scalding, hypothermia, frostbite, bone and joint injuries, injuries to body orifices) and minor injuries
(b) by developing an activity on the chosen first aid topic in the form of a simulation of the health problem mentioned above as well as an active performance of a drill on the basic principles of first aid with a maximum of 20 points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. Credit will not be awarded to a student who scores less than 40 points on the written midterm and fails to prepare for the activity. A minimum score of 60% is required to pass the course.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results), excellent performance, the student has excellent knowledge of theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health threat, can simulate a case of health threat based on the theoretical knowledge and solve the situation when providing first aid in practice
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), excellent performance, the student knows the theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health threat very well, can simulate a case of health threat only partially on the basis of the theoretical knowledge, but can deal with the situation when providing first aid in practice
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), good performance, although the student has a good knowledge of theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health hazards, but cannot simulate a case of a health hazard on their basis, but can partially solve the situation when providing first aid in practice

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), satisfactory performance, the student partially knows the theoretical knowledge of health procedures in cases of health threats, but is unable to simulate a case of a health threat and is only partially able to apply the theory to practice
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet the minimum criteria), satisfactory performance, the student has only minimal knowledge of the theoretical knowledge of health procedures in cases of health threats, is unable to simulate a case of a health threat and is able to apply the theory to practice only partially
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), insufficient performance, student does not have sufficient knowledge of basic health procedures in health emergencies, nor is he/she able to simulate a health emergency and apply the theory to practice
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of the course is to teach students the basics of first aid, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, as well as the management of serious medical conditions. Upon completion of the course, the student will gain theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health threats to children and adolescents. At the same time, the student will strengthen his/her communication and organizational skills in dealing with serious health emergencies through simulated situations.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. General principles of first aid (the student acquires basic knowledge and knowledge of the general principles of first aid, can recognize basic first aid from rapid medical and technical assistance, can assess the situation without endangering his/her own life, assess the health condition of the affected - the severity and extent, take urgent measures to save life and knows the correct procedure for calling the ambulance service)
2. Positioning and displacement. (the student is familiarized with the basic positions in providing first aid and possible methods of removal, so that the health of the affected person does not further endanger)
3. First aid for life-threatening conditions (respiratory arrest, circulatory arrest) (the student will be able to recognize the signs of respiratory arrest and circulatory arrest and subsequently provide cardiopulmonary resuscitation in both adults and children in the correct way).
4. First aid for serious injuries (bleeding, burns, scalding, hypothermia, frostbite, bone and joint injuries, injuries to body orifices). (the student is able to recognise the risks to health in different degrees of bleeding, burning, scalding, hypothermia, frostbite, bone and joint injuries, injuries to body orifices, to administer appropriate basic first aid in relation to the extent of the injury and consequently to recognise the signs of shock and to provide the correct anti-shock measures)
5. First aid for minor injuries (the student can recognise minor injuries and provide appropriate first aid)

Recommended literature:
Recommended reading:
DOBIÁŠ, V. (2017). 5P. Bratislava: Dixit, 302 s., ISBN 978-80-89662-24-1
Krajina záchranárov. Prvá pomoc. Online: www.prvapomoc.sk
ŠANTA, M. a kol. (2006). Prvá pomoc. Martin: Osveta, 179 s., ISBN 80-8063-207-3

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak language

Notes:

Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 361						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
67,04	0,0	10,8	7,76	4,99	4,71	4,71
Lecturers: Mgr. Mária Fuchsová, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde029/22	**Course title:** Basics of the first aid
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** practicals **Number of hours:** **per week:** 2 **per level/semester:** 26 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	

Course requirements:

Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment:
(a) a continuous written assessment with a maximum of 80 points aimed at testing knowledge and understanding of general first aid principles as well as basic first aid principles for life-threatening conditions (cessation of breathing, blood circulation), serious injuries (bleeding, burning, scalding, hypothermia, frostbite, bone and joint injuries, injuries to body orifices) and minor injuries
(b) by developing an activity on the chosen first aid topic in the form of a simulation of the health problem mentioned above as well as an active performance of a drill on the basic principles of first aid with a maximum of 20 points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. Credit will not be awarded to a student who scores less than 40 points on the written midterm and fails to prepare for the activity. A minimum score of 60% is required to pass the course.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results), excellent performance, the student has excellent knowledge of theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health threat, can simulate a case of health threat based on the theoretical knowledge and solve the situation when providing first aid in practice
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), excellent performance, the student knows the theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health threat very well, can simulate a case of health threat only partially on the basis of the theoretical knowledge, but can deal with the situation when providing first aid in practice
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), good performance, although the student has a good knowledge of theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health hazards, but cannot simulate a case of a health hazard on their basis, but can partially solve the situation when providing first aid in practice

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), satisfactory performance, the student partially knows the theoretical knowledge of health procedures in cases of health threats, but is unable to simulate a case of a health threat and is only partially able to apply the theory to practice
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet the minimum criteria), satisfactory performance, the student has only minimal knowledge of the theoretical knowledge of health procedures in cases of health threats, is unable to simulate a case of a health threat and is able to apply the theory to practice only partially
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), insufficient performance, student does not have sufficient knowledge of basic health procedures in health emergencies, nor is he/she able to simulate a health emergency and apply the theory to practice
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of the course is to teach students the basics of first aid, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, as well as the management of serious medical conditions. Upon completion of the course, the student will gain theoretical knowledge of medical procedures in cases of health threats to children and adolescents. At the same time, the student will strengthen his/her communication and organizational skills in dealing with serious health emergencies through simulated situations.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. General principles of first aid (the student acquires basic knowledge and knowledge of the general principles of first aid, can recognize basic first aid from rapid medical and technical assistance, can assess the situation without endangering his/her own life, assess the health condition of the affected - the severity and extent, take urgent measures to save life and knows the correct procedure for calling the ambulance service)
2. Positioning and displacement. (the student is familiarized with the basic positions in providing first aid and possible methods of removal, so that the health of the affected person does not further endanger)
3. First aid for life-threatening conditions (respiratory arrest, circulatory arrest) (the student will be able to recognize the signs of respiratory arrest and circulatory arrest and subsequently provide cardiopulmonary resuscitation in both adults and children in the correct way).
4. First aid for serious injuries (bleeding, burns, scalding, hypothermia, frostbite, bone and joint injuries, injuries to body orifices). (the student is able to recognise the risks to health in different degrees of bleeding, burning, scalding, hypothermia, frostbite, bone and joint injuries, injuries to body orifices, to administer appropriate basic first aid in relation to the extent of the injury and consequently to recognise the signs of shock and to provide the correct anti-shock measures)
5. First aid for minor injuries (the student can recognise minor injuries and provide appropriate first aid)

Recommended literature:
Recommended reading:
DOBIÁŠ, V. (2017). 5P. Bratislava: Dixit, 302 s., ISBN 978-80-89662-24-1
Krajina záchranárov. Prvá pomoc. Online: www.prvapomoc.sk
ŠANTA, M. a kol. (2006). Prvá pomoc. Martin: Osveta, 179 s., ISBN 80-8063-207-3

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak language

Notes:

Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 361						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
67,04	0,0	10,8	7,76	4,99	4,71	4,71
Lecturers: Mgr. Mária Fuchsová, PhD.						
Last change: 09.11.2022						
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde043/24	**Course title:** COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir I.
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** seminar **Number of hours:** **per week:** 2 **per level/semester:** 26 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information Organizational Form: 2 seminar hours per week, totaling 22 hours per semester, delivered in a blended format (primarily in-person). Student Workload: 11 sessions x 2 hours of direct instruction (total: 22 hours), 22 hours of continuous preparation for classes, 8 hours of preparation for the final performance, Total: 52 hours of student work Teaching Methods: A combination of monological methods (instruction) and practical methods.	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Participation in the course requires the successful completion of an entrance exam, which assesses the student's intonation and rhythmic abilities. This assessment is conducted by the choir conductor. The evaluation criteria are based on continuous and final practical collective/individual performance. The evaluation of the continuous practical performance includes fulfilling partial tasks within specific voice groups and participation in internal, public, or other concert performances. The final practical performance consists of a collective presentation of selected repertoire studied during the course. Grading Scale: • A (100–91%, excellent – outstanding results) • B (90–81%, very good – above-average standard) • C (80–73%, good – consistent, reliable work) • D (72–66%, satisfactory – acceptable results) • E (65–60%, sufficient – meets minimum criteria) • FX (59–0%, insufficient – requires additional work)	
Learning outcomes: The aim of the course is to develop and enhance collective vocal expression within the framework of a choir ensemble. The student acquires the principles of choral singing technique and harmonic-	

rhythmic awareness. They develop skills in teamwork and communication. Additionally, the student gains stage experience and represents the university at domestic and international events.

Class syllabus:
The course focuses on exploring choral works from various stylistic periods, gaining practical experience in their interpretation, and applying these methods in practice.

Recommended literature:
Required Literature:
Sheet music/choral pieces as determined by the conductor.
Recommended Literature:
FUCHS, J. Sólový zpěv a#jeho vztah ke zpěvu sborovému.
KANIŠÁKOVÁ, T. Zborový spev ako formujúci prostriedok vo výchove. In: Acta paedgogicae. Prešov: PdF PU, 2002. ISBN 80-8068-076-0.
Proceedings from international symposia CANTUS CHORALIS.
Recordings of choral compositions from various stylistic periods and different choral ensembles.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 34

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
97,06	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	2,94

Lecturers: doc. Monika Bažíková, ArtD., Mgr. art. Stanislava Maggioni, ArtD.

Last change: 24.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KP/B-VUZde043/24	COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir I.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Organizational Form:
2 seminar hours per week, totaling 22 hours per semester, delivered in a blended format (primarily in-person).
Student Workload:
11 sessions x 2 hours of direct instruction (total: 22 hours), 22 hours of continuous preparation for classes, 8 hours of preparation for the final performance, Total: 52 hours of student work
Teaching Methods:
A combination of monological methods (instruction) and practical methods.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Participation in the course requires the successful completion of an entrance exam, which assesses the student's intonation and rhythmic abilities. This assessment is conducted by the choir conductor. The evaluation criteria are based on continuous and final practical collective/individual performance.
The evaluation of the continuous practical performance includes fulfilling partial tasks within specific voice groups and participation in internal, public, or other concert performances. The final practical performance consists of a collective presentation of selected repertoire studied during the course.
Grading Scale:
• A (100–91%, excellent – outstanding results)
• B (90–81%, very good – above-average standard)
• C (80–73%, good – consistent, reliable work)
• D (72–66%, satisfactory – acceptable results)
• E (65–60%, sufficient – meets minimum criteria)
• FX (59–0%, insufficient – requires additional work)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to develop and enhance collective vocal expression within the framework of a choir ensemble. The student acquires the principles of choral singing technique and harmonic-

rhythmic awareness. They develop skills in teamwork and communication. Additionally, the student gains stage experience and represents the university at domestic and international events.

Class syllabus:
The course focuses on exploring choral works from various stylistic periods, gaining practical experience in their interpretation, and applying these methods in practice.

Recommended literature:
Required Literature:
Sheet music/choral pieces as determined by the conductor.
Recommended Literature:
FUCHS, J. Sólový zpěv a#jeho vztah ke zpěvu sborovému.
KANIŠÁKOVÁ, T. Zborový spev ako formujúci prostriedok vo výchove. In: Acta paedgogicae. Prešov: PdF PU, 2002. ISBN 80-8068-076-0.
Proceedings from international symposia CANTUS CHORALIS.
Recordings of choral compositions from various stylistic periods and different choral ensembles.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 34

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
97,06	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	2,94

Lecturers: doc. Monika Bažíková, ArtD., Mgr. art. Stanislava Maggioni, ArtD.

Last change: 24.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KP/B-VUZde044/24	COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir II.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Organizational Form:
2 seminar hours per week, totaling 22 hours per semester, delivered in a blended format (primarily in-person).
Student Workload:
11 sessions x 2 hours of direct instruction (total: 22 hours), 22 hours of continuous preparation for classes, 8 hours of preparation for the final performance, Total: 52 hours of student work
Teaching Methods:
A combination of monological methods (instruction) and practical methods.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Course Completion Requirements:
The evaluation criteria are based on the continuous and final practical collective performance. The assessment of the continuous practical performance includes completing partial tasks within the individual voice groups and participating in any internal, public, or other concert performances. The final practical performance consists of a collective presentation of the selected repertoire studied.
Grading Scale:
• A (100–91%, excellent – outstanding results)
• B (90–81%, very good – above-average standard)
• C (80–73%, good – consistent, reliable work)
• D (72–66%, satisfactory – acceptable results)
• E (65–60%, sufficient – meets minimum criteria)
• FX (59–0%, insufficient – requires additional work)

Learning outcomes:
The student develops a sense of cultivated singing, with appropriate expression and performance.
The student enhances their musicality and applies acquired knowledge in vocal activities while working with musical material in choral ensembles.
The student gains an understanding of concert dramaturgy.

Class syllabus:

The course focuses on exploring choral works from various stylistic periods, gaining practical experience in their interpretation, and applying these methods in practice.

Recommended literature:

Required Literature:
Sheet music as determined by the conductor.
Recommended Literature:
FUCHS, J. Sólový zpěv a#jeho vztah ke zpěvu sborovému.
KANIŠÁKOVÁ, T. Zborový spev ako formujúci prostriedok vo výchove. In: Acta paedgogicae. Prešov: PdF PU, 2002. ISBN 80-8068-076-0.
Proceedings from international symposia CANTUS CHORALIS.
Recordings of choral compositions from various stylistic periods and different choral ensembles.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 10

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Monika Bažíková, ArtD., Mgr. art. Stanislava Maggioni, ArtD.

Last change: 24.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KP/B-VUZde044/24	COMENIUS – Practice in a Choir II.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Organizational Form:
2 seminar hours per week, totaling 22 hours per semester, delivered in a blended format (primarily in-person).
Student Workload:
11 sessions x 2 hours of direct instruction (total: 22 hours), 22 hours of continuous preparation for classes, 8 hours of preparation for the final performance, Total: 52 hours of student work
Teaching Methods:
A combination of monological methods (instruction) and practical methods.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Course Completion Requirements:
The evaluation criteria are based on the continuous and final practical collective performance. The assessment of the continuous practical performance includes completing partial tasks within the individual voice groups and participating in any internal, public, or other concert performances. The final practical performance consists of a collective presentation of the selected repertoire studied.
Grading Scale:
• A (100–91%, excellent – outstanding results)
• B (90–81%, very good – above-average standard)
• C (80–73%, good – consistent, reliable work)
• D (72–66%, satisfactory – acceptable results)
• E (65–60%, sufficient – meets minimum criteria)
• FX (59–0%, insufficient – requires additional work)

Learning outcomes:
The student develops a sense of cultivated singing, with appropriate expression and performance.
The student enhances their musicality and applies acquired knowledge in vocal activities while working with musical material in choral ensembles.
The student gains an understanding of concert dramaturgy.

Class syllabus:

The course focuses on exploring choral works from various stylistic periods, gaining practical experience in their interpretation, and applying these methods in practice.

Recommended literature:

Required Literature:
Sheet music as determined by the conductor.
Recommended Literature:
FUCHS, J. Sólový zpěv a#jeho vztah ke zpěvu sborovému.
KANIŠÁKOVÁ, T. Zborový spev ako formujúci prostriedok vo výchove. In: Acta paedgogicae. Prešov: PdF PU, 2002. ISBN 80-8068-076-0.
Proceedings from international symposia CANTUS CHORALIS.
Recordings of choral compositions from various stylistic periods and different choral ensembles.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 10

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Monika Bažíková, ArtD., Mgr. art. Stanislava Maggioni, ArtD.

Last change: 24.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde027/22	Child and adolescents in life crisis situations

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an evaluation, the ratio of the interim and final evaluation is 100/0. The task of the students is to prepare an interim seminar paper (maximum 40 points). The students present and discuss their seminar work in a group, followed by a colloquial assessment in the form of a class discussion. Active communication and the ability for healthy reflection and self-reflection are assessed (max. 20 points). The assessment will also include a mid-term test on the material covered (max. 40 points). A minimum of 60% of the maximum possible course grade is required for successful completion of the course.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
Weighting of intermediate/final assessment: 100/0
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course Children and Adolescents in Crisis Life Situations is to provide students with knowledge about possible crisis situations of children and adolescents and their implications for the educational process in school.
By completing the course
- Students will gain an overview of the crisis situations that the current generation of children and youth is going through and the impact that different types of crises leave in the area of emotionality, behaviour and actions of the child/pupil/pupils, which, of course, also affects the whole area of their upbringing and education;
- acquire the ability to detect warning signs of possible danger to the pupil/pupils, the ability to implement crisis intervention and to cooperate with other institutions and the family in resolving crisis situations;
- they know how to apply the knowledge in an educational context in order to preventively strengthen pupils' resilience to psychological stress and develop their personality strengths.
Transferable competences: improving communication skills, critical thinking and contextual reasoning.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Terminological definitions- life crisis, stressful situation, emotional loss, disasters/natural calamities, hurtful events.
2. Classification, development, course of life crises. Specifics of crises in children and adolescents. Psychological, somatic and social consequences of unprocessed crisis events on a person's life. First aid in a crisis situation.
3. Crisis situations related to the family environment. Relational crisis - disruption or loss of relationship (divorce and separation of parents, death in the family) ; Material loss - loss of housing and/or employment of parents. Alcoholism, drugs and violence in the family;
4. 4. Peer and school-related crisis situations - non-acceptance to school; loss of position and role in the group, exclusion from the group; bullying and cyberbullying; peer violence. Adolescent partnership and sexuality as a source of crisis.
5. Intrapsychic crisis - loss of expectations, plans, ideals. Psychospiritual crisis in adolescents.
6. Self-harm (self-mutilation) in children and adolescents. Warning signs and signs. Prevalence, causes and consequences.
7. Specifics of suicidal (suicidal) behavior in children and adolescents. Warning signs of suicidal threat. Crisis intervention in case of suicide attempt.

8. Possibilities of cooperation between the teacher and other institutions and the family in dealing with crisis situations.
9. Crisis intervention and its phases - initiation, implementation and termination of the intervention.
10. Forms of crisis intervention - direct face-to-face assistance , distance (telephone, chat, e-mail, etc.), outreach services - outpatient and residential.
11. Resilience to psychological distress as crisis prevention; development of personality strengths; resilience development techniques.

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Required reading:
Špatenková N. a kol. 2017. Krize a krizová intervence. 1.vyd. Grada: Praha. 288 s. ISBN 978-80-247-5327-0
Recommended reading:
Derková, J. 2014. Rodinná terapia v praxi. 2.dopl.vydanie. Bratislava : Radosť, 278 s. ISBN 978-80-971319-1-3.
Ferková, Š. 2013. Učiteľ a jeho úloha pri riešení sebapoškodzovania žiakov. In Evropské pedagogické fórum. Hradec Králové : Magnanimitas, 2013. ISBN 978-80-905243-9-2.
Ferková, Š. 2013. Úloha školy v prevencii samovrážd u detí a dospievajúcich. In Sociálnopedagogické štúdie. Bratislava : UK, 2013. ISBN 978-80-223-3360-3.
Jedlička, R. a kol. 2004. Děti a mládež v obtížných životních situacích. Praha : Themis, 2004. ISBN 80-7312-038-0.
Lukšík, I., Lemešová, M. 2013. Deti v ťažkých životných situáciách. Trnava : Universitatis Tyrnaviensis, 2013. ISBN 978-80-8082-762-5.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 11

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-PEDde027/22	**Course title:** Child and adolescents in life crisis situations
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** seminar **Number of hours:** **per week:** 2 **per level/semester:** 26 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	

Course requirements:

Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an evaluation, the ratio of the interim and final evaluation is 100/0. The task of the students is to prepare an interim seminar paper (maximum 40 points). The students present and discuss their seminar work in a group, followed by a colloquial assessment in the form of a class discussion. Active communication and the ability for healthy reflection and self-reflection are assessed (max. 20 points). The assessment will also include a mid-term test on the material covered (max. 40 points). A minimum of 60% of the maximum possible course grade is required for successful completion of the course.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
Weighting of intermediate/final assessment: 100/0
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course Children and Adolescents in Crisis Life Situations is to provide students with knowledge about possible crisis situations of children and adolescents and their implications for the educational process in school.
By completing the course
- Students will gain an overview of the crisis situations that the current generation of children and youth is going through and the impact that different types of crises leave in the area of emotionality, behaviour and actions of the child/pupil/pupils, which, of course, also affects the whole area of their upbringing and education;
- acquire the ability to detect warning signs of possible danger to the pupil/pupils, the ability to implement crisis intervention and to cooperate with other institutions and the family in resolving crisis situations;
- they know how to apply the knowledge in an educational context in order to preventively strengthen pupils' resilience to psychological stress and develop their personality strengths.
Transferable competences: improving communication skills, critical thinking and contextual reasoning.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Terminological definitions- life crisis, stressful situation, emotional loss, disasters/natural calamities, hurtful events.
2. Classification, development, course of life crises. Specifics of crises in children and adolescents. Psychological, somatic and social consequences of unprocessed crisis events on a person's life. First aid in a crisis situation.
3. Crisis situations related to the family environment. Relational crisis - disruption or loss of relationship (divorce and separation of parents, death in the family) ; Material loss - loss of housing and/or employment of parents. Alcoholism, drugs and violence in the family;
4. 4. Peer and school-related crisis situations - non-acceptance to school; loss of position and role in the group, exclusion from the group; bullying and cyberbullying; peer violence. Adolescent partnership and sexuality as a source of crisis.
5. Intrapsychic crisis - loss of expectations, plans, ideals. Psychospiritual crisis in adolescents.
6. Self-harm (self-mutilation) in children and adolescents. Warning signs and signs. Prevalence, causes and consequences.
7. Specifics of suicidal (suicidal) behavior in children and adolescents. Warning signs of suicidal threat. Crisis intervention in case of suicide attempt.

8. Possibilities of cooperation between the teacher and other institutions and the family in dealing with crisis situations.
9. Crisis intervention and its phases - initiation, implementation and termination of the intervention.
10. Forms of crisis intervention - direct face-to-face assistance , distance (telephone, chat, e-mail, etc.), outreach services - outpatient and residential.
11. Resilience to psychological distress as crisis prevention; development of personality strengths; resilience development techniques.

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Required reading:
Špatenková N. a kol. 2017. Krize a krizová intervence. 1.vyd. Grada: Praha. 288 s. ISBN 978-80-247-5327-0
Recommended reading:
Derková, J. 2014. Rodinná terapia v praxi. 2.dopl.vydanie. Bratislava : Radosť, 278 s. ISBN 978-80-971319-1-3.
Ferková, Š. 2013. Učiteľ a jeho úloha pri riešení sebapoškodzovania žiakov. In Evropské pedagogické fórum. Hradec Králové : Magnanimitas, 2013. ISBN 978-80-905243-9-2.
Ferková, Š. 2013. Úloha školy v prevencii samovrážd u detí a dospievajúcich. In Sociálnopedagogické štúdie. Bratislava : UK, 2013. ISBN 978-80-223-3360-3.
Jedlička, R. a kol. 2004. Děti a mládež v obtížných životních situacích. Praha : Themis, 2004. ISBN 80-7312-038-0.
Lukšík, I., Lemešová, M. 2013. Deti v ťažkých životných situáciách. Trnava : Universitatis Tyrnaviensis, 2013. ISBN 978-80-8082-762-5.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 11

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde031/22	**Course title:** Child biological development and school hygiene
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** seminar **Number of hours:** **per week:** 2 **per level/semester:** 26 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	

Course requirements:

Prerequisite subjects: none

Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:

The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).

The subject is finished:

(a) a continuous written assessment with a maximum score of 80 points aimed at reviewing knowledge and knowledge of the child's developmental characteristics and the factors that affect the child's biological physiological development and which may be the causes of pathological growth and at the same time the consequences of a lower level of the child's ability to cope with the educational process of school education; reviewing the knowledge and use of methods that assess and diagnose physiologically healthy , resp. pathological biological growth and development of the child and, ultimately, on the issue of prevention and protection of the child's health in all its components of the bio-psycho-social nature of the child's development

b) by developing protocols for the assessment and diagnosis of biological development with a maximum score of 20 points as a form of the ability to apply theoretical knowledge about physiologically healthy or pathological biological development of the child to pedagogical practice

A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E.

Credit will not be awarded to a student who scores less than 40 points on the written midterm review and fails to complete a protocol for assessing and diagnosing the child's biological development. A minimum score of 60% is required to pass the course.

The rating is awarded on a scale:

A (100-91%, proficient - outstanding), excellent performance, the student has an excellent command of the knowledge of physiologically healthy biological development of the child and has an excellent understanding of the environmental factors that cause pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, critically assesses the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child, the student can apply the methods of assessment and

diagnosis of biological development on practical examples and can apply them in the education and training of children
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), excellent performance, the student has a very good command of the knowledge of the physiologically healthy biological development of the child, knows very well which of the environmental factors are the causes of the development of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, but critical thinking in the field of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child is borderline, but the student can apply the methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development on practical examples and can apply them in the education and training of children
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), good performance, although the student has a good command of the knowledge of the physiologically healthy biological development of the child, he/she knows well which environmental factors are the causes of the development of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, but critical thinking in the area of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child is absent, but can partially apply the methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development on practical examples and can apply them in the education and training of children
D (72-66%, Satisfactory-Acceptable performance), Satisfactory performance, the student partially knows the knowledge of physiologically healthy biological development of the child and partially knows which environmental factors are the causes of the development of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, critical thinking in the field of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance is absent but he/she is able to apply the methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development in the form of practical examples and apply them in the education of children only partially
E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria), satisfactory performance, the student has only minimal knowledge of the physiologically healthy biological development of the child, and similarly minimal knowledge of the environmental factors that cause pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, critical thinking in the field of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance is absent, and is able to apply methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development in the form of practical examples and apply them in the education and training of children only partially
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), inadequate performance, student does not sufficiently master the basic knowledge of physiologically healthy biological development of the child, at the same time does not sufficiently know which of the environmental factors are the causes of the emergence of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, is neither able to critically evaluate the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance, nor is he or she able to apply methods of assessing and diagnosing biological development in the form of practical examples and apply them in the education and training of children

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
Upon completion of the course, students should have knowledge and understanding of the biological laws of growth and development and the factors that determine them. They will acquire knowledge of the bio-psycho-social integration of the human organism. Understand the spatio-temporal sequence and interdependence of changes in the structure and function of the human organism.

They will become familiar with critical developmental periods and risk factors interfering with physiological growth and development. They will understand the principles of regulation and adaptation of the organism in the context of environmental influences. At the same time, students will strengthen their mathematical skills and analytical abilities in the development of protocols for the assessment of child biological development. Ultimately, the course will provide students with the ability to evaluate the appropriateness of the demands of the educational process on a child's physical and psychological performance in relation to the child's level of biological maturation

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1.Defining ontogeny. Progressive and regressive changes with age. Biological explanation of the concepts of growth and development. The laws of growth and development. Typical and atypical growth and development. (The student can distinguish between physiologically healthy and pathological biological development of a child for the purpose of applying knowledge in the education of children, in the form of effective collaboration with special educators, psychologists, and other practitioners)

2.Factors determining growth and development: genetic, neurohormonal, environmental factors. Genetic factors: heredity, mutations - gene, chromosomal aberrations. Mutagens and teratogens. Mutations. Chromosomal aberrations. (The student knows and understands the endogenous endogenous environmental factors that determine the hereditary predisposition of the child to cope with the demands of the educational process on the psychological and physical load of the organism)

3.Environmental factors. Definition of environment, external, internal environment. Neurohormonal regulation. Importance of neural and hormonal regulation as a mechanism of biological adaptation. Determination of growth and developmental processes by environmental factors. Nutrition. Maternal factors. Climatic and geographical factors. Socio-economic level. Morbidity. (The student knows which of the factors act on the biological development of the child and which may be the consequences of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling)

4.Ontogenetic development. The division of ontogeny: the biological definition of the different periods. Prenatal, perinatal, postnatal periods. Prenatal period -formation and development of the embryo (gestation), importance of the placenta. Environmental risk factors threatening prenatal development. Perinatal period. Childbirth, newborn at risk. Postnatal period- characteristics of the neonatal, infant, toddler, preschool, junior and senior school age periods in terms of somatic changes (growth, motor development) and risks of developmental disruption. Brief overview of anatomy and physiology of organ systems. (the student distinguishes the age-specific characteristics of the individual systems in the different periods of ontogenesis and is able to use and apply these characteristics in the practice of school education)

5.Methods of assessment of physical growth and development, determination of biological maturity. Adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance - Biological rhythms. Dynamic stereotype. Fatigue and resumption of work activity. (The student can diagnose the level of development of children of preschool and younger school age, critically evaluate the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child and on the basis of the diagnosis to design and implement an appropriate intervention)

6.Pathologically altered growth and development. Prevention of disorders of somatic development - primary, secondary, tertiary. (the student knows how to prevent pathologically altered growth and development in its beginnings, and knows the basics of prevention and protection of the child's health in all components of the bio-psycho-social nature of the child's development)

7. Principles of the organisation of teaching in terms of physical and mental hygiene. Hygiene of the school environment and conditions for educational activities of pupils. (the student knows the

basic principles of the organisation of teaching and the correct hygiene of the school environment in terms of the physical and mental hygiene of the pupil)

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

FUCHSOVÁ, M. (2020). Somatický vývin dieťaťa a jeho poruchy s využitím vzdelávacích moderných technológií. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 145 s. ISBN 978-80-223-4812-6 https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/fileadmin/pdf/Sucasti/Katedry/KDPP/Fuchsova_def..pdf

DROBNÝ, I., DROBNÁ, M. (2000). Biológia dieťaťa pre špeciálnych pedagógov II. Bratislava: Pedagogická fakulta UK, 152 s. ISBN 80-223-1962-7

MACHOVÁ, J. Biologie člověka pro učitele. Praha: Karolinum, 2008, 269 s. ISBN 80-7184-867-0

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 6

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
16,67	0,0	16,67	50,0	16,67	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: Mgr. Mária Fuchsová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde031/22	Child biological development and school hygiene

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).
The subject is finished:
(a) a continuous written assessment with a maximum score of 80 points aimed at reviewing knowledge and knowledge of the child's developmental characteristics and the factors that affect the child's biological physiological development and which may be the causes of pathological growth and at the same time the consequences of a lower level of the child's ability to cope with the educational process of school education; reviewing the knowledge and use of methods that assess and diagnose physiologically healthy , resp. pathological biological growth and development of the child and, ultimately, on the issue of prevention and protection of the child's health in all its components of the bio-psycho-social nature of the child's development
b) by developing protocols for the assessment and diagnosis of biological development with a maximum score of 20 points as a form of the ability to apply theoretical knowledge about physiologically healthy or pathological biological development of the child to pedagogical practice
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E.
Credit will not be awarded to a student who scores less than 40 points on the written midterm review and fails to complete a protocol for assessing and diagnosing the child's biological development. A minimum score of 60% is required to pass the course.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, proficient - outstanding), excellent performance, the student has an excellent command of the knowledge of physiologically healthy biological development of the child and has an excellent understanding of the environmental factors that cause pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, critically assesses the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child, the student can apply the methods of assessment and

diagnosis of biological development on practical examples and can apply them in the education and training of children
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), excellent performance, the student has a very good command of the knowledge of the physiologically healthy biological development of the child, knows very well which of the environmental factors are the causes of the development of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, but critical thinking in the field of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child is borderline, but the student can apply the methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development on practical examples and can apply them in the education and training of children
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), good performance, although the student has a good command of the knowledge of the physiologically healthy biological development of the child, he/she knows well which environmental factors are the causes of the development of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, but critical thinking in the area of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child is absent, but can partially apply the methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development on practical examples and can apply them in the education and training of children
D (72-66%, Satisfactory-Acceptable performance), Satisfactory performance, the student partially knows the knowledge of physiologically healthy biological development of the child and partially knows which environmental factors are the causes of the development of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, critical thinking in the field of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance is absent but he/she is able to apply the methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development in the form of practical examples and apply them in the education of children only partially
E (65-60%, satisfactory - results meet minimum criteria), satisfactory performance, the student has only minimal knowledge of the physiologically healthy biological development of the child, and similarly minimal knowledge of the environmental factors that cause pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, critical thinking in the field of evaluation of the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance is absent, and is able to apply methods of evaluation and diagnosis of biological development in the form of practical examples and apply them in the education and training of children only partially
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), inadequate performance, student does not sufficiently master the basic knowledge of physiologically healthy biological development of the child, at the same time does not sufficiently know which of the environmental factors are the causes of the emergence of pathological growth as a result of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling, is neither able to critically evaluate the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance, nor is he or she able to apply methods of assessing and diagnosing biological development in the form of practical examples and apply them in the education and training of children

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
Upon completion of the course, students should have knowledge and understanding of the biological laws of growth and development and the factors that determine them. They will acquire knowledge of the bio-psycho-social integration of the human organism. Understand the spatio-temporal sequence and interdependence of changes in the structure and function of the human organism.

They will become familiar with critical developmental periods and risk factors interfering with physiological growth and development. They will understand the principles of regulation and adaptation of the organism in the context of environmental influences. At the same time, students will strengthen their mathematical skills and analytical abilities in the development of protocols for the assessment of child biological development. Ultimately, the course will provide students with the ability to evaluate the appropriateness of the demands of the educational process on a child's physical and psychological performance in relation to the child's level of biological maturation

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:

1.Defining ontogeny. Progressive and regressive changes with age. Biological explanation of the concepts of growth and development. The laws of growth and development. Typical and atypical growth and development. (The student can distinguish between physiologically healthy and pathological biological development of a child for the purpose of applying knowledge in the education of children, in the form of effective collaboration with special educators, psychologists, and other practitioners)

2.Factors determining growth and development: genetic, neurohormonal, environmental factors. Genetic factors: heredity, mutations - gene, chromosomal aberrations. Mutagens and teratogens. Mutations. Chromosomal aberrations. (The student knows and understands the endogenous endogenous environmental factors that determine the hereditary predisposition of the child to cope with the demands of the educational process on the psychological and physical load of the organism)

3.Environmental factors. Definition of environment, external, internal environment. Neurohormonal regulation. Importance of neural and hormonal regulation as a mechanism of biological adaptation. Determination of growth and developmental processes by environmental factors. Nutrition. Maternal factors. Climatic and geographical factors. Socio-economic level. Morbidity. (The student knows which of the factors act on the biological development of the child and which may be the consequences of the child's lower ability to cope with the educational process of the student's schooling)

4.Ontogenetic development. The division of ontogeny: the biological definition of the different periods. Prenatal, perinatal, postnatal periods. Prenatal period -formation and development of the embryo (gestation), importance of the placenta. Environmental risk factors threatening prenatal development. Perinatal period. Childbirth, newborn at risk. Postnatal period- characteristics of the neonatal, infant, toddler, preschool, junior and senior school age periods in terms of somatic changes (growth, motor development) and risks of developmental disruption. Brief overview of anatomy and physiology of organ systems. (the student distinguishes the age-specific characteristics of the individual systems in the different periods of ontogenesis and is able to use and apply these characteristics in the practice of school education)

5.Methods of assessment of physical growth and development, determination of biological maturity. Adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the child's physical and psychological performance - Biological rhythms. Dynamic stereotype. Fatigue and resumption of work activity. (The student can diagnose the level of development of children of preschool and younger school age, critically evaluate the adequacy of the demands of the educational process on the physical and psychological performance of the child and on the basis of the diagnosis to design and implement an appropriate intervention)

6.Pathologically altered growth and development. Prevention of disorders of somatic development - primary, secondary, tertiary. (the student knows how to prevent pathologically altered growth and development in its beginnings, and knows the basics of prevention and protection of the child's health in all components of the bio-psycho-social nature of the child's development)

7. Principles of the organisation of teaching in terms of physical and mental hygiene. Hygiene of the school environment and conditions for educational activities of pupils. (the student knows the

basic principles of the organisation of teaching and the correct hygiene of the school environment in terms of the physical and mental hygiene of the pupil)

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

FUCHSOVÁ, M. (2020). Somatický vývin dieťaťa a jeho poruchy s využitím vzdelávacích moderných technológií. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 145 s. ISBN 978-80-223-4812-6 https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/fileadmin/pdf/Sucasti/Katedry/KDPP/Fuchsova_def..pdf

DROBNÝ, I., DROBNÁ, M. (2000). Biológia dieťaťa pre špeciálnych pedagógov II. Bratislava: Pedagogická fakulta UK, 152 s. ISBN 80-223-1962-7

MACHOVÁ, J. Biologie člověka pro učitele. Praha: Karolinum, 2008, 269 s. ISBN 80-7184-867-0

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 6

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
16,67	0,0	16,67	50,0	16,67	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: Mgr. Mária Fuchsová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde031/22	Colloquium on selected issues of upbringing and education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 5

Recommended semester: 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an examination. The weighting of the interim and final assessment is 50/50.
The intermediate assessment (50%) consists of an assessment of the student's ability to identify and clearly explain the essence of the selected current problem in the field of education and training (maximum 3 points), to identify and describe the current state of the solution to the selected problem in educational theory and practice (maximum 5 points), to analyse and critically evaluate the proposed solutions (maximum 5 points), to formulate his/her own conclusions and recommendations for practice, including their argumentation (maximum 3 points), and the ability to manage the discussion of the selected problem (maximum 4 points). The maximum possible number of points in the intermediate assessment is 20.
The final assessment (50%) consists of an examination grade for an essay on a selected issue in the field of education. The maximum possible number of points for the essay is 20.
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (24 points).
The overall grade is awarded on a grading scale:
A (40-37 points) - excellent (outstanding results),
B (36-33 points) - very good (above average standard),
C (32-30 points) - good (average results),
D (29-27 points) - satisfactory (acceptable results),
E (26-24 points) - sufficient (results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (23-0 points) - underperforming (unacceptable results).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.

A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Upon completion of the course, students will be able to identify current issues in education. They will be able to search, analyse and critically evaluate relevant information sources that describe, analyse and evaluate current issues in education. Students will be able to present and discuss their findings clearly and comprehensibly.
Transferable competences: in presenting and discussing their findings in seminars, students will develop their communication, lecturing and metacognitive skills. Students will also be able to present selected current issues in education and their analysis and evaluation through an essay. Students will be able to use the acquired knowledge and competences creatively in the study of other pedagogical disciplines and in their future pedagogical practice.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Identification of current problems of education and training and examination of the current state of their solution. Collecting, analysing and evaluating information on the problems under study. Preparing and managing the presentation and discussion of selected issues. Creating an essay.

Recommended literature:
Recommended reading:
According to the selected current topics and according to the teacher's recommendations

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak language

Notes:
teaching of the subject is provided by teachers who are dedicated to the current issues of education and education and research of the subject is part of their research and publication activities

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 34

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
91,18	0,0	5,88	0,0	0,0	0,0	2,94

Lecturers: PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde005/22	Communication in education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% pass mark.
Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (40+60, total 100 points).
Interim assessment 1: consists of the preparation of a term paper and its oral presentation or practical application in class. This is a prepared micro-performance (alone or in pairs).
Semester work for interim assessment 1: consists of a creative assignment for 40b (20+20). This includes preparation of an oral micro-presentation for 10-15 minutes and feedback (20b) and preparation of a visual presentation in a graphics program (20b).
Intermediate assessment 2: consists of a review of the knowledge acquired, i.e. a test for 60p. The written exam/test consists of theoretical preparation for the course in basic concepts and study of the literature.
Prerequisites:
The rating shall be awarded on a scale of
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A minimum of 81 points is required to obtain a B grade. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

For a grade of C at least 73 points. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
For a grade of D at least 66 points. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application of knowledge in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in class during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
At least 60 points for an E grade. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments and with the assistance of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
By completing the Communication in education course, the student should:
- Be able to compare student-centered and teacher-centered approaches in communication,
- be able to justify the choice and appropriateness of the communication approaches used in teaching,
- be able to create a presentation with high quality content and visually interesting,
- be able to apply theoretical knowledge in the creation of oral micro output,
- be able to present a micro performance in front of a group and be able to give or receive feedback,
- be able to argue correctly and be able to make a factually correct argument,
- understand the importance of selection and analysis of information and information sources,
- know methods for Developing of critical thinking,
- acquire presentation and communication skills and be able to apply them in practical tasks.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills through creative tasks in the course, furthermore analytical thinking in evaluating information and working with text, critical thinking and thinking in context in the development of arguments and through their application in discussion, strengthens interpersonal skills within the group - activation and taking initiative and responsibility.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
The aim of the course is the practical use of theoretical knowledge about effective communication in the educational process through training and micro-performances. The course is designed to prepare students for the future teaching profession in the field of critical and independent thinking, communication and rhetorical skills, collaboration, creative activity, self-assessment, feedback, etc. Using various activating methods and problem-based tasks, students will actively participate in the teaching and create the content of the course themselves, in which they will use their own potential and develop the inter and intra personal aspects of their own personality.
Topics:
Communication in education in theory - definition of communication, types of communication, information theory and its components. Communication in education - its functions, levels, basic rules, organizational forms. Interaction styles of the teacher. Extralinguistic means of communication, paralinguistic aspects of speech. Verbal communication - monologue, dialogue,

conversation, questioning, argumentation. Organizational forms and space in communication. Types of teaching according to the number of participants. Classroom layout.
Communication in education in practice - teachers' inappropriate approaches in Communication in education. Appropriate communication approaches and strategies in teacher work - learner centred approach, humanism, non-directivity. Presentation - structure and arrangement of speech/speech/ lecture. Selection and appropriateness of information within an oral presentation. Analysis of speakers. Visualisation and arousal of interest. Organisation of time. Argumentation - composition of argument, types of argument. Logic and errors in argumentation. Types of interviews. Debate - how to conduct a conversation, the structure of a conversation. Division of discussion methods. Feedback - self-evaluation and self-reflection, giving and receiving criticism. Critical thinking and methods to develop critical thinking.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:
GAVORA, P. (2007). Učiteľ a žiaci v komunikácii. Bratislava: UK.. 197s. ISBN 978-80-223-2327-7
Recommended reading:
JASLOVSKÁ B., PISOŇOVÁ, M. (2019). Humanisticky orientovaná komunikácia a jej vplyv na produktivitu žiakov v edukačnom procese. Hradec Králové: Magnanimitas akademické sdružení. 45-56s. ISBN 978-80-87952-29-0
JASLOVSKÁ, B. (2019). Nástroj na sebahodnotenie žiakov v rámci Encounterovej skupiny a učiteľ ako facilitátor procesu hodnotenia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. 37-45s. ISBN 978-80-223-4847-8
KURUC, M. (2018). Rozvoj sebaregulácie a motivácie ako faktor úspechu slovenských žiakov v kontexte teórie sebaurčenia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. 143-160s. ISBN 978-80-223-4661-0
ALLHOFF, D.-W. (2008). Rétorika a komunikace. Praha: Grada publishing. 200s. ISBN 978-80-247-2283-2
KLAPETEK, M. (2008). Komunikace, argumentace, rétorika. Praha: Grada publishing. 256s. ISBN 978-80247-2652-6
ŠUŤÁKOVÁ, V., FERENCOVÁ, J., ZAHATŇANSKÁ, M. (2017). Sociálna a didaktická komunikácia. Prešov: Wolters Kluwer. 208s. ISBN 978-80-81685-48-4

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:
smaller groups, up to a maximum of 25 students, are required for the course for effective classroom interaction

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 1594

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
49,81	0,0	24,28	12,61	4,89	2,95	5,46

Lecturers: prof. PaedDr. Ján Danek, CSc.

Last change: 11.08.2023

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde005/22	Communication in education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% pass mark.
Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (40+60, total 100 points).
Interim assessment 1: consists of the preparation of a term paper and its oral presentation or practical application in class. This is a prepared micro-performance (alone or in pairs).
Semester work for interim assessment 1: consists of a creative assignment for 40b (20+20). This includes preparation of an oral micro-presentation for 10-15 minutes and feedback (20b) and preparation of a visual presentation in a graphics program (20b).
Intermediate assessment 2: consists of a review of the knowledge acquired, i.e. a test for 60p. The written exam/test consists of theoretical preparation for the course in basic concepts and study of the literature.
Prerequisites:
The rating shall be awarded on a scale of
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A minimum of 81 points is required to obtain a B grade. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

For a grade of C at least 73 points. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
For a grade of D at least 66 points. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application of knowledge in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in class during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
At least 60 points for an E grade. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments and with the assistance of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
By completing the Communication in education course, the student should:
- Be able to compare student-centered and teacher-centered approaches in communication,
- be able to justify the choice and appropriateness of the communication approaches used in teaching,
- be able to create a presentation with high quality content and visually interesting,
- be able to apply theoretical knowledge in the creation of oral micro output,
- be able to present a micro performance in front of a group and be able to give or receive feedback,
- be able to argue correctly and be able to make a factually correct argument,
- understand the importance of selection and analysis of information and information sources,
- know methods for Developing of critical thinking,
- acquire presentation and communication skills and be able to apply them in practical tasks.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills through creative tasks in the course, furthermore analytical thinking in evaluating information and working with text, critical thinking and thinking in context in the development of arguments and through their application in discussion, strengthens interpersonal skills within the group - activation and taking initiative and responsibility.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
The aim of the course is the practical use of theoretical knowledge about effective communication in the educational process through training and micro-performances. The course is designed to prepare students for the future teaching profession in the field of critical and independent thinking, communication and rhetorical skills, collaboration, creative activity, self-assessment, feedback, etc. Using various activating methods and problem-based tasks, students will actively participate in the teaching and create the content of the course themselves, in which they will use their own potential and develop the inter and intra personal aspects of their own personality.
Topics:
Communication in education in theory - definition of communication, types of communication, information theory and its components. Communication in education - its functions, levels, basic rules, organizational forms. Interaction styles of the teacher. Extralinguistic means of communication, paralinguistic aspects of speech. Verbal communication - monologue, dialogue,

conversation, questioning, argumentation. Organizational forms and space in communication. Types of teaching according to the number of participants. Classroom layout.
Communication in education in practice - teachers' inappropriate approaches in Communication in education. Appropriate communication approaches and strategies in teacher work - learner centred approach, humanism, non-directivity. Presentation - structure and arrangement of speech/speech/ lecture. Selection and appropriateness of information within an oral presentation. Analysis of speakers. Visualisation and arousal of interest. Organisation of time. Argumentation - composition of argument, types of argument. Logic and errors in argumentation. Types of interviews. Debate - how to conduct a conversation, the structure of a conversation. Division of discussion methods. Feedback - self-evaluation and self-reflection, giving and receiving criticism. Critical thinking and methods to develop critical thinking.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:
GAVORA, P. (2007). Učiteľ a žiaci v komunikácii. Bratislava: UK.. 197s. ISBN 978-80-223-2327-7
Recommended reading:
JASLOVSKÁ B., PISOŇOVÁ, M. (2019). Humanisticky orientovaná komunikácia a jej vplyv na produktivitu žiakov v edukačnom procese. Hradec Králové: Magnanimitas akademické sdružení. 45-56s. ISBN 978-80-87952-29-0
JASLOVSKÁ, B. (2019). Nástroj na sebahodnotenie žiakov v rámci Encounterovej skupiny a učiteľ ako facilitátor procesu hodnotenia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. 37-45s. ISBN 978-80-223-4847-8
KURUC, M. (2018). Rozvoj sebaregulácie a motivácie ako faktor úspechu slovenských žiakov v kontexte teórie sebaurčenia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. 143-160s. ISBN 978-80-223-4661-0
ALLHOFF, D.-W. (2008). Rétorika a komunikace. Praha: Grada publishing. 200s. ISBN 978-80-247-2283-2
KLAPETEK, M. (2008). Komunikace, argumentace, rétorika. Praha: Grada publishing. 256s. ISBN 978-80247-2652-6
ŠUŤÁKOVÁ, V., FERENCOVÁ, J., ZAHATŇANSKÁ, M. (2017). Sociálna a didaktická komunikácia. Prešov: Wolters Kluwer. 208s. ISBN 978-80-81685-48-4

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:
smaller groups, up to a maximum of 25 students, are required for the course for effective classroom interaction

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 1594

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
49,81	0,0	24,28	12,61	4,89	2,95	5,46

Lecturers: Mgr. Barbora Jaslovská, PhD.

Last change: 11.08.2023

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde025/15	Constructivism in education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 37

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
86,49	0,0	2,7	5,41	2,7	0,0	2,7

Lecturers: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

Last change: 03.09.2016

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde025/15	Constructivism in education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 37

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
86,49	0,0	2,7	5,41	2,7	0,0	2,7

Lecturers: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

Last change: 03.09.2016

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde024/22	Contemporary alternative models of education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. During the semester there will be two colloquial midterm assessments of 20 points each and 2 midterm assignments of 10pts each will be worked on. Final assessment 40pts.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the marks must be obtained.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
- The graduate of this course is well versed in and able to critically evaluate alternative schools of the 20th century and in the trends of alternative pedagogy in the 21st century.
- It takes a comprehensive approach to alternative pedagogy, using both a process of analysis and synthesis in the search for solutions. It employs thinking strategies based on critical evaluation in its decision making, which relies on facts and clearly articulated information from reliable sources of information.
- She approaches alternative directions in education as one possible source of inspiration for her future teaching practice.
- The graduate is able to use the acquired knowledge and skills in solving (problem) tasks creatively. Can analyze the problem and synthesize new solutions. Actively and flexibly brings new solutions to the assigned task. They are able to formulate clear conclusions and their justifications, which they can present to both the professional community and the general public.
- Graduates of the course are encouraged to adopt a growth-oriented mind-set and lifelong learning.
Transferable competences on which the course reflects: development of communication, organisational and digital skills. Fostering analytical, critical, creative and growth-oriented thinking; developing skills related to facilitation and mentoring. Promoting the development of reflective, self-reflective skills and the perception of one's own responsibility for personal decisions.
Ongoing assignments: students work in small groups on one of three main topics (Alternative Pedagogy in the First Half of the 20th Century, in the Second Half of the 20th Century, and Critical Theories of Education in the 20th Century).
Colloquial continuous assessment: each group of students presents 2 times during the semester the different areas they have worked on together in the group.
Final assessment: students keep a portfolio (not something like a journal) for the course throughout the semester, capturing their reflection after each class meeting (what I found interesting, what surprised me, what I learned, what I disagreed with, what I needed to convey differently). At the end of the lecture period, each student who has fulfilled the requirements of the mid-term evaluation will have an individual interview with the teacher, where they will present their portfolio, carry out a self-assessment. The final evaluation is an intersection of the instructor's feedback and the student's self-reflection.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Alternative and norm in education
2. Traditional and conventional in education
3. Alternative pedagogy in the first half of the 20th century
Chicago Laboratory School - John Dewey

Montessori pedagogy - Maria Montessori
Waldorf Pedagogy - Rudolf Steiner
Freinet Pedagogy - Celestin Freinet
The Jena Plan - Peter Peterson
The Dalton Plan - Helen Parkhurst
Summerhill Democratic School - Alexander S. Neill
4. Alternative pedagogy in the second half of the 20th century
Integrative-Thematic Teaching - Susan Kovalik
Russian Family School - Mikhail Petrovich Stetina
Intuitive Pedagogy - Pär Ahlbom
Free Democratic Schools (Sudbury Valley Schools) - Daniel Greenberg
ESBZ (Evangelische Schule Berlin Zentrum) - Margret Rasfeld
5. Critical theories of education in the 20th century
Emil Durkheim, Talcott Parsons, Frankfurt School - Theodor Adorno, Erich Fromm, Jurgen Habermas, Herbert Marcuse
Pedagogy of Liberation - Paolo Freire
Unschooling society - Ivan Illich
Theory of reproduction - Pier Bourdieu
Deprivation Theory - Basil Berstein
Anti-pedagogical theories (e.g. Alice Miller)
6. Alternative pedagogy in the 21st century
Trends in alternative education around the world
Trends in alternative education in Slovakia
(unschooling, homeschooling, forest schools and kindergartens, educational groups ...)

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Recommended reading:
Gray, P. (2016). Svoboda učení: Jak nechat děti rozhodovat o svém vzdělávaní. Praha: PeopleComm. 184s.
Hudáková, V., Miňová, M. (2017). Za oknami freinet(ovských) škôl. Prešov: Rokus. 104s.
Koerrenz, R., Blichmann, A. Engelmann, S. (2017). Alternative Schooling and New Education: European Concepts and Theories. Palgrave, Springer Link. 125p.
Kuchárová, A. (2012). Integrované tematické vyučovanie v primárnom vzdelávaní: Osvedčená pedagogická skúsenosť edukačnej praxe. Prešov: MPC. 34s.
Kuruc, M. (2017). Akademická a prosociálna motivácia v škole. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. 128s.
Montessori, M. (2019). Londýnské přednášky. Praha: Portál. 304s.
Neill, A. S. (2015) Summerhill: Příběh první demokratické školy na světě. Praha: PeaopleComm. 348s.
Pedroli, T. (2019). Intuitívní pedagogika. Praha: Universum. 144s.
Poltikovič, V. (2010). Ruská rodová škola (DVD - dokumentárny film), Maitrea.
Prokop, J. (2005). Škola a společnost v kritických teoriích druhé poloviny 20. století. Praha: Karolinum. 289sRőrner R., Wenke H. (2003) Daltonské vyučování. Brno: Paido. 156s.
Rýdl, K. (2001) Peter Petersen a pedagogika jenského plánu. ISV - Institut sociálních věcí. 236s.
Steiner, R. (2003). Waldorfská pedagogika: metodika a didaktika. Nové Hrady: Opherus. 208s.
Zárateová, A., Tressel, J. (2021) Škola podľa našich predstáv: Učiť sa, ako sa to páči nám. Centrum envirinmentálnej a etickej výchovy ŽIVICA. 165s.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

knowledge of Slovak and English.

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 72

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
93,06	0,0	2,78	0,0	0,0	0,0	4,17

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde024/22	Contemporary alternative models of education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. During the semester there will be two colloquial midterm assessments of 20 points each and 2 midterm assignments of 10pts each will be worked on. Final assessment 40pts.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the marks must be obtained.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
- The graduate of this course is well versed in and able to critically evaluate alternative schools of the 20th century and in the trends of alternative pedagogy in the 21st century.
- It takes a comprehensive approach to alternative pedagogy, using both a process of analysis and synthesis in the search for solutions. It employs thinking strategies based on critical evaluation in its decision making, which relies on facts and clearly articulated information from reliable sources of information.
- She approaches alternative directions in education as one possible source of inspiration for her future teaching practice.
- The graduate is able to use the acquired knowledge and skills in solving (problem) tasks creatively. Can analyze the problem and synthesize new solutions. Actively and flexibly brings new solutions to the assigned task. They are able to formulate clear conclusions and their justifications, which they can present to both the professional community and the general public.
- Graduates of the course are encouraged to adopt a growth-oriented mind-set and lifelong learning.
Transferable competences on which the course reflects: development of communication, organisational and digital skills. Fostering analytical, critical, creative and growth-oriented thinking; developing skills related to facilitation and mentoring. Promoting the development of reflective, self-reflective skills and the perception of one's own responsibility for personal decisions.
Ongoing assignments: students work in small groups on one of three main topics (Alternative Pedagogy in the First Half of the 20th Century, in the Second Half of the 20th Century, and Critical Theories of Education in the 20th Century).
Colloquial continuous assessment: each group of students presents 2 times during the semester the different areas they have worked on together in the group.
Final assessment: students keep a portfolio (not something like a journal) for the course throughout the semester, capturing their reflection after each class meeting (what I found interesting, what surprised me, what I learned, what I disagreed with, what I needed to convey differently). At the end of the lecture period, each student who has fulfilled the requirements of the mid-term evaluation will have an individual interview with the teacher, where they will present their portfolio, carry out a self-assessment. The final evaluation is an intersection of the instructor's feedback and the student's self-reflection.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Alternative and norm in education
2. Traditional and conventional in education
3. Alternative pedagogy in the first half of the 20th century
Chicago Laboratory School - John Dewey

Montessori pedagogy - Maria Montessori
Waldorf Pedagogy - Rudolf Steiner
Freinet Pedagogy - Celestin Freinet
The Jena Plan - Peter Peterson
The Dalton Plan - Helen Parkhurst
Summerhill Democratic School - Alexander S. Neill
4. Alternative pedagogy in the second half of the 20th century
Integrative-Thematic Teaching - Susan Kovalik
Russian Family School - Mikhail Petrovich Stetina
Intuitive Pedagogy - Pär Ahlbom
Free Democratic Schools (Sudbury Valley Schools) - Daniel Greenberg
ESBZ (Evangelische Schule Berlin Zentrum) - Margret Rasfeld
5. Critical theories of education in the 20th century
Emil Durkheim, Talcott Parsons, Frankfurt School - Theodor Adorno, Erich Fromm, Jurgen Habermas, Herbert Marcuse
Pedagogy of Liberation - Paolo Freire
Unschooling society - Ivan Illich
Theory of reproduction - Pier Bourdieu
Deprivation Theory - Basil Berstein
Anti-pedagogical theories (e.g. Alice Miller)
6. Alternative pedagogy in the 21st century
Trends in alternative education around the world
Trends in alternative education in Slovakia
(unschooling, homeschooling, forest schools and kindergartens, educational groups ...)

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Recommended reading:
Gray, P. (2016). Svoboda učení: Jak nechat děti rozhodovat o svém vzdělávaní. Praha: PeopleComm. 184s.
Hudáková, V., Miňová, M. (2017). Za oknami freinet(ovských) škôl. Prešov: Rokus. 104s.
Koerrenz, R., Blichmann, A. Engelmann, S. (2017). Alternative Schooling and New Education: European Concepts and Theories. Palgrave, Springer Link. 125p.
Kuchárová, A. (2012). Integrované tematické vyučovanie v primárnom vzdelávaní: Osvedčená pedagogická skúsenosť edukačnej praxe. Prešov: MPC. 34s.
Kuruc, M. (2017). Akademická a prosociálna motivácia v škole. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. 128s.
Montessori, M. (2019). Londýnské přednášky. Praha: Portál. 304s.
Neill, A. S. (2015) Summerhill: Příběh první demokratické školy na světě. Praha: PeaopleComm. 348s.
Pedroli, T. (2019). Intuitívní pedagogika. Praha: Universum. 144s.
Poltikovič, V. (2010). Ruská rodová škola (DVD - dokumentárny film), Maitrea.
Prokop, J. (2005). Škola a společnost v kritických teoriích druhé poloviny 20. století. Praha: Karolinum. 289sRőrner R., Wenke H. (2003) Daltonské vyučování. Brno: Paido. 156s.
Rýdl, K. (2001) Peter Petersen a pedagogika jenského plánu. ISV - Institut sociálních věcí. 236s.
Steiner, R. (2003). Waldorfská pedagogika: metodika a didaktika. Nové Hrady: Opherus. 208s.
Zárateová, A., Tressel, J. (2021) Škola podľa našich predstáv: Učiť sa, ako sa to páči nám. Centrum envirinmentálnej a etickej výchovy ŽIVICA. 165s.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

knowledge of Slovak and English.

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 72

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
93,06	0,0	2,78	0,0	0,0	0,0	4,17

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde043/22	Creative Drama Workshop in Spanish Language

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 28 hours of continuous home preparation for lessons, sub-tasking.
Teaching methods: working with foreign-language text, critical analysis of texts, problem solving tasks, discussion, dramatization of texts

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities 50 % and home preparation 50 %.
The student will be evaluated on the basis of 100% of the ongoing tasks assigned during the semester.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)
In order to obtain an A rating, precise preparation and implementation of the specified tasks is required, as well as active assistance to the group in making joint progress.
In order to obtain a B rating, precise preparation and execution of the tasks assigned with occasional hesitation is required, as well as active assistance to the group in making joint progress.
The C rating will be awarded to students with the average preparation and execution of the specified tasks respectively. The average rate of assistance to the group in moving forward.
Evaluation D shall be awarded for sufficient preparation and implementation of the tasks assigned to prevent the group from advancing its joint work.
Evaluations of E shall be awarded for the preparation and implementation of the tasks assigned at border level, but which does not prevent the group from progressing in joint work.

Fx ratings will be awarded for insufficient preparation and implementation of the tasks assigned to prevent the group from advancing its joint work.

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to develop the creativity of students and to get acquainted with the possibilities of using dramatization as a didactic means.
Educational outcomes:
The student gains active experience of practicing language skills, acquires knowledge about ways of developing language competences through creative playwright activities. He is able to carry out independently and in the group analysis of dramatic text and actively participate in the joint creative activity under the guidance of the educator.

Class syllabus:
Project creative drama workshop. Exercises led by an educator aimed at the complex development of language competences through applied creative playwrights in Spanish.

Recommended literature:
During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
BEKÉNYOVÁ, Ľ. 2012. Tvorivá dramatika v edukačnom procese. Bratislava: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum. ISBN 9788080524043.
JANÁKOVÁ, L. 1996. Tvorivá dramatika ako prostriedok motivácie. Banská Bystrica: Univerzita Mateja Bela. ISBN 9878088825791.
KOŤÁTKOVÁ, S. 1998. Vybrané kapitoly z dramatické výchovy. Praha: Karolinum. ISBN 8071847569.
MALOVECKÝ, M. – MEDVECZKÁ, M. Calderónov Stály kráľovič – medzi originálom a prekladom. In: Philologia. Roč. 29, č. 1-2 (2019), s. 139-150 ISSN 1339-2026.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak or Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde043/22	Creative Drama Workshop in Spanish Language

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 28 hours of continuous home preparation for lessons, sub-tasking.
Teaching methods: working with foreign-language text, critical analysis of texts, problem solving tasks, discussion, dramatization of texts

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities 50 % and home preparation 50 %.
The student will be evaluated on the basis of 100% of the ongoing tasks assigned during the semester.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)
In order to obtain an A rating, precise preparation and implementation of the specified tasks is required, as well as active assistance to the group in making joint progress.
In order to obtain a B rating, precise preparation and execution of the tasks assigned with occasional hesitation is required, as well as active assistance to the group in making joint progress.
The C rating will be awarded to students with the average preparation and execution of the specified tasks respectively. The average rate of assistance to the group in moving forward.
Evaluation D shall be awarded for sufficient preparation and implementation of the tasks assigned to prevent the group from advancing its joint work.
Evaluations of E shall be awarded for the preparation and implementation of the tasks assigned at border level, but which does not prevent the group from progressing in joint work.

Fx ratings will be awarded for insufficient preparation and implementation of the tasks assigned to prevent the group from advancing its joint work.

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to develop the creativity of students and to get acquainted with the possibilities of using dramatization as a didactic means.
Educational outcomes:
The student gains active experience of practicing language skills, acquires knowledge about ways of developing language competences through creative playwright activities. He is able to carry out independently and in the group analysis of dramatic text and actively participate in the joint creative activity under the guidance of the educator.

Class syllabus:
Project creative drama workshop. Exercises led by an educator aimed at the complex development of language competences through applied creative playwrights in Spanish.

Recommended literature:
During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
BEKÉNYOVÁ, Ľ. 2012. Tvorivá dramatika v edukačnom procese. Bratislava: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum. ISBN 9788080524043.
JANÁKOVÁ, L. 1996. Tvorivá dramatika ako prostriedok motivácie. Banská Bystrica: Univerzita Mateja Bela. ISBN 9878088825791.
KOŤÁTKOVÁ, S. 1998. Vybrané kapitoly z dramatické výchovy. Praha: Karolinum. ISBN 8071847569.
MALOVECKÝ, M. – MEDVECZKÁ, M. Calderónov Stály kráľovič – medzi originálom a prekladom. In: Philologia. Roč. 29, č. 1-2 (2019), s. 139-150 ISSN 1339-2026.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak or Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde022/22	Culture and Civilization of Spanish-speaking Countries 1.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week seminar, total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 75 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of seminar work and preparation of its presentation = 20 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks = 33 hours;
Teaching methods:
interpretation, discussion, working with authentic texts, working with authentic audiovisual material, independent work of students, working in groups, presentation of students, analysis of textbooks

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: active participation in classroom activities
40 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
30 points: preparation of seminar work and presentation to classmates
Attendance at the class is compulsory. The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to get acquainted with the basic characteristics of Spanish-speaking countries of Latin America.

Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student has basic knowledge of the geographical, political, economic, socioeconomic and cultural context of the Spanish-speaking countries of Latin America and can put them in the context of Spanish teaching in the Slovak school system. It will increase communicative competence and personal motivation.

Class syllabus:
· Landscape science and realities of the countries of the Laplat region.
· Landscape science and realities of the Andean countries.
· The landscape and realities of the Caribbean Spanish-speaking countries of South America.
· Landscapes and realities of Spanish-speaking countries of the island Caribbean.
· Landscape science and realities of Spanish-speaking countries of the Central American Shia.
· Mexico's landscape and realities.
· Landscape science and realities of Spanish-speaking countries in Spanish teaching in primary and secondary schools.

Recommended literature:
INDURÁIN PONS, J. (ed.). 2019. Atlas actual de geografía universal. VOX. Barcelona: Larousse Editorial, 2019. 208 p. ISBN: 978-84-9974-323-3.
MÁJSKY, J. – DERKA, T. 2016. Od Karibiku po Andy. Bratislava: VEDA. 2016. 408 p. ISBN 978-80-224-1519-4.
MEDVECZKÁ, M. 2011. El patrimonio de los países hispanohablantes en el centro de proyectos interdisciplinarios: el caso de la Isla de Pascua. In: Tendencias de la hispanística actual en Eslovaquia. Bratislava: Slovenská asociácia učiteľov španielčiny. s. 80-88. ISBN 978-80-89137-75-6.
MEDVECZKÁ, M. Základy krajinovedy krajín španielskeho jazyka. Learning texts available on Moodle.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 27

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
66,67	0,0	14,81	11,11	7,41	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde022/22	Culture and Civilization of Spanish-speaking Countries 1.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week seminar, total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 75 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of seminar work and preparation of its presentation = 20 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks = 33 hours;
Teaching methods:
interpretation, discussion, working with authentic texts, working with authentic audiovisual material, independent work of students, working in groups, presentation of students, analysis of textbooks

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: active participation in classroom activities
40 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
30 points: preparation of seminar work and presentation to classmates
Attendance at the class is compulsory. The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to get acquainted with the basic characteristics of Spanish-speaking countries of Latin America.

Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student has basic knowledge of the geographical, political, economic, socioeconomic and cultural context of the Spanish-speaking countries of Latin America and can put them in the context of Spanish teaching in the Slovak school system. It will increase communicative competence and personal motivation.

Class syllabus:
· Landscape science and realities of the countries of the Laplat region.
· Landscape science and realities of the Andean countries.
· The landscape and realities of the Caribbean Spanish-speaking countries of South America.
· Landscapes and realities of Spanish-speaking countries of the island Caribbean.
· Landscape science and realities of Spanish-speaking countries of the Central American Shia.
· Mexico's landscape and realities.
· Landscape science and realities of Spanish-speaking countries in Spanish teaching in primary and secondary schools.

Recommended literature:
INDURÁIN PONS, J. (ed.). 2019. Atlas actual de geografía universal. VOX. Barcelona: Larousse Editorial, 2019. 208 p. ISBN: 978-84-9974-323-3.
MÁJSKY, J. – DERKA, T. 2016. Od Karibiku po Andy. Bratislava: VEDA. 2016. 408 p. ISBN 978-80-224-1519-4.
MEDVECZKÁ, M. 2011. El patrimonio de los países hispanohablantes en el centro de proyectos interdisciplinarios: el caso de la Isla de Pascua. In: Tendencias de la hispanística actual en Eslovaquia. Bratislava: Slovenská asociácia učiteľov španielčiny. s. 80-88. ISBN 978-80-89137-75-6.
MEDVECZKÁ, M. Základy krajinovedy krajín španielskeho jazyka. Learning texts available on Moodle.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 27

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
66,67	0,0	14,81	11,11	7,41	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde026/22	Culture and Civilization of Spanish-speaking Countries 2.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week seminar; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 75 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of seminar work and preparation of its presentation = 20 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks = 33 hours.
Teaching methods:
interpretation, discussion, working with authentic texts, working with authentic audiovisual material, independent work of students, working in groups, presentation of students, analysis of textbooks

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: active participation in classroom activities
40 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
30 points: preparation of seminar work and presentation to classmates
Attendance at the class is compulsory. The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the subject.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to get acquainted with the basic characteristics of the landscapes and realities of Spain.

Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student knows the basic characteristics of the country science and realities of Spain and its individual regions. He/she is able to orient itself in selected topics of everyday culture and can critically evaluate their inclusion in Spanish language teaching. He/she will deepen communicative competence and critical thinking.

Class syllabus:
The landscape and realia of the individual autonomous communities of Spain.
Basic schemes of the culture of everyday life in Spain and the Spanish-speaking countries of Latin America and their display in textbooks and different types of teaching materials designed to teach Spanish.
Variability of approach to teaching everyday culture.

Recommended literature:
INDURÁIN PONS, J. (ed.). 2019. Atlas actual de geografía universal. VOX. Barcelona: Larousse Editorial, 2019. 208 p. ISBN: 978-84-9974-323-3.
MEDVECZKÁ, M. Základy krajinovedy krajín španielskeho jazyka. Learning texts available on Moodle. (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 14

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
50,0	0,0	28,57	21,43	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde026/22	Culture and Civilization of Spanish-speaking Countries 2.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week seminar; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 75 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of seminar work and preparation of its presentation = 20 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks = 33 hours.
Teaching methods:
interpretation, discussion, working with authentic texts, working with authentic audiovisual material, independent work of students, working in groups, presentation of students, analysis of textbooks

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: active participation in classroom activities
40 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
30 points: preparation of seminar work and presentation to classmates
Attendance at the class is compulsory. The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the subject.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to get acquainted with the basic characteristics of the landscapes and realities of Spain.

Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student knows the basic characteristics of the country science and realities of Spain and its individual regions. He/she is able to orient itself in selected topics of everyday culture and can critically evaluate their inclusion in Spanish language teaching. He/she will deepen communicative competence and critical thinking.

Class syllabus:
The landscape and realia of the individual autonomous communities of Spain.
Basic schemes of the culture of everyday life in Spain and the Spanish-speaking countries of Latin America and their display in textbooks and different types of teaching materials designed to teach Spanish.
Variability of approach to teaching everyday culture.

Recommended literature:
INDURÁIN PONS, J. (ed.). 2019. Atlas actual de geografía universal. VOX. Barcelona: Larousse Editorial, 2019. 208 p. ISBN: 978-84-9974-323-3.
MEDVECZKÁ, M. Základy krajinovedy krajín španielskeho jazyka. Learning texts available on Moodle. (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 14

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
50,0	0,0	28,57	21,43	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde035/22	**Course title:** Developing critical thinking
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** seminar **Number of hours:** **per week:** 2 **per level/semester:** 26 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Prerequisite subjects: Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: The course is completed with an assessment, weighted interim/final assessment 100/0. 100% of the continuous assessment includes: approximately 5 assignments (50%) will be given during the semester, 2 midterm tests during the semester (for a total of 30%), and active participation in seminars, engaging in discussions (20%). Students will use the e-learning environment moodle for their studies. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. The rating is awarded on a scale: A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), the student actively participated in seminar discussions, completed the midterm tests at an excellent level, and completed the midterm assignments excellently. B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),), the student actively participated in seminar discussions, completed midterm tests and assignments at a very good level C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), the student was less actively involved in seminar discussions, he/she completed the midterm tests and assignments at a good level with slight shortcomings D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), the student did not actively participate in seminar discussions, he/she completed midterm tests and assignments at a satisfactory level E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet the minimum criteria), the student did not actively participate in discussions in seminars, midterm tests and midterm assignments were done at the minimum level Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)	
Learning outcomes: Learning outcomes: Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences: Students are familiar with the principles of critical thinking, they can prepare their own activities on the basis of universal scenarios on the subject aimed at the Development critical thinking. They	

can assess students' critical thinking, recognize hoaxes and identify relevant facts and ideas. They can apply a variety of methods to develop pupils' critical thinking.
Educational Objectives:
The aim of the seminar is for students to acquire knowledge and skills that will enable them to prepare methodological materials aimed at developing students' critical thinking skills. To familiarize students with universal scenarios of educational activities and the possibilities of assessing critical thinking in education.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Principles of critical thinking, critical arguing, critical debating, critical writing, organizing knowledge. Basic characteristics of hoaxes. Identifying relevant facts and ideas. Methods of Development critical thinking in pupils, universal scenarios of educational activities, preparation of methodological materials. Evaluation of critical thinking.

Recommended literature:
Recommended reading:
Compulsory reading:
KOSTURKOVÁ, M., FERENCOVÁ, J. (2019). Stratégie rozvoja kritického myslenia. Bratislava: Wolters Kluwer. ISBN 978-80-571-0049-2
TUREK, I. (2003). Kritické myslenie. Bratislava: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum.
Recommended reading:
ČAPEK, R. (2015). Moderní didaktika: lexikon výukových a hodnotících metod. Praha: Grada. ISBN 978-80-2473-450-7
KOZÁROVÁ, N., GUNIŠOVÁ, D. (2020). Stratégie rozvoja kritického myslenia vo vyučovaní pedagogiky. Nitra: UKF v Nitre. ISBN (online) 978-80-558-1520-6
MARKOŠ, J. (2019). Sila rozumu v bláznivej dobe: manuál kritického myslenia. Bratislava: N Press. ISBN 978-80-9992-501-5

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 218

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,26	0,0	26,15	5,05	2,75	1,38	6,42

Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde035/22	Developing critical thinking

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an assessment, weighted interim/final assessment 100/0.
100% of the continuous assessment includes: approximately 5 assignments (50%) will be given during the semester, 2 midterm tests during the semester (for a total of 30%), and active participation in seminars, engaging in discussions (20%). Students will use the e-learning environment moodle for their studies.
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), the student actively participated in seminar discussions, completed the midterm tests at an excellent level, and completed the midterm assignments excellently.
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),), the student actively participated in seminar discussions, completed midterm tests and assignments at a very good level
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), the student was less actively involved in seminar discussions, he/she completed the midterm tests and assignments at a good level with slight shortcomings
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), the student did not actively participate in seminar discussions, he/she completed midterm tests and assignments at a satisfactory level
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet the minimum criteria), the student did not actively participate in discussions in seminars, midterm tests and midterm assignments were done at the minimum level
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
Students are familiar with the principles of critical thinking, they can prepare their own activities on the basis of universal scenarios on the subject aimed at the Development critical thinking. They

can assess students' critical thinking, recognize hoaxes and identify relevant facts and ideas. They can apply a variety of methods to develop pupils' critical thinking.
Educational Objectives:
The aim of the seminar is for students to acquire knowledge and skills that will enable them to prepare methodological materials aimed at developing students' critical thinking skills. To familiarize students with universal scenarios of educational activities and the possibilities of assessing critical thinking in education.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Principles of critical thinking, critical arguing, critical debating, critical writing, organizing knowledge. Basic characteristics of hoaxes. Identifying relevant facts and ideas. Methods of Development critical thinking in pupils, universal scenarios of educational activities, preparation of methodological materials. Evaluation of critical thinking.

Recommended literature:
Recommended reading:
Compulsory reading:
KOSTURKOVÁ, M., FERENCOVÁ, J. (2019). Stratégie rozvoja kritického myslenia. Bratislava: Wolters Kluwer. ISBN 978-80-571-0049-2
TUREK, I. (2003). Kritické myslenie. Bratislava: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum.
Recommended reading:
ČAPEK, R. (2015). Moderní didaktika: lexikon výukových a hodnotících metod. Praha: Grada. ISBN 978-80-2473-450-7
KOZÁROVÁ, N., GUNIŠOVÁ, D. (2020). Stratégie rozvoja kritického myslenia vo vyučovaní pedagogiky. Nitra: UKF v Nitre. ISBN (online) 978-80-558-1520-6
MARKOŠ, J. (2019). Sila rozumu v bláznivej dobe: manuál kritického myslenia. Bratislava: N Press. ISBN 978-80-9992-501-5

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 218

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,26	0,0	26,15	5,05	2,75	1,38	6,42

Lecturers: Mgr. Barbora Jaslovská, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde033/22	Development of creativity

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. During the semester there will be two colloquial interim assessments of 15 points each and 1 interim assignment 30b will be processed. Final assessment in the form of a group presentation of the 40b learning block project.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the marks must be obtained.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks. Ongoing tasks: students work in small groups on their own learning block project (working with so-called learning environments such as Micro.Bit, Conductive Colours, Makey-Makey, etc.), the aim of which is to enable students to develop the so-called competences necessary for the 21st century through the chosen learning environment.
Colloquial mid-term evaluation: each group of students presents 2 times during the semester the mid-term tasks that they have worked on together in the group (project plan and SMART goals of their project).
Final assessment: students keep a portfolio (not something like a journal) for the course throughout the semester, capturing their reflection after each class meeting (what I found interesting, what surprised me, what I learned, what I disagreed with, what I needed to convey differently). At the end of the lecture period, each student who has fulfilled the requirements of the mid-term evaluation will have an individual interview with the teacher, where they will present their portfolio, carry out a self-assessment. The final evaluation is an intersection of the teacher's feedback, the student's self-reflection and a group presentation of the project to representatives from the practice (teachers and school management).
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
- The course graduate is knowledgeable/learned and can critically evaluate information.
- He approaches the assigned tasks in a complex manner, using both the process of analysis and synthesis in the search for solutions. In making decisions, he/she uses thinking strategies based on critical evaluation, which is based on facts and clearly formulated information from reliable information sources.
- He approaches teaching methods aimed at supporting the development of pupil creativity as one of the possible approaches and as a source of inspiration for his future teaching practice.
- The graduate is able to use the acquired knowledge and skills in solving (problem) tasks creatively. Can analyze the problem and synthesize new solutions. Actively and flexibly brings new solutions to the assigned task. They are able to formulate clear conclusions and their justifications, which they can present to both the professional community and the general public.
- Graduates of the course are encouraged to adopt a growth-oriented mind-set and lifelong learning.
- Throughout the course, students are encouraged to look at the school environment where a learning community of learners is to be formed and the development of creative thinking is to be continually encouraged.
Transferable competences on which the course reflects: development of communication, organisational and digital skills. Fostering analytical, critical, creative and growth-oriented thinking; developing skills related to facilitation and mentoring. Promoting the development of reflective, self-reflective skills and the perception of one's own responsibility for personal decisions.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
1. Creativity as a natural human trait
2. School as a learning organisation
3. Teacher Professional Development Plan
4. Mentoring in teaching practice
5. Project-Based Learning
6. Resolving conflicts in the classroom with the win-win method
7. Problem-solving method - problem-based learning (Design Thinking)

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Recommended reading:
Brand, R.(1998). Powerful Learning. ASCD, Alexandria, US.
Filo, P. - Chomová, K. – Nekolová, V. a kol. 2017. Talentway – Osobnosť, kreativita, rast (Študent) & Problem-based Learning – Výzvy, motivácie, riešenia (Mentor). Bratislava: ABC – Academic Business Cluster.
Kuruc, M. (2021). Kuruc, M. (2021) Motivácia k učeniu sa študentov predprimárnej a primárnej pedagogiky. Týn nad Vltavou. 2021. 131s.
Larmer, J., Mergendoller, J., Boss, S. (2015). Setting the Standard for Project Based learning. ASCD, Alexandria, US.
Lee, D. (2019). Design Thinking in the Classroom. Constructing Modern Knowledge Press
Martinez, S. L., Stager, G. S. (2019). Invent to Learn: Making, Tinkering, and Engineering in the Classroom. Ulysses Press
Nash, J. B. 2019. Design Thinking in School: A Leader´s Guide to Collaborating for Improvement. Harvard Educational Publishing Group, Cambridge, US.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
knowledge of Slovak and English.

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 37

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
64,86	0,0	10,81	10,81	8,11	2,7	2,7

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD., Mgr. Peter Ostradický, PhD., Mgr. Barbora Jaslovská, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde033/22	Development of creativity

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. During the semester there will be two colloquial interim assessments of 15 points each and 1 interim assignment 30b will be processed. Final assessment in the form of a group presentation of the 40b learning block project.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the marks must be obtained.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks. Ongoing tasks: students work in small groups on their own learning block project (working with so-called learning environments such as Micro.Bit, Conductive Colours, Makey-Makey, etc.), the aim of which is to enable students to develop the so-called competences necessary for the 21st century through the chosen learning environment.
Colloquial mid-term evaluation: each group of students presents 2 times during the semester the mid-term tasks that they have worked on together in the group (project plan and SMART goals of their project).
Final assessment: students keep a portfolio (not something like a journal) for the course throughout the semester, capturing their reflection after each class meeting (what I found interesting, what surprised me, what I learned, what I disagreed with, what I needed to convey differently). At the end of the lecture period, each student who has fulfilled the requirements of the mid-term evaluation will have an individual interview with the teacher, where they will present their portfolio, carry out a self-assessment. The final evaluation is an intersection of the teacher's feedback, the student's self-reflection and a group presentation of the project to representatives from the practice (teachers and school management).
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
- The course graduate is knowledgeable/learned and can critically evaluate information.
- He approaches the assigned tasks in a complex manner, using both the process of analysis and synthesis in the search for solutions. In making decisions, he/she uses thinking strategies based on critical evaluation, which is based on facts and clearly formulated information from reliable information sources.
- He approaches teaching methods aimed at supporting the development of pupil creativity as one of the possible approaches and as a source of inspiration for his future teaching practice.
- The graduate is able to use the acquired knowledge and skills in solving (problem) tasks creatively. Can analyze the problem and synthesize new solutions. Actively and flexibly brings new solutions to the assigned task. They are able to formulate clear conclusions and their justifications, which they can present to both the professional community and the general public.
- Graduates of the course are encouraged to adopt a growth-oriented mind-set and lifelong learning.
- Throughout the course, students are encouraged to look at the school environment where a learning community of learners is to be formed and the development of creative thinking is to be continually encouraged.
Transferable competences on which the course reflects: development of communication, organisational and digital skills. Fostering analytical, critical, creative and growth-oriented thinking; developing skills related to facilitation and mentoring. Promoting the development of reflective, self-reflective skills and the perception of one's own responsibility for personal decisions.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
1. Creativity as a natural human trait
2. School as a learning organisation
3. Teacher Professional Development Plan
4. Mentoring in teaching practice
5. Project-Based Learning
6. Resolving conflicts in the classroom with the win-win method
7. Problem-solving method - problem-based learning (Design Thinking)

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Recommended reading:
Brand, R.(1998). Powerful Learning. ASCD, Alexandria, US.
Filo, P. - Chomová, K. – Nekolová, V. a kol. 2017. Talentway – Osobnosť, kreativita, rast (Študent) & Problem-based Learning – Výzvy, motivácie, riešenia (Mentor). Bratislava: ABC – Academic Business Cluster.
Kuruc, M. (2021). Kuruc, M. (2021) Motivácia k učeniu sa študentov predprimárnej a primárnej pedagogiky. Týn nad Vltavou. 2021. 131s.
Larmer, J., Mergendoller, J., Boss, S. (2015). Setting the Standard for Project Based learning. ASCD, Alexandria, US.
Lee, D. (2019). Design Thinking in the Classroom. Constructing Modern Knowledge Press
Martinez, S. L., Stager, G. S. (2019). Invent to Learn: Making, Tinkering, and Engineering in the Classroom. Ulysses Press
Nash, J. B. 2019. Design Thinking in School: A Leader´s Guide to Collaborating for Improvement. Harvard Educational Publishing Group, Cambridge, US.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
knowledge of Slovak and English.

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 37

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
64,86	0,0	10,81	10,81	8,11	2,7	2,7

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD., Mgr. Peter Ostradický, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde002/22	Digital technologies 1

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course
The course is completed by assessment, the weighting of the interim and final assessment is 100/0.
active participation in seminars conducted by both face-to-face and distance learning methods;
processing of partial (distance) deliverables/assignments according to the lecturer's assignment during the semester from the selected subject areas and their submission in electronic form within the set deadline, which are continuously checked and evaluated by the lecturer, constitutes 80% of the total achievable point value; Submission of partial assignments is a condition for the award of credits;
development of a semester project - at the end of the semester, the student develops through the cloud tool Prezi Present an original and visually impressive presentation of the educational content of the selected teaching subject at primary school / high school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education at Charles University; The structure of the presentation should be based on one of the templates from the library of customizable templates supported by Prezi Present; Personal presentation of the created project in Prezi in front of the whole study group; Successful implementation and defense of the project constitutes 20% of the total achievable point value and is a condition for the award of credits.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)
For successful completion of the educational subject it is necessary to obtain at least 60 % of the score.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments,

excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1 is to develop digital skills of students of teacher-oriented study programmes at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University (hereinafter referred to as the Faculty of Education of the Charles University) to be able to effectively use an appropriate range of didactic software applications in the context of the concept of design and creation of interactive educational activities, knowledge quizzes and assignments, electronic portfolios, impressive didactic presentations, new interactive forms of teaching material and other pedagogical/school documentation usable in the intent of their future teaching profession at different levels of regional education in the Slovak Republic.
By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1, the student has the competences and digital skills to effectively use the tools of the SMART Notebook software application and the SMART Lumio cloud environment by creating gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of students participating in the lesson in the school classroom or in home conditions in the case of distance/hybrid education.
By completing the educational discipline, the student also has the competences and digital skills in the field of design and creation of mind maps through the tools of the Mindomo software application (or FreeMind, XMind) applicable in educational activities implemented in schools and educational institutions for the target group of intact pupils, as well as pupils with special educational needs in order to improve their communication skills and critical thinking.
By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1, the student acquires professional digital competencies in the concept of design and creation of impressive non-linear presentations and interactive presentations of educational content implemented through the Prezi Present cloud

platform Prezi by expressing their own visual ideas usable in their own pedagogical activities in schools or educational institutions.
By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1, the student has, last but not least, the competences and digital skills to effectively use the cloud platform Microsoft 365 with an emphasis on software applications Sway, Word and Excel by creating supplementary teaching materials for the needs of school teaching and maintaining the relevant pedagogical documentation.
The student masters the basic possibilities of using the online communication platform Microsoft Teams applicable in the implementation of distance learning at the level of regional education

Class syllabus:

The content of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1 is divided into four thematic headings and relevant sub-topics in order to ensure the achievement of the set partial educational objectives. The content structure of the educational discipline is designed so that the student continuously develops his didactic-technological competences in the field of selected didactic software applications by completing the educational topics organized in the present and distance form and at the same time strengthens his professional training in the subject area.
Due to the rapid development of new didactic software usable in the pedagogical process, the specific content structure of the discipline can be adapted to the current requirements of practice and students.
Application of SMART Notebook software application and SMART Lumio cloud environment in the educational process
We develop professional digital competences by the ability to effectively use the tools of the SMART Notebook desktop application and the SMART Lumio cloud environment through the creation of gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of pupils (including pupils with special educational needs) participating in the lesson in the school classroom or in the home environment in the case of distance/hybrid education;
We acquire digital skills in working with the SMART response 2 tool supported by the SMART Notebook desktop application and the SMART Lumio cloud environment in the context of creating knowledge questions - selecting one correct answer from multiple answer alternatives, selecting multiple correct answers from multiple answer alternatives, selecting Yes/No answers, free-form questions - in test sessions designed to test the knowledge of pupils of regional education in the Slovak Republic;
We are mastering the methodology of applying knowledge tests communicated to students through SMART response 2 in the SMART Notebook desktop application and gamification activities supported by the cloud environment SMART Lumio to the process of school teaching of subjects at the lower/upper secondary level of education;
We strengthen professional digital competences in the area of working with complex interactive solutions usable in educational situations with an emphasis on the SMART Board interactive whiteboard.
Topics:
Basic functions and calibration of the SMART Board interactive touchscreen/tablet; Symptoms, causes and solutions to some of the problems encountered when using the SMART Board interactive touchscreen/tablet; Installing and updating the SMART Notebook desktop application; Creating a teacher's virtual classroom in the SMART platform;
Creating and editing objects in the SMART Notebook environment; Using basic tools to support the presentation of processed content; Working with multimedia content from the SMART Gallery; Sharing your own content on the SMART Exchange website; Adding links to external educational resources; Adding sound to objects, Animating objects; Using and sharing your own content; Applying graphic content from other software applications - importing and editing objects;

Applying objects from the Lesson Activity Toolkit 2.0; Creating gamification activities in SMART Lab; SMART Response 2 - creating and administering knowledge tests/quizzes;
Principles of Gamification; Gamification Elements in Educational Content; Some Results of Exact Studies on the Application of Gamification in Education; Application of Gamification in Education in Primary and Secondary Schools; Examples of Gamification Activities Used in the Teaching of Science, Social Studies, Art, Education and Vocational Education.
Teacher/student login to SMART Lumio cloud platform account; Managing the library of learning activities; Creating gamification activities in SMART Lumio - SMART Lesson Activity Builder (Lab); Editing activities in edit mode; Making activities available to students - delivery mode; Sharing options for gamification activities;
Setting up and converting a lesson page to a Handout or Workspace activity; Working with predefined graphical activity templates for use in activating student learning, inquiring about student knowledge, or reflecting; Sharing lessons with the created educational materials with students in SMART Lumio.
Within the distance assignment, the student will create a set of interactive learning/quiz activities and digital presentation material for the target group of learners with effective use of the widest possible range of tools, objects, pre-prepared templates, advanced functions, settings and features supported by the SMART Notebook authoring environment in a range of at least 10 presentation pages/activities. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created presentation materials and educational activities stored in *.notebook format, the student shall indicate the methodology of their inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.
Within the distance assignment, the student will create a set of 10 gamification educational/quiz activities for the target group of students with effective use of pre-made templates and tools of the SMART Lumio cloud environment. The condition is that each type of gamification activity should only occur once in the created set of activities. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created gamification educational/quiz activities saved by the student, the student shall indicate the methodology of their application in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.
As part of the distance learning assignment, the student will create a 3-page set of pre-prepared content convertible to Handout format activities and a 3-page set of pre-prepared content convertible to Workspace format activities. In the accompanying document (a separate *.docx file) for the created Handout and Workspace activities stored in the SMART Lumio cloud, the student will indicate the methodology of their application to the educational activities of the target group of students.
Creating and using mind maps in the educational process with the support of digital solutions
We develop professional digital competences by competence in the design and creation of mind maps through the tools of the software application Mindomo (or FreeMind/XMind), usable in our own educational activities implemented in schools and school facilities for the target group of intact pupils, as well as pupils with special educational needs in order to improve communication skills and critical thinking;
We are mastering the methodology of applying mind maps communicated to students through Mindomo (or FreeMind/XMind) to the process of school teaching of the subjects of their approbation at primary school / high school;
Topics:
Explanation of terminology in the field of mind/concept mapping; Desktop/cloud software applications for creating mind maps in the educational process at primary/ secondary schools; Functions and types of mind maps; Principles and principles of creating mind maps; Possibilities of using mind maps in the process of preparation for teaching and directly in the classroom;

Familiarization with the user environment of the Mindomo software application (or FreeMind/ XMind);
Structuring a mind map; Inserting, editing and formatting nodes; Creating and formatting branches; Encrypted node; Editing multi-line nodes; Creating image nodes; Moving/copying nodes and groups of nodes in the map; Inserting annotations to nodes; Linking nodes with local links - independent linking of ideas; Hypertext linking of nodes to external information sources - links in nodes leading to external sources (web) or to local files on the local disk of the computer; Importing content into the mind map; Exporting the map to the output of different formats; Text search in the subnetwork of the current node; Mind maps and their use when working with pupils with SEN.
As part of the distance assignment, the student will design and create one mind map from any thematic area of school subject teaching in primary/elementary school. The focus of the mind map should be situated in a teaching issue of the student's own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at primary school/SHS in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student studies in the teacher education programmes at the Faculty of Education at the Charles University. In the mind map, tools and functions of the Mindomo (or FreeMind/XMind) application environment will be applied in the intent of the complexity of the explained issue. The student will justify in a brief written form the choice of the processed content/topic in the form of a mind map, their functionality and use in his/her educational activities.
Creation of non-linear presentations of educational content through the Prezi presentation platform supporting teacher's interpretation and systematization of students' knowledge
We acquire professional digital competencies in the concept of design and creation of impressive non-linear presentations of educational content realized through the Prezi Present cloud platform Prezi applicable to our own pedagogical activities;
We are mastering the methodology of applying the presentation of educational content communicated to pupils through Prezi Present to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation at primary school / high school;
Topics:
Introduction of the software tool Prezi Present as a presentation platform - creation of trendy, effective presentations; Explanation and clarification of the terms used in the field of creating didactic presentations in the environment of Prezi Present; Possibilities of using the presentation application Prezi Present in the preparation and creation of supporting educational materials effectively usable in the teaching of their own subject; Presentation of examples of presentations used in teaching science, social science, art-educational and vocational educational disciplines; Illustrative comparison of differences between classical (Microsoft PowerPoint) and dynamic (Prezi Present) presentations;
Theoretical background of presentation preparation and the system of selection of presented information; Basic steps of the procedure for creating didactic presentations in Prezi Present; Functionality of the online presentation tool Prezi Present; Creating dynamic presentations in Prezi Present - working with text and graphic objects; Creating topic/subtopic structures, changing the order of topics/subtopics, Creating depth and rotation effects; Inserting additional graphic visuals into a presentation in Prezi Present; Working in edit mode for main topics, subtopics and other presentation content; Creating visual effects and animations of presentation objects;
Use of templates - change the design - colors, background, fonts; Inserting audio tracks on the background of individual topics/subtopics, the whole presentation; 3D presentations; Importing and editing PowerPoint presentations in Prezi Present; Sharing presentations with other users, sharing on social networks, on web portals; Exporting presentations to *.pdf and Portable Prezi (for off-line presentation); Export options and presentation size; Working with Prezi Present in off-line mode.
Within the distance assignment, the student will use the Prezi Present presentation tool to create an original didactic and visually engaging presentation of the educational content for the target group

of students. The focus of the presentation should be situated in a teaching issue of the student's own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at the primary school / secondary school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programmes of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University. The presentation will be at least 30 presentation views (= topics at the highest hierarchical level, subtopics at lower hierarchical levels and stack structure pages) to a minimum hierarchical nesting depth of three.
Use of Microsoft 365 platform in teacher's pedagogical activity
We develop professional digital competencies in the concept of design and creation of responsive presentations with educational content and emphasis on the cloud solution Microsoft Sway usable in educational activities implemented in schools or school facilities;
We are mastering the methodology of applying the presentation of educational content communicated to pupils through Microsoft Sway to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation at primary school / secondary school;
We hone professional digital skills to include the ability to effectively use a range of form content controls and ActiveX elements of Microsoft Word in the creation of interactive worksheets, tests and supplementary learning materials for pupils or other classroom agenda documents usable in the context of pedagogical activity;
We are mastering the methodology of applying interactive worksheets communicated to pupils through Microsoft Word to the process of school teaching of subjects of their area of specialization at primary school / high school;
Develop professional digital skills in the creation of large documents (such as letters, invitations, labels) through a mass correspondence tool usable in the maintenance of relevant pedagogical documentation;
We develop professional digital skills in the creation of statistical calculations, compound expressions using functions for finding conditional frequency, sum, or arithmetic mean and techniques for addressing continuous and discontinuous areas in expressions/functions usable in the tabular processing of classroom data (student evaluations in knowledge or sports activities) supported by Microsoft Excel;
We hone professional competencies to include the ability to effectively apply advanced conditions and techniques for conditional formatting of table records using functions;
We extend digital skills to include the ability to effectively apply an advanced filtering tool to large tabular data by defining compound logical conditions (AND/OR), wildcards (*, ?), and a Subtotal function usable in maintaining appropriate pedagogical documentation;
Develop professional digital skills in creating cumulative graphical visualizations of tabulated data in the context of changing attributes of individual chart elements;
We apply other selected tools of the Microsoft 365 cloud platform, with an emphasis on the Microsoft Teams software application for teachers to use in conducting online learning activities.
Topics:
A basic theoretical introduction to Microsoft cloud solutions;
Sway presentation platform - creation of interactive school materials (portfolios), impressive presentations of educational content; Other possibilities of practical use of Microsoft Sway in educational activities; Concept of creating multimedia responsive presentations; Creating a new Sway, creating a Sway by importing an existing file/presentation, creating a Sway based on a template; Articulating presentation content; Options for inserting and formatting supported visuals via tabs; Grouping multimedia content; Influencing the appearance of a presentation; Changing the layout of content; Adapting specific elements to the style of a presentation; Exporting a presentation in *.pdf/*.docx; Additional options for setting up the presentation in Sway; Share Sway output from a personal Microsoft account; Share Sway output from an account assigned by the educational organization;

Editing large text documents in the Microsoft Word environment - standard settings; Using tabs; Text blocks; Columns; Objects in text - equation editor; Tables in text; Editing a document using a style; Creating your own style and editing an existing style. Document Revision. Definition of the terms section and reference and possibilities of their use; Document revision and its use in writing term papers and qualification papers; Document structuring - dividing a document into sections, types of section breaks, page settings in sections, creating a header and footer in a document divided into sections; Possibilities of using a structured document within the agenda of a teaching staff member; Creating references - automatic content creation, content setup, content formatting, numbering figures and tables, creating a list of tables and figures, footnotes and endnotes, cross-references, citations and list of references used, creating a document index; Creating templates - the importance of a template type document. Electronic forms - what are form elements and what is their difference from ActiveX elements, settings of form elements, ActiveX element and its settings, Form security; Application of the acquired experience and skills of working with Microsoft Word text editor in creating documents useful in own pedagogical activities; Creation of large documents - letters, invitations, labels - by means of the tool of mass correspondence useful in the framework of keeping the relevant pedagogical documentation.
Working with tabular data in Microsoft Excel; Inserting data into cells; Predefined and custom data format; Working with cells - moving, cutting, copying; Bulk filling of data and creating expressions/patterns; Applying elementary functions; Modifying function parameters; Modifying table appearance; Sorting tabular data; Data lists - creating custom lists; Increasing values. Rounding; Absolute and relative cell addressing; Hiding table values; Notes in cells; Working with large data - automatic and extended data filter, Subtotal function; Operations between sheets; Conditional formatting of table data; More advanced functions - If, Count, CountIf, SumIf, SumIfS, AverageIfS; Contingency table; Search functions; Creating forms.
We get familiar with the Microsoft Teams online communication platform environment; Creating teams; Creating a pure team in MS Teams; Creating teams from an existing team and basic team customization; Creating a team from an Office 365 Group; Setting up and managing teams; Managing team members; Managing teams in bulk; Guest in a Team Class; Invitation from MS Teams; Channels; Cards; Creating your first online lesson; Creating a link to a lesson; Connecting students to an online lesson; Running a lesson with MS Teams; Preparing a lesson outline; Presenting in MS Teams; Tools available during the implementation of an online lesson on the student's/teacher's side (e.g. Conversation panel; Reporting for a word; Downloading a list of participants, etc.); Course notes - notepad; Uploading files with educational content; Creating a shared file for pupils/students in the virtual classroom; Creating a quiz; Quiz evaluation, Quiz setup.
Within the distance task, the student will design and create a document in the form of an electronic workbook in Microsoft Word using a template and applying content controls and ActiveX elements - text field, drop-down field, drop-down list, comma field, option button, command button - usable in the context of teaching subjects of his/her area of specialization at primary school / high school.
Within the distance task, the student will create a table of fictitious evaluation of pupils in the framework of knowledge or sports activities implemented in schools or school facilities in the range of at least 20 rows and 10 columns, where the functions of the Microsoft Excel spreadsheet application will be used in the context of the complexity of the explained issue.
In a distance task, the student formats a table of fictitious assessment of pupils in knowledge/sport activities, applying various conditions and techniques of conditional formatting of the table entries in the intent of the difficulty of the explained problem.
In the distance task, the student will create three different graphical visualisations of the data from the table of the previous distance task, in which he/she has processed the assessments achieved in the knowledge/sport activities implemented in schools or school facilities. They will add to the

graphical visualisation the title of the graph, the axis names as well as other parameters of the graph as they wish in the context of the readability of the graph.
In the distance task, the student applies an extended filter to the table from the previous distance task to display the student's name and grade attained in the context of the knowledge/sport activities carried out in the school or school facilities and filters to another location within the same worksheet/ workbook when defining specific filter conditions (e.g. grade attained below/above a set value, etc.). Within the framework of the distance task, the student will create through the Microsoft Sway application an original, dynamic and visually impressive presentation of the learning issue for the target group of pupils according to his/her own discretion in the intent of school teaching of the subjects of his/her apprenticeship at primary school/elementary school. The aim of the presentation to be realized by the student is to make it multimedia and at the same time attractive for the target group of pupils in terms of content. The scope of the presentation in Microsoft Sway must consist of at least 25 presentation views - cards with the subject content. To organize the presentation, the student should use its layout into sections, subsections and layers in order to increase the clarity of the presented topic for the student

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

ZÁHOREC, J. (2021). Inovatívne digitálne riešenia v školskej edukácii žiakov. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 141 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5121-8. [Vyšlo ako elektronická publikácia]
ZÁHOREC, J., BREČKA, P. (2021). Uplatnenie platformy SMART Learning Suite v oblasti tvorby edukačných aktivít. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 129 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5127-0 [Vyšlo ako elektronická publikácia]
ZÁHOREC, J., HAŠKOVÁ, A., MUNK, M. (2020). Digitálna gramotnosť učiteľov v kontexte ich profesijnej prípravy. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 305 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-4882-9
BREČKA, P. (2014). Interaktívne tabule v technickom vzdelávaní. IRIS, 140 s., ISBN: 978-80-8153-024-1
ŠTRBO, M. (2018). Tvorba prezentácií. Trnava: Pedagogická fakulta Trnavskej univerzity v Trnave, 45 s., ISBN 978-80-568-0179-6
Základný študijný text k vzdelávaciemu obsahu disciplíny Digitálne technológie 1 bude študentom pravidelných časových intervaloch sprístupňovaný v elektronickej forme prostredníctvom univerzitného LMS Moodle.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

The maximum number of students per study group within the regular learning form of study is 15 (per one teacher). The seminar is conducted in a computer room, each student has a computer at his/her disposal.

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1631

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
37,95	0,0	23,24	14,84	9,38	7,66	6,93

Lecturers: doc. PaedDr. Ján Záhorec, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde002/22	**Course title:** Digital technologies 1
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** seminar **Number of hours:** **per week:** 2 **per level/semester:** 26 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	

Course requirements:

Prerequisite subjects: none

Prerequisites for successful completion of the course

The course is completed by assessment, the weighting of the interim and final assessment is 100/0.

active participation in seminars conducted by both face-to-face and distance learning methods;

processing of partial (distance) deliverables/assignments according to the lecturer's assignment during the semester from the selected subject areas and their submission in electronic form within the set deadline, which are continuously checked and evaluated by the lecturer, constitutes 80% of the total achievable point value; Submission of partial assignments is a condition for the award of credits;

development of a semester project - at the end of the semester, the student develops through the cloud tool Prezi Present an original and visually impressive presentation of the educational content of the selected teaching subject at primary school / high school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education at Charles University; The structure of the presentation should be based on one of the templates from the library of customizable templates supported by Prezi Present; Personal presentation of the created project in Prezi in front of the whole study group; Successful implementation and defense of the project constitutes 20% of the total achievable point value and is a condition for the award of credits.

The rating is awarded on a scale:

A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),

B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),

C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),

E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)

For successful completion of the educational subject it is necessary to obtain at least 60 % of the score.

A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments,

excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1 is to develop digital skills of students of teacher-oriented study programmes at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University (hereinafter referred to as the Faculty of Education of the Charles University) to be able to effectively use an appropriate range of didactic software applications in the context of the concept of design and creation of interactive educational activities, knowledge quizzes and assignments, electronic portfolios, impressive didactic presentations, new interactive forms of teaching material and other pedagogical/school documentation usable in the intent of their future teaching profession at different levels of regional education in the Slovak Republic.
By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1, the student has the competences and digital skills to effectively use the tools of the SMART Notebook software application and the SMART Lumio cloud environment by creating gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of students participating in the lesson in the school classroom or in home conditions in the case of distance/hybrid education.
By completing the educational discipline, the student also has the competences and digital skills in the field of design and creation of mind maps through the tools of the Mindomo software application (or FreeMind, XMind) applicable in educational activities implemented in schools and educational institutions for the target group of intact pupils, as well as pupils with special educational needs in order to improve their communication skills and critical thinking.
By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1, the student acquires professional digital competencies in the concept of design and creation of impressive non-linear presentations and interactive presentations of educational content implemented through the Prezi Present cloud

platform Prezi by expressing their own visual ideas usable in their own pedagogical activities in schools or educational institutions.
By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1, the student has, last but not least, the competences and digital skills to effectively use the cloud platform Microsoft 365 with an emphasis on software applications Sway, Word and Excel by creating supplementary teaching materials for the needs of school teaching and maintaining the relevant pedagogical documentation.
The student masters the basic possibilities of using the online communication platform Microsoft Teams applicable in the implementation of distance learning at the level of regional education

Class syllabus:

The content of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 1 is divided into four thematic headings and relevant sub-topics in order to ensure the achievement of the set partial educational objectives. The content structure of the educational discipline is designed so that the student continuously develops his didactic-technological competences in the field of selected didactic software applications by completing the educational topics organized in the present and distance form and at the same time strengthens his professional training in the subject area.
Due to the rapid development of new didactic software usable in the pedagogical process, the specific content structure of the discipline can be adapted to the current requirements of practice and students.
Application of SMART Notebook software application and SMART Lumio cloud environment in the educational process
We develop professional digital competences by the ability to effectively use the tools of the SMART Notebook desktop application and the SMART Lumio cloud environment through the creation of gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of pupils (including pupils with special educational needs) participating in the lesson in the school classroom or in the home environment in the case of distance/hybrid education;
We acquire digital skills in working with the SMART response 2 tool supported by the SMART Notebook desktop application and the SMART Lumio cloud environment in the context of creating knowledge questions - selecting one correct answer from multiple answer alternatives, selecting multiple correct answers from multiple answer alternatives, selecting Yes/No answers, free-form questions - in test sessions designed to test the knowledge of pupils of regional education in the Slovak Republic;
We are mastering the methodology of applying knowledge tests communicated to students through SMART response 2 in the SMART Notebook desktop application and gamification activities supported by the cloud environment SMART Lumio to the process of school teaching of subjects at the lower/upper secondary level of education;
We strengthen professional digital competences in the area of working with complex interactive solutions usable in educational situations with an emphasis on the SMART Board interactive whiteboard.
Topics:
Basic functions and calibration of the SMART Board interactive touchscreen/tablet; Symptoms, causes and solutions to some of the problems encountered when using the SMART Board interactive touchscreen/tablet; Installing and updating the SMART Notebook desktop application; Creating a teacher's virtual classroom in the SMART platform;
Creating and editing objects in the SMART Notebook environment; Using basic tools to support the presentation of processed content; Working with multimedia content from the SMART Gallery; Sharing your own content on the SMART Exchange website; Adding links to external educational resources; Adding sound to objects, Animating objects; Using and sharing your own content; Applying graphic content from other software applications - importing and editing objects;

Applying objects from the Lesson Activity Toolkit 2.0; Creating gamification activities in SMART Lab; SMART Response 2 - creating and administering knowledge tests/quizzes;
Principles of Gamification; Gamification Elements in Educational Content; Some Results of Exact Studies on the Application of Gamification in Education; Application of Gamification in Education in Primary and Secondary Schools; Examples of Gamification Activities Used in the Teaching of Science, Social Studies, Art, Education and Vocational Education.
Teacher/student login to SMART Lumio cloud platform account; Managing the library of learning activities; Creating gamification activities in SMART Lumio - SMART Lesson Activity Builder (Lab); Editing activities in edit mode; Making activities available to students - delivery mode; Sharing options for gamification activities;
Setting up and converting a lesson page to a Handout or Workspace activity; Working with predefined graphical activity templates for use in activating student learning, inquiring about student knowledge, or reflecting; Sharing lessons with the created educational materials with students in SMART Lumio.
Within the distance assignment, the student will create a set of interactive learning/quiz activities and digital presentation material for the target group of learners with effective use of the widest possible range of tools, objects, pre-prepared templates, advanced functions, settings and features supported by the SMART Notebook authoring environment in a range of at least 10 presentation pages/activities. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created presentation materials and educational activities stored in *.notebook format, the student shall indicate the methodology of their inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.
Within the distance assignment, the student will create a set of 10 gamification educational/quiz activities for the target group of students with effective use of pre-made templates and tools of the SMART Lumio cloud environment. The condition is that each type of gamification activity should only occur once in the created set of activities. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created gamification educational/quiz activities saved by the student, the student shall indicate the methodology of their application in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.
As part of the distance learning assignment, the student will create a 3-page set of pre-prepared content convertible to Handout format activities and a 3-page set of pre-prepared content convertible to Workspace format activities. In the accompanying document (a separate *.docx file) for the created Handout and Workspace activities stored in the SMART Lumio cloud, the student will indicate the methodology of their application to the educational activities of the target group of students.
Creating and using mind maps in the educational process with the support of digital solutions
We develop professional digital competences by competence in the design and creation of mind maps through the tools of the software application Mindomo (or FreeMind/XMind), usable in our own educational activities implemented in schools and school facilities for the target group of intact pupils, as well as pupils with special educational needs in order to improve communication skills and critical thinking;
We are mastering the methodology of applying mind maps communicated to students through Mindomo (or FreeMind/XMind) to the process of school teaching of the subjects of their approbation at primary school / high school;
Topics:
Explanation of terminology in the field of mind/concept mapping; Desktop/cloud software applications for creating mind maps in the educational process at primary/ secondary schools; Functions and types of mind maps; Principles and principles of creating mind maps; Possibilities of using mind maps in the process of preparation for teaching and directly in the classroom;

Familiarization with the user environment of the Mindomo software application (or FreeMind/ XMind);
Structuring a mind map; Inserting, editing and formatting nodes; Creating and formatting branches; Encrypted node; Editing multi-line nodes; Creating image nodes; Moving/copying nodes and groups of nodes in the map; Inserting annotations to nodes; Linking nodes with local links - independent linking of ideas; Hypertext linking of nodes to external information sources - links in nodes leading to external sources (web) or to local files on the local disk of the computer; Importing content into the mind map; Exporting the map to the output of different formats; Text search in the subnetwork of the current node; Mind maps and their use when working with pupils with SEN.
As part of the distance assignment, the student will design and create one mind map from any thematic area of school subject teaching in primary/elementary school. The focus of the mind map should be situated in a teaching issue of the student's own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at primary school/SHS in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student studies in the teacher education programmes at the Faculty of Education at the Charles University. In the mind map, tools and functions of the Mindomo (or FreeMind/XMind) application environment will be applied in the intent of the complexity of the explained issue. The student will justify in a brief written form the choice of the processed content/topic in the form of a mind map, their functionality and use in his/her educational activities.
Creation of non-linear presentations of educational content through the Prezi presentation platform supporting teacher's interpretation and systematization of students' knowledge
We acquire professional digital competencies in the concept of design and creation of impressive non-linear presentations of educational content realized through the Prezi Present cloud platform Prezi applicable to our own pedagogical activities;
We are mastering the methodology of applying the presentation of educational content communicated to pupils through Prezi Present to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation at primary school / high school;
Topics:
Introduction of the software tool Prezi Present as a presentation platform - creation of trendy, effective presentations; Explanation and clarification of the terms used in the field of creating didactic presentations in the environment of Prezi Present; Possibilities of using the presentation application Prezi Present in the preparation and creation of supporting educational materials effectively usable in the teaching of their own subject; Presentation of examples of presentations used in teaching science, social science, art-educational and vocational educational disciplines; Illustrative comparison of differences between classical (Microsoft PowerPoint) and dynamic (Prezi Present) presentations;
Theoretical background of presentation preparation and the system of selection of presented information; Basic steps of the procedure for creating didactic presentations in Prezi Present; Functionality of the online presentation tool Prezi Present; Creating dynamic presentations in Prezi Present - working with text and graphic objects; Creating topic/subtopic structures, changing the order of topics/subtopics, Creating depth and rotation effects; Inserting additional graphic visuals into a presentation in Prezi Present; Working in edit mode for main topics, subtopics and other presentation content; Creating visual effects and animations of presentation objects;
Use of templates - change the design - colors, background, fonts; Inserting audio tracks on the background of individual topics/subtopics, the whole presentation; 3D presentations; Importing and editing PowerPoint presentations in Prezi Present; Sharing presentations with other users, sharing on social networks, on web portals; Exporting presentations to *.pdf and Portable Prezi (for off-line presentation); Export options and presentation size; Working with Prezi Present in off-line mode.
Within the distance assignment, the student will use the Prezi Present presentation tool to create an original didactic and visually engaging presentation of the educational content for the target group

of students. The focus of the presentation should be situated in a teaching issue of the student's own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at the primary school / secondary school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programmes of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University. The presentation will be at least 30 presentation views (= topics at the highest hierarchical level, subtopics at lower hierarchical levels and stack structure pages) to a minimum hierarchical nesting depth of three.
Use of Microsoft 365 platform in teacher's pedagogical activity
We develop professional digital competencies in the concept of design and creation of responsive presentations with educational content and emphasis on the cloud solution Microsoft Sway usable in educational activities implemented in schools or school facilities;
We are mastering the methodology of applying the presentation of educational content communicated to pupils through Microsoft Sway to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation at primary school / secondary school;
We hone professional digital skills to include the ability to effectively use a range of form content controls and ActiveX elements of Microsoft Word in the creation of interactive worksheets, tests and supplementary learning materials for pupils or other classroom agenda documents usable in the context of pedagogical activity;
We are mastering the methodology of applying interactive worksheets communicated to pupils through Microsoft Word to the process of school teaching of subjects of their area of specialization at primary school / high school;
Develop professional digital skills in the creation of large documents (such as letters, invitations, labels) through a mass correspondence tool usable in the maintenance of relevant pedagogical documentation;
We develop professional digital skills in the creation of statistical calculations, compound expressions using functions for finding conditional frequency, sum, or arithmetic mean and techniques for addressing continuous and discontinuous areas in expressions/functions usable in the tabular processing of classroom data (student evaluations in knowledge or sports activities) supported by Microsoft Excel;
We hone professional competencies to include the ability to effectively apply advanced conditions and techniques for conditional formatting of table records using functions;
We extend digital skills to include the ability to effectively apply an advanced filtering tool to large tabular data by defining compound logical conditions (AND/OR), wildcards (*, ?), and a Subtotal function usable in maintaining appropriate pedagogical documentation;
Develop professional digital skills in creating cumulative graphical visualizations of tabulated data in the context of changing attributes of individual chart elements;
We apply other selected tools of the Microsoft 365 cloud platform, with an emphasis on the Microsoft Teams software application for teachers to use in conducting online learning activities.
Topics:
A basic theoretical introduction to Microsoft cloud solutions;
Sway presentation platform - creation of interactive school materials (portfolios), impressive presentations of educational content; Other possibilities of practical use of Microsoft Sway in educational activities; Concept of creating multimedia responsive presentations; Creating a new Sway, creating a Sway by importing an existing file/presentation, creating a Sway based on a template; Articulating presentation content; Options for inserting and formatting supported visuals via tabs; Grouping multimedia content; Influencing the appearance of a presentation; Changing the layout of content; Adapting specific elements to the style of a presentation; Exporting a presentation in *.pdf/*.docx; Additional options for setting up the presentation in Sway; Share Sway output from a personal Microsoft account; Share Sway output from an account assigned by the educational organization;

Editing large text documents in the Microsoft Word environment - standard settings; Using tabs; Text blocks; Columns; Objects in text - equation editor; Tables in text; Editing a document using a style; Creating your own style and editing an existing style. Document Revision. Definition of the terms section and reference and possibilities of their use; Document revision and its use in writing term papers and qualification papers; Document structuring - dividing a document into sections, types of section breaks, page settings in sections, creating a header and footer in a document divided into sections; Possibilities of using a structured document within the agenda of a teaching staff member; Creating references - automatic content creation, content setup, content formatting, numbering figures and tables, creating a list of tables and figures, footnotes and endnotes, cross-references, citations and list of references used, creating a document index; Creating templates - the importance of a template type document. Electronic forms - what are form elements and what is their difference from ActiveX elements, settings of form elements, ActiveX element and its settings, Form security; Application of the acquired experience and skills of working with Microsoft Word text editor in creating documents useful in own pedagogical activities; Creation of large documents - letters, invitations, labels - by means of the tool of mass correspondence useful in the framework of keeping the relevant pedagogical documentation.
Working with tabular data in Microsoft Excel; Inserting data into cells; Predefined and custom data format; Working with cells - moving, cutting, copying; Bulk filling of data and creating expressions/patterns; Applying elementary functions; Modifying function parameters; Modifying table appearance; Sorting tabular data; Data lists - creating custom lists; Increasing values. Rounding; Absolute and relative cell addressing; Hiding table values; Notes in cells; Working with large data - automatic and extended data filter, Subtotal function; Operations between sheets; Conditional formatting of table data; More advanced functions - If, Count, CountIf, SumIf, SumIfS, AverageIfS; Contingency table; Search functions; Creating forms.
We get familiar with the Microsoft Teams online communication platform environment; Creating teams; Creating a pure team in MS Teams; Creating teams from an existing team and basic team customization; Creating a team from an Office 365 Group; Setting up and managing teams; Managing team members; Managing teams in bulk; Guest in a Team Class; Invitation from MS Teams; Channels; Cards; Creating your first online lesson; Creating a link to a lesson; Connecting students to an online lesson; Running a lesson with MS Teams; Preparing a lesson outline; Presenting in MS Teams; Tools available during the implementation of an online lesson on the student's/teacher's side (e.g. Conversation panel; Reporting for a word; Downloading a list of participants, etc.); Course notes - notepad; Uploading files with educational content; Creating a shared file for pupils/students in the virtual classroom; Creating a quiz; Quiz evaluation, Quiz setup.
Within the distance task, the student will design and create a document in the form of an electronic workbook in Microsoft Word using a template and applying content controls and ActiveX elements - text field, drop-down field, drop-down list, comma field, option button, command button - usable in the context of teaching subjects of his/her area of specialization at primary school / high school.
Within the distance task, the student will create a table of fictitious evaluation of pupils in the framework of knowledge or sports activities implemented in schools or school facilities in the range of at least 20 rows and 10 columns, where the functions of the Microsoft Excel spreadsheet application will be used in the context of the complexity of the explained issue.
In a distance task, the student formats a table of fictitious assessment of pupils in knowledge/sport activities, applying various conditions and techniques of conditional formatting of the table entries in the intent of the difficulty of the explained problem.
In the distance task, the student will create three different graphical visualisations of the data from the table of the previous distance task, in which he/she has processed the assessments achieved in the knowledge/sport activities implemented in schools or school facilities. They will add to the

graphical visualisation the title of the graph, the axis names as well as other parameters of the graph as they wish in the context of the readability of the graph.
In the distance task, the student applies an extended filter to the table from the previous distance task to display the student's name and grade attained in the context of the knowledge/sport activities carried out in the school or school facilities and filters to another location within the same worksheet/ workbook when defining specific filter conditions (e.g. grade attained below/above a set value, etc.). Within the framework of the distance task, the student will create through the Microsoft Sway application an original, dynamic and visually impressive presentation of the learning issue for the target group of pupils according to his/her own discretion in the intent of school teaching of the subjects of his/her apprenticeship at primary school/elementary school. The aim of the presentation to be realized by the student is to make it multimedia and at the same time attractive for the target group of pupils in terms of content. The scope of the presentation in Microsoft Sway must consist of at least 25 presentation views - cards with the subject content. To organize the presentation, the student should use its layout into sections, subsections and layers in order to increase the clarity of the presented topic for the student

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

ZÁHOREC, J. (2021). Inovatívne digitálne riešenia v školskej edukácii žiakov. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 141 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5121-8. [Vyšlo ako elektronická publikácia]
ZÁHOREC, J., BREČKA, P. (2021). Uplatnenie platformy SMART Learning Suite v oblasti tvorby edukačných aktivít. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 129 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5127-0 [Vyšlo ako elektronická publikácia]
ZÁHOREC, J., HAŠKOVÁ, A., MUNK, M. (2020). Digitálna gramotnosť učiteľov v kontexte ich profesijnej prípravy. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 305 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-4882-9
BREČKA, P. (2014). Interaktívne tabule v technickom vzdelávaní. IRIS, 140 s., ISBN: 978-80-8153-024-1
ŠTRBO, M. (2018). Tvorba prezentácií. Trnava: Pedagogická fakulta Trnavskej univerzity v Trnave, 45 s., ISBN 978-80-568-0179-6
Základný študijný text k vzdelávaciemu obsahu disciplíny Digitálne technológie 1 bude študentom pravidelných časových intervaloch sprístupňovaný v elektronickej forme prostredníctvom univerzitného LMS Moodle.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

The maximum number of students per study group within the regular learning form of study is 15 (per one teacher). The seminar is conducted in a computer room, each student has a computer at his/her disposal.

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1631

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
37,95	0,0	23,24	14,84	9,38	7,66	6,93

Lecturers: doc. PaedDr. Ján Záhorec, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde012/22	Digital technologies 2

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde002/22 - Digital technologies 1

Course requirements:
Prerequisites: B-VUZde002 / Digital technologies 1
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).
active participation in seminars conducted by both face-to-face and distance learning methods;
processing of partial (distance) deliverables/assignments according to the lecturer's assignment during the semester from the selected subject areas and their submission in electronic form within the set deadline, which are continuously checked and evaluated by the lecturer, constitutes 80% of the total achievable point value; Submission of partial assignments is a condition for the award of credits;
development of a semester project - at the end of the semester, the student develops through the cloud tool Prezi Video an original and visually impressive video presentation of the educational content of the selected teaching subject at primary school / secondary school in the context of the subjects that the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of Charles University; The structure of the video presentation should be based on the presentation created in the Prezi Present software application; Personal presentation of the created project in Prezi in front of the whole study group; Successful implementation and defence of the project constitutes 20% of the total achievable point value and is a condition for the award of credits.
The rating shall be awarded on a scale of
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)
For successful completion of the educational subject it is necessary to obtain at least 60 % of the score.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation

in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 70/30

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2 is to develop digital skills in the field of work with selected software (desktop and cloud) didactic applications and to be able to apply them effectively in the context of their future teaching profession.
By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2, the student has the competences and advanced digital skills to effectively use the tools of the software application ActivInspire and the cloud environment ClassFlow by creating gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of students participating in the lesson in the school classroom or in home conditions in the case of distance/hybrid education.
By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2, the student acquires advanced professional digital competences in the concept of design and creation of interactive video presentations of educational content implemented through Prezi Present and Prezi Video cloud platform Prezi by expressing their own visual ideas usable in their own pedagogical activities in schools or educational institutions.
By completing the educational discipline, the student acquires competence and advanced digital skills in the concept of design, creation and administration of (gamification) quiz and educational activities and knowledge games with dynamic elements created through the cloud tool Socrative 2.0, Kahoot!, Wizer.me and Google Forms usable in own educational activities implemented in schools and school facilities for the target group of intact pupils as well as pupils with special educational needs.
By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2, the student has, last but not least, competencies and advanced digital skills in the field of vector graphic creation in the environment of the cloud application Prezi Design in the implementation of the work activities of a pedagogical employee of regional education or his/her own professional development.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course

The content of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2 is divided into four thematic headings and relevant sub-topics in order to ensure the achievement of the set partial educational objectives. The content structure of the educational discipline is designed so that the student continuously develops his didactic-technological competences in the field of selected didactic software applications by completing the educational topics organized in the present and distance form and at the same time strengthens his professional training in the subject area.content structure of the discipline can be adapted to the current requirements of practice and students.

Application of ActivInspire software application and ClassFlow cloud environment in the educational process

We master the principle and methodology of working with selected (Promethean World Ltd) digital didactic resources designed to support interactive teaching of subjects of its approbation in primary and secondary schools;

We develop professional digital competences by the ability to effectively use the software tools of the ActivInspire desktop application and the ClassFlow cloud environment through the creation of gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of pupils (including pupils with special educational needs) participating in a lesson in the school classroom or in home conditions in the case of distance/hybrid education; In the context of the above activity, the trainee is also expected to learn the methodology for applying the activities developed in the school classroom;

We gain knowledge about desktop digital voting solutions and (trendy) cloud-based applications for creating knowledge tests/quizzes currently available on the market as an interactive didactic means of teaching, advantages and disadvantages of their deployment in the teaching process in the field of regional education;

We acquire digital skills in creating, editing and administering knowledge tests through voting sessions and supported by the ActivInspire software application and ActivExpression2 digital voting device to stimulate pupil activation and attention in the classroom; We use the ExpressPoll voting session; we use the question creation wizard to create test activities in the teacher stimulation; we implement the work with the question set wizard for processing at the pupil's own pace (voting session in the pupil stimulation) in the teaching of the subjects of his/her apprenticeship;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the knowledge tests communicated to pupils through the ActivInspire application and the ActivExpression2 digital voting device to the process of school teaching of the subjects of their approbation in primary/ secondary schools;

Develop skills in statistically processing the results of whole group voting activities into the ActivInspire demonstration workbook, as well as exporting them to Microsoft Excel;

We strengthen professional digital competences in the area of working with complex interactive solutions usable in educational situations with an emphasis on the ActivBoard interactive whiteboard.

Topics:

Design of demonstration workbooks - options for setting up and customizing the ActivInspire work environment; Main toolbar - demonstration for familiarizing yourself with the most commonly used tools - ideas for their use in the creation of interactive educational content; Annotation mode on the desktop; Browsers in ActivInspire; Export/Import (*.flipchart) of demonstration workbooks; Teacher-student collaboration on the whiteboard - dual user mode;

Adding additional graphical content to the ActivInspire demonstration workbook; Importing and exporting asset packages; Defining object properties; Using layers and layering: Blind Map, Magic Tunnel, Magic Ink - ideas for using them when designing educational activities aimed at the target

group of students; Adding and removing links to linked external files/internet resources; Creating and using grid features when designing educational activities; Creating interactive demonstration workbooks - creating containers; Working with actions; Suggestions for their use of selected actions in the creation of interactive materials usable in the educational process; Benefits of using profiles; Annotating the desktop; Using desktop tools; Working in dual user mode; Using mathematical and design tools; Demonstrations of the use of mathematical and design tools in the creation of interactive materials usable in the educational process;
We extend professional digital competencies with the ability to effectively apply gamification educational activities through predefined templates - Sorting into categories, Crossword, Learning Cards, Assigning and Sorting items and other templates supported by the cloud-based ClassFlow application;
Current trends in the application of digital voting solutions for education; Technological principle of digital voting systems; Possibilities of using digital voting systems in school teaching in primary schools / elementary schools ; Methodology of working with digital voting systems; Digital voting solutions - effective support of group cooperation in classrooms stimulating the learning process;
We use the ActivExpression2 digital voting system in the classroom; Components of an intelligent digital voting solution; Registration of ActivExpression2 voting devices in ActivInspire; Voting Browser; Types of voting sessions and test questions supported by the ActivInspire software application and ActivExpression2 voting device; Working with voting session results in ActivInspire - viewing results in different formats; Exporting results from ExpressPoll from prepared questions and from a set of self-paced questions to Microsoft Excel; Suggestions for educational activities and games using ActivExpression2 voting devices to promote student activation and attention in the classroom.
Within the framework of the distance assignment, the student in the ActivInspire environment will develop a set of engaging learning activities, knowledge games, engaging presentations of educational content as new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of students in the classroom. In conceptualising the design and development of the task, the learner will make use of as wide a range of available tools, functions, actions and features as possible. Within a developed demonstration workbook of at least 6 pages, the student will be expected to primarily use the container activity, magic ink, magic tunnel, set actions and constraints on objects, and apply the presentation tools of the ActivInspire environment. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created presentation materials and educational activities stored in *.flipchart format, the student will indicate the methodology of their inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.
Within the distance assignment, the student will create a set of 10 gamification educational activities for the target group of students with the effective use of pre-prepared templates and tools of the ClassFlow cloud environment. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created gamification activities, the student will include the methodology of their integration into the educational activities of the target group of pupils.
Within the framework of the distance task, the student will create, through the ClassFlow cloud environment, a test activity conceived from 20 test questions of supported types with the potential possibility of its use in the teaching of the subjects of his/her apprenticeship to pupils at primary school / secondary school.
We create video presentations of educational content through the cloud application Prezi Video
We develop professional digital competencies in the design and creation of compelling non-linear video presentations of educational content realized through Prezi Present and Prezi Video cloud platform Prezi by expressing visual ideas applicable to our own pedagogical activities;

We are mastering the methodology of applying video presentation of educational content communicated to pupils through Prezi Video to the process of school teaching of subjects of its approbation at primary school / high school;
We innovate didactic approaches to educational activities implemented in schools or educational institutions through immersive non-linear video presentations created in the cloud platform Prezi.
Topics:
We create a video presentation in the Prezi Video online editor environment from scratch using the Quick record tool - selecting a suitable template, inserting text content and other graphic visuals; supplementing the video presentation with a recording of your own image and sound;
We create a video presentation in the Prezi Video online editor environment by offering reusable videos recorded by other Prezi Video users;
Creating a video presentation based on a Prezi Present; Adding an accompanying commentary; Adding a video with accompanying commentary.
Within the distance assignment, the student will create an original didactic and visually engaging video presentation of educational content of his/her choice for the target group of pupils, using the Prezi cloud platform (Prezi Present and Prezi Video), in a range of at least 20 presentation views, usable in teaching the subjects of his/her specialisation at primary school/junior high school.
We use selected Web 2.0 software tools to obtain feedback from students participating in the school teaching process, in the case of students enrolled in distance (online) learning;
We are expanding the knowledge framework in the field of application of gamification (game-based learning) as one of the innovative and activating methods applied in the educational process at the primary school level;
We are expanding digital skills to include the ability to effectively use Socrative 2.0, a cloud-based application designed to create and manage knowledge/quiz activities in order to get immediate feedback from students participating in a lesson in the classroom or at home in the case of distance/ hybrid learning;
We are mastering the methodology of applying knowledge/quiz activities communicated to students through Socrative 2.0 to the process of school teaching of subjects of their area of interest in primary schools;
We extend professional digital competences with the ability to create gamification quiz activities and knowledge games through the online platform Kahoot! into the school learning process in a fun and playful way - transforming traditional knowledge testing through quizzes in an activating form using digital means;
We acquire competencies in the application of the application methodology, in the Kahoot! author-prepared, gamified educational and quiz activities to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation in primary school / secondary school;
We develop digital competences by the ability to effectively use the cloud tool Wizer.me for creating multimedia online worksheets with educational content for the target group of primary school pupils;
We are mastering the methodology of applying the processed digital graphic outputs of educational content communicated to students through the cloud tool Wizer.me to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation in primary school / secondary school;
We master the principle and methodology of working with the cloud application Google Forms designed for the creation of online knowledge tests and research tools usable in the context of pedagogical activities;
Topics:
Socratvive.com - a web-based tool for online testing of student knowledge; Free registration vs. benefits of paid registration for teachers; Creating a teacher/student account; Test administration panel in Socrative 2.0 - Creating a new test - question types for creating your own tests - multiple

choice, yes/no and short open answer; Managing teacher-created tests; Creating a copy of a test - a variant for a parallel group with slightly different questions; Deleting a test; Downloading a test to a document in *.pdf; Editing the created test; Importing a test from another teacher - sharing tests between teachers; Access to the results of all (already) completed tests; Modes of making the test available to pupils - immediate feedback: Instatnt Feedback; Teacher-controlled test; Selecting the ways of displaying the results; Defining the appearance of the test results - a general overview of all pupils with statistics of pupil's success and questions; Separate *.Separate pdf files for each pupil; Success statistics for each test question; Practical examples of administered tests in the Socrative 2.0 environment for different educational disciplines and learning topics;
For the sake of brevity, the thematic focus of Kahoot! is analogous in content to the Socrative 2.0 application;
Cloud Computing; Google Drive; Viewing Google Docs options; Description of the Google Forms environment - Questions tab; Creating a form; Editing the appearance of the form; Setting up the form as a quiz; Evaluating the data obtained from the form administration - Answers tab; Sending the form to respondents; Setting up Google Form sharing - in Google Drive and in the Google Forms editor; Setting up Google Form sharing for a user with an un-created Google account.
As part of the distance task, the student will create a knowledge test with ten multiple choice questions, ten yes/no questions and ten short free-form questions using the online software application Socrative. The focus of the knowledge test should be situated in a curricular issue of the student's choice falling within the educational content of the subject taught at primary/ secondary school, in the context of the subjects of interest that the student is studying in the teacher education programmes at PdF UK. The knowledge test defined in this way will be implemented by the student among his/her classmates in his/her educational group. As part of the distance assignment, the student will create a software application Kahoot! test activity made up of 20 knowledge questions of supported types. The focus of the test activity should be situated in a teaching issue of his/her own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at primary school / high school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University. The test activity defined in this way will be implemented by the student among the classmates of his/her educational group.
Within the distance assignment, the student will design and create an engaging multimedia worksheet with educational content for the target group of pupils in primary school / secondary school in the environment of the cloud tool Wizer.me; To the created digital graphic output (in a separate file format *.docx), the student will provide a methodology for its inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.
As part of the distance learning assignment, the student will create a knowledge quiz activity with a minimum of 15 questions of supported types via the Google Forms cloud application. The focus of the knowledge quiz should be situated in a teaching issue of the student's own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at primary school / high school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education at PdF UK. The knowledge quiz defined in this way will be implemented by the student among the classmates of his/her educational group.
Creation of digital graphic outputs in the environment of selected cloud applications
Acquire digital competences by the ability to effectively use the Prezi Design cloud tool of the Prezi cloud platform to create multimedia posters with educational content intended for the target group of pupils in primary schools / secondary schools by expressing their own visual ideas;
We develop digital competences by the ability to effectively use the cloud tool Glogster (edu.Glogster.com) for digital storytelling - creating engaging multimedia posters with educational content - designed for the target group of pupils in primary schools / secondary schools by expressing their own visual ideas;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the processed digital graphic outputs of educational content communicated to students through the cloud tool Prezi Design and Glogster to the school teaching of subjects that the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education at Charles University.
Topics:
Prezi Design as a comprehensive graphic design and data visualization tool; Template library; Creating and editing content by adding multimedia items in the editor; Adding, moving and layering objects; Using *.ppt, *.pdf and *.doc in Prezi Design; Implementing animated elements into the graphic content you create; Creating visual effects to images by applying masks and filters; Adding links to text objects, graphics and shapes; Multi-page design; Linking text, images, icons and shapes to pages and sections; Sharing content created in Prezi Design with your audience on social media using private or public links; Collaborating in the Prezi Design environment; Adding/removing collaborators; Adding an entire team of collaborators; Collaborating in real time;
Creating and editing engaging data visualizations using maps; Creating a social post - adding and editing content, adding animation to the content of the post being created; Downloading and sharing the created content for publishing purposes;
Creating infographics for visual representation of information and data, Adding and editing content; Creating gif and mp4 videos in the Prezi Design environment; Embedding videos from Prezi Video into Prezi Design; Adding videos to Prezi Design and editing settings; Media integrations in Prezi Design - adding content from Prezi Video to projects.
Within the distance task, the student will design and create in the cloud tool Prezi Design an engaging digital poster with multiMedial educational content usable in teaching in the intent of the approbation subjects, which the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University; To the created digital graphic output (in a special file format *.docx) the student will provide a methodology for its inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of students.
Within the distance task, the student will design and create in the cloud tool edu.Glogster an engaging digital poster with multiMedial educational content usable in teaching in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University; To the created digital graphic output (in a special file format *.docx) the student will provide a methodology for its inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of students

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:
ZÁHOREC, J. (2021). Inovatívne digitálne riešenia v školskej edukácii žiakov. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 141 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5121-8. https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/fileadmin/pdf/Sucasti/Katedry/KDPP/Inovativne_digitalne_riesenia.pdf
ZÁHOREC, J., BREČKA, P. (2021). Uplatnenie platformy SMART Learning Suite v oblasti tvorby edukačných aktivít. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 129 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5127-0 https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/fileadmin/pdf/Sucasti/Katedry/KDPP/Pouzivame_SMART_Learning_Suite.pdf
ZÁHOREC, J., HAŠKOVÁ, A., MUNK, M. (2020). Digitálna gramotnosť učiteľov v kontexte ich profesijnej prípravy. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 305 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-4882-9.
LABJAKOVÁ, I. (2019). Interaktívna tabuľa v edukačnom procese, Bratislava: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum v Bratislave, 2019, 100 s., ISBN 978-80-565-1444-3
Základný študijný text k vzdelávaciemu obsahu disciplíny Digitálne technológie 2 bude študentom pravidelných časových intervaloch sprístupňovaný v elektronickej forme prostredníctvom univerzitného LMS Moodle.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:
: The maximum number of students per study group within the regular learning form of study is 15 (per one teacher). The seminar is conducted in a computer room, each student has a computer at his/her disposal.

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 85

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
37,65	0,0	30,59	18,82	4,71	2,35	5,88

Lecturers: doc. PaedDr. Ján Záhorec, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde012/22	Digital technologies 2

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde002/22 - Digital technologies 1

Course requirements:
Prerequisites: B-VUZde002 / Digital technologies 1
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).
active participation in seminars conducted by both face-to-face and distance learning methods;
processing of partial (distance) deliverables/assignments according to the lecturer's assignment during the semester from the selected subject areas and their submission in electronic form within the set deadline, which are continuously checked and evaluated by the lecturer, constitutes 80% of the total achievable point value; Submission of partial assignments is a condition for the award of credits;
development of a semester project - at the end of the semester, the student develops through the cloud tool Prezi Video an original and visually impressive video presentation of the educational content of the selected teaching subject at primary school / secondary school in the context of the subjects that the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of Charles University; The structure of the video presentation should be based on the presentation created in the Prezi Present software application; Personal presentation of the created project in Prezi in front of the whole study group; Successful implementation and defence of the project constitutes 20% of the total achievable point value and is a condition for the award of credits.
The rating shall be awarded on a scale of
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)
For successful completion of the educational subject it is necessary to obtain at least 60 % of the score.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation

in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 70/30

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2 is to develop digital skills in the field of work with selected software (desktop and cloud) didactic applications and to be able to apply them effectively in the context of their future teaching profession.
By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2, the student has the competences and advanced digital skills to effectively use the tools of the software application ActivInspire and the cloud environment ClassFlow by creating gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of students participating in the lesson in the school classroom or in home conditions in the case of distance/hybrid education.
By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2, the student acquires advanced professional digital competences in the concept of design and creation of interactive video presentations of educational content implemented through Prezi Present and Prezi Video cloud platform Prezi by expressing their own visual ideas usable in their own pedagogical activities in schools or educational institutions.
By completing the educational discipline, the student acquires competence and advanced digital skills in the concept of design, creation and administration of (gamification) quiz and educational activities and knowledge games with dynamic elements created through the cloud tool Socrative 2.0, Kahoot!, Wizer.me and Google Forms usable in own educational activities implemented in schools and school facilities for the target group of intact pupils as well as pupils with special educational needs.
By completing the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2, the student has, last but not least, competencies and advanced digital skills in the field of vector graphic creation in the environment of the cloud application Prezi Design in the implementation of the work activities of a pedagogical employee of regional education or his/her own professional development.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course

The content of the educational discipline Digital Technologies 2 is divided into four thematic headings and relevant sub-topics in order to ensure the achievement of the set partial educational objectives. The content structure of the educational discipline is designed so that the student continuously develops his didactic-technological competences in the field of selected didactic software applications by completing the educational topics organized in the present and distance form and at the same time strengthens his professional training in the subject area.content structure of the discipline can be adapted to the current requirements of practice and students.

Application of ActivInspire software application and ClassFlow cloud environment in the educational process

We master the principle and methodology of working with selected (Promethean World Ltd) digital didactic resources designed to support interactive teaching of subjects of its approbation in primary and secondary schools;

We develop professional digital competences by the ability to effectively use the software tools of the ActivInspire desktop application and the ClassFlow cloud environment through the creation of gamification educational/quiz activities, engaging presentations of educational content and new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of pupils (including pupils with special educational needs) participating in a lesson in the school classroom or in home conditions in the case of distance/hybrid education; In the context of the above activity, the trainee is also expected to learn the methodology for applying the activities developed in the school classroom;

We gain knowledge about desktop digital voting solutions and (trendy) cloud-based applications for creating knowledge tests/quizzes currently available on the market as an interactive didactic means of teaching, advantages and disadvantages of their deployment in the teaching process in the field of regional education;

We acquire digital skills in creating, editing and administering knowledge tests through voting sessions and supported by the ActivInspire software application and ActivExpression2 digital voting device to stimulate pupil activation and attention in the classroom; We use the ExpressPoll voting session; we use the question creation wizard to create test activities in the teacher stimulation; we implement the work with the question set wizard for processing at the pupil's own pace (voting session in the pupil stimulation) in the teaching of the subjects of his/her apprenticeship;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the knowledge tests communicated to pupils through the ActivInspire application and the ActivExpression2 digital voting device to the process of school teaching of the subjects of their approbation in primary/ secondary schools;

Develop skills in statistically processing the results of whole group voting activities into the ActivInspire demonstration workbook, as well as exporting them to Microsoft Excel;

We strengthen professional digital competences in the area of working with complex interactive solutions usable in educational situations with an emphasis on the ActivBoard interactive whiteboard.

Topics:

Design of demonstration workbooks - options for setting up and customizing the ActivInspire work environment; Main toolbar - demonstration for familiarizing yourself with the most commonly used tools - ideas for their use in the creation of interactive educational content; Annotation mode on the desktop; Browsers in ActivInspire; Export/Import (*.flipchart) of demonstration workbooks; Teacher-student collaboration on the whiteboard - dual user mode;

Adding additional graphical content to the ActivInspire demonstration workbook; Importing and exporting asset packages; Defining object properties; Using layers and layering: Blind Map, Magic Tunnel, Magic Ink - ideas for using them when designing educational activities aimed at the target

group of students; Adding and removing links to linked external files/internet resources; Creating and using grid features when designing educational activities; Creating interactive demonstration workbooks - creating containers; Working with actions; Suggestions for their use of selected actions in the creation of interactive materials usable in the educational process; Benefits of using profiles; Annotating the desktop; Using desktop tools; Working in dual user mode; Using mathematical and design tools; Demonstrations of the use of mathematical and design tools in the creation of interactive materials usable in the educational process;
We extend professional digital competencies with the ability to effectively apply gamification educational activities through predefined templates - Sorting into categories, Crossword, Learning Cards, Assigning and Sorting items and other templates supported by the cloud-based ClassFlow application;
Current trends in the application of digital voting solutions for education; Technological principle of digital voting systems; Possibilities of using digital voting systems in school teaching in primary schools / elementary schools ; Methodology of working with digital voting systems; Digital voting solutions - effective support of group cooperation in classrooms stimulating the learning process;
We use the ActivExpression2 digital voting system in the classroom; Components of an intelligent digital voting solution; Registration of ActivExpression2 voting devices in ActivInspire; Voting Browser; Types of voting sessions and test questions supported by the ActivInspire software application and ActivExpression2 voting device; Working with voting session results in ActivInspire - viewing results in different formats; Exporting results from ExpressPoll from prepared questions and from a set of self-paced questions to Microsoft Excel; Suggestions for educational activities and games using ActivExpression2 voting devices to promote student activation and attention in the classroom.
Within the framework of the distance assignment, the student in the ActivInspire environment will develop a set of engaging learning activities, knowledge games, engaging presentations of educational content as new interactive forms of learning material supporting the activation and attention of students in the classroom. In conceptualising the design and development of the task, the learner will make use of as wide a range of available tools, functions, actions and features as possible. Within a developed demonstration workbook of at least 6 pages, the student will be expected to primarily use the container activity, magic ink, magic tunnel, set actions and constraints on objects, and apply the presentation tools of the ActivInspire environment. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created presentation materials and educational activities stored in *.flipchart format, the student will indicate the methodology of their inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.
Within the distance assignment, the student will create a set of 10 gamification educational activities for the target group of students with the effective use of pre-prepared templates and tools of the ClassFlow cloud environment. In the accompanying document (a separate file of *.docx format) to the created gamification activities, the student will include the methodology of their integration into the educational activities of the target group of pupils.
Within the framework of the distance task, the student will create, through the ClassFlow cloud environment, a test activity conceived from 20 test questions of supported types with the potential possibility of its use in the teaching of the subjects of his/her apprenticeship to pupils at primary school / secondary school.
We create video presentations of educational content through the cloud application Prezi Video
We develop professional digital competencies in the design and creation of compelling non-linear video presentations of educational content realized through Prezi Present and Prezi Video cloud platform Prezi by expressing visual ideas applicable to our own pedagogical activities;

We are mastering the methodology of applying video presentation of educational content communicated to pupils through Prezi Video to the process of school teaching of subjects of its approbation at primary school / high school;
We innovate didactic approaches to educational activities implemented in schools or educational institutions through immersive non-linear video presentations created in the cloud platform Prezi.
Topics:
We create a video presentation in the Prezi Video online editor environment from scratch using the Quick record tool - selecting a suitable template, inserting text content and other graphic visuals; supplementing the video presentation with a recording of your own image and sound;
We create a video presentation in the Prezi Video online editor environment by offering reusable videos recorded by other Prezi Video users;
Creating a video presentation based on a Prezi Present; Adding an accompanying commentary; Adding a video with accompanying commentary.
Within the distance assignment, the student will create an original didactic and visually engaging video presentation of educational content of his/her choice for the target group of pupils, using the Prezi cloud platform (Prezi Present and Prezi Video), in a range of at least 20 presentation views, usable in teaching the subjects of his/her specialisation at primary school/junior high school.
We use selected Web 2.0 software tools to obtain feedback from students participating in the school teaching process, in the case of students enrolled in distance (online) learning;
We are expanding the knowledge framework in the field of application of gamification (game-based learning) as one of the innovative and activating methods applied in the educational process at the primary school level;
We are expanding digital skills to include the ability to effectively use Socrative 2.0, a cloud-based application designed to create and manage knowledge/quiz activities in order to get immediate feedback from students participating in a lesson in the classroom or at home in the case of distance/ hybrid learning;
We are mastering the methodology of applying knowledge/quiz activities communicated to students through Socrative 2.0 to the process of school teaching of subjects of their area of interest in primary schools;
We extend professional digital competences with the ability to create gamification quiz activities and knowledge games through the online platform Kahoot! into the school learning process in a fun and playful way - transforming traditional knowledge testing through quizzes in an activating form using digital means;
We acquire competencies in the application of the application methodology, in the Kahoot! author-prepared, gamified educational and quiz activities to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation in primary school / secondary school;
We develop digital competences by the ability to effectively use the cloud tool Wizer.me for creating multimedia online worksheets with educational content for the target group of primary school pupils;
We are mastering the methodology of applying the processed digital graphic outputs of educational content communicated to students through the cloud tool Wizer.me to the process of school teaching of subjects of their approbation in primary school / secondary school;
We master the principle and methodology of working with the cloud application Google Forms designed for the creation of online knowledge tests and research tools usable in the context of pedagogical activities;
Topics:
Socratvive.com - a web-based tool for online testing of student knowledge; Free registration vs. benefits of paid registration for teachers; Creating a teacher/student account; Test administration panel in Socrative 2.0 - Creating a new test - question types for creating your own tests - multiple

choice, yes/no and short open answer; Managing teacher-created tests; Creating a copy of a test - a variant for a parallel group with slightly different questions; Deleting a test; Downloading a test to a document in *.pdf; Editing the created test; Importing a test from another teacher - sharing tests between teachers; Access to the results of all (already) completed tests; Modes of making the test available to pupils - immediate feedback: Instatnt Feedback; Teacher-controlled test; Selecting the ways of displaying the results; Defining the appearance of the test results - a general overview of all pupils with statistics of pupil's success and questions; Separate *.Separate pdf files for each pupil; Success statistics for each test question; Practical examples of administered tests in the Socrative 2.0 environment for different educational disciplines and learning topics;
For the sake of brevity, the thematic focus of Kahoot! is analogous in content to the Socrative 2.0 application;
Cloud Computing; Google Drive; Viewing Google Docs options; Description of the Google Forms environment - Questions tab; Creating a form; Editing the appearance of the form; Setting up the form as a quiz; Evaluating the data obtained from the form administration - Answers tab; Sending the form to respondents; Setting up Google Form sharing - in Google Drive and in the Google Forms editor; Setting up Google Form sharing for a user with an un-created Google account.
As part of the distance task, the student will create a knowledge test with ten multiple choice questions, ten yes/no questions and ten short free-form questions using the online software application Socrative. The focus of the knowledge test should be situated in a curricular issue of the student's choice falling within the educational content of the subject taught at primary/ secondary school, in the context of the subjects of interest that the student is studying in the teacher education programmes at PdF UK. The knowledge test defined in this way will be implemented by the student among his/her classmates in his/her educational group. As part of the distance assignment, the student will create a software application Kahoot! test activity made up of 20 knowledge questions of supported types. The focus of the test activity should be situated in a teaching issue of his/her own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at primary school / high school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University. The test activity defined in this way will be implemented by the student among the classmates of his/her educational group.
Within the distance assignment, the student will design and create an engaging multimedia worksheet with educational content for the target group of pupils in primary school / secondary school in the environment of the cloud tool Wizer.me; To the created digital graphic output (in a separate file format *.docx), the student will provide a methodology for its inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of pupils.
As part of the distance learning assignment, the student will create a knowledge quiz activity with a minimum of 15 questions of supported types via the Google Forms cloud application. The focus of the knowledge quiz should be situated in a teaching issue of the student's own choice falling within the educational content of the teaching subject at primary school / high school in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education at PdF UK. The knowledge quiz defined in this way will be implemented by the student among the classmates of his/her educational group.
Creation of digital graphic outputs in the environment of selected cloud applications
Acquire digital competences by the ability to effectively use the Prezi Design cloud tool of the Prezi cloud platform to create multimedia posters with educational content intended for the target group of pupils in primary schools / secondary schools by expressing their own visual ideas;
We develop digital competences by the ability to effectively use the cloud tool Glogster (edu.Glogster.com) for digital storytelling - creating engaging multimedia posters with educational content - designed for the target group of pupils in primary schools / secondary schools by expressing their own visual ideas;

We are mastering the methodology of applying the processed digital graphic outputs of educational content communicated to students through the cloud tool Prezi Design and Glogster to the school teaching of subjects that the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education at Charles University.
Topics:
Prezi Design as a comprehensive graphic design and data visualization tool; Template library; Creating and editing content by adding multimedia items in the editor; Adding, moving and layering objects; Using *.ppt, *.pdf and *.doc in Prezi Design; Implementing animated elements into the graphic content you create; Creating visual effects to images by applying masks and filters; Adding links to text objects, graphics and shapes; Multi-page design; Linking text, images, icons and shapes to pages and sections; Sharing content created in Prezi Design with your audience on social media using private or public links; Collaborating in the Prezi Design environment; Adding/removing collaborators; Adding an entire team of collaborators; Collaborating in real time;
Creating and editing engaging data visualizations using maps; Creating a social post - adding and editing content, adding animation to the content of the post being created; Downloading and sharing the created content for publishing purposes;
Creating infographics for visual representation of information and data, Adding and editing content; Creating gif and mp4 videos in the Prezi Design environment; Embedding videos from Prezi Video into Prezi Design; Adding videos to Prezi Design and editing settings; Media integrations in Prezi Design - adding content from Prezi Video to projects.
Within the distance task, the student will design and create in the cloud tool Prezi Design an engaging digital poster with multiMedial educational content usable in teaching in the intent of the approbation subjects, which the student studies in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University; To the created digital graphic output (in a special file format *.docx) the student will provide a methodology for its inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of students.
Within the distance task, the student will design and create in the cloud tool edu.Glogster an engaging digital poster with multiMedial educational content usable in teaching in the intent of the approbation subjects that the student is studying in the study programs of teaching at the Faculty of Education of the Charles University; To the created digital graphic output (in a special file format *.docx) the student will provide a methodology for its inclusion in the educational activities of the target group of students

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:
ZÁHOREC, J. (2021). Inovatívne digitálne riešenia v školskej edukácii žiakov. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 141 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5121-8. https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/fileadmin/pdf/Sucasti/Katedry/KDPP/Inovativne_digitalne_riesenia.pdf
ZÁHOREC, J., BREČKA, P. (2021). Uplatnenie platformy SMART Learning Suite v oblasti tvorby edukačných aktivít. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 129 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-5127-0 https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/fileadmin/pdf/Sucasti/Katedry/KDPP/Pouzivame_SMART_Learning_Suite.pdf
ZÁHOREC, J., HAŠKOVÁ, A., MUNK, M. (2020). Digitálna gramotnosť učiteľov v kontexte ich profesijnej prípravy. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 305 strán, ISBN 978-80-223-4882-9.
LABJAKOVÁ, I. (2019). Interaktívna tabuľa v edukačnom procese, Bratislava: Metodicko-pedagogické centrum v Bratislave, 2019, 100 s., ISBN 978-80-565-1444-3
Základný študijný text k vzdelávaciemu obsahu disciplíny Digitálne technológie 2 bude študentom pravidelných časových intervaloch sprístupňovaný v elektronickej forme prostredníctvom univerzitného LMS Moodle.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:
: The maximum number of students per study group within the regular learning form of study is 15 (per one teacher). The seminar is conducted in a computer room, each student has a computer at his/her disposal.

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 85

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
37,65	0,0	30,59	18,82	4,71	2,35	5,88

Lecturers: doc. PaedDr. Ján Záhorec, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde013/22	Digital technologies 3

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde012/22 - Digital technologies 2

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 3

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
66,67	0,0	33,33	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. PaedDr. Ján Záhorec, PhD.

Last change: 22.04.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde013/22	Digital technologies 3

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde012/22 - Digital technologies 2

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 3

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
66,67	0,0	33,33	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. PaedDr. Ján Záhorec, PhD.

Last change: 22.04.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde022/22	Education towards tolerance

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment.
Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (40+60, total 100 points).
Intermediate assessment 1: consists of a term paper and its oral presentation
Semester work for intermediate assessment 1: Critical analysis of a relevant source on a selected topic in tolerance education for a minimum of 10 min. and 10 images (in the selected graphic program) for 40 points.
A relevant source is defined as: e.g., a revival article, study, documentary, scholarly discussion, etc.
The topic of the term paper: it should be related to current issues in education (multiculturalism, pro-sociality, stereotypes, minorities, tolerance, inclusion, discrimination, extremism, etc.). The chosen topic should address various social issues that we can systematically promote through education and training (e.g. critical thinking) and through various cross-cutting themes (e.g. media education, civic initiative, ecology, segregation, otherness, religious freedom, human rights, plurality of opinion, etc.). For the presentation, the student will prepare critical questions for social discourse and lead the discussion.
Intermediate assessment 2: consists of a test of the acquired knowledge, i.e. a 60-point test. The written exam/test consists of theoretical preparation for the course in basic concepts and study of the literature.
Prerequisites:
The rating shall be awarded on a scale of
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments,

excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A minimum of 81 points is required to obtain a B grade. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing interim assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
For a grade of C at least 73 points. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
For a grade D of at least 66 points. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application of knowledge in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in class during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
At least 60 points for an E grade. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments and with the assistance of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
By completing the course Education for Tolerance, the student should:
- Demonstrate key knowledge and skills in the field of education for tolerance - multicultural education, ethics education, global education, education for human rights, etc,
- be able to evaluate and select relevant sources on the topic of education for tolerance,
- be able to apply critical analysis of a relevant source,
- be able to lead a debate and ask critical questions in social discourse,
- be able to create and apply activities to promote tolerance in the classroom,
- understand social values within the framework of tolerance as a key area in education.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills, analytical thinking in evaluating information and working with text, critical thinking and thinking in context through discussion, strengthens interpersonal skills within the group - activation and taking initiative and responsibility through creative tasks in the course.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
The aim of the course is to prepare students for the future teaching profession in the areas of critical and independent thinking, collaboration, creative activity, self-knowledge, etc. in the areas of tolerance, multiculturalism, empathy and positive communication. Using a variety of activating methods and problem-based assignments, students will actively participate in the learning process and create course content themselves, in which they will use their own potential and develop intra and interpersonal aspects of their personality.
Topics:

Definition of basic concepts, tolerance, empathy, positive communication and positive attitudes, pro-sociality, multicultural education, stereotypes, minorities, refugees, European context, developing relationships and active listening. Applying PE in the school environment, aims of PE, diversity, religious freedom, plurality of opinion in society and education, countering extremism. Methods for developing education for tolerance. Experiential pedagogy. Overview of methods and activities, concrete examples, video demonstrations, institutions and organisations involved in the protection of HR, third sector. Video analysis - discussion questions, argumentation, development of critical thinking in the framework of education for tolerance, discussion. Positive communication, how to argue properly, how to avoid manipulation, forms of discrimination. Democracy and freedom. How to develop democratic thinking within a European context, values of society and personal values. The teacher, the personality of the teacher and his/her goals in the educational process in education for tolerance. Otherness and prejudice.

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:

Required reading:

BAGALOVÁ, Ľ., GOGOLOVÁ D. (2007). Ľudské práva a zručnosti potrebné na ich uplatnenie. Metodická príručka pre učiteľov základných a stredných škôl. Bratislava: Štátny pedagogický ústav, 2007. 116s. ISBN 978-80-89225-26-2

https://cloud6n.edupage.org/cloud/Ludske_prava_a_zrucnosti_potrebne_na_ich_uplatnenie.pdf?z%3AEOkxIo%2BPktZ20N3iZdGXb2nU5Utxp3cFkE4LvHo1nhT4r7yEiKLYJ9B8tPNFfppW

DÚBRAVOVÁ, V., HRADSKÁ, J., KUMANOVÁ, A. a kol. (2006). MULTI-KULTI na školách: Metodická príručka pre multikultúrnu výchovu. Bratislava: Nadácia Milana Šimečku, 2006. 164s. ISBN 80-89008-21-6

https://globalnevzdelavanie.sk/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/multi-kulti_pre_skoly.pdf

Recommended reading:

MISTRÍK, E. a kol. (2008). Multikultúrna výchova v škole. Bratislava: OSF, 2008. 87s. ISBN 978-80-969271-4-2

http://bojnak.wbl.sk/MultiKulturna_vychova_v_skole.pdf

ONDRUŠEK, D., POTOČKOVÁ, D., HIPŠ, J. (2007). Výchova k tolerancii hrou. Bratislava: PDCS, 2007. 84s. ISBN978-80-969431-5-9.

https://eknizky.sk/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Vychova_k_tolerancii_hrou.pdf

ŠIŠKOVÁ, T. (200)8. Výchova k tolerancii a proti rasizmu. Praha, 2008. 280s. ISBN 978-80-7367- 182-2

ŠRAMOVÁ, B. (2010). Tolerancia a intolerancia v spoločnosti. Bratislava: Melius, 2010. 180s. ISBN 978-80-97806309

https://kis.ukf.sk/epcfiles/0F78B1FE60F84E33BEE74B56A7D6AFE5/zbornik_komplet.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 91

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,24	0,0	26,37	10,99	1,1	2,2	1,1

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde022/22	Education towards tolerance

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment.
Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (40+60, total 100 points).
Intermediate assessment 1: consists of a term paper and its oral presentation
Semester work for intermediate assessment 1: Critical analysis of a relevant source on a selected topic in tolerance education for a minimum of 10 min. and 10 images (in the selected graphic program) for 40 points.
A relevant source is defined as: e.g., a revival article, study, documentary, scholarly discussion, etc.
The topic of the term paper: it should be related to current issues in education (multiculturalism, pro-sociality, stereotypes, minorities, tolerance, inclusion, discrimination, extremism, etc.). The chosen topic should address various social issues that we can systematically promote through education and training (e.g. critical thinking) and through various cross-cutting themes (e.g. media education, civic initiative, ecology, segregation, otherness, religious freedom, human rights, plurality of opinion, etc.). For the presentation, the student will prepare critical questions for social discourse and lead the discussion.
Intermediate assessment 2: consists of a test of the acquired knowledge, i.e. a 60-point test. The written exam/test consists of theoretical preparation for the course in basic concepts and study of the literature.
Prerequisites:
The rating shall be awarded on a scale of
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments,

excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A minimum of 81 points is required to obtain a B grade. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing interim assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
For a grade of C at least 73 points. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
For a grade D of at least 66 points. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application of knowledge in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in class during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
At least 60 points for an E grade. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments and with the assistance of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
By completing the course Education for Tolerance, the student should:
- Demonstrate key knowledge and skills in the field of education for tolerance - multicultural education, ethics education, global education, education for human rights, etc,
- be able to evaluate and select relevant sources on the topic of education for tolerance,
- be able to apply critical analysis of a relevant source,
- be able to lead a debate and ask critical questions in social discourse,
- be able to create and apply activities to promote tolerance in the classroom,
- understand social values within the framework of tolerance as a key area in education.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills, analytical thinking in evaluating information and working with text, critical thinking and thinking in context through discussion, strengthens interpersonal skills within the group - activation and taking initiative and responsibility through creative tasks in the course.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
The aim of the course is to prepare students for the future teaching profession in the areas of critical and independent thinking, collaboration, creative activity, self-knowledge, etc. in the areas of tolerance, multiculturalism, empathy and positive communication. Using a variety of activating methods and problem-based assignments, students will actively participate in the learning process and create course content themselves, in which they will use their own potential and develop intra and interpersonal aspects of their personality.
Topics:

Definition of basic concepts, tolerance, empathy, positive communication and positive attitudes, pro-sociality, multicultural education, stereotypes, minorities, refugees, European context, developing relationships and active listening. Applying PE in the school environment, aims of PE, diversity, religious freedom, plurality of opinion in society and education, countering extremism. Methods for developing education for tolerance. Experiential pedagogy. Overview of methods and activities, concrete examples, video demonstrations, institutions and organisations involved in the protection of HR, third sector. Video analysis - discussion questions, argumentation, development of critical thinking in the framework of education for tolerance, discussion. Positive communication, how to argue properly, how to avoid manipulation, forms of discrimination. Democracy and freedom. How to develop democratic thinking within a European context, values of society and personal values. The teacher, the personality of the teacher and his/her goals in the educational process in education for tolerance. Otherness and prejudice.

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:

Required reading:

BAGALOVÁ, Ľ., GOGOLOVÁ D. (2007). Ľudské práva a zručnosti potrebné na ich uplatnenie. Metodická príručka pre učiteľov základných a stredných škôl. Bratislava: Štátny pedagogický ústav, 2007. 116s. ISBN 978-80-89225-26-2

https://cloud6n.edupage.org/cloud/Ludske_prava_a_zrucnosti_potrebne_na_ich_uplatnenie.pdf?z%3AEOkxIo%2BPktZ20N3iZdGXb2nU5Utxp3cFkE4LvHo1nhT4r7yEiKLYJ9B8tPNFfppW

DÚBRAVOVÁ, V., HRADSKÁ, J., KUMANOVÁ, A. a kol. (2006). MULTI-KULTI na školách: Metodická príručka pre multikultúrnu výchovu. Bratislava: Nadácia Milana Šimečku, 2006. 164s. ISBN 80-89008-21-6

https://globalnevzdelavanie.sk/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/multi-kulti_pre_skoly.pdf

Recommended reading:

MISTRÍK, E. a kol. (2008). Multikultúrna výchova v škole. Bratislava: OSF, 2008. 87s. ISBN 978-80-969271-4-2

http://bojnak.wbl.sk/MultiKulturna_vychova_v_skole.pdf

ONDRUŠEK, D., POTOČKOVÁ, D., HIPŠ, J. (2007). Výchova k tolerancii hrou. Bratislava: PDCS, 2007. 84s. ISBN978-80-969431-5-9.

https://eknizky.sk/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Vychova_k_tolerancii_hrou.pdf

ŠIŠKOVÁ, T. (200)8. Výchova k tolerancii a proti rasizmu. Praha, 2008. 280s. ISBN 978-80-7367- 182-2

ŠRAMOVÁ, B. (2010). Tolerancia a intolerancia v spoločnosti. Bratislava: Melius, 2010. 180s. ISBN 978-80-97806309

https://kis.ukf.sk/epcfiles/0F78B1FE60F84E33BEE74B56A7D6AFE5/zbornik_komplet.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 91

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,24	0,0	26,37	10,99	1,1	2,2	1,1

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde018/22	Educational counselling

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Students are required to complete a midterm paper for 40 points and a midterm test for 60 points, for a total of 100 points. The condition for successful completion of the course is obtaining at least 60% of the maximum possible grade for the course.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
The aim of the course Educational Counselling is to provide students with an initial framework of knowledge in the field of the educational counselling system. Upon successful completion of the course, students will be able to navigate the school counseling system. They understand the place and role of the guidance counselor in the guidance counseling system and master the prerequisites for the position of guidance counselor. Know the differences in the responsibilities of the class teacher, guidance counsellor or school counsellor in the provision of guidance on educational issues. They know the methods of educational counselling. They know strategies for the prevention of problem behaviour and understand their importance. They are able to apply the acquired knowledge in solving specific problem situations in educational practice. They are oriented in school career guidance. They can describe and interpret the importance of school cooperation with educational guidance and prevention institutions, social and legal protection bodies and others.
Transferable competences: by analysing, solving and discussing seminar assignments, students are able to communicate their conclusions, think critically and think in context.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. School guidance system.
2. The framework for the work of an educational counsellor, professional and personal prerequisites of an educational counsellor, education of an educational counsellor.
3. The class teacher and his/her role in advising pupils and guardians in education and training.
4. Methods of work in educational counselling.
5. Strategies for preventing problem behaviour in pupils.
6. Addressing pupils' educational difficulties.
7. Methods and options for intervention of problem behaviour of pupils.
8. Career counselling.
9. Educational counselling in the field of care for gifted pupils.
10. Cooperation of the school with other institutions, family. Social and legal protection of children and youth.

Recommended literature:
Reference sources:
Required reading:
HORVÁTHOVÁ, K. (2002). Aktuálne otázky výchovného poradenstva v školskej praxi. Bratislava: MPC Tomášikova, 57 s. ISBN 80-88796-25-3.
KNOTOVÁ, D. a kol. (2014). Školní poradenství. Praha: Grada. 258 s. ISBN 978-80-247-4502-2
Recommended reading:

DERKOVÁ, J. (2018). Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: IRIS. 144 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-034-7
FERKOVÁ, Š. (2015), Skúsenosti s využitím influenčného programu zameraného na elimináciu rizikového správania žiakov v škole. In Prevencia. roč. 13., č. 4. s. 37 – 34.
KYRIACOU, CH. (2003). Řešení výchovných problémů ve škole. Praha: Portál, 151 s. ISBN 80-7178-945-3.
ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2014). Problémové správanie žiakov a úloha sociálneho pedagóga v reflexii učiteľov. In: Sociální pedagogika: časopis pro vědu a praxi. 2(1), 22-36. ISSN 1805-8825.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 101

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
23,76	0,0	29,7	22,77	11,88	4,95	6,93

Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde018/22	Educational counselling

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Students are required to complete a midterm paper for 40 points and a midterm test for 60 points, for a total of 100 points. The condition for successful completion of the course is obtaining at least 60% of the maximum possible grade for the course.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
The aim of the course Educational Counselling is to provide students with an initial framework of knowledge in the field of the educational counselling system. Upon successful completion of the course, students will be able to navigate the school counseling system. They understand the place and role of the guidance counselor in the guidance counseling system and master the prerequisites for the position of guidance counselor. Know the differences in the responsibilities of the class teacher, guidance counsellor or school counsellor in the provision of guidance on educational issues. They know the methods of educational counselling. They know strategies for the prevention of problem behaviour and understand their importance. They are able to apply the acquired knowledge in solving specific problem situations in educational practice. They are oriented in school career guidance. They can describe and interpret the importance of school cooperation with educational guidance and prevention institutions, social and legal protection bodies and others.
Transferable competences: by analysing, solving and discussing seminar assignments, students are able to communicate their conclusions, think critically and think in context.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. School guidance system.
2. The framework for the work of an educational counsellor, professional and personal prerequisites of an educational counsellor, education of an educational counsellor.
3. The class teacher and his/her role in advising pupils and guardians in education and training.
4. Methods of work in educational counselling.
5. Strategies for preventing problem behaviour in pupils.
6. Addressing pupils' educational difficulties.
7. Methods and options for intervention of problem behaviour of pupils.
8. Career counselling.
9. Educational counselling in the field of care for gifted pupils.
10. Cooperation of the school with other institutions, family. Social and legal protection of children and youth.

Recommended literature:
Reference sources:
Required reading:
HORVÁTHOVÁ, K. (2002). Aktuálne otázky výchovného poradenstva v školskej praxi. Bratislava: MPC Tomášikova, 57 s. ISBN 80-88796-25-3.
KNOTOVÁ, D. a kol. (2014). Školní poradenství. Praha: Grada. 258 s. ISBN 978-80-247-4502-2
Recommended reading:

DERKOVÁ, J. (2018). Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: IRIS. 144 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-034-7
FERKOVÁ, Š. (2015), Skúsenosti s využitím influenčného programu zameraného na elimináciu rizikového správania žiakov v škole. In Prevencia. roč. 13., č. 4. s. 37 – 34.
KYRIACOU, CH. (2003). Řešení výchovných problémů ve škole. Praha: Portál, 151 s. ISBN 80-7178-945-3.
ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2014). Problémové správanie žiakov a úloha sociálneho pedagóga v reflexii učiteľov. In: Sociální pedagogika: časopis pro vědu a praxi. 2(1), 22-36. ISSN 1805-8825.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 101

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
23,76	0,0	29,7	22,77	11,88	4,95	6,93

Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde030/22	Environmetal education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).
The student will be evaluated on an ongoing basis:
a) defending a term paper with the content of current environmental problems on the territory of the Slovak Republic as well as environmental problems that are solved globally with a maximum value of 20 points
b) its elaboration in the form of analysis and critical evaluation of the chosen environmental issue, in the form of creating reflections from each presented environmental topic and application of the chosen topic to the education of pupils with a maximum value of 80 points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. Credit will not be awarded to a student who obtains less than 40 points in a seminar paper and fails to defend the paper. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results), excellent performance, the student can work well with professional literature dealing with the issue of current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level, can critically evaluate environmental problems in society and create appropriate activating methods for the environmental problem usable in pedagogical practice
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), excellent performance, the student can work with the literature dealing with the issues of current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level very well, but critical thinking in the field of environmental science is borderline, but can create appropriate activating methods for the environmental problem usable in pedagogical practice
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), good performance, the student can work well with literature dealing with current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level, but critical thinking in the field of environmental science is partially absent, but can create appropriate activating methods for the environmental problem usable in pedagogical practice

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), satisfactory performance, the student can only partially work with professional literature dealing with current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level, critical thinking in the field of environmental science is partially absent and only partially knows how to apply environmental theory in the form of the creation of activating methods in pedagogical practice
E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), sufficient performance, the student can work with the literature dealing with current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level at a minimum level, critical thinking in the field of environmental science is absent and his ability to apply environmental theory in the form of creating activating methods in pedagogical practice is minimal
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - additional work required), insufficient performance, student is unable to work with literature dealing with current environmental issues not only in the Slovak Republic but also on a global level, nor critically evaluate environmental issues and is unable to apply environmental theory in the form of creating activating methods to pedagogical practice
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes and transferable competences:
Upon completion of the course, the student will gain basic information on nature conservation, quality of life assurance and remediation options, which will subsequently enable him/her to solve problems in environmental issues. He will be able to apply all this knowledge to teaching practice; he will strengthen his digital skills in searching for literature on environmental issues on appropriate professional domestic and foreign Internet portals, as well as his communication skills, critical thinking and evaluation of environmental problems in society.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
1. Ecology. (the student can distinguish between the concepts of ecology and environmental science, knows the relationships of organisms in the environment and how endogenous and exogenous factors affect the environment)
2. Stability and biodiversity of ecosystems. Protected areas. Regulation of trophic chains. Anthropocentrism, biocentrism and ecocentrism. (the student will learn to perceive the interconnectedness of relationships in nature, the sensitivity of ecosystem stability, how this stability is affected by man himself and ultimately be able to take a stance on the protection of individual ecosystems for the need to maintain balance in nature)
3. Waste management. (the student will get acquainted with the issues of waste management, the creation and regulation of the amount of waste in society, the problem of black dumps but also with the process of recycling as an option for environmental protection)
4. Transport and the greenhouse effect. (the student is able to assess the negative impact of transport use on the environment and is able to adopt the right attitude to dealing with the issue in terms of protecting the environment in which he/she lives)
5. Environmental education in primary schools. Environmental projects of the Slovak Republic. (The student knows how to incorporate environmental education as a cross-cutting theme in the state educational programme, knows how environmental education contributes to the development of the pupil's personality by acquiring the ability to understand, analyse and evaluate the relationships between man and his environment on the basis of knowledge of the laws governing life on Earth, to know and understand the connections between the development of human population and the relationship to the environment in different areas of the world, to understand the connections between local and global problems and their own responsibility in relation to the environment, ultimately the student will also become familiar with the current environmental projects of the

Slovak Republic that support this education at primary school and will be able to bring the environmental problems to the student's mental level by appropriate activating methods)

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

CHRENŠČOVÁ, V., ELEK, Š., FUCHSOVÁ, M., MATIS, D., ŽARNOVIČAN, H. (2010). Pracovný zošit o vode pre základné školy - nižšie sekundárne vzdelávanie : Vzdelávací program Modrá škola. Bratislava Mladí vedci Slovenska, 31 s. ISBN 978-80-970496-2-1 http://new.modraskola.sk/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/SV_doplnkoveTexty.pdf

CHRENŠČOVÁ, V., ELEK, Š., ELEK, T., FUCHSOVÁ, M., JASAŇ, I., LIBOVIČ, M., MATIS, D., ŽARNOVIČAN, H. (2010). Doplnkové študijné texty o vode pre základné školy - nižšie sekundárne vzdelávanie: Vzdelávací program Modrá škola. Bratislava: Mladí vedci Slovenska, 64 s. ISBN 978-80-970496-1-4 http://new.modraskola.sk/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/SV_doplnkoveTexty.pdf

BIELIKOVÁ, D., FÜRY, D., FUCHSOVÁ, M. (2011) Environmentálne aktivity využiteľné vo výchovno-vzdelávacom procese na základných školách. In: Envirohorizonty ... od teórie k praxi .... Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 20 s, ISBN 978-80-223-3083-1

MAJZLAN, O. A FEDOR, P. (2012). Základy ekológie a environmentalistiky pre pedagogické smery. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 180 s. ISBN 978-80-223-3175-3

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 419

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
66,11	0,0	21,96	6,44	0,72	0,72	4,06

Lecturers: Mgr. Mária Fuchsová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde030/22	Environmetal education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).
The student will be evaluated on an ongoing basis:
a) defending a term paper with the content of current environmental problems on the territory of the Slovak Republic as well as environmental problems that are solved globally with a maximum value of 20 points
b) its elaboration in the form of analysis and critical evaluation of the chosen environmental issue, in the form of creating reflections from each presented environmental topic and application of the chosen topic to the education of pupils with a maximum value of 80 points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. Credit will not be awarded to a student who obtains less than 40 points in a seminar paper and fails to defend the paper. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results), excellent performance, the student can work well with professional literature dealing with the issue of current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level, can critically evaluate environmental problems in society and create appropriate activating methods for the environmental problem usable in pedagogical practice
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), excellent performance, the student can work with the literature dealing with the issues of current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level very well, but critical thinking in the field of environmental science is borderline, but can create appropriate activating methods for the environmental problem usable in pedagogical practice
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), good performance, the student can work well with literature dealing with current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level, but critical thinking in the field of environmental science is partially absent, but can create appropriate activating methods for the environmental problem usable in pedagogical practice

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), satisfactory performance, the student can only partially work with professional literature dealing with current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level, critical thinking in the field of environmental science is partially absent and only partially knows how to apply environmental theory in the form of the creation of activating methods in pedagogical practice
E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), sufficient performance, the student can work with the literature dealing with current environmental problems not only in the Slovak Republic but also at the global level at a minimum level, critical thinking in the field of environmental science is absent and his ability to apply environmental theory in the form of creating activating methods in pedagogical practice is minimal
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - additional work required), insufficient performance, student is unable to work with literature dealing with current environmental issues not only in the Slovak Republic but also on a global level, nor critically evaluate environmental issues and is unable to apply environmental theory in the form of creating activating methods to pedagogical practice
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes and transferable competences:
Upon completion of the course, the student will gain basic information on nature conservation, quality of life assurance and remediation options, which will subsequently enable him/her to solve problems in environmental issues. He will be able to apply all this knowledge to teaching practice; he will strengthen his digital skills in searching for literature on environmental issues on appropriate professional domestic and foreign Internet portals, as well as his communication skills, critical thinking and evaluation of environmental problems in society.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
1. Ecology. (the student can distinguish between the concepts of ecology and environmental science, knows the relationships of organisms in the environment and how endogenous and exogenous factors affect the environment)
2. Stability and biodiversity of ecosystems. Protected areas. Regulation of trophic chains. Anthropocentrism, biocentrism and ecocentrism. (the student will learn to perceive the interconnectedness of relationships in nature, the sensitivity of ecosystem stability, how this stability is affected by man himself and ultimately be able to take a stance on the protection of individual ecosystems for the need to maintain balance in nature)
3. Waste management. (the student will get acquainted with the issues of waste management, the creation and regulation of the amount of waste in society, the problem of black dumps but also with the process of recycling as an option for environmental protection)
4. Transport and the greenhouse effect. (the student is able to assess the negative impact of transport use on the environment and is able to adopt the right attitude to dealing with the issue in terms of protecting the environment in which he/she lives)
5. Environmental education in primary schools. Environmental projects of the Slovak Republic. (The student knows how to incorporate environmental education as a cross-cutting theme in the state educational programme, knows how environmental education contributes to the development of the pupil's personality by acquiring the ability to understand, analyse and evaluate the relationships between man and his environment on the basis of knowledge of the laws governing life on Earth, to know and understand the connections between the development of human population and the relationship to the environment in different areas of the world, to understand the connections between local and global problems and their own responsibility in relation to the environment, ultimately the student will also become familiar with the current environmental projects of the

Slovak Republic that support this education at primary school and will be able to bring the environmental problems to the student's mental level by appropriate activating methods)

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

CHRENŠČOVÁ, V., ELEK, Š., FUCHSOVÁ, M., MATIS, D., ŽARNOVIČAN, H. (2010). Pracovný zošit o vode pre základné školy - nižšie sekundárne vzdelávanie : Vzdelávací program Modrá škola. Bratislava Mladí vedci Slovenska, 31 s. ISBN 978-80-970496-2-1 http://new.modraskola.sk/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/SV_doplnkoveTexty.pdf

CHRENŠČOVÁ, V., ELEK, Š., ELEK, T., FUCHSOVÁ, M., JASAŇ, I., LIBOVIČ, M., MATIS, D., ŽARNOVIČAN, H. (2010). Doplnkové študijné texty o vode pre základné školy - nižšie sekundárne vzdelávanie: Vzdelávací program Modrá škola. Bratislava: Mladí vedci Slovenska, 64 s. ISBN 978-80-970496-1-4 http://new.modraskola.sk/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/SV_doplnkoveTexty.pdf

BIELIKOVÁ, D., FÜRY, D., FUCHSOVÁ, M. (2011) Environmentálne aktivity využiteľné vo výchovno-vzdelávacom procese na základných školách. In: Envirohorizonty ... od teórie k praxi .... Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 20 s, ISBN 978-80-223-3083-1

MAJZLAN, O. A FEDOR, P. (2012). Základy ekológie a environmentalistiky pre pedagogické smery. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 180 s. ISBN 978-80-223-3175-3

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 419

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
66,11	0,0	21,96	6,44	0,72	0,72	4,06

Lecturers: Mgr. Mária Fuchsová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde016/22	Ethics and professional ethics

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
100% of the interim grade, which includes 30% class activity (30 points) and 70% project (70 points).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), the student reflects correctly and actively both critically and creatively
knowledge, including its meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice.
B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), the student reflects critically on knowledge appropriately,
including their meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice.
C (85-76%, good - routine reliable work), students performed standardly well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge.
D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), students were less prepared during the semester, they have slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge. They cannot critically analyze information.
E (67-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), the student has limited theoretical knowledge, is unable to critically analyze this knowledge, is only minimally able to apply the knowledge.
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The thematic focus of the course introduces students to applied ethics and its disciplinary divisions, to
defining the basic concepts of ethics, explaining morality as a social regulator, moral norms and the principles of creating ethical codes. It focuses on the professional ethics of the teaching profession (teacher competences, ethical dilemmas in the teaching profession, etc.) and the importance of a code of ethics in this profession. The aim of the course is to highlight the importance of a favourable

classroom and school climate and to work with the content of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Using selected examples from other branches of applied ethics (bioethics, media ethics, etc.) to show the importance of the presence of a code of ethics in the professions, the possibility of using classical ethical concepts and also different alternatives in solving ethical dilemmas.
This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication).
The course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers by its focus and methods of education.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The thematic focus of the course introduces students to applied ethics and its disciplinary divisions, to
defining the basic concepts of ethics, explaining morality as a social regulator, moral norms and the principles of creating ethical codes. It focuses on the professional ethics of the teaching profession (teacher competences, ethical dilemmas in the teaching profession, etc.) and the importance of a code of ethics in this profession. The aim of the course is to highlight the importance of a favourable classroom and school climate and to work with the content of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Using selected examples from other branches of applied ethics (bioethics, media ethics, etc.) to show the importance of the presence of a code of ethics in the professions, the possibility of using classical ethical concepts and also different alternatives in solving ethical dilemmas.
This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication).The course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers by its focus and methods of education.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Introduction to the subject: defining the basic concepts: ethics and morality.
Ethics and professional ethics: definition of basic terms: applied ethics - sectoral division of applied ethics. Professional ethics as a part of applied ethics.
2. Professional tact and etiquette as a basis for the formation of the code of ethics of individual professions.
3. The teaching profession and the teacher's code of ethics. Status and role of the teacher. Resolving dilemmas in the teaching profession.
4. Positive climate in the classroom, relationships between school climate factors.
5. Convention on the Rights of the Child.
6. A closer definition of bioethics and its areas of interest on concrete examples, the issue of the code of ethics. Classical ethical theories and alternative methods in solving ethical dilemmas.

Recommended literature:
Compulsory reading:
ANZENBACHER, A. (2001). Úvod do etiky. Praha: Academia, s. 13 – 17. ISBN 978-80-200-0917-5

GLUCHMAN, V. (2000). Úvod do etiky. Prešov: Univerzum, s. 7 – 103, 122 – 133. ISBN 978-80-890-1200-0
GLUCHMANOVÁ, M., GLUCHMAN, V. (2008). Učiteľská etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 88 – 127, 194 – 215. ISBN 978-80-806-8808-0
Recommended reading:
HUPKOVÁ, M., PETLÁK, E. (2004). Sebareflexia a kompetencie v práci učiteľa. Bratislava: IRIS, 2004. s. 11 – 26, 81 – 96. ISBN 978-80-89018-77-7
KOSOVÁ B. a kol. (2012). Vysokoškolské vzdelávanie učiteľov. Banská Bystrica: Pedagogická fakulta UMB v Banskej Bystrici, s. 6 – 21, 52 – 61. ISBN 978-80-557-0353-4
LIESSMANN, K. P. (2012). Teorie nevzdělanosti. Praha: ACADEMIA, s. 37 – 61. ISBN 978-80-200-1677-5
MALANKIEVIČOVÁ, S. (2008). Profesijná etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 13 – 35. ISBN 978-80-806-8811-0
REMIŠOVÁ, A. (1997). Podnikateľská etika. Nitra: ENIGMA, s. 12 – 49, 198 – 211.
VAJDA, J. (2004). Úvod do etiky. Nitra: Enigma, s. 13 - 56. ISBN 978-80-8913-212-6
VETEŠKA, J., TURECKIOVÁ, M. (2008). Kompetence ve vzdělávání. Praha: GRADA, s. 13 – 57. ISBN 978-80-247-1770-8
ŽILÍNEK, M. (1997). Étos a utváranie mravnej identity osobnosti. Bratislava: IRIS, s. 12 – 108. ISBN 978-80-88778-60-3
WILLIAMS, B. (2021). Morálka: úvod do etiky. Praha: OYIKOYMENH. ISBN 978-80-7298-569-2
DANIŠKOVÁ, Z. (2013). Môže prístup „new public management“ dopomôcť k etablovaniu etického
kódexu učiteľa? 2013 dostupné na http://www.casopispedagogika.sk/rocnik-4/cislo-2/studia_daniskova.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 800

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,25	0,0	20,88	9,5	4,13	2,38	4,88

Lecturers: PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde016/22	Ethics and professional ethics

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
100% of the interim grade, which includes 30% class activity (30 points) and 70% project (70 points).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), the student reflects correctly and actively both critically and creatively
knowledge, including its meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice.
B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), the student reflects critically on knowledge appropriately,
including their meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice.
C (85-76%, good - routine reliable work), students performed standardly well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge.
D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), students were less prepared during the semester, they have slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge. They cannot critically analyze information.
E (67-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), the student has limited theoretical knowledge, is unable to critically analyze this knowledge, is only minimally able to apply the knowledge.
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The thematic focus of the course introduces students to applied ethics and its disciplinary divisions, to
defining the basic concepts of ethics, explaining morality as a social regulator, moral norms and the principles of creating ethical codes. It focuses on the professional ethics of the teaching profession (teacher competences, ethical dilemmas in the teaching profession, etc.) and the importance of a code of ethics in this profession. The aim of the course is to highlight the importance of a favourable

classroom and school climate and to work with the content of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Using selected examples from other branches of applied ethics (bioethics, media ethics, etc.) to show the importance of the presence of a code of ethics in the professions, the possibility of using classical ethical concepts and also different alternatives in solving ethical dilemmas.
This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication).
The course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers by its focus and methods of education.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The thematic focus of the course introduces students to applied ethics and its disciplinary divisions, to
defining the basic concepts of ethics, explaining morality as a social regulator, moral norms and the principles of creating ethical codes. It focuses on the professional ethics of the teaching profession (teacher competences, ethical dilemmas in the teaching profession, etc.) and the importance of a code of ethics in this profession. The aim of the course is to highlight the importance of a favourable classroom and school climate and to work with the content of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Using selected examples from other branches of applied ethics (bioethics, media ethics, etc.) to show the importance of the presence of a code of ethics in the professions, the possibility of using classical ethical concepts and also different alternatives in solving ethical dilemmas.
This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication).The course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers by its focus and methods of education.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Introduction to the subject: defining the basic concepts: ethics and morality.
Ethics and professional ethics: definition of basic terms: applied ethics - sectoral division of applied ethics. Professional ethics as a part of applied ethics.
2. Professional tact and etiquette as a basis for the formation of the code of ethics of individual professions.
3. The teaching profession and the teacher's code of ethics. Status and role of the teacher. Resolving dilemmas in the teaching profession.
4. Positive climate in the classroom, relationships between school climate factors.
5. Convention on the Rights of the Child.
6. A closer definition of bioethics and its areas of interest on concrete examples, the issue of the code of ethics. Classical ethical theories and alternative methods in solving ethical dilemmas.

Recommended literature:
Compulsory reading:
ANZENBACHER, A. (2001). Úvod do etiky. Praha: Academia, s. 13 – 17. ISBN 978-80-200-0917-5

GLUCHMAN, V. (2000). Úvod do etiky. Prešov: Univerzum, s. 7 – 103, 122 – 133. ISBN 978-80-890-1200-0
GLUCHMANOVÁ, M., GLUCHMAN, V. (2008). Učiteľská etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 88 – 127, 194 – 215. ISBN 978-80-806-8808-0
Recommended reading:
HUPKOVÁ, M., PETLÁK, E. (2004). Sebareflexia a kompetencie v práci učiteľa. Bratislava: IRIS, 2004. s. 11 – 26, 81 – 96. ISBN 978-80-89018-77-7
KOSOVÁ B. a kol. (2012). Vysokoškolské vzdelávanie učiteľov. Banská Bystrica: Pedagogická fakulta UMB v Banskej Bystrici, s. 6 – 21, 52 – 61. ISBN 978-80-557-0353-4
LIESSMANN, K. P. (2012). Teorie nevzdělanosti. Praha: ACADEMIA, s. 37 – 61. ISBN 978-80-200-1677-5
MALANKIEVIČOVÁ, S. (2008). Profesijná etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 13 – 35. ISBN 978-80-806-8811-0
REMIŠOVÁ, A. (1997). Podnikateľská etika. Nitra: ENIGMA, s. 12 – 49, 198 – 211.
VAJDA, J. (2004). Úvod do etiky. Nitra: Enigma, s. 13 - 56. ISBN 978-80-8913-212-6
VETEŠKA, J., TURECKIOVÁ, M. (2008). Kompetence ve vzdělávání. Praha: GRADA, s. 13 – 57. ISBN 978-80-247-1770-8
ŽILÍNEK, M. (1997). Étos a utváranie mravnej identity osobnosti. Bratislava: IRIS, s. 12 – 108. ISBN 978-80-88778-60-3
WILLIAMS, B. (2021). Morálka: úvod do etiky. Praha: OYIKOYMENH. ISBN 978-80-7298-569-2
DANIŠKOVÁ, Z. (2013). Môže prístup „new public management“ dopomôcť k etablovaniu etického
kódexu učiteľa? 2013 dostupné na http://www.casopispedagogika.sk/rocnik-4/cislo-2/studia_daniskova.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 800

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,25	0,0	20,88	9,5	4,13	2,38	4,88

Lecturers: PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde037/22	Exercises in Lexicology and Semantics

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours of in-person teaching; 20 hours of written tasks; 8 hours od repetition and preparation for the test. A total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, explaining, solving tasks and assignments, self-assessment, e-learning.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be awarded credits based on an interim evaluation (100%). The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities 10 %, preparation of written assignments on each topic and their commentary during the lesson 60 % and a written test during the semester 30 %.
The evaluation of ongoing tasks takes into account the correct use of lexical units of different types and from different thematic areas in both written and oral form and the ability to use compensation strategies, the ability to work with dictionaries, as well as the control of terminology in the field of lexicology, phraseology and semantics in lexical analysis. When evaluating the test, the correct use of the lexicon and the relevant terminology shall be verified in writing.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good – above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory – acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient – the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to consolidate and broaden the basic knowledge of the lexical level of the Spanish language system and to improve language competence, with an emphasis on lexical

and semantic competence, in the form of vocabulary activities and to understand the relationships between lexical units in terms of wordform, meaning and origin.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• can apply basic knowledge of the lexical plane of the Spanish language to the analysis of lexis in sentences and short texts and in exercises,
• correctly uses lexical units from the thematic areas taken over, controls commonly used phraseology units, can use appropriate compensation strategies if necessary,
• has an expanded vocabulary from different thematic areas of everyday life and education,
• knows selected lexicon acquisition strategies and various types of lexicon exercises,
• can use different kinds of dictionaries effectively in solving tasks.
The completion of the course contributes to the achievement of competences such as: communication competence, analysis and synthesis capability, ability to locate and process professional resources, digital skills.

Class syllabus:
Analysis and targeted practice of selected lexical phenomena and work with dictionaries.
• Types of lexical units and relationships between them.
• Lexicographic sources and their practical use. Dictionary password structure, abbreviations used in dictionaries. Use of different types of dictionaries (encyclopedic, etymological, terminological, etc.), electronic dictionaries.
• Word-forming procedures – derivative; diminutives, augmentative.
• Word-forming procedures – composition, abbreviation of words, other word-forming procedures.
• Semantic relationships between words – synonyms, antonyms, hyperonyms, hyponyms, etc.
• Lexical borrowings and calques
• Phraseological units.
• Vocabulary with different areas of everyday life and from the field of study.

Recommended literature:
LAMPIS, M. – ŠTRBÁKOVÁ, R. 2021. Curso universitario de fonología y lexicología. Nitra: Univerzita Konštantína Filozofa v Nitre. 79 s. ISBN 978-80-558-1701-9. (Lexicology)
MARTÍN SALCEDO, J. 2017. Fraseología española en uso. ¡Si tú lo dices! ¡Venga! Ya verás como sí. Madrid: Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte. Secretaría General Técnica. Centro de Publicaciones. 108 p. ISBN 978 8567535166. Available at: https://www.libreria.educacion.gob.es/libro/fraseologia-espanola-en-uso-si-tu-lo-dices-venga-ya-veras-como-si_177010/

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 38

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
60,53	0,0	21,05	5,26	2,63	7,89	2,63

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde037/22	Exercises in Lexicology and Semantics

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours of in-person teaching; 20 hours of written tasks; 8 hours od repetition and preparation for the test. A total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, explaining, solving tasks and assignments, self-assessment, e-learning.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be awarded credits based on an interim evaluation (100%). The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities 10 %, preparation of written assignments on each topic and their commentary during the lesson 60 % and a written test during the semester 30 %.
The evaluation of ongoing tasks takes into account the correct use of lexical units of different types and from different thematic areas in both written and oral form and the ability to use compensation strategies, the ability to work with dictionaries, as well as the control of terminology in the field of lexicology, phraseology and semantics in lexical analysis. When evaluating the test, the correct use of the lexicon and the relevant terminology shall be verified in writing.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good – above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory – acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient – the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to consolidate and broaden the basic knowledge of the lexical level of the Spanish language system and to improve language competence, with an emphasis on lexical

and semantic competence, in the form of vocabulary activities and to understand the relationships between lexical units in terms of wordform, meaning and origin.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• can apply basic knowledge of the lexical plane of the Spanish language to the analysis of lexis in sentences and short texts and in exercises,
• correctly uses lexical units from the thematic areas taken over, controls commonly used phraseology units, can use appropriate compensation strategies if necessary,
• has an expanded vocabulary from different thematic areas of everyday life and education,
• knows selected lexicon acquisition strategies and various types of lexicon exercises,
• can use different kinds of dictionaries effectively in solving tasks.
The completion of the course contributes to the achievement of competences such as: communication competence, analysis and synthesis capability, ability to locate and process professional resources, digital skills.

Class syllabus:
Analysis and targeted practice of selected lexical phenomena and work with dictionaries.
• Types of lexical units and relationships between them.
• Lexicographic sources and their practical use. Dictionary password structure, abbreviations used in dictionaries. Use of different types of dictionaries (encyclopedic, etymological, terminological, etc.), electronic dictionaries.
• Word-forming procedures – derivative; diminutives, augmentative.
• Word-forming procedures – composition, abbreviation of words, other word-forming procedures.
• Semantic relationships between words – synonyms, antonyms, hyperonyms, hyponyms, etc.
• Lexical borrowings and calques
• Phraseological units.
• Vocabulary with different areas of everyday life and from the field of study.

Recommended literature:
LAMPIS, M. – ŠTRBÁKOVÁ, R. 2021. Curso universitario de fonología y lexicología. Nitra: Univerzita Konštantína Filozofa v Nitre. 79 s. ISBN 978-80-558-1701-9. (Lexicology)
MARTÍN SALCEDO, J. 2017. Fraseología española en uso. ¡Si tú lo dices! ¡Venga! Ya verás como sí. Madrid: Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte. Secretaría General Técnica. Centro de Publicaciones. 108 p. ISBN 978 8567535166. Available at: https://www.libreria.educacion.gob.es/libro/fraseologia-espanola-en-uso-si-tu-lo-dices-venga-ya-veras-como-si_177010/

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 38

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
60,53	0,0	21,05	5,26	2,63	7,89	2,63

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde027/22	Exercises in Morphology and Syntax 1.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours of in-person teaching; 20 hours of written tasks; 8 hours of repetition and preparation for the test; a total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, explaining, solving tasks and assignments, self-assessment, e-learning.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be awarded credits based on an interim evaluation (100%). The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities 10%, preparation of ongoing written tasks on each topic 60% and written test during the semester 30%.
The evaluation of tasks takes into account grammatical correctness, the ability of the student to correct his/her mistakes and the ability to work with professional resources; the test evaluates grammatical accuracy.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to consolidate knowledge of Spanish-language grammar and to improve language competence, with an emphasis on morphological competence.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• is able to apply the knowledge already acquired about the Spanish language system in practical use, in particular in written language,

• correctly uses selected grammatical structures, in case of misuse he/she shows the ability to repair,
• can search for information in relevant sources when solving tasks from grammar, knows the terminology and common abbreviations used in grammars and dictionaries,
• is familiar with various types of grammatical exercises aimed at flexible verbal species and their use in syntactic structures.
The completion of the subject contributes to the achievement of competences such as: communication competence, analysis and synthesis capability, ability to locate and process professional resources, digital skills.

Class syllabus:
Analysis and practice of selected grammatical phenomena.
• Nouns – grammatical categories; use and omission of an article with a noun.
• Adjectives – grammatical categories, status and graduation of the adjective.
• Pronouns – grammatical categories, use of tonic and atonic forms, position of pronoun in a sentence.
• Verbs – grammatical categories, personal and impersonal verb forms, regular and irregular verb forms, tense system, consecutio temporum.
• Category of mood, its use in independent and dependent clauses.
• Other word types – adverbs, prepositions, interjections.

Recommended literature:
GÓMEZ TORREGO, L. 2008. Análisis morfológico. Teoría y práctica. Madrid: SM, 2008. 274 p. ISBN 8467515716.
MLÝNKOVÁ, Ľ. et al. 2016. Cvičebnice španělské gramatiky. 8000 příkladů s řešením na vedlejší straně. Brno: Edika, 2016. ISBN978-80-266-0544-7 (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak, Czech language and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 34

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
5,88	0,0	44,12	23,53	11,76	11,76	2,94

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde033/22	Exercises in Morphology and Syntax 2.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours of in-person teaching; 20 hours of written tasks; 8 hours of repetition and preparation for the test; a total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, explaining, solving tasks and assignments, self-assessment, e-learning.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be awarded credits based on an interim evaluation (100%). The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in the classroom activities 10 %, preparation of written assignments on each topic 60 % and written test during the semester 30 %.
The evaluation of tasks takes into account grammatical correctness, the ability of the student to correct his/her mistakes and the ability to work with professional resources; the test evaluates grammatical accuracy.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the subject.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to consolidate knowledge of grammar and improve language competence in the Spanish language, with an emphasis on morphological and syntactic competence.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• is able to apply the knowledge already acquired about the Spanish language system in practical use, in particular in written language,

• correctly uses selected grammatical structures, controls the basic principles of the sentence warehouse in Spanish and, in case of misuse, exhibits the ability to repair,
• can search for information in relevant sources when solving tasks from grammar, knows terminology and abbreviations used in grammars and specialized dictionaries,
• he/she knows the different types of grammatical exercises focused on rigid verbal species as well as on the sentence composition.
The completion of the subject contributes to the achievement of competences such as: communication competence, analysis and synthesis capability, ability to locate and process professional resources, digital skills.

Class syllabus:
Analysis and practice of selected grammatical phenomena.
• Word types – conjunctions, verbs.
• Syntactic structure of the sentence. Syntagms, phrase constituents, word order.
• Analysis of simple, compound and complex sentences.
• Coordinate clauses.
• Subordinate clauses.
• Use of indicative and subjunctive mood in the independent and dependent clauses.

Recommended literature:
GÓMEZ TORREGO, L. 2011. Análisis sintáctico. Teoría y práctica. Madrid: SM, 2011. 320 s. ISBN 9788467541342. (selected parts)
MLÝNKOVÁ, Ľ. et al. 2016. Cvičebnice španělské gramatiky. 8000 příkladů s řešením na vedlejší straně. Brno: Edika, 2016. ISBN978-80-266-0544-7 (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak, Czech language and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 18

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
5,56	0,0	38,89	33,33	5,56	16,67	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde023/22	Exercises in Phonetics, Phonology and Orthography

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours of in-person teaching; 11 hours of ongoing written tasks; 11 hours of ongoing oral tasks; 6 hours targeted preparation and implementation of pronunciation assessment at the end of the semester; a total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, explaining, solving tasks and assignments, self-assessment, e-learning.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be awarded credits based on an interim evaluation of both written and oral production, for which he/she can earn 100 points. The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities and training of correct pronunciation 20%, realization of written and oral tasks 60%, evaluation of pronunciation (reading and oral expression) 20 %.
The evaluation assesses the control of the sounds of the language – pronunciation of sounds, spelling groups, accent and intonation, overall articulatory clarity, presence of a foreign accent; the ability to pronounce a written form of language correctly; the ability to correct yourself, knowledge of spelling rules; knowledge of the rules of punctuation and intonation.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to consolidate knowledge from phonetics and phonology and to develop language competence in Spanish. The student will improve his/her phonetic-phonological, orthoepic and orthographic competence by directing listening and oral and written production.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• knows the specificities of the phonemic and prosodic system of the Spanish language,
• has a clear and natural pronunciation and intonation in Spanish in oral expression both in interaction and in loud reading, without a more pronounced foreign accent,
• knows and has mastered the spelling principles of the literary language,
• can search for information in relevant sources when solving practical tasks from phonetics, phonology and spelling, and knows the conventions used to represent pronunciation;
• knows the different types of exercises and activities aimed at practicing correct pronunciation and spelling.
The completion of the course contributes to the achievement of competences such as: communication competence, analysis and synthesis capability, ability to locate and process professional resources, digital skills.

Class syllabus:
Analysis and practice of selected phonetic, phonological and spelling aspects of the Spanish language.
• Spanish alphabet – current form, different letter names in Spain and Hispano-American countries.
• Vocal system.
• Diphthongs and Triphthongs.
• Consonant system.
• Pronunciation of problematic sounds and spelling groups.
• Assimilation.
• Syllable, accent.
• Intonation.
• Spelling principles. Problematic phenomena in Spanish spelling.
• Punctuation rules.

Recommended literature:
GÓMEZ TORREGO, L. 2007. Gramática didáctica del español. Madrid: SM, 2007. ISBN 9788467541359. (Chapter 4: Fonética y Fonología, Chapter 5: Ortografía)
LAMPIS, M. – ŠTRBÁKOVÁ, R. 2021. Curso universitario de fonología y lexicología. Nitra: Univerzita Konštantína Filozofa v Nitre. 79 p. ISBN 978-80-558-1701-9. (part of phonology)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 22

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
72,73	0,0	9,09	13,64	4,55	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde023/22	Exercises in Phonetics, Phonology and Orthography

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours of in-person teaching; 11 hours of ongoing written tasks; 11 hours of ongoing oral tasks; 6 hours targeted preparation and implementation of pronunciation assessment at the end of the semester; a total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, explaining, solving tasks and assignments, self-assessment, e-learning.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be awarded credits based on an interim evaluation of both written and oral production, for which he/she can earn 100 points. The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities and training of correct pronunciation 20%, realization of written and oral tasks 60%, evaluation of pronunciation (reading and oral expression) 20 %.
The evaluation assesses the control of the sounds of the language – pronunciation of sounds, spelling groups, accent and intonation, overall articulatory clarity, presence of a foreign accent; the ability to pronounce a written form of language correctly; the ability to correct yourself, knowledge of spelling rules; knowledge of the rules of punctuation and intonation.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to consolidate knowledge from phonetics and phonology and to develop language competence in Spanish. The student will improve his/her phonetic-phonological, orthoepic and orthographic competence by directing listening and oral and written production.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• knows the specificities of the phonemic and prosodic system of the Spanish language,
• has a clear and natural pronunciation and intonation in Spanish in oral expression both in interaction and in loud reading, without a more pronounced foreign accent,
• knows and has mastered the spelling principles of the literary language,
• can search for information in relevant sources when solving practical tasks from phonetics, phonology and spelling, and knows the conventions used to represent pronunciation;
• knows the different types of exercises and activities aimed at practicing correct pronunciation and spelling.
The completion of the course contributes to the achievement of competences such as: communication competence, analysis and synthesis capability, ability to locate and process professional resources, digital skills.

Class syllabus:
Analysis and practice of selected phonetic, phonological and spelling aspects of the Spanish language.
• Spanish alphabet – current form, different letter names in Spain and Hispano-American countries.
• Vocal system.
• Diphthongs and Triphthongs.
• Consonant system.
• Pronunciation of problematic sounds and spelling groups.
• Assimilation.
• Syllable, accent.
• Intonation.
• Spelling principles. Problematic phenomena in Spanish spelling.
• Punctuation rules.

Recommended literature:
GÓMEZ TORREGO, L. 2007. Gramática didáctica del español. Madrid: SM, 2007. ISBN 9788467541359. (Chapter 4: Fonética y Fonología, Chapter 5: Ortografía)
LAMPIS, M. – ŠTRBÁKOVÁ, R. 2021. Curso universitario de fonología y lexicología. Nitra: Univerzita Konštantína Filozofa v Nitre. 79 p. ISBN 978-80-558-1701-9. (part of phonology)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 22

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
72,73	0,0	9,09	13,64	4,55	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde024/22	**Course title:** Family education
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** seminar **Number of hours:** **per week:** 2 **per level/semester:** 26 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	

Course requirements:

Prerequisite subjects: none

Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:

The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student completes an intermediate written examination of knowledge (maximum possible points - 60). For successful completion of the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (min. 60 out of 100 points).

The rating is awarded on a scale:

A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),

B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),

E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).

A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.

A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
The aim of the Family Education course is to convey basic information about the family as an educational institution and about the possible impact of the specifics of family life and family education on the educational process in school. The student is able to define the family as an educational institution, remembers its functions, knows the typology of families. The student can explain the transformations of the family in a historical context and the transformations of the family within its life cycle. The student knows and understands the educational goals, content, methods and means of family education. The student is able to critically evaluate and articulate the specifics of family education in alternative forms of partnership cohabitation. The student is able to categorize styles of family education. Describe and interpret inappropriate approaches in family education and predict their possible effects on student performance in school. The student is able to apply the acquired knowledge in solving educational difficulties of the pupil resulting from the specifics of family life and family education.
Transferable competences: by analysing, proposing solutions and discussing problem problems assigned during seminars, the student is able to communicate his/her conclusions, think critically, think in context.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Definition of family (traditional vs. newer concept of family), family typology and functions.
2. Basic demographic changes of the family in historical context (from traditional to postmodern family).
3. Family life cycle. Marriage as an institution. Education for marriage and parenthood.
4. The (un)stable family - the contemporary family and the issue of divorce. Inappropriate approaches in family upbringing in the period of divorce. Pupil in school in the period of parents' divorce process.
5. Alternative forms of cohabitation (cohabitation, mingle, single, "patchwork", homosexual partnerships...) - specifics of family education in alternative forms of cohabitation.
6. Pedagogy of the family. Theory of family education. Objectives, content, methods and means of family education. Styles of family education.
7. Relational attachment as a key prerequisite for harmonious personality development. Pupil's manifestations at school with regard to the type of relational attachment.
8. Alternative family education. Specifics of raising a child in foster care.
9. Different forms of violence in the family and their negative impact on the child's personality.

10. Specifics of family education in families with children with disabilities.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

POTOČÁROVÁ, M. (2018). Etika v rodinných vzťahoch. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 228 s. ISBN 97-880-223-3998-8.

POTOČÁROVÁ, M. (2008). Pedagogika rodiny. Teoretické východiská RV. Bratislava: UK, 216 s. ISBN 978-80-223-2458-8.

DERKOVÁ, J. (2018). Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: IKAR, 146 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-034-7.

Recommended reading:

BAKOŠOVÁ, Z., ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2011). Výchova sociálnych a prosociálnych kompetencií v rodine. In: Teórie sociálnej pedagogiky: edukačné, sociálne a komunikačné aspekty [Z. Bakošová]. Bratislava: Slovenská pedagogická spoločnosť SAV, 2011.184 s. ISBN 978-80-970675-0-2.BRISCH, K. H. (2011). Bezpečná vzťahová väzba. Attachment v tehotenstve a prvých rokoch života. Trenčín: Vydavateľstvo F, 150 s. ISBN 978-80-88952-67-1.

POTOČÁROVÁ, M. Výchova k hodnotám v slovenskej rodine. In Pedagogická orientace, 45(5-6),1996.

PŠENIČKA, O. 1995. Sexuální výchova v rodině. Hradec Králové: Rodina, 310s. ISBN-10 8090152414.

ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. 2008. Fyzické tresty vo výchove detí v rodine. In: Výchova v pedagogickom výskume a praxi. zborník z medzinárodnej vedeckej konferencie. Trnava: Univerzita sv. Cyrila a Metoda, 2008

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 692

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
55,35	0,0	23,7	10,26	4,34	2,02	4,34

Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Mária Potočárová, PhD., Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde024/22	**Course title:** Family education
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** seminar **Number of hours:** **per week:** 2 **per level/semester:** 26 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	

Course requirements:

Prerequisite subjects: none

Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:

The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student completes an intermediate written examination of knowledge (maximum possible points - 60). For successful completion of the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (min. 60 out of 100 points).

The rating is awarded on a scale:

A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),

B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),

E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).

A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.

A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
The aim of the Family Education course is to convey basic information about the family as an educational institution and about the possible impact of the specifics of family life and family education on the educational process in school. The student is able to define the family as an educational institution, remembers its functions, knows the typology of families. The student can explain the transformations of the family in a historical context and the transformations of the family within its life cycle. The student knows and understands the educational goals, content, methods and means of family education. The student is able to critically evaluate and articulate the specifics of family education in alternative forms of partnership cohabitation. The student is able to categorize styles of family education. Describe and interpret inappropriate approaches in family education and predict their possible effects on student performance in school. The student is able to apply the acquired knowledge in solving educational difficulties of the pupil resulting from the specifics of family life and family education.
Transferable competences: by analysing, proposing solutions and discussing problem problems assigned during seminars, the student is able to communicate his/her conclusions, think critically, think in context.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Definition of family (traditional vs. newer concept of family), family typology and functions.
2. Basic demographic changes of the family in historical context (from traditional to postmodern family).
3. Family life cycle. Marriage as an institution. Education for marriage and parenthood.
4. The (un)stable family - the contemporary family and the issue of divorce. Inappropriate approaches in family upbringing in the period of divorce. Pupil in school in the period of parents' divorce process.
5. Alternative forms of cohabitation (cohabitation, mingle, single, "patchwork", homosexual partnerships...) - specifics of family education in alternative forms of cohabitation.
6. Pedagogy of the family. Theory of family education. Objectives, content, methods and means of family education. Styles of family education.
7. Relational attachment as a key prerequisite for harmonious personality development. Pupil's manifestations at school with regard to the type of relational attachment.
8. Alternative family education. Specifics of raising a child in foster care.
9. Different forms of violence in the family and their negative impact on the child's personality.

10. Specifics of family education in families with children with disabilities.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

POTOČÁROVÁ, M. (2018). Etika v rodinných vzťahoch. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 228 s. ISBN 97-880-223-3998-8.
POTOČÁROVÁ, M. (2008). Pedagogika rodiny. Teoretické východiská RV. Bratislava: UK, 216 s. ISBN 978-80-223-2458-8.
DERKOVÁ, J. (2018). Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: IKAR, 146 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-034-7.

Recommended reading:

BAKOŠOVÁ, Z., ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2011). Výchova sociálnych a prosociálnych kompetencií v rodine. In: Teórie sociálnej pedagogiky: edukačné, sociálne a komunikačné aspekty [Z. Bakošová]. Bratislava: Slovenská pedagogická spoločnosť SAV, 2011.184 s. ISBN 978-80-970675-0-2.BRISCH, K. H. (2011). Bezpečná vzťahová väzba. Attachment v tehotenstve a prvých rokoch života. Trenčín: Vydavateľstvo F, 150 s. ISBN 978-80-88952-67-1.
POTOČÁROVÁ, M. Výchova k hodnotám v slovenskej rodine. In Pedagogická orientace, 45(5-6),1996.
PŠENIČKA, O. 1995. Sexuální výchova v rodině. Hradec Králové: Rodina, 310s. ISBN-10 8090152414.
ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. 2008. Fyzické tresty vo výchove detí v rodine. In: Výchova v pedagogickom výskume a praxi. zborník z medzinárodnej vedeckej konferencie. Trnava: Univerzita sv. Cyrila a Metoda, 2008

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak language

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 692

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
55,35	0,0	23,7	10,26	4,34	2,02	4,34

Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD., prof. PhDr. Mária Potočárová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde032/22	Family education and cooperation between school and family

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student will also complete an intermediate written assessment of knowledge (maximum possible points - 60). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the points must be obtained (min. 60 out of 100 points).
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
The aim of the course Family Education and Family-School Cooperation is to convey basic information about the contemporary family as an important socializing and educational institution. Students will be introduced to the implications of the specifics of family life and family education specifically for the educational process in school. The student will be able to define the family as an educational institution, remember its functions, and know the typology of families. The student can explain the transformations of the family in a historical context and the transformations of the family within its life cycle. The student knows and understands the educational goals, content, methods, styles and means of family education. Describe and interpret inappropriate approaches in family education and predict their possible effects on student performance in school. The student will be able to apply the knowledge acquired in solving educational difficulties of the pupil resulting from the specifics of family life and family education. The student deals with trends and current forms in family-school cooperation, is able to analyze them and propose solutions in approaches of this cooperation. A particular issue in the aims of education is the knowledge of the aims, criteria and methods of home education.
Transferable competences: by analysing, proposing solutions and discussing the problem tasks assigned during the seminars, the student is able to communicate his/her conclusions, think critically, and reason in context.

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Required reading:
DERKOVÁ, J. (2018). Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: IKAR, 146 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-034-7.
POTOČÁROVÁ, M. (2008). Pedagogika rodiny. Teoretické východiská RV. Bratislava: UK, 216 s. ISBN 978-80-223-2458-8
RABUŠICOVÁ, M. ŠEĎOVÁ, K., ČIHÁČEK, V. (2004) Škola a versus rodina. Brno : Masarykova univerzita, 176 s. ISBN 80-210-3598-6
RABUŠICOVÁ, M., EMMEROVÁ,K. (2003) Role rodičů ve vztahu ke škole – teoretické koncepty. In: pedagogika, LIII, 2003, č. 2, s. 141- 151, ISSN 3380-3815
Recommended reading:
POTOČÁROVÁ, M. Výchova k hodnotám v slovenskej rodine. In Pedagogická orientace, 45(5-6),1996.
RABUŠICOVÁ, M., POL,M. (1996) Vztahy školy a rodiny dnes : hledání partnerství. IN : Pedagogika č. 1, č. 2, 1996

SIEGLOVÁ, D. Konec školní nudy - Didaktické metody pro 21. století. Praha : GRADA, 336 s.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
: slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 161

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
62,73	0,0	14,91	9,32	1,24	2,48	9,32

Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Mária Potočárová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde032/22	Family education and cooperation between school and family

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student will also complete an intermediate written assessment of knowledge (maximum possible points - 60). To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the points must be obtained (min. 60 out of 100 points).
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
The aim of the course Family Education and Family-School Cooperation is to convey basic information about the contemporary family as an important socializing and educational institution. Students will be introduced to the implications of the specifics of family life and family education specifically for the educational process in school. The student will be able to define the family as an educational institution, remember its functions, and know the typology of families. The student can explain the transformations of the family in a historical context and the transformations of the family within its life cycle. The student knows and understands the educational goals, content, methods, styles and means of family education. Describe and interpret inappropriate approaches in family education and predict their possible effects on student performance in school. The student will be able to apply the knowledge acquired in solving educational difficulties of the pupil resulting from the specifics of family life and family education. The student deals with trends and current forms in family-school cooperation, is able to analyze them and propose solutions in approaches of this cooperation. A particular issue in the aims of education is the knowledge of the aims, criteria and methods of home education.
Transferable competences: by analysing, proposing solutions and discussing the problem tasks assigned during the seminars, the student is able to communicate his/her conclusions, think critically, and reason in context.

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Required reading:
DERKOVÁ, J. (2018). Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: IKAR, 146 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-034-7.
POTOČÁROVÁ, M. (2008). Pedagogika rodiny. Teoretické východiská RV. Bratislava: UK, 216 s. ISBN 978-80-223-2458-8
RABUŠICOVÁ, M. ŠEĎOVÁ, K., ČIHÁČEK, V. (2004) Škola a versus rodina. Brno : Masarykova univerzita, 176 s. ISBN 80-210-3598-6
RABUŠICOVÁ, M., EMMEROVÁ,K. (2003) Role rodičů ve vztahu ke škole – teoretické koncepty. In: pedagogika, LIII, 2003, č. 2, s. 141- 151, ISSN 3380-3815
Recommended reading:
POTOČÁROVÁ, M. Výchova k hodnotám v slovenskej rodine. In Pedagogická orientace, 45(5-6),1996.
RABUŠICOVÁ, M., POL,M. (1996) Vztahy školy a rodiny dnes : hledání partnerství. IN : Pedagogika č. 1, č. 2, 1996

SIEGLOVÁ, D. Konec školní nudy - Didaktické metody pro 21. století. Praha : GRADA, 336 s.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
: slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 161

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
62,73	0,0	14,91	9,32	1,24	2,48	9,32

Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Mária Potočárová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRJL/B-ESPde046/25	Field trip for Hispanists 1

Educational activities:
Type of activities: excursion
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 15s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 1

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers:

Last change: 23.01.2026

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRJL/B-ESPde046/25	Field trip for Hispanists 1

Educational activities:
Type of activities: excursion
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 15s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 1

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 23.01.2026

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRJL/B-ESPde047/25	Field trip for Hispanists 2

Educational activities:
Type of activities: excursion
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 40s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 4.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 23.01.2026

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRJL/B-ESPde047/25	Field trip for Hispanists 2

Educational activities:
Type of activities: excursion
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 40s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers:

Last change: 23.01.2026

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde018/22	Gender aspects of education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an assessment, weighted interim/final assessment 100/0.
100% of the continuous assessment includes: during the semester there will be approximately 6 - 8 assignments: focused on working with a professional text and its application in an example and the interpretation of the example processed on the basis of the professional text, the analysis of stereotypes in educational texts, the processing of professional literature into an annotation with questions on the read text. Students will use the e-learning environment moodle for their studies.
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
Students know the mechanisms to prevent the violation of equal opportunities for women and men (girls and boys) in education; they can identify gender stereotypes and their negative consequences in the educational process. They use methods to deepen gender democracy in school; They perceive the educational process from a gender perspective. They can name and find connections between gender-sensitive education and the cross-cutting theme of education for marriage and parenthood (sex education); apply the gender perspective in relational sex education.
Learning objectives:
Students will acquire knowledge and skills that will enable them to prevent violations of equal opportunities for women and men (girls and boys), eliminate gender stereotypes and their negative consequences in the educational process, deepen gender democracy at school, and perceive the educational process from a gender perspective.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Definition of the terms: gender, sex, gender stereotypes, gender sensitive education, direct and indirect discrimination. Education and training from a gender perspective - theories of gender socialisation, feminist pedagogy, models of gender-sensitive pedagogy. Gender in the pedagogical process. Inequalities in school from a gender perspective. Language - gender insensitivity. Research, projects, development of study materials, methodologies - gender perspective. Gender aspects of marriage and parenthood/sexual relationship education in schools.

Recommended literature:
Recommended reading:
Compulsory reading:
BOSÁ, M., MINAROVIČOVÁ, K. (2011). Rodovo citlivá výchova, In: Kiczková, Z., Szapuová, M. Rodové štúdiá, Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, s. 161-181. ISBN 978-80-223-2934-7
SMETÁČKOVÁ, I. (2016). Genderové vyjednávaní ve školních třídách. In: Genderové představy a vztahy. Praha: SLON, s. 177-216. ISBN 978-80-7419-229-6
SMETÁČKOVÁ, I., VLKOVÁ, K. (zost.). (2005). Gender ve škole I, II. Praha: Otevřená společnost, 2005.
Recommended reading:
BABANOVÁ, A., MIŠKOLCI, J. (zost.). (2007). Genderově citlivá výchova: Kde začít? Praha: Žába na prameni. ISBN 978-80-239-8798-0

BOSÁ, M., MINAROVIČOVÁ, K. (2006). Rodovo citlivá výchova. Bratislava: EsFem.
CVIKOVÁ, J., JURÁŇOVÁ, J. (ed.). (2003). Ružový a modrý svet. Bratislava: Aspekt. ISBN 0-89140-02-5.
HOPPE, H., HOPPE, S., KREBEL, J. (2001). Sociálně psychologické hry pro dospívající: Rozvoj pohlavní identity, prevence agresivity a zneužívání. Praha: Portál, ISBN 978-80-7178-434-0
JARKOVSKÁ, L. (2014). Gender před tabulí. Praha: SLON. ISBN 978-80-7419-119-0.
LUKŠÍK, I., SUPEKOVÁ, M. (2003). Sexualita a rodovosť v sociálnych a výchovných súvislostiach. Bratislava: Humanitas,. ISBN 80-89124-01-1.
OSAĎAN, R. RAPOŠOVÁ, P. SMETANOVÁ, D. (v tlači). Úvod do problematiky rodovosti a sexuality detí. Vysokoškolská učebnica. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Pedagogická fakulta.
RENZETTI, C.M., CURRAN, D. J. (2005). Ženy, muži a společnost. Praha: Karolinum. ISBN 80-246-0525-2
ROVŇANOVÁ, L., LUKŠÍK, I., LUKŠÍKOVÁ, Ľ. (Eds.). (2007). Príručka pre sexuálnu výchovu na druhom stupni základných škôl v rámci osnov výchovy k manželstvu a rodičovstvu. Bratislava: OKAT PLUS, ISBN 978-80-8872-010-2.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 611

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
79,05	0,0	10,64	3,27	2,45	1,8	2,78

Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde018/22	Gender aspects of education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an assessment, weighted interim/final assessment 100/0.
100% of the continuous assessment includes: during the semester there will be approximately 6 - 8 assignments: focused on working with a professional text and its application in an example and the interpretation of the example processed on the basis of the professional text, the analysis of stereotypes in educational texts, the processing of professional literature into an annotation with questions on the read text. Students will use the e-learning environment moodle for their studies.
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
Students know the mechanisms to prevent the violation of equal opportunities for women and men (girls and boys) in education; they can identify gender stereotypes and their negative consequences in the educational process. They use methods to deepen gender democracy in school; They perceive the educational process from a gender perspective. They can name and find connections between gender-sensitive education and the cross-cutting theme of education for marriage and parenthood (sex education); apply the gender perspective in relational sex education.
Learning objectives:
Students will acquire knowledge and skills that will enable them to prevent violations of equal opportunities for women and men (girls and boys), eliminate gender stereotypes and their negative consequences in the educational process, deepen gender democracy at school, and perceive the educational process from a gender perspective.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Definition of the terms: gender, sex, gender stereotypes, gender sensitive education, direct and indirect discrimination. Education and training from a gender perspective - theories of gender socialisation, feminist pedagogy, models of gender-sensitive pedagogy. Gender in the pedagogical process. Inequalities in school from a gender perspective. Language - gender insensitivity. Research, projects, development of study materials, methodologies - gender perspective. Gender aspects of marriage and parenthood/sexual relationship education in schools.

Recommended literature:
Recommended reading:
Compulsory reading:
BOSÁ, M., MINAROVIČOVÁ, K. (2011). Rodovo citlivá výchova, In: Kiczková, Z., Szapuová, M. Rodové štúdiá, Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, s. 161-181. ISBN 978-80-223-2934-7
SMETÁČKOVÁ, I. (2016). Genderové vyjednávaní ve školních třídách. In: Genderové představy a vztahy. Praha: SLON, s. 177-216. ISBN 978-80-7419-229-6
SMETÁČKOVÁ, I., VLKOVÁ, K. (zost.). (2005). Gender ve škole I, II. Praha: Otevřená společnost, 2005.
Recommended reading:
BABANOVÁ, A., MIŠKOLCI, J. (zost.). (2007). Genderově citlivá výchova: Kde začít? Praha: Žába na prameni. ISBN 978-80-239-8798-0

BOSÁ, M., MINAROVIČOVÁ, K. (2006). Rodovo citlivá výchova. Bratislava: EsFem.
CVIKOVÁ, J., JURÁŇOVÁ, J. (ed.). (2003). Ružový a modrý svet. Bratislava: Aspekt. ISBN 0-89140-02-5.
HOPPE, H., HOPPE, S., KREBEL, J. (2001). Sociálně psychologické hry pro dospívající: Rozvoj pohlavní identity, prevence agresivity a zneužívání. Praha: Portál, ISBN 978-80-7178-434-0
JARKOVSKÁ, L. (2014). Gender před tabulí. Praha: SLON. ISBN 978-80-7419-119-0.
LUKŠÍK, I., SUPEKOVÁ, M. (2003). Sexualita a rodovosť v sociálnych a výchovných súvislostiach. Bratislava: Humanitas,. ISBN 80-89124-01-1.
OSAĎAN, R. RAPOŠOVÁ, P. SMETANOVÁ, D. (v tlači). Úvod do problematiky rodovosti a sexuality detí. Vysokoškolská učebnica. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Pedagogická fakulta.
RENZETTI, C.M., CURRAN, D. J. (2005). Ženy, muži a společnost. Praha: Karolinum. ISBN 80-246-0525-2
ROVŇANOVÁ, L., LUKŠÍK, I., LUKŠÍKOVÁ, Ľ. (Eds.). (2007). Príručka pre sexuálnu výchovu na druhom stupni základných škôl v rámci osnov výchovy k manželstvu a rodičovstvu. Bratislava: OKAT PLUS, ISBN 978-80-8872-010-2.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 611

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
79,05	0,0	10,64	3,27	2,45	1,8	2,78

Lecturers: Mgr. Katarína Minarovičová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde001/22	General educational theory

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture + seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 5

Recommended semester: 1.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by examination, the weighting of the interim and final assessment is 50/50. As part of the interim assessment, students will create (25 points) and critically analyse (25 points) a reflective journal (can take the form of a concept map) focused on pedagogical situational (self)reflection (50 points in total). As part of the final assessment, they will develop (30 points) and defend in a colloquium discussion (20 points) a term paper - a conceptual proposal (with pedagogical analysis of a specific problem(s)) to address a selected educational problem(s) in a school or extra-curricular setting (50 points total). The aim is coherent pedagogical (self-)reflection, critique, identification of critical moments of education (and/or teaching) and presentation of students' forming pedagogical thinking, production of ideas for improving the personal progress of the educated and the teacher, anticipation of the development of educational reality (under the influence of current problems and theories). A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points is required for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points is required for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points is required for a grade of D, and a minimum of 60 points is required for a grade of E. To pass the course you must obtain a minimum of 60% of the marks.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results) - the student knows, understands and can apply (not only basic) relevant professional terminology in the outputs, can analyse, synthesise and assess (critically evaluate, develop, enrich with own ideas), is oriented in current scientific-pedagogical theories and can also critically analyse and evaluate them - in relation to the key stages of their

development and their implications for practice. It can anticipate their further development, identify and propose solutions to problems in relation to the needs of practice,
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard) - the student knows, understands and can apply (not only basic) relevant professional terminology in the outputs, can critically evaluate, develop and enrich it with his/her own ideas, is familiar with current scientific and pedagogical theories and can also critically evaluate them - in relation to the key stages of their development and their implications for practice, but only in selected problems, not in a generalizing way,
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work) - the student knows, understands and can apply at least the basic relevant professional terminology in the outputs, can apply it adequately, but lacks its development by own ideas, applies it in specific, concrete and not generalizing contexts, is oriented in current scientific-pedagogical theories, but lacks their critical and generalizing evaluation,
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results) - the student knows, understands and can apply at least the basic relevant professional terminology in the outputs, its correct application is limited and its development by own ideas is absent, the student applies it only in specific, concrete and not generalizing contexts, the orientation in current scientific-pedagogical theories is limited to specific areas, their critical evaluation is absent,
E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria) - the student has only a limited understanding and can apply the basic relevant professional terminology in the outputs, its application is limited in terms of correctness and accuracy, there is no critical analysis and development of their own ideas, the orientation in current scientific-pedagogical theories is limited to partial areas, there is no critical evaluation and generalization of them,
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - additional work is required) - student with significant limitations knows but cannot coherently apply (even basic) relevant professional terminology in the outputs, its application is incoherent, limited to limited contexts, orientation in current scientific-pedagogical theories is incoherent, limited to partial areas, their generalizing application is absent.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes: by completing the course, the student will be competent to implement analytical, synthesizing and evaluative processes within the framework of (scientifically relevant - practice-supported) theories of General educational theory, processes of personal-professional development on the basis of cognition and (self-)reflection, will know and be able to apply (in the specific outcomes of the course) the necessary resources, strategies and methods for this purpose.
Transferable competences: the student will know and be able to apply resources, strategies and methods for the continuous development of intra and interpersonal communication, organizational, (self-)cognitive competences.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course: General educational theory explores educational reality in its breadth and complexity, helping teachers to orient themselves and gain the clearest possible picture of the complex spectrum of different determinants that shape it. It makes accessible the formation and nature of pedagogical thought and characterizes the whole process of constructing pedagogical knowledge. There is a present and necessary discourse in pedagogy about different conceptions and approaches to educational reality. Orientation in the contemporary scientific discourse of pedagogy initiates in student teachers the process of forming individual conceptions which, for their teaching practice, constitute the basic platform of their pedagogical thinking and action.
Lecture topics:
1. Pedagogy as a science. Social, gnoseological, psychological and logical foundations of the science of pedagogy; Scientific legitimacy of pedagogy; Subject of pedagogy; Use and significance of pedagogy in the present.

2. The development of pedagogical thinking in the 20th and 21st centuries. Basic paradigms in education and training; Paradigms of humanization and constructivism; subject and object of education and training.
3. Factors in the development of the human individual. Personality in relation to education; Functions of education; Education, education, teaching, teaching, learning (to); Selected methods of education.
4. Education as a subject of pedagogical science. The nature of education; Attributes of education; Education as deliberate purposeful action; Education and self-education.
5. Conceptual structure of education and training in Slovakia Determinants of selection of the content of education and training in Slovakia; Documents of educational policy; International and domestic documents of educational policy.
6. Education outside the classroom. Specific features, organisation and aims of education outside the classroom.
7. Principles, methods, forms and means of education and training for the meaningful development of the individual (teaching process). Educational problems in school and their optimal solution.
8. Teacher and educator. Status of teacher and educator; competencies, professionalism of teacher; educational styles; humanization of education. Pedagogical diagnostics and effectiveness of the educational process.
9. The phenomenon of teacher social competence. Basic concepts; Characteristic features, approaches and their meaning.
10. Alternative Education. Basic typology of alternative schools; History and development; Modern alternative approaches.
11. Scientific approaches in pedagogy. Basic concepts; Objectives, methods and conceptual bases of pedagogical research.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:

HELUS, Z. 2004. Díte v osobnostním pojetí. Obrat k díteti jako výzva a úkol pro učitele i rodiče. 2. prepr. a rozš. vyd. Praha : Portál, 2004. ISBN 80-7178-888-0.
HLÁSNA, S. – HORVÁTHOVÁ, K. – MUCHA, M. – TÓTHOVÁ, R., 2006. Úvod do pedagogiky. Nitra : ENIGMA. ISBN: 80-89132-29-4.
KOSTRUB, D. a kol. 2018. Vychovávanie a starostlivosť o deti do troch rokov veku. Tvorba výchovného program. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského, 2018. ISBN 978-80-223-4667-2. (.kap.: 5.3 Komunikácia v rodine a v zariadeniach. Ostradický, P., Pirháčová Lapšanská, M.).
MANNIOVÁ, Jolana. Kapitoly z pedagogiky I. Bratislava: Luskpress, 2004. ISBN 80-968956-2-1
POTOČÁROVÁ, M., KOLDEOVÁ, L., FERKOVÁ, Š, DERKOVÁ, J. 2021. Teória výchovy 1. Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave : Bratislava. 1. vydanie, 308 strán. ISBN 978-80-223-5327-4.
PRŮCHA, Ján. Přehled pedagogiky. 3. aktual. vyd. Praha: Portál, s.r.o., 2009. ISBN 978-7367-567-7.
ŠIPOŠOVÁ, M. OSTRADICKÝ, P. 2021. Formovanie pedagogického myslenia študentov učiteľstva angličtiny o problematike výučby gramatiky cudzieho jazyka v kontinuu ich cudzojazyčnej výučby (výskumná štúdia) In Forlang [elektronický dokument] : periodický zborník vedeckých príspevkov a odborných článkov z medzinárodnej vedeckej konferencie konanej 23. - 24. júna 2021 : Roč. 8, č. 1. - : 1. vyd. ISBN 978-80-553-3948-1. - Košice : Technická univerzita v Košiciach, 2021. - S. 368-380 [CD-ROM].
ZELINOVÁ, M. 2012. Voľný čas efektívne a tvorivo. Teória a prax výchovy mimo vyučovania. Iura Edition: Bratislava, 2012. 146s. ISBN 978-80-8078-479-9.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 203

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
39,9	0,0	19,21	18,23	6,9	6,9	8,87

Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Mária Potočárová, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde007/22	General theory of instruction

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture + seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 3 **per level/semester:** 39
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 5

Recommended semester: 4.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an examination. The weighting of the interim and final assessment is 50/50.
As part of the interim assessment, students will create (25 points) and critically analyse (25 points) a reflective journal (can take the form of a concept map) focused on pedagogical-didactic situational (self)reflection (50 points in total). As part of the final assessment, they will develop (30 points) and defend in a colloquial discussion (20 points) a term paper - a conceptual proposal (with a pedagogical-didactic analysis of a specific problem) for solving a selected teaching-learning problem in school. The aim is a coherent pedagogical-didactic (self-)reflection, critique, identification of critical moments of teaching and forming pedagogical thinking, production of ideas for improving the personal progress of learners and teachers, anticipation of the development of educational reality (under the influence of current problems and theories). A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade of B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade of C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade of D, and a minimum of 60 points for a grade of E. To pass the course you must obtain a minimum of 60% of the marks.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results) - the student knows, understands and can apply (not only basic) relevant professional terminology in the outputs, can analyse, synthesise and assess (critically evaluate, develop, enrich with own ideas), is oriented in the current scientific-didactic theories and can also critically analyse and evaluate them - in relation to the key stages of their

development and their implications for practice. It can anticipate their further development, identify and propose solutions to problems in relation to the needs of practice,
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard) - the student knows, understands and can apply (not only basic) relevant professional terminology in the outputs, can critically evaluate, develop and enrich it with his/her own ideas, but only in selected problems and not in a generalizing way, is oriented in current scientific-didactic theories and can also critically evaluate them - in relation to the key stages of their development and their implications for practice, but only in selected problems and not in a generalizing way.
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work) - the student knows, understands and can apply at least the basic relevant professional terminology in the outputs, can apply it appropriately, but lacks its development by own ideas, applies it in specific, concrete and not generalizing contexts, is oriented in current scientific-didactic theories, but lacks their critical and generalizing evaluation,
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results) - the student knows, understands and can apply at least the basic relevant professional terminology in the outputs, its correct application is limited and its development by own ideas is absent, the student applies it only in specific, concrete and not generalizing contexts, the orientation in current scientific-didactic theories is limited to specific areas, their critical evaluation is absent,
E (65-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria) - the student has only a limited understanding and can apply the basic relevant professional terminology in the outputs, its application is limited to defined contexts, critical analysis and development of own ideas is absent, orientation in the current scientific-didactic theories is limited to specific areas, their critical evaluation and generalization is absent,
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - additional work is required) - student with significant limitations knows, understands, but cannot coherently apply (even basic) relevant professional terminology in the outputs, its application is incoherent, limited to limited contexts, orientation in current scientific-didactic theories is incoherent, limited to specific areas, their generalizing application is absent.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes: by completing the course, the student will be competent to implement analytical, synthesizing and evaluative processes within the framework of (scientifically relevant - practice-supported) theories of didactics, processes of personal-professional development based on cognition and (self-)reflection, he/she will be familiar with and be able to apply (in the specific outcomes of the course) the necessary resources, strategies and methods for this purpose.
Transferable competences: the student will know and be able to apply resources, strategies and methods for the continuous development of intra and interpersonal communication, organizational, (self-)cognitive competences.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course: didactics explores the educational process in its breadth and complexity, helping teachers to orient themselves and to get the clearest possible picture of the complex range of different determinants that need to be taken into account in teaching. It makes accessible the formation and nature of teaching objectives, curriculum, as well as practical didactic principles in the teaching process. It characterizes the whole process of constructing a lesson (from the planning phase to evaluation and feedback). A discourse of different concepts and approaches to the teaching process is currently present and necessary in didactics. The orientation in teaching conceptions in student teachers initiates the process of developing individual conceptions, which for their practice represent the basic platform of their pedagogical thinking.
Topics of lectures/seminars:

1. Didactics as a science. Didactic ideas and views of some educators; Subject of didactics; brief development of theoretical foundations; division of didactics; Methods of didactic research.
2. The system and elements of the teaching process. Concept of the teaching process; Objectives of the teaching process; Social, gnoseological, psychological and logical foundations of the teaching process; Conditions of the teaching process; Phases of the teaching process; Teaching as a synthesis of education and upbringing; Models of the teaching process.
3. Educational content and curriculum. Definition of basic terms; Documents of educational policy in Slovakia after 1989; Planned curriculum; Decentralisation of curriculum; Two-level model of curriculum.
4. Teaching/educational objectives. Definition of basic terms; From general to specific learning objectives; Specific (sub) learning objectives; Key competences.
5. Teaching methods. Concept of teaching methods; Classification of teaching methods and their characteristics - logical learning procedures; Characteristics of selected methods, monological methods, dialogical methods, selected activating methods; Determinations of optimal selection of methods.
6. Selected teaching concepts. Introduction to the concepts of teaching; Verbal-narrative teaching; Problem-based teaching; Cooperative teaching; Constructivist concepts of teaching; Constructivism as a theory of cognition; Foundations of constructivism and socio-cognitive constructivism in the theories of J. Piaget and L. S. Vygotsky.
7. Transmission versus construction in the implementation of instruction. Basic features of two antagonistic approaches to teaching - Behaviorism vs. constructivism; Deductive, inductive and participatory approaches in teaching
8. Didactic principles/principles for a meaningful teaching process. Characteristics of didactic principles; Alternative approaches to support authentic/productive learning.
9. Teacher and pupil in teaching. Teaching styles of the teacher; Professional competences; Teacher self-reflection; Creativity in the teacher's work; Pupil in teaching; Communication between teacher and pupil.
10. Diagnostics and assessment in teaching. Control of teaching results; Roles and functions of control in teaching; Internal and external control of the school.
11. Material and didactic resources. Concept and classification of material didactic means; Classification of teaching aids and technical equipment; Functions of material didactic means in the teaching process.

Recommended literature:

Required reading:
TOTHOVÁ R. - KOSTRUB, D. - FERKOVÁ Š. 2017. Žiak, učiteľ a výučba (všeobecná didaktika pre študentov učiteľstva). Prešov: ROKUS. ISBN 978-80-89510-61-0.
Recommended reading:
FISHER, R.: Učíme děti myslet a učit se. Praha : Portál, 2011. ISBN 978-80-26200-43-7.
HLÁSNA, S. – HORVÁTHOVÁ, K. – MUCHA, M. – TÓTHOVÁ, R., 2006. Úvod do pedagogiky. Nitra : ENIGMA. ISBN: 80-89132-29-4 (3.kap.: Všeobecná didaktika).
KOREŇOVÁ, L.- KOSTRUB, D.-OSTRADICKÝ, P. 2018. Constructionist teaching and learning of mathematics through the optics of qualitative methodology. In DICTAP2018 & ICEE2018 [elektronický dokument]. - : 1. vyd. ISBN 978-1-941968-50-5.
KOSTRUB, D. – OSTRADICKÝ, P. 2014. Phenomena that determine didactic teacher thinking within the process of selecting optimal methods of teaching. In EDUKACJA KU PRZYSZLOŠCI, 2014, 1. vyd., s.114-122. ISBN 978-83-62160-20-4.
KOSTRUB, D. - OSTRADICKÝ, P. 2016. Participative didactics as an inspiration for students. In INWENCJA W EDUKACJI DZIECI, MLODZIEZY I DOROSLYCH, 2016, s. 428-438. ISBN 978-83-941235-5-0.

KOSTRUB, D. 2008. Dieťa/žiak/študent – učiteľ – učivo, didaktický alebo bermudský trojuholník? Prešov: ROKUS. ISBN 978-80-89055-87-6.
OSTRADICKÝ, P. 2017. Interakčný príklon vs. interakčný odklon vo vyučovaco-učebných činnostiach výučby. In MMK 2017 [elektronický zdroj]. - Brno : Masarykova univerzita, 2017. - ISBN 978-80-87952-22-1. - S. 843-852 [CD-ROM].
OSTRADICKÝ, P. KOSTRUB, D. 2021. Chain (re)actions as the birth and development of pupil autonomy. In EDULEARN21 [elektronický dokument] : 13th annual International Conference on Education and New Learning Technologies, Barcelona, 5th - 6th of July, 2021 : Roč. 13. - : 1. vyd. ISBN 978-84-09-31267-2. - Barcelona : IATED, 2021. - S. 11734-11742 [CD-ROM].
PORUBSKÝ, Š. 2007. Učiteľ-diskurz-žiak. Osobnostno-sociálny model primárnej edukácie. Banská Bystrica: UMB v Banskej Bystrici, 2007. ISBN 978-80-8083-392-3.
SKALKOVÁ, J. 2007. Obecná didaktika. 2., rozšírené a aktualizované vydanie. Praha : Grada,2007. ISBN: 80-24718-21-7.
ŠIPOŠOVÁ, M. OSTRADICKÝ, P. 2021. Formovanie pedagogického myslenia študentov učiteľstva angličtiny o problematike výučby gramatiky cudzieho jazyka v kontinuu ich cudzojazyčnej výučby (výskumná štúdia) In Forlang [elektronický dokument] : periodický zborník vedeckých príspevkov a odborných článkov z medzinárodnej vedeckej konferencie konanej 23. - 24. júna 2021 : Roč. 8, č. 1. - : 1. vyd. ISBN 978-80-553-3948-1. - Košice : Technická univerzita v Košiciach, 2021. - S. 368-380 [CD-ROM]

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech and english

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 103

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
24,27	0,0	27,18	23,3	13,59	8,74	2,91

Lecturers: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde009/22	Guidelines for writing professional texts in education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture + seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 5

Recommended semester: 2.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with a grade of: 100% of the intermediate grade.
The interim evaluation includes the following:
• Intermediate work: 40 points,
• term paper: 60 points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
Students will acquire knowledge in the field of professional text production and will be able to work independently with professional text. They will be familiar with the specific genres of professional texts in pedagogy and the requirements for their content, form and language.
The student will be able to use the acquired knowledge and skills in the creation of his/her own professional text,
teaching resources, term and final papers.
The student will master access to material such as scientific and professional literature, statistical materials, empirical data, etc.; he/she will master the principles of using professional terminology, editing the linguistic and formal aspects of a professional text. Students will acquire the skills to write a professional text in pedagogy in compliance with the principles of professional text production in the sense of publishing ethics.
Transferable competences: the course develops students' analytical, critical, evaluative and creative thinking, reasoning in context and supports the development of their interpersonal skills, self-development and personal and professional growth.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Definition of basic terms.
Study of professional texts, research, effective reading and documentation of what you have read.
Genres used in pedagogy, professional style and peculiarities of professional text structure.
Professional terminology and its use.
Linguistic and formal aspects of the professional text.
Content of professional texts.
Recommended models for the production of professional texts (IMRAD).
Working text, its revision, formal and linguistic adaptation.
The most common mistakes when writing professional texts.
Publication ethics, plagiarism and copyright infringement.
Selected software tools for creating professional texts in pedagogy.
Methods of in-text citation.
Bibliographic references

Recommended literature:
Required reading:
STAROŇOVÁ, K. (2011). Vedecké písanie: Ako písať akademické a vedecké texty. Martin.
ŠANDEROVÁ, J. (2007). Jak číst a psát odborný text ve spoločenských vědách. Praha.
Recommended reading:

KATUŠČÁK, D. (2013). Ako písať vysokoškolské a kvalifikačné práce. Bratislava : Enigma, 2013.
KIMLIČKA, Š. (2002). Ako citovať a vytvárať zoznamy bibliografických odkazov podľa noriem ISO 690 pre „klasické“ aj elektronické zdroje. Bratislava: Stimul, 2002.
MEŠKO, D. a kol. (2004). Akademická príručka. Martin: Osveta, 2004. 496 s. ISBN 80-8063-150-6

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 120

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
38,33	0,0	24,17	20,0	5,83	4,17	7,5

Lecturers: Mgr. Peter Ostradický, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde009/22	Guidelines for writing professional texts in education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture + seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 5

Recommended semester: 1.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with a grade of: 100% of the intermediate grade.
The interim evaluation includes the following:
• Intermediate work: 40 points,
• term paper: 60 points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
Students will acquire knowledge in the field of professional text production and will be able to work independently with professional text. They will be familiar with the specific genres of professional texts in pedagogy and the requirements for their content, form and language.
The student will be able to use the acquired knowledge and skills in the creation of his/her own professional text,
teaching resources, term and final papers.
The student will master access to material such as scientific and professional literature, statistical materials, empirical data, etc.; he/she will master the principles of using professional terminology, editing the linguistic and formal aspects of a professional text. Students will acquire the skills to write a professional text in pedagogy in compliance with the principles of professional text production in the sense of publishing ethics.
Transferable competences: the course develops students' analytical, critical, evaluative and creative thinking, reasoning in context and supports the development of their interpersonal skills, self-development and personal and professional growth.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Definition of basic terms.
Study of professional texts, research, effective reading and documentation of what you have read.
Genres used in pedagogy, professional style and peculiarities of professional text structure.
Professional terminology and its use.
Linguistic and formal aspects of the professional text.
Content of professional texts.
Recommended models for the production of professional texts (IMRAD).
Working text, its revision, formal and linguistic adaptation.
The most common mistakes when writing professional texts.
Publication ethics, plagiarism and copyright infringement.
Selected software tools for creating professional texts in pedagogy.
Methods of in-text citation.
Bibliographic references

Recommended literature:
Required reading:
STAROŇOVÁ, K. (2011). Vedecké písanie: Ako písať akademické a vedecké texty. Martin.
ŠANDEROVÁ, J. (2007). Jak číst a psát odborný text ve spoločenských vědách. Praha.
Recommended reading:

KATUŠČÁK, D. (2013). Ako písať vysokoškolské a kvalifikačné práce. Bratislava : Enigma, 2013.
KIMLIČKA, Š. (2002). Ako citovať a vytvárať zoznamy bibliografických odkazov podľa noriem ISO 690 pre „klasické“ aj elektronické zdroje. Bratislava: Stimul, 2002.
MEŠKO, D. a kol. (2004). Akademická príručka. Martin: Osveta, 2004. 496 s. ISBN 80-8063-150-6

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 120

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
38,33	0,0	24,17	20,0	5,83	4,17	7,5

Lecturers:

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde014/22	History of Linguistic Theories

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week seminar; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 75 hours
11 x 2 hours of in-person teaching = 22 hours of in-person teaching
11 x 2 continuous home preparation for lessons, self-study, critical analysis of selected articles and chapters from recommended literature, preparation for discussion and group work on lessons = 22 hours
1 x 15.5 preparation of the presentation based on analyzed language data and studied literature
1 x 15.5 preparation of seminar work based on presentation
Teaching methods:
short presentations of teachers and students, analysis of selected issues, interview, case studies, group discussion

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Interim evaluation:
Students will prepare for each seminar by studying selected topics of recommended literature so that they can actively participate in discussions and group work and develop a mini seminar project during the first part of the semester. Their work on individual seminars will be assessed on the basis of self-reflection and self-evaluation through the learner's diary, which they submit after each seminar via an online form, feedback from the instructor (33 % of the evaluation). During the semester, students carry out their seminar project (they analyze a selected part of the specialized literature, develop the theoretical and methodological background of the project) and present it in colloquial form (33 % of the evaluation) and in the form of seminar work (33 % of the evaluation).
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work is required).
The final evaluation reflects the level of insight of the graduate in selected topics of language theories, with a primary focus on their relationship to the didactics of foreign languages, specifically in language science focused on Romance languages; the level of analytical and critical thinking and reasoning; the ability to apply theoretical knowledge to their professional experience; competence to use knowledge for their personal and professional development.
Excellent: The student is oriented on an excellent level in selected themes of the history of linguistic theory. Critically analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, compares their thesis, interprets their didactic implications and their importance for the teaching of Romance languages. In discussions, he/she can argue clearly and logically, support its arguments with knowledge gained during the seminar and use the relevant conceptual apparatus. He/she is able to design a seminar project, which is characterized by a high degree of theoretical and methodological consistency, analyze the selected issue and present its research in colloquial and written form.
Very good: The student is oriented on a very good level in selected themes of the history of linguistic theories. He/she critically analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, can compare them with each other, interprets their didactic implications and their importance for the teaching of Romance languages. In discussions, he/she is usually able to argue clearly and logically. He/she can explain any ambiguities, and he/she connects his/her arguments with the knowledge gathered during the seminar. He/she is able to design a seminar project, which is characterized by rigorous processing, analyze the selected issue and present its seminar project in colloquial and written form.
Good: The student is orientated in selected themes of the history of linguistic theories. He/she analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, compares them with each other, can mostly interpret their didactic implications and their importance for the teaching of Romance languages. In discussions, he/she is usually able to argue clearly and logically. He/she can explain any ambiguities, although not always and uses the relevant conceptual apparatus. He/she is able to design a sufficiently high-quality seminar project, anchored in a well-defined theoretical and methodological framework, even if there are minor shortcomings, especially at the formal level, and present his/her seminar project in both colloquial and written form.
Satisfactory: The student focuses on selected themes of the history of linguistic theories. He/she participates less actively in discussions, his/her arguments are partly based on general knowledge and his own experience, to a satisfactory extent they are linked to the knowledge gained during the seminar. He/she can design a seminar project enshrined in the theoretical and methodological apparatus of contemporary linguistics, even if there are shortcomings at a formal or logical level. He/she can analyze language data, even if the presentation of the seminar project has formal shortcomings.
Sufficient: The student focuses on selected themes of the history of linguistic theories. He/she is less active in discussions, his/her arguments are mostly based on general knowledge and his/her own experience, their connection with the knowledge gained during the seminar is not always clear. He/she can design a research project anchored in the theoretical and methodological apparatus of contemporary linguistics, even if it presents shortcomings at a formal or logical level. The presentation of the seminar work has formal shortcomings.

Learning outcomes:
Educational objectives:
develop graduates' knowledge of selected themes of the history of linguistic theories, taking into account theory and research devoted to exploring the language in antiquity, the Middle Ages, in modern times, comparative historical linguistics, young literacy, theories at the turn of the 19th and 20th centuries, structural schools of the 20th century, quantitative linguistics, pragmatic and

discourse direction in linguistics, representatives and main ideas of linguistic science in Romance language countries in the 19th and 20th centuries, or to selected theoretical concepts of Romance language grammars and to the replication of linguistic theory in the didactics of foreign languages – a reflection of the development of linguistic research in period methods of foreign languages.
On the basis of analysis and comparison of selected research with different theoretical and methodological approaches, develop a professional view of basic and applied research in contemporary linguistics. Use this knowledge in practice by designing and implementing seminar work that is oriented to the themes of the history of linguistic theories relevant to teachers of Romance languages (e.g. use of knowledge about the history of grammatical theory, the focus of various grammar schools in the history of Romance language linguistics, etc.).
Educational outcomes:
Acquisition of a basic overview of the starting points of various opinion trends in the discipline, with particular regard to their relationship to past and current methods of teaching foreign languages. By deepening theoretical linguistic training, it allows the student to orientate better in practice. A future foreign language teacher can take on individual trends in linguistics and the latest developments in the discipline in linguistic and didactic research in relation to a particular Romance language.

Class syllabus:
History of the world linguistics:
o exploring the language in antiquity,
o exploring the language in the Middle Ages
o exploring the language in the modern age
o comparative historical linguistics,
o Neogrammarians
o the turn of the 19th and 20th centuries of 20th century structuring schools
o quantitative linguistics
o pragmatic and discursive directions
· The emergence and main milestones in the development of Romance language linguistics:
o representatives and main ideas of linguistic science in Romance language countries in the 19th century
o representatives and main ideas of linguistics in Romance language countries in the 20th century
o selected theoretical concepts of Grammars of Romance languages
· Replication of linguistic theories in the didactics of foreign languages – a reflection of the development of linguistic research in period methods of foreign languages

Recommended literature:
During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
BENVENISTE, E. 2019. Studie z obecné lingvistiky. Podhradský. 372 s. ISBN 978-80-7272-177-1.
ČERMÁK, F. 2017. Jazyk a jazykověda. Prah : Karolinum. 382 s. ISBN 978-80-246-1946-0.
ČERMÁK, F. 2017. Korpus a korpusová lingvistika. Praha : Karolinum. 268 s. ISBN 978-80-246-3710-5.
ČERNÝ, J. 2006. Dějiny lingvistiky. 1. vyd. Olomouc: Votobia. 517 s. ISBN 80-85885-96-4.
DOLNÍK, J. 1999. Základy lingvistiky. 1. vyd. Bratislava: Stimul. 340 s. ISBN 80-85697-95-5.
DOLNÍK, J. 2009. Všeobecná jazykoveda. 1. vyd. Bratislava: Veda. 376 s. ISBN 978-80-224-1078-6.
GENZOR, J. 2015. Jazyky sveta. Bratislava: Lingea. 686 s. ISBN 9788081451140.
KAČALA, J. 2017. Osobnosti slovenskej jazykovedy v 20. storočí. Martin: Vydavateľstvo Matice slovenskej. 248 s. ISBN 9788081281884.

MALOVECKÝ, M. 2014. Lingvistické komponenty hľadania metódy v kontrastívnej jazykovede. Hľadanie metódy v kontrastívnej lingvistike [elektronický zdroj]. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. ISBN 978-80-223-3772-4. - S. 12-32
OSTRÁ, R. – SPITZOVÁ, E. 1995. Úvod do studia románských jazyků, Brno: Masarykova univerzita. 175 s. ISBN 8021012587.
PRICE, G. 2002. Encyklopedie jazyků Evropy. Praha: Volvox Globator. 510 s. ISBN 8072074504.
SAUSSURE, F. de. 1996. Kurs obecné lingvistiky. 1. vyd. Praha: Academia, 1996. 468 s.
ŠABRŠULA, J. 1994. Úvod do srovnávacího studia románských jazyků, Ostravská univerzita: Ostrava. 251 s. ISBN 80-7042-407-9.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Czech language (passive knowledge)

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 11

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
45,45	0,0	9,09	27,27	9,09	9,09	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde014/22	History of Linguistic Theories

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week seminar; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 75 hours
11 x 2 hours of in-person teaching = 22 hours of in-person teaching
11 x 2 continuous home preparation for lessons, self-study, critical analysis of selected articles and chapters from recommended literature, preparation for discussion and group work on lessons = 22 hours
1 x 15.5 preparation of the presentation based on analyzed language data and studied literature
1 x 15.5 preparation of seminar work based on presentation
Teaching methods:
short presentations of teachers and students, analysis of selected issues, interview, case studies, group discussion

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Interim evaluation:
Students will prepare for each seminar by studying selected topics of recommended literature so that they can actively participate in discussions and group work and develop a mini seminar project during the first part of the semester. Their work on individual seminars will be assessed on the basis of self-reflection and self-evaluation through the learner's diary, which they submit after each seminar via an online form, feedback from the instructor (33 % of the evaluation). During the semester, students carry out their seminar project (they analyze a selected part of the specialized literature, develop the theoretical and methodological background of the project) and present it in colloquial form (33 % of the evaluation) and in the form of seminar work (33 % of the evaluation).
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work is required).
The final evaluation reflects the level of insight of the graduate in selected topics of language theories, with a primary focus on their relationship to the didactics of foreign languages, specifically in language science focused on Romance languages; the level of analytical and critical thinking and reasoning; the ability to apply theoretical knowledge to their professional experience; competence to use knowledge for their personal and professional development.
Excellent: The student is oriented on an excellent level in selected themes of the history of linguistic theory. Critically analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, compares their thesis, interprets their didactic implications and their importance for the teaching of Romance languages. In discussions, he/she can argue clearly and logically, support its arguments with knowledge gained during the seminar and use the relevant conceptual apparatus. He/she is able to design a seminar project, which is characterized by a high degree of theoretical and methodological consistency, analyze the selected issue and present its research in colloquial and written form.
Very good: The student is oriented on a very good level in selected themes of the history of linguistic theories. He/she critically analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, can compare them with each other, interprets their didactic implications and their importance for the teaching of Romance languages. In discussions, he/she is usually able to argue clearly and logically. He/she can explain any ambiguities, and he/she connects his/her arguments with the knowledge gathered during the seminar. He/she is able to design a seminar project, which is characterized by rigorous processing, analyze the selected issue and present its seminar project in colloquial and written form.
Good: The student is orientated in selected themes of the history of linguistic theories. He/she analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, compares them with each other, can mostly interpret their didactic implications and their importance for the teaching of Romance languages. In discussions, he/she is usually able to argue clearly and logically. He/she can explain any ambiguities, although not always and uses the relevant conceptual apparatus. He/she is able to design a sufficiently high-quality seminar project, anchored in a well-defined theoretical and methodological framework, even if there are minor shortcomings, especially at the formal level, and present his/her seminar project in both colloquial and written form.
Satisfactory: The student focuses on selected themes of the history of linguistic theories. He/she participates less actively in discussions, his/her arguments are partly based on general knowledge and his own experience, to a satisfactory extent they are linked to the knowledge gained during the seminar. He/she can design a seminar project enshrined in the theoretical and methodological apparatus of contemporary linguistics, even if there are shortcomings at a formal or logical level. He/she can analyze language data, even if the presentation of the seminar project has formal shortcomings.
Sufficient: The student focuses on selected themes of the history of linguistic theories. He/she is less active in discussions, his/her arguments are mostly based on general knowledge and his/her own experience, their connection with the knowledge gained during the seminar is not always clear. He/she can design a research project anchored in the theoretical and methodological apparatus of contemporary linguistics, even if it presents shortcomings at a formal or logical level. The presentation of the seminar work has formal shortcomings.

Learning outcomes:
Educational objectives:
develop graduates' knowledge of selected themes of the history of linguistic theories, taking into account theory and research devoted to exploring the language in antiquity, the Middle Ages, in modern times, comparative historical linguistics, young literacy, theories at the turn of the 19th and 20th centuries, structural schools of the 20th century, quantitative linguistics, pragmatic and

discourse direction in linguistics, representatives and main ideas of linguistic science in Romance language countries in the 19th and 20th centuries, or to selected theoretical concepts of Romance language grammars and to the replication of linguistic theory in the didactics of foreign languages – a reflection of the development of linguistic research in period methods of foreign languages.
On the basis of analysis and comparison of selected research with different theoretical and methodological approaches, develop a professional view of basic and applied research in contemporary linguistics. Use this knowledge in practice by designing and implementing seminar work that is oriented to the themes of the history of linguistic theories relevant to teachers of Romance languages (e.g. use of knowledge about the history of grammatical theory, the focus of various grammar schools in the history of Romance language linguistics, etc.).
Educational outcomes:
Acquisition of a basic overview of the starting points of various opinion trends in the discipline, with particular regard to their relationship to past and current methods of teaching foreign languages. By deepening theoretical linguistic training, it allows the student to orientate better in practice. A future foreign language teacher can take on individual trends in linguistics and the latest developments in the discipline in linguistic and didactic research in relation to a particular Romance language.

Class syllabus:
History of the world linguistics:
o exploring the language in antiquity,
o exploring the language in the Middle Ages
o exploring the language in the modern age
o comparative historical linguistics,
o Neogrammarians
o the turn of the 19th and 20th centuries of 20th century structuring schools
o quantitative linguistics
o pragmatic and discursive directions
· The emergence and main milestones in the development of Romance language linguistics:
o representatives and main ideas of linguistic science in Romance language countries in the 19th century
o representatives and main ideas of linguistics in Romance language countries in the 20th century
o selected theoretical concepts of Grammars of Romance languages
· Replication of linguistic theories in the didactics of foreign languages – a reflection of the development of linguistic research in period methods of foreign languages

Recommended literature:
During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
BENVENISTE, E. 2019. Studie z obecné lingvistiky. Podhradský. 372 s. ISBN 978-80-7272-177-1.
ČERMÁK, F. 2017. Jazyk a jazykověda. Prah : Karolinum. 382 s. ISBN 978-80-246-1946-0.
ČERMÁK, F. 2017. Korpus a korpusová lingvistika. Praha : Karolinum. 268 s. ISBN 978-80-246-3710-5.
ČERNÝ, J. 2006. Dějiny lingvistiky. 1. vyd. Olomouc: Votobia. 517 s. ISBN 80-85885-96-4.
DOLNÍK, J. 1999. Základy lingvistiky. 1. vyd. Bratislava: Stimul. 340 s. ISBN 80-85697-95-5.
DOLNÍK, J. 2009. Všeobecná jazykoveda. 1. vyd. Bratislava: Veda. 376 s. ISBN 978-80-224-1078-6.
GENZOR, J. 2015. Jazyky sveta. Bratislava: Lingea. 686 s. ISBN 9788081451140.
KAČALA, J. 2017. Osobnosti slovenskej jazykovedy v 20. storočí. Martin: Vydavateľstvo Matice slovenskej. 248 s. ISBN 9788081281884.

MALOVECKÝ, M. 2014. Lingvistické komponenty hľadania metódy v kontrastívnej jazykovede. Hľadanie metódy v kontrastívnej lingvistike [elektronický zdroj]. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. ISBN 978-80-223-3772-4. - S. 12-32
OSTRÁ, R. – SPITZOVÁ, E. 1995. Úvod do studia románských jazyků, Brno: Masarykova univerzita. 175 s. ISBN 8021012587.
PRICE, G. 2002. Encyklopedie jazyků Evropy. Praha: Volvox Globator. 510 s. ISBN 8072074504.
SAUSSURE, F. de. 1996. Kurs obecné lingvistiky. 1. vyd. Praha: Academia, 1996. 468 s.
ŠABRŠULA, J. 1994. Úvod do srovnávacího studia románských jazyků, Ostravská univerzita: Ostrava. 251 s. ISBN 80-7042-407-9.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Czech language (passive knowledge)

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 11

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
45,45	0,0	9,09	27,27	9,09	9,09	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde022/22	History of education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).
The students' task is to solve 10 continuously assigned problems during the semester and to participate in the presentation and discussion of their solutions in seminars. The solution of each problem is evaluated on a 3-0 point scale according to specific criteria set for each problem. The maximum number of points possible is 30.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (93-86%, very good - above average standard),
C (85-76%, well - normal reliable work),
D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (67-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 28 points is required for a final grade of A (excellent - outstanding results), a minimum of 25 points is required for a grade of B (very good - above average standard), a minimum of 22 points is required for a grade of C (good - normal reliable work), a minimum of 20 points is required for a grade of D (satisfactory - acceptable results), and a minimum of 18 points is required for a grade of E (satisfactory - results meet the minimum criteria). A grade of FX (Inadequate - extra work required) will be awarded to students scoring 17 points or less.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes and transferable competences:
Upon completion of the course, students will be able to use professional terminology, define the subject, and describe the system of the History of education. They are able to explain the context of the emergence and the main features of the historical currents of educational thought, describe and compare the systems of education and training in different historical periods, explain the views

and characterize the work of important figures in the history of education and training, critically evaluate them and identify their influence on education and training today.
Working with original historical sources and their contemporary interpretations, students will be able to critically reflect and discuss ethical issues of education and education, the position and roles of the subjects of education and education. In solving, presenting and discussing the results of ongoing assignments, students will develop their analytical and critical thinking, communication, lecturing and metacognitive skills.
Students will be able to use the acquired knowledge and skills creatively in the study of other pedagogical disciplines and in their future pedagogical practice.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
The subject, terminology and system of the History of education. Education and education in ancient and medieval times. Education and pedagogical ideas in the period of humanism and the Renaissance. Life, work and pedagogical views of J. A. Comenius. The development of education and pedagogical thinking in the works of selected representatives in the world (Lock. Rousseau, Pestalozzi, Herbart, Tolstoy) and in the territory of present-day Slovakia (Bel, Tešedík, Fándly, Lehocký) in the 17th-19th centuries. Pedagogical trends and schools of the 20th century in the world. Education in Slovakia in the 20th century. Contemporary trends in education.

Recommended literature:
Recommended reading:
BRŤKOVÁ, M., et al. (2000). Kapitoly z dejín pedagogiky. Bratislava: Pressent, 250 s. ISBN 80-967824-4-4
JŮVA,V, jun., JŮVA, V. sen. (2003). Stručné dějiny pedagogiky. Brno: Paido, 91 s. ISBN 80-7315-062-X
KASPER, T, KASPEROVÁ, D. (2008). Dějiny pedagogiky. Praha: Grada, 224 s. ISBN 978-80-247-2429-4
KUDLÁČOVÁ, B. (2003). Človek a výchova v dejinách európskeho myslenia. Trnava: PF TU, 168 s. ISBN 80-89074-66-9
PORUBSKÝ, Š. (2012). Úryvky z dejín pedagogického myslenia. Banská Bystrica: PF UMB, 110 s. ISBN: 978-80-557-0433-3
PŠENÁK, J. (2012). Dejiny školstva a pedagogiky. Žilina: EDIS-vydavateľstvo ŽUŽ, 167 s. ISBN 978-80-554-0596-4
ŠMAHELOVÁ, B. (2008). Nástin vývoje pedagogického myšlení. Brno: MSD, spol. s r.o., 98 s. ISBN 978-80-7392-040-1.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 335

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
51,94	0,0	12,84	11,34	6,87	9,85	7,16

Lecturers: Ing. Mgr. Jozef Strakoš, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde022/22	History of education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).
The students' task is to solve 10 continuously assigned problems during the semester and to participate in the presentation and discussion of their solutions in seminars. The solution of each problem is evaluated on a 3-0 point scale according to specific criteria set for each problem. The maximum number of points possible is 30.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (93-86%, very good - above average standard),
C (85-76%, well - normal reliable work),
D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (67-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points. A minimum of 28 points is required for a final grade of A (excellent - outstanding results), a minimum of 25 points is required for a grade of B (very good - above average standard), a minimum of 22 points is required for a grade of C (good - normal reliable work), a minimum of 20 points is required for a grade of D (satisfactory - acceptable results), and a minimum of 18 points is required for a grade of E (satisfactory - results meet the minimum criteria). A grade of FX (Inadequate - extra work required) will be awarded to students scoring 17 points or less.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes and transferable competences:
Upon completion of the course, students will be able to use professional terminology, define the subject, and describe the system of the History of education. They are able to explain the context of the emergence and the main features of the historical currents of educational thought, describe and compare the systems of education and training in different historical periods, explain the views

and characterize the work of important figures in the history of education and training, critically evaluate them and identify their influence on education and training today.
Working with original historical sources and their contemporary interpretations, students will be able to critically reflect and discuss ethical issues of education and education, the position and roles of the subjects of education and education. In solving, presenting and discussing the results of ongoing assignments, students will develop their analytical and critical thinking, communication, lecturing and metacognitive skills.
Students will be able to use the acquired knowledge and skills creatively in the study of other pedagogical disciplines and in their future pedagogical practice.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
The subject, terminology and system of the History of education. Education and education in ancient and medieval times. Education and pedagogical ideas in the period of humanism and the Renaissance. Life, work and pedagogical views of J. A. Comenius. The development of education and pedagogical thinking in the works of selected representatives in the world (Lock. Rousseau, Pestalozzi, Herbart, Tolstoy) and in the territory of present-day Slovakia (Bel, Tešedík, Fándly, Lehocký) in the 17th-19th centuries. Pedagogical trends and schools of the 20th century in the world. Education in Slovakia in the 20th century. Contemporary trends in education.

Recommended literature:
Recommended reading:
BRŤKOVÁ, M., et al. (2000). Kapitoly z dejín pedagogiky. Bratislava: Pressent, 250 s. ISBN 80-967824-4-4
JŮVA,V, jun., JŮVA, V. sen. (2003). Stručné dějiny pedagogiky. Brno: Paido, 91 s. ISBN 80-7315-062-X
KASPER, T, KASPEROVÁ, D. (2008). Dějiny pedagogiky. Praha: Grada, 224 s. ISBN 978-80-247-2429-4
KUDLÁČOVÁ, B. (2003). Človek a výchova v dejinách európskeho myslenia. Trnava: PF TU, 168 s. ISBN 80-89074-66-9
PORUBSKÝ, Š. (2012). Úryvky z dejín pedagogického myslenia. Banská Bystrica: PF UMB, 110 s. ISBN: 978-80-557-0433-3
PŠENÁK, J. (2012). Dejiny školstva a pedagogiky. Žilina: EDIS-vydavateľstvo ŽUŽ, 167 s. ISBN 978-80-554-0596-4
ŠMAHELOVÁ, B. (2008). Nástin vývoje pedagogického myšlení. Brno: MSD, spol. s r.o., 98 s. ISBN 978-80-7392-040-1.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 335

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
51,94	0,0	12,84	11,34	6,87	9,85	7,16

Lecturers: Ing. Mgr. Jozef Strakoš, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde014/22	History of philosophy 1

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an assessment, the midterm/final assessment ratio is 100/0, which includes a final test in the assessment week of the semester (100% of the assessment). A minimum of 60% of the total mark is required to pass the course.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in class during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing assignments using a model, above-average professional knowledge and application of that knowledge in problem solving assignments using tutorials, above-average problem orientation, above-average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above-average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to prepare assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the teacher, good orientation in the subject, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in the class during the semester at the invitation of the teacher.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the

instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the problem, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in class during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Completion of the course will provide students with a certain handle on orientation in the nowadays almost opaque tangle of diverse philosophical directions, movements, orientations and attitudes, will provide them with basic categorical-conceptual equipment and methodological instrumentation useful in other special sciences and disciplines (sociology, pedagogy, political science, psychology, etc.), which will help to bridge their particularism in favour of a global vision and solutions to the problems of the contemporary world. Knowledge of the approaches of different philosophical trends to certain problems will facilitate the students' professional and human orientation in the contemporary world, since many pedagogical, economic, social, legal and political concepts are based on these philosophical trends as their conceptual framework. The course also emphasizes the mapping of these overlaps of philosophy into other components of culture. The problem field thus delineated will help the students to reflect and specify their own position and provide arguments for the vindication of their own positions, thus contributing to better self-knowledge and self-identification. History of Philosophy provides students with the experience of encountering the "other", giving guidance and cultivating habits of coping with it, and thus teaching them tolerance and respect for other points of view. By completing the course, the student develops transferable competencies such as communication skills, analytical skills, abstract thinking skills, critical thinking skills, motivation and the ability to learn, and reasoning in context.
Learning objectives and transferable competences:
To familiarize students with the history of European philosophical thought and to provide them with a basic conceptual and methodological apparatus that they will be able to use in other special sciences such as pedagogy, political science, psychology, or sociology.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
History of Philosophy I focuses on the period from Antiquity to the French Enlightenment.
1. Introduction: philosophy and its importance for man; The study of the history of philosophy as an introduction to philosophy; Periodization of the history of philosophy.
2. Ancient Philosophy: the Pre-Socratics (Ionian Philosophy - School of Miletus, Xenophanes, Heracleitos; Philosophy in the West - Pythagoras, Parmenides, Zeno, Empedocles; Reaction of Ionian Philosophy - Anaxagoras, Democritus); Classical Greek Philosophy (Sophists, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle); Hellenistic Period (Stoicism, Epicureanism, Skepticism).
3. Medieval Philosophy: Patristics (Augustine); Early Scholasticism (Eriugena, Anselm of Canterbury, Abaelard); High Scholasticism (Albert the Great, Aquinas, Bonaventure, R. Bacon); Late Scholasticism (Occam, Scotus)
4. Renaissance philosophy: M. Kuzansky; Renaissance Platonism and Aristotelianism (Ficinus, Mirandola, Pompanazzi); Renaissance natural science (Copernicus, Kepler, Galilei)

Renaissance philosophy of nature (Telesio, Bruno); Renaissance social philosophy (Machiavelli, More, Campanella); Renaissance humanism (Erasmus of Rotterdam, Montaigne); Reformation (Luther, Calvin, Münzer, Zwingli)
5. Modern Philosophy: Origins of Modern Empiricism and Mechanistic Materialism (F. Bacon, Hobbes); Modern Rationalism (Descartes, Spinoza, Leibniz); Modern Empiricism (Locke, Berkley, Hume)
6. The Enlightenment in France (Montesquieu, Voltaire, Rousseau, the Encyclopedists, Materialists)

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:
LEŠKO, V., MIHINA, F. (1993). Dejiny filozofie. Bratislava: Iris, 357 s., ISBN 80-967013-3-9
STORIG, H. J. (2007). Malé dějiny filosofie. Kostelní Vydří: KNA, 653 s. ISBN 978-80-7195-206-0 https://amper.ped.muni.cz/~jonas/umco/St%c3%b6rig-H.---Mal%c3%a9-d%c4%9bjiny-filosofie.pdf .
Dějiny filosofie zv. 1-9. Praha: Oikoymenh, 2001 – 2020.
Antológia z diel filozofov - Predsokratovci a Platón. Bratislava: IRIS, 607 s. 1998.
Antológia z diel filozofov - Od Aristotela po Plotina. Bratislava: IRIS, 600 s. 2007.
Antológia z diel filozofov - Patristika a scholastika. Bratislava: Dobrá kniha, 472 s. 2009.
Antológia z diel filozofov - Humanizmus a renesancia. Bratislava: IRIS, 560 s. 2009.
Antológia z diel filozofov V. Novoveká empirická a osvietenská filozofia. Bratislava: VPL, 539 s. 1976.Antológia z diel filozofov VI. Novoveká racionalistická filozofia. Bratislava: Epocha, 784 s. 1970.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 581

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
36,83	0,0	30,46	13,08	7,06	4,99	7,57

Lecturers: Mgr. Michal Bizoň, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde014/22	History of philosophy 1

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an assessment, the midterm/final assessment ratio is 100/0, which includes a final test in the assessment week of the semester (100% of the assessment). A minimum of 60% of the total mark is required to pass the course.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in class during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing assignments using a model, above-average professional knowledge and application of that knowledge in problem solving assignments using tutorials, above-average problem orientation, above-average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above-average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to prepare assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the teacher, good orientation in the subject, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in the class during the semester at the invitation of the teacher.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the

instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the problem, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in class during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Completion of the course will provide students with a certain handle on orientation in the nowadays almost opaque tangle of diverse philosophical directions, movements, orientations and attitudes, will provide them with basic categorical-conceptual equipment and methodological instrumentation useful in other special sciences and disciplines (sociology, pedagogy, political science, psychology, etc.), which will help to bridge their particularism in favour of a global vision and solutions to the problems of the contemporary world. Knowledge of the approaches of different philosophical trends to certain problems will facilitate the students' professional and human orientation in the contemporary world, since many pedagogical, economic, social, legal and political concepts are based on these philosophical trends as their conceptual framework. The course also emphasizes the mapping of these overlaps of philosophy into other components of culture. The problem field thus delineated will help the students to reflect and specify their own position and provide arguments for the vindication of their own positions, thus contributing to better self-knowledge and self-identification. History of Philosophy provides students with the experience of encountering the "other", giving guidance and cultivating habits of coping with it, and thus teaching them tolerance and respect for other points of view. By completing the course, the student develops transferable competencies such as communication skills, analytical skills, abstract thinking skills, critical thinking skills, motivation and the ability to learn, and reasoning in context.
Learning objectives and transferable competences:
To familiarize students with the history of European philosophical thought and to provide them with a basic conceptual and methodological apparatus that they will be able to use in other special sciences such as pedagogy, political science, psychology, or sociology.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
History of Philosophy I focuses on the period from Antiquity to the French Enlightenment.
1. Introduction: philosophy and its importance for man; The study of the history of philosophy as an introduction to philosophy; Periodization of the history of philosophy.
2. Ancient Philosophy: the Pre-Socratics (Ionian Philosophy - School of Miletus, Xenophanes, Heracleitos; Philosophy in the West - Pythagoras, Parmenides, Zeno, Empedocles; Reaction of Ionian Philosophy - Anaxagoras, Democritus); Classical Greek Philosophy (Sophists, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle); Hellenistic Period (Stoicism, Epicureanism, Skepticism).
3. Medieval Philosophy: Patristics (Augustine); Early Scholasticism (Eriugena, Anselm of Canterbury, Abaelard); High Scholasticism (Albert the Great, Aquinas, Bonaventure, R. Bacon); Late Scholasticism (Occam, Scotus)
4. Renaissance philosophy: M. Kuzansky; Renaissance Platonism and Aristotelianism (Ficinus, Mirandola, Pompanazzi); Renaissance natural science (Copernicus, Kepler, Galilei)

Renaissance philosophy of nature (Telesio, Bruno); Renaissance social philosophy (Machiavelli, More, Campanella); Renaissance humanism (Erasmus of Rotterdam, Montaigne); Reformation (Luther, Calvin, Münzer, Zwingli)
5. Modern Philosophy: Origins of Modern Empiricism and Mechanistic Materialism (F. Bacon, Hobbes); Modern Rationalism (Descartes, Spinoza, Leibniz); Modern Empiricism (Locke, Berkley, Hume)
6. The Enlightenment in France (Montesquieu, Voltaire, Rousseau, the Encyclopedists, Materialists)

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:
LEŠKO, V., MIHINA, F. (1993). Dejiny filozofie. Bratislava: Iris, 357 s., ISBN 80-967013-3-9
STORIG, H. J. (2007). Malé dějiny filosofie. Kostelní Vydří: KNA, 653 s. ISBN 978-80-7195-206-0 https://amper.ped.muni.cz/~jonas/umco/St%c3%b6rig-H.---Mal%c3%a9-d%c4%9bjiny-filosofie.pdf .
Dějiny filosofie zv. 1-9. Praha: Oikoymenh, 2001 – 2020.
Antológia z diel filozofov - Predsokratovci a Platón. Bratislava: IRIS, 607 s. 1998.
Antológia z diel filozofov - Od Aristotela po Plotina. Bratislava: IRIS, 600 s. 2007.
Antológia z diel filozofov - Patristika a scholastika. Bratislava: Dobrá kniha, 472 s. 2009.
Antológia z diel filozofov - Humanizmus a renesancia. Bratislava: IRIS, 560 s. 2009.
Antológia z diel filozofov V. Novoveká empirická a osvietenská filozofia. Bratislava: VPL, 539 s. 1976.Antológia z diel filozofov VI. Novoveká racionalistická filozofia. Bratislava: Epocha, 784 s. 1970.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 581

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
36,83	0,0	30,46	13,08	7,06	4,99	7,57

Lecturers: Mgr. Michal Bizoň, PhD., PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde015/15	History of philosophy 2

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 90

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
35,56	0,0	34,44	15,56	5,56	4,44	4,44

Lecturers: PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.

Last change: 03.09.2016

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde015/15	History of philosophy 2

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 90

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
35,56	0,0	34,44	15,56	5,56	4,44	4,44

Lecturers: PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.

Last change: 03.09.2016

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde003/22	History of schooling and education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture + seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 5

Recommended semester: 2.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an examination. The weighting of the interim and final assessment is 50/50.
Interim assessment (50%) consists of an assessment of 10 assignments completed by students. The solution of each task is assessed on a 2-0 point scale according to the specific criteria set for each task. The maximum possible number of points obtained in the interim assessment is 20.
The final grade (50%) consists of an assessment of the following knowledge and competencies demonstrated by the student in the oral examination: The student's ability to use professional terminology (assessed on a 2-0 point scale), to define the subject and describe the system of the history of education (2-0), to explain the context of the emergence and the main features of the historical currents of educational thought (4-0), to describe and compare the systems of education in different historical periods (6-0), to explain the views and characterize the work of significant figures in the history of education, to critically evaluate them and to identify their influence on education and education today (6-0). The maximum possible marks in the continuous assessment are 20.
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (24 points).
The overall grade is awarded on a grading scale:
A (40-37 points) - excellent (outstanding results),
B (36-33 points) - very good (above average standard),
C (32-30 points) - good (average results),
D (29-27 points) - satisfactory (acceptable results),
E (26-24 points) - sufficient (results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (23-0 points) - underperforming (unacceptable results).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation

in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Upon completion of the course, students will be able to use professional terminology, define the subject, and describe the system of the history of pedagogy. They are able to explain the context of the emergence and the main features of the historical currents of educational thought, describe and compare the systems of education and training in different historical periods, explain the views and characterize the work of important figures in the history of education and training, critically evaluate them and identify their influence on education and training today.
Transferable competences: working with original historical sources and their contemporary interpretations, students will be able to critically reflect and discuss ethical issues of education and education, the status and roles of the subjects of education and education. In solving, presenting and discussing the results of ongoing assignments, students will develop their analytical and critical thinking, communication, lecturing and metacognitive skills.
Students will be able to use the acquired knowledge and competences creatively in the study of other pedagogical disciplines and in their future pedagogical practice.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
The subject, terminology and system of the history of pedagogy. Education and education in ancient and medieval times. Education and pedagogical ideas in the period of humanism and the Renaissance. Life, work and pedagogical views of J. A. Comenius. The development of education and pedagogical thinking in the works of selected representatives in the world (Lock. Rousseau, Pestalozzi, Herbart, Tolstoy) and in the territory of present-day Slovakia (Bel, Tešedík, Fándly, Lehocký) in the 17th-19th centuries. Pedagogical trends and schools of the 20th century in the world. Education in Slovakia in the 20th century. Contemporary trends in education.

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
BRŤKOVÁ, M., et al. Kapitoly z dejín pedagogiky. Bratislava: Pressent 2000.
JŮVA,V. jun., JŮVA, V. sen. Stručné dějiny pedagogiky. Brno: Paido 2003.
KASPER, T, KASPEROVÁ, D. Dějiny pedagogiky. Praha: Grada. 2008.
KUDLÁČOVÁ, B. Človek a výchova v dejinách európskeho myslenia. Trnava: PF TU, 2007.
PORUBSKÝ, Š. Úryvky z dejín pedagogického myslenia. Banská Bystrica: PF UMB, 2012.
PŠENÁK, J. Dejiny školstva a pedagogiky. Žilina: EDIS-vydavateľstvo ŽUŽ 2012.
ŠMAHELOVÁ, B. Nástin vývoje pedagogického myšlení. Brno: MSD, spol. s r.o., 2008

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 160

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
32,5	0,0	23,13	16,88	10,0	5,0	12,5

Lecturers: Ing. Mgr. Jozef Strakoš, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde032/22	Inclusive Learning and Pluralistic Approaches to Languages

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week in the form of a seminar (22 hours in total)
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
11 x 2 hours of in-person teaching = 22 hours
11 x 1.5 hour of continuous home preparation for teaching, self-study, critical analysis of scientific and scientific literature, preparation of suggestions for discussion and short presentation, completion of the diary of the learner = 16.5 hours
1 x 12.5 hours preparation of model lessons
Teaching methods:
short presentations, brainstorming, interview, group discussion, modelling

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Interim evaluation:
Students will prepare for each seminar by studying selected articles and chapters from the recommended literature so that they can actively participate in the discussion, critically analyze the didactic implications of the studies. They will prepare short presentations, suggestions for discussion, reflections on the connection of theory and practice on the basis of model situations. Their work on individual seminars will be evaluated on the basis of self-reflection through the diary of the learner, who will be submitted after each seminar via an online form, and feedback from the teacher (80% of the evaluation). Once during the semester, students will propose the concept of an inclusive approach based on the model situation. The material is analyzed and evaluated by the participants of the seminar together with the instructor (20% of the evaluation).
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
Educational objectives: to provide an introduction to the theme of inclusive language learning, to analyze selected studies and the current state of knowledge on inclusive language learning, to discuss the didactic implications of this knowledge in the context of the teaching of Romance languages in Slovakia. Enable graduates to develop their own didactic tools, based on current knowledge of inclusive language learning, to support all learners in developing their communication and language competences.
Learning outcomes: Based on theoretical knowledge and practical experience gained in this seminar, graduates are able to create an inclusive learning environment in which all learners have equal opportunities to learn and use their individual abilities; exploit the educational potential of linguistic diversity; have a basic overview of the challenges and opportunities for inclusive language learning.

Class syllabus:
Block 1: introduction to the theme of linguistic diversity and inclusive language learning; Visibility and appreciation of individual repertoire of pluralistic linguistic and cultural resources (language biographies, language portraits, language passport, etc.);
Block 2: Creation of plurilingual and intercultural competence and trassive competence. Creation of curricula for pluriling and intercultural education.
Block 3: Languaging and translanguaging as a pedagogical tool: researches and experiences from different countries and their implications for the teaching of Romanesque languages in Slovakia;
Block 4: Teaching minority or regional languages.
Block 5: Impact of inclusive language learning on the linguistic engagement and autonomy of the learner. Discussion of selected researches.

Recommended literature:
BEACCO, J.-C.; BRYAM, M.; CAVALLI, M.; COSTE, D.C.; CUENAT, M.E.; GOULLIER, F.; PANTHIER, J. 2013. A guide to the creation and implementation of pluriling and intercultural curricula. Bratislava: State Pedagogical Institute.
EUROPEAN COMMISSION/EACEA/Eurydice, 2019. Teaching regional or minority languages in European schools. Eurydice study. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
LITTLE, D. KIRWAN, D. 2013. Engaging with linguistic diversity. A study of educational inclusion in an Irish primary school. London/New York: Bloomsbury.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language and English (passive)

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: Mgr. Zuzana Tóth, Dr.phil

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde032/22	**Course title:** Inclusive Learning and Pluralistic Approaches to Languages

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week in the form of a seminar (22 hours in total)
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
11 x 2 hours of in-person teaching = 22 hours
11 x 1.5 hour of continuous home preparation for teaching, self-study, critical analysis of scientific and scientific literature, preparation of suggestions for discussion and short presentation, completion of the diary of the learner = 16.5 hours
1 x 12.5 hours preparation of model lessons
Teaching methods:
short presentations, brainstorming, interview, group discussion, modelling

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Interim evaluation:
Students will prepare for each seminar by studying selected articles and chapters from the recommended literature so that they can actively participate in the discussion, critically analyze the didactic implications of the studies. They will prepare short presentations, suggestions for discussion, reflections on the connection of theory and practice on the basis of model situations. Their work on individual seminars will be evaluated on the basis of self-reflection through the diary of the learner, who will be submitted after each seminar via an online form, and feedback from the teacher (80% of the evaluation). Once during the semester, students will propose the concept of an inclusive approach based on the model situation. The material is analyzed and evaluated by the participants of the seminar together with the instructor (20% of the evaluation).
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
Educational objectives: to provide an introduction to the theme of inclusive language learning, to analyze selected studies and the current state of knowledge on inclusive language learning, to discuss the didactic implications of this knowledge in the context of the teaching of Romance languages in Slovakia. Enable graduates to develop their own didactic tools, based on current knowledge of inclusive language learning, to support all learners in developing their communication and language competences.
Learning outcomes: Based on theoretical knowledge and practical experience gained in this seminar, graduates are able to create an inclusive learning environment in which all learners have equal opportunities to learn and use their individual abilities; exploit the educational potential of linguistic diversity; have a basic overview of the challenges and opportunities for inclusive language learning.

Class syllabus:
Block 1: introduction to the theme of linguistic diversity and inclusive language learning; Visibility and appreciation of individual repertoire of pluralistic linguistic and cultural resources (language biographies, language portraits, language passport, etc.);
Block 2: Creation of plurilingual and intercultural competence and trassive competence. Creation of curricula for pluriling and intercultural education.
Block 3: Languaging and translanguaging as a pedagogical tool: researches and experiences from different countries and their implications for the teaching of Romanesque languages in Slovakia;
Block 4: Teaching minority or regional languages.
Block 5: Impact of inclusive language learning on the linguistic engagement and autonomy of the learner. Discussion of selected researches.

Recommended literature:
BEACCO, J.-C.; BRYAM, M.; CAVALLI, M.; COSTE, D.C.; CUENAT, M.E.; GOULLIER, F.; PANTHIER, J. 2013. A guide to the creation and implementation of pluriling and intercultural curricula. Bratislava: State Pedagogical Institute.
EUROPEAN COMMISSION/EACEA/Eurydice, 2019. Teaching regional or minority languages in European schools. Eurydice study. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
LITTLE, D. KIRWAN, D. 2013. Engaging with linguistic diversity. A study of educational inclusion in an Irish primary school. London/New York: Bloomsbury.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language and English (passive)

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: Mgr. Zuzana Tóth, Dr.phil

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde016/22	Information Technologies in Foreign Language Teaching 1.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week seminar, total per semester 22 hours
Teaching method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 75 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of seminar work and preparation of its presentation = 28 hours; preparation of interim tasks = 25 hours
Teaching methods:
interpretation, discussion, independent work of students on sub-tasks, semester project and its presentation to classmates, e-learning (selected topics)

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: active participation and completion of classroom tasks
35 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
35 points: elaboration of seminar work and presentation to classmates
The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is the development of digital competence with a focus on the field of language work and learning or teaching Italian as a foreign language.

The student develops digital skills in finding information and working with electronic language resources for active learning using information technology and for the conception of final works in a foreign language. He will be familiar with the ethical aspects and principles of occupational safety in the online environment when teaching languages.
It will also deepen its ability to solve problems independently, as well as work in the team.

Class syllabus:
• Use of information technology in the learning/teaching of foreign languages in the attendance and distance form of teaching.
• Preparation of foreign-language documents in Romance languages. Basic electronic language resources.
• The Internet as a source of didactic material.
• Educational portals for Romance language students.
• Web 2.0 tools.
• Selected educational programs focusing on Romance languages and current trends in foreign language teaching.
• Working with electronic dictionaries.
• Basics of work with corpus, the most important corpus for Slovak language and Romance languages.
• Translation programs and their use.
• Current trends in information and communication technologies and their use for Romance language students and foreign language teachers: social networks, microblogs, blogs, vlogs, youtube, the latest applications.
• Ethical aspects and principles of occupational safety in the online environment.

Recommended literature:
MALOVECKÝ, M. 2011. Informačné technológie a umelecký preklad. Prešov: Vydavateľstvo Michala Vaška. 2011. 147 s. ISBN: 9788071658429. (selected parts)
MEDVECZKÁ, M. La web 2.0 en la enseñanza de ELE. In: Actas del 17 Encuentro de profesores de español de Eslovaquia. Bratislava: Embajada de España en Eslovaquia, 2014. ISBN 978-80-971962-0-2. s. 2-12- Dostupné na: www.mecd.gob.es/eslovaquia/dms/consejerias-exteriores/eslovaquia/publicaciones/publicaciones/ACTASXVIIENCUENTRO.pdf
TRUJILLO, F. S., – SALVADORES, C. M. 2019. Tecnología para la enseñanza y el aprendizaje de lenguas extranjeras: revisión de la literatura. In: RIED. Revista Iberoamericana de Educación a Distancia, 22(1), s. 153-169. Dostupné na: https://fernandotrujillo.es/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/22257-49096-1-PB.pdf
UNESCO. 2019. Marco de competencias de los docentes en materia de TIC UNESCO. 63 s. ISBN: 978-92-3-300121-3. Dostupné na: https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000371024 (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak, Spanish (passive knowledge)

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 27

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
77,78	0,0	14,81	0,0	0,0	0,0	7,41

Lecturers: Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde016/22	Information Technologies in Foreign Language Teaching 1.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week seminar, total per semester 22 hours
Teaching method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 75 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of seminar work and preparation of its presentation = 28 hours; preparation of interim tasks = 25 hours
Teaching methods:
interpretation, discussion, independent work of students on sub-tasks, semester project and its presentation to classmates, e-learning (selected topics)

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: active participation and completion of classroom tasks
35 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
35 points: elaboration of seminar work and presentation to classmates
The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is the development of digital competence with a focus on the field of language work and learning or teaching Italian as a foreign language.

The student develops digital skills in finding information and working with electronic language resources for active learning using information technology and for the conception of final works in a foreign language. He will be familiar with the ethical aspects and principles of occupational safety in the online environment when teaching languages.
It will also deepen its ability to solve problems independently, as well as work in the team.

Class syllabus:
• Use of information technology in the learning/teaching of foreign languages in the attendance and distance form of teaching.
• Preparation of foreign-language documents in Romance languages. Basic electronic language resources.
• The Internet as a source of didactic material.
• Educational portals for Romance language students.
• Web 2.0 tools.
• Selected educational programs focusing on Romance languages and current trends in foreign language teaching.
• Working with electronic dictionaries.
• Basics of work with corpus, the most important corpus for Slovak language and Romance languages.
• Translation programs and their use.
• Current trends in information and communication technologies and their use for Romance language students and foreign language teachers: social networks, microblogs, blogs, vlogs, youtube, the latest applications.
• Ethical aspects and principles of occupational safety in the online environment.

Recommended literature:
MALOVECKÝ, M. 2011. Informačné technológie a umelecký preklad. Prešov: Vydavateľstvo Michala Vaška. 2011. 147 s. ISBN: 9788071658429. (selected parts)
MEDVECZKÁ, M. La web 2.0 en la enseñanza de ELE. In: Actas del 17 Encuentro de profesores de español de Eslovaquia. Bratislava: Embajada de España en Eslovaquia, 2014. ISBN 978-80-971962-0-2. s. 2-12- Dostupné na: www.mecd.gob.es/eslovaquia/dms/consejerias-exteriores/eslovaquia/publicaciones/publicaciones/ACTASXVIIENCUENTRO.pdf
TRUJILLO, F. S., – SALVADORES, C. M. 2019. Tecnología para la enseñanza y el aprendizaje de lenguas extranjeras: revisión de la literatura. In: RIED. Revista Iberoamericana de Educación a Distancia, 22(1), s. 153-169. Dostupné na: https://fernandotrujillo.es/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/22257-49096-1-PB.pdf
UNESCO. 2019. Marco de competencias de los docentes en materia de TIC UNESCO. 63 s. ISBN: 978-92-3-300121-3. Dostupné na: https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000371024 (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak, Spanish (passive knowledge)

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 27

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
77,78	0,0	14,81	0,0	0,0	0,0	7,41

Lecturers: PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde019/22	Information Technologies in Foreign Language Teaching 2.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week seminar, total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 75 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; seminar work and preparation of its presentation = 28 hours; preparation of ongoing tasks = 25 hours
Teaching methods:
interpretation, discussion, independent work of students on sub-tasks, semester project and its presentation to classmates

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: active participation and completion of classroom tasks
35 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
35 points: elaboration of seminar work and presentation to classmates
The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to deepen digital competence with a focus on specific programmes and applications for working with the language, learning or teaching foreign languages.

The student develops digital skills when working with specialized electronic language resources for active learning using information technology. He/she will deepen his/her knowledge of educational and translation strategies using computer programs. It will learn to use selected tools in the organization of its education, as well as in the preparation of didactic activities and evaluation of learners. He/she will also deepen his/her ability to analyze the problem, solve problems independently as well as work in the team.

Class syllabus:

• Specialised electronic language resources for the needs of the foreign language learner and teacher.
• Working with computer dictionaries, lexical and terminological databases and their didactic use.
• Working with different types of corpuses – monolingual, multilingual, general, special, synchronous, diachronous.
• Translation programs.
• Possibilities of using computer linguistics in the teaching of foreign languages.
• Latest computer or smartphone applications for learning foreign languages

Recommended literature:

MALOVECKÝ, M. 2011. Informačné technológie a umelecký preklad. Prešov: Vydavateľstvo. Michala Vaška. 2011. 147 s. ISBN: 9788071658429. (selected parts)
LERA, M., MCLOUGHLIN, D., MYNARD, J. 2024. Risorse per l'apprendimento autodiretto dell'italiano. Studies in Self-Access Learning Journal, 15(2), 279–300. https://sisaljournal.org/volume-15/jun24/lera_et_al_italiano/?utm_source=chatgpt.com
RODRÍGUEZ ORGAZ, C. 2018. Un análisis de aplicaciones móviles para el aprendizaje autónomo de ELE. In: Revista Electrónica del Lenguaje, nº 5, 2018. Dostupné na: https://www.revistaelectronicalenguaje.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/2018-vol5-11.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language, Spanish or Italian language (passive)

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde019/22	Information Technologies in Foreign Language Teaching 2.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week seminar, total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 75 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; seminar work and preparation of its presentation = 28 hours; preparation of ongoing tasks = 25 hours
Teaching methods:
interpretation, discussion, independent work of students on sub-tasks, semester project and its presentation to classmates

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: active participation and completion of classroom tasks
35 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
35 points: elaboration of seminar work and presentation to classmates
The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to deepen digital competence with a focus on specific programmes and applications for working with the language, learning or teaching foreign languages.

The student develops digital skills when working with specialized electronic language resources for active learning using information technology. He/she will deepen his/her knowledge of educational and translation strategies using computer programs. It will learn to use selected tools in the organization of its education, as well as in the preparation of didactic activities and evaluation of learners. He/she will also deepen his/her ability to analyze the problem, solve problems independently as well as work in the team.

Class syllabus:

• Specialised electronic language resources for the needs of the foreign language learner and teacher.
• Working with computer dictionaries, lexical and terminological databases and their didactic use.
• Working with different types of corpuses – monolingual, multilingual, general, special, synchronous, diachronous.
• Translation programs.
• Possibilities of using computer linguistics in the teaching of foreign languages.
• Latest computer or smartphone applications for learning foreign languages

Recommended literature:

MALOVECKÝ, M. 2011. Informačné technológie a umelecký preklad. Prešov: Vydavateľstvo. Michala Vaška. 2011. 147 s. ISBN: 9788071658429. (selected parts)
LERA, M., MCLOUGHLIN, D., MYNARD, J. 2024. Risorse per l'apprendimento autodiretto dell'italiano. Studies in Self-Access Learning Journal, 15(2), 279–300. https://sisaljournal.org/volume-15/jun24/lera_et_al_italiano/?utm_source=chatgpt.com
RODRÍGUEZ ORGAZ, C. 2018. Un análisis de aplicaciones móviles para el aprendizaje autónomo de ELE. In: Revista Electrónica del Lenguaje, nº 5, 2018. Dostupné na: https://www.revistaelectronicalenguaje.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/2018-vol5-11.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak language, Spanish or Italian language (passive)

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde035/22	Intercultural Communication

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: seminar 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 75 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of seminar work and preparation of its presentation = 15 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks = 22 hours; self-study = 16 hours
Teaching methods:
interpretation, discussion, presentation of students, work in a large group, work in groups, independent work, managed self-study

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
25 points: active participation and completion classroom tasks
25 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
25 points: elaboration of seminar work and presentation to classmates
25 points: written verification of foreground
Attendance at the class is compulsory. The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the subject.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to learn about the basics of intercultural communication and to deepen intercultural competence.
After successful completion of the course, the student will know and understand the basic concepts and theoretical starting points of intercultural communication as the subject of scientific theory and research. He/she will deepen intercultural competence, adaptability, critical thinking, communicative and social capabilities and the ability to build relationships with members of other cultures.

Class syllabus:
The starting points for exploring intercultural communication.
Culture and its types.
Language as the basis for intercultural communication.
Research and their results carried out in the field of intercultural communication.
Intercultural communication in different professions.
Intercultural competence.

Recommended literature:
ĎURIGOVÁ, N. 2005. Medzikultúrna komunikácia, Bratislava: PEEM, 2004, 154 p. ISBN: 80-89197-18-3
PRŮCHA, J. 2010. Interkulturní komunikace. Praha: Grada, 2010. 200 s. ISBN: 978-80-247-3069-1.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 20

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
40,0	0,0	35,0	5,0	10,0	0,0	10,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Zuzana Tóth, Dr.phil, PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD.

Last change: 25.08.2023

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde035/22	Intercultural Communication

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: seminar 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 75 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of seminar work and preparation of its presentation = 15 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks = 22 hours; self-study = 16 hours
Teaching methods:
interpretation, discussion, presentation of students, work in a large group, work in groups, independent work, managed self-study

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
25 points: active participation and completion classroom tasks
25 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
25 points: elaboration of seminar work and presentation to classmates
25 points: written verification of foreground
Attendance at the class is compulsory. The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the subject.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to learn about the basics of intercultural communication and to deepen intercultural competence.
After successful completion of the course, the student will know and understand the basic concepts and theoretical starting points of intercultural communication as the subject of scientific theory and research. He/she will deepen intercultural competence, adaptability, critical thinking, communicative and social capabilities and the ability to build relationships with members of other cultures.

Class syllabus:
The starting points for exploring intercultural communication.
Culture and its types.
Language as the basis for intercultural communication.
Research and their results carried out in the field of intercultural communication.
Intercultural communication in different professions.
Intercultural competence.

Recommended literature:
ĎURIGOVÁ, N. 2005. Medzikultúrna komunikácia, Bratislava: PEEM, 2004, 154 p. ISBN: 80-89197-18-3
PRŮCHA, J. 2010. Interkulturní komunikace. Praha: Grada, 2010. 200 s. ISBN: 978-80-247-3069-1.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 20

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
40,0	0,0	35,0	5,0	10,0	0,0	10,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Zuzana Tóth, Dr.phil

Last change: 25.08.2023

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde028/20	Internship A

Educational activities:
Type of activities: internship
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 10s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 16

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
93,75	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	6,25

Lecturers: Ing. Mgr. Jozef Strakoš, PhD., doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD.

Last change: 03.02.2021

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde028/20	Internship A

Educational activities:
Type of activities: internship
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 10s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 4.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 16

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
93,75	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	6,25

Lecturers: Ing. Mgr. Jozef Strakoš, PhD., doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD.

Last change: 03.02.2021

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde029/20	Internship B

Educational activities:
Type of activities: internship
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 10s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 2

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: Ing. Mgr. Jozef Strakoš, PhD., doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD.

Last change: 03.02.2021

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde029/20	Internship B

Educational activities:
Type of activities: internship
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 10s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 2

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: Ing. Mgr. Jozef Strakoš, PhD., doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD.

Last change: 03.02.2021

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde001/22	Introduction to Linguistics for Students of Romance Languages

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week lecture; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 75 hours
11 x 2 hours of in-person teaching = 22 hours of in-person teaching
22 x 1 continuous home preparation for teaching, self-study, critical analysis of selected articles and chapters from recommended literature, preparation for discussion and group work on lessons = 22 hours
1 x 10 preparation of presentation based on analyzed language data and studied literature
1 x 10 preparation of semester work based on presentation
1 x 11 Test preparation
Teaching methods:
lecture, interpretation, analysis of selected issues, interview, case studies, group discussion

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Interim and final evaluation:
Interim evaluation (50 % of the overall assessment of the course)
Students are continuously preparing for evaluation by studying the selected topics of recommended literature, so that they can actively participate in the analysis of selected issues, discussion and group work (discussion and group work activity represent 10% of the evaluation) and develop their mini semester project during the semester. During the semester, students carry out their semester project on a specified topic (they analyze a selected part of the specialized literature, develop the theoretical and methodological background of the project) and present it in colloquial form (10 % of the overall evaluation) and in the form of semester work (30 % of the overall evaluation). As a rule, the semester work is handed over by the student until the end of continuous training in the semester.
Final evaluation (50 % of the overall assessment of the course) in the form of a written examination of specified selected topics of recommended literature, which are the subject of in-person teaching and controlled self-study.
At least 60% of the overall assessment must be obtained to complete the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:

A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work is required).
The evaluation reflects the level of insight of the graduate in selected basic concepts and themes of linguistics, with the primary focus on the simultaneous synthesis of knowledge in linguistic science with a focus on Romance languages; the level of analytical and critical thinking and reasoning; the ability to apply theoretical knowledge to their professional experience; competence to use knowledge for their personal and professional development.
Excellent: The student focuses on an excellent level in basic concepts and themes of linguistics. Critically analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, compares their thesis, interprets their didactic implications and their importance for the teaching of Romance languages. In discussions, he/she can argue clearly and logically, support his/her arguments with knowledge gained during the study of the subject and use the relevant conceptual apparatus. He/she is able to design a semester project, which is characterized by a high degree of theoretical and methodological consistency, analyze the selected issue on the basis of linguistic methodology and present his/her research in colloquial and written form.
Very good: The student is oriented at a very good level in the basic concepts and themes of linguistics. He/she critically analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, can compare them with each other, interprets their didactic implications and their importance for the teaching of Romance languages. In discussions, he/she is usually able to argue clearly and logically. He/she can explain any ambiguities, and links his arguments to the knowledge acquired during the study of the subject. He/she is able to design a seminar project, which is characterized by rigorous processing, analyze the selected issue on the basis of linguistic methodologies and present his/her semester project in colloquial and written form.
Good: The student is orientated in basic concepts and themes of linguistics. He/she analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, compares them with each other, can mostly interpret their didactic implications and their importance for the teaching of Romance languages. In discussions, he/she is usually able to argue clearly and logically and is able to explain any ambiguities, although not alwaysusing the relevant conceptual apparatus. He/she can design a sufficiently high-quality semester project, anchored in a well-defined theoretical and methodological framework, although there are minor shortcomings, especially at the formal level, and present his/her semester project in both colloquial and written form.
Satisfactory: The student focuses on the basic concepts and themes of linguistics. He/she is less active in discussions, his/her arguments are partly based on general knowledge and his/her own experience, to a satisfactory extent they are linked to the knowledge acquired during the study of the subject. He/she is able to design a semester project anchored in the theoretical and methodological apparatus of linguistics, even if it presents shortcomings at a formal or logical level. He/she can analyze language data on the basis of linguistic methodologies, although the presentation of the semester project has formal shortcomings.
Sufficient: The student focuses on basic concepts and themes of linguistics. He/she is less active in discussions, his/her arguments are mostly based on general knowledge and his/her own experience, their connection with the knowledge acquired during the study of the subject is not always clear. He/she can design a research project anchored in the theoretical and methodological apparatus of linguistics, even if it presents shortcomings at a formal or logical level. The presentation of semester work has formal shortcomings.

Learning outcomes:

Educational objectives:
To develop graduates' knowledge of selected topics of general and Romance linguistic science, to obtain a basic overview of the most important personalities of linguistic science, to know the linguistic languages and their concepts of thinking about the language, the types of language classification, the origin of Romance languages, the Romance language area, the Romance languages from areal and typological perspective, the introduction to the comparative study of Romance languages, the subject of linguistics, the system and structure of the language, semiotics, the language sign, language dynamics, descriptive concepts, cognitive linguistics, theory of language functions, pragmatic and discouraging turnaround in linguistics. Use this knowledge in practice by designing and implementing semester work, which is oriented to the selected topic from linguistics in relation to the exploration of Romance languages.
Educational outcomes:
Acquisition of a basic overview of the concepts and concepts of linguistics from the point of view of its current synthesis. By deepening theoretical linguistic training, it allows the student to orientate better in practice. The future foreign language teacher is able to apply individual basic concepts in linguistics primarily from the point of view of exploring and studying Romance languages in relation to knowledge in linguistic science.

Class syllabus:
· Introduction to general and Romanesque linguistics.
· Basic overview of the most important personalities of linguistics, linguistic schools and their concepts of thinking about language.
· Types of language classification.
· The origin of Romance languages.
· Romance language area.
· Romance languages in terms of areal and typological classification.
· Introduction to the comparative study of Romance languages.
· The subject of linguistics.
· System and structure of language.
· Semiotics, language sign.
· Language dynamics.
· Descriptive concepts.
· Cognitive linguistics.
· Theory of language functions.
· A pragmatic and discourse turn in linguistics.

Recommended literature:
During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
BENVENISTE, E. 2019. Studie z obecné lingvistiky. Podhradský. 372 p. ISBN 978-80-7272-177-1.
ČERMÁK, F. 2017. Jazyk a jazykověda. Praha: Karolinum. 382 p. ISBN 978-80-246-1946-0.
ČERMÁK, F. 2017. Korpus a korpusová lingvistika. Praha: Karolinum. 268 p. ISBN 978-80-246-3710-5.
ČERMÁK, F. – BLATNÁ, R. 2008. Korpusová lingvistika: Stav a modelové přístupy. 1.vyd. Praha: Lidové noviny. 358 s. ISBN: 978-80-7106-861.
ČERMÁK, P. – NÁDVORNÍKOVÁ, O. 2020. Complex Words, Causatives, Verbal periphrases and the Gerund. Praha: Karolinum. 163 p. ISBN 9788024645544.
ČERMÁK, P. – KRATOCHVÍLOVÁ, D. – NÁDVORNÍKOVÁ, O. 2015. Románské jazyky a čeština ve světle paralelních korpusů. Praha: Karolinum, 320 s. ISBN 978-80-246-2872-1.

ČERNÝ, J. 2006. Dějiny lingvistiky. 1. vyd. Olomouc: Votobia. 517 p. ISBN 80-85885-96-4.
DOLNÍK, J. 1999. Základy lingvistiky 1. vyd. Bratislava: Incentive. 340 p. ISBN 80-85697-95-5.
DOLNÍK, J. 2009. Všeobecná jazykoveda. 1. vyd. Bratislava: Veda. 376 p. ISBN 978-80-224-1078-6.
GENZOR, J. 2015. Jazyky sveta. Bratislava: Lingea. 686 p. ISBN 9788081451140.
HAJIČOVÁ, E. 2018. Syntax – Semantics interface. Praha: Karolinum. 294 p. ISBN 978-80-246-3714-3.
KAČALA, J. 2021. Súčasný slovenský jazyk. Martin: Vydavateľstvo Matice slovenskej. 142 s. ISBN 9788081153105.
KEITH, A. 2010. The Western Classical Tradition in Linguistics. Sheffield: Equinox Publishing. 460 s. ISBN 9781845536657.
MALOVECKÝ, M. 2014. Lingvistické komponenty hľadania metódy v kontrastívnej jazykovede. Hľadanie metódy v kontrastívnej lingvistike [elektronický zdroj]. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. ISBN 978-80-223-3772-4. P. 12-32.
OSTRÁ, R. – SPITZOVÁ, E. 1995. Úvod do studia románských jazyků. Brno: Masarykova univerzita. 175 s. ISBN 8021012587.
PRICE, G. 2002. Encyklopedie jazyků Evropy. Praha: Volvox Globator. 510 s. ISBN 8072074504.
SAUSSURE, F. de. 1996. Kurs obecné lingvistiky. 1. vyd. Praha: Academia, 1996. 468 pp.
ŠABRŠULA, J. 1994. Úvod do srovnávacího studia románských jazyků. Ostrava: Ostravská univerzita. 251 p. ISBN 80-7042-407-9.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Czech language (passive knowledge), English language (passive knowledge)

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 220

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
34,09	0,0	30,45	11,82	8,18	5,0	10,45

Lecturers: prof. György Domokos, PhD., PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde002/22	Introduction to Literature Study for Students of Romance Languages

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week lecture; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: total of 75 hours of student work: 22 hours of in-person teaching, 20 hours continuous preparation for lessons; 13 hours preparation for interim evaluation; 20 hours preparation for exam
Teaching methods: interpretation, discussion of the topic discussed, solving problem tasks, exercises to apply theory into practice, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The resulting student assessment (100 %) will consist of an interim semester evaluation (50 %) and a final evaluation (50 %).
Interim score (50%-50 points):
points per activity per hour: a total of 20 points
2 written papers aimed at verifying theoretical knowledge and the ability of their practical application (2 x 15 points): a total of 30 points
Final score (50%-50 points):
oral examination
At least 60% of the scoring must be obtained in order to complete the course successfully.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)
The final evaluation reflects the student's level of insight into literary science and literature; the level of his/her linguistic and analytical thinking; level of skill to apply theoretical knowledge into practice.

A – excellent results, the student will submit written seminar papers in which he/she will demonstrate an excellent level of theoretical knowledge from the foundations of literary science and the ability to practically apply this knowledge to literary texts. The approach to processing the chosen issue is characterized by expertise, creativity and originality. The student demonstrates the ability to express himself/herself in terminological precision and demonstrates skills in the application of theoretical knowledge in the analysis of literary text. The seminar works are processed in a formal way and are characterized by linguistic cultivation. At the oral exam, the student demonstrates an excellent level of basic knowledge of literary science and the ability to professionally reflect literary text. In the answer, he/she will show insight, the ability to think critically, to argue and to connect knowledge from different areas of literary science.
B – results at the level of above average standard, the student submits written seminar papers in which he will show a good level of theoretical knowledge from the foundations of literary science and the ability to practically apply them to literary texts. The approach to processing the selected issue is characterized by expertise, the student is able to express himself/herself terminologically accurately and is able to apply theoretical knowledge in the analysis of literary text, but he/she does not have to show significant signs of creativity and originality in the processing of the chosen topic. Formally and linguistically, there may be some shortcomings at work. At the oral exam, the student demonstrates a very good level of basic knowledge of literary science and the ability to professionally reflect literary text. In the answer, he/she shows insight, the ability to think critically, but does not use reasoning and does not interconnect knowledge from different areas of literary science.
C – results at the level of reliable work, the student submits written seminar papers, in which he/she will demonstrate a good level of theoretical knowledge from the foundations of literary science and the ability of their practical application. The approach to processing the selected issue is characterized by expertise, but the student demonstrates insecurity in the use of terminology and less skills in the application of theoretical knowledge in the analysis of literary text. There may be formal and linguistic shortcomings at work. At the oral exam, the student demonstrates a good level of basic knowledge of literary science, but to a lesser extent he/she shows the ability to professionally reflect literary text.
D – acceptable results, the student submits seminar work processed at an acceptable level, have the character of expertise, but the handling of terminology and connection to the application part shows shortcomings and less connectivity. At the oral exam, the student proves that he/she has acquired knowledge at a satisfactory level, knows the basics of literary science and terminology, but has shortcomings in linking theoretical knowledge in the analysis of specific literary texts.
E – sufficient results, the student will submit seminar work processed at a sufficient level in terms of fulfilling the minimum criteria in terms of content and formal. In terms of content, work is characterized by a lower degree of expertise and connectivity to the application part. At the oral exam, the student demonstrates a basic insight into literary science issues, inaccuracy in the use of terminology and a weaker ability to link theoretical knowledge with application use in the analysis of literary text.
Fx – insufficient results, the student will submit seminar work at insufficient content and formal level, or will not hand them over within the specified deadline. The extent of the theoretical knowledge acquired is insufficient, which makes it impossible to apply them in the analysis of artistic text.

Learning outcomes:

From the educational objectives declared in the graduate profile, the lecture focuses on acquiring basic knowledge of literary science and acquiring skills and competences that are necessary for professional reading of literary text.
Educational outcomes:

The student will be able to orientate in the basics of literary science and in approaches to artistic text. He/she will learn the terminology of literary science and become familiar with its most important theoretical questions/problems. He/she acquires the necessary tools and learns the basics of their practical application in the analysis of literary text.

Class syllabus:

• Explanation of basic concepts and definition of basic issues of discipline: literature, artistic text, literary science, its components and links with linguistics, aesthetics, basic functions of literature, literature and reality, display, literature as composition, literature as a composition, literature as communication

• Literary types and their specific features in historical development, genres and genre forms, content and formal genre characters, genre syncretism

• Literary work: external factors (author and authorial intent and strategy, context, reader, reception)

• Literary work: internal factors (theme/value, structure, form, means of expression, language and style, tropics, links with other texts: intertextuality)

• Components of narrative text (fabula and sujet, character, space-time, narrator: types of narrator, narrative attitude and procedure). Selected models of analysis of narrative text.

• Poetry: verse, rhyme, strofa, selected solid forms

Recommended literature:

HARPÁŇ, M. 2004. Teória literatúry. Bratislava: Tigra, 2004.
MALINOVSKÁ, Z. 2016 Naratológia. In: Podoby literárnej vedy : teórie – metódy – smery. Bratislava: Veda, 2016. ISBN 978-80-224-1524-8. S. 205-219.
VALČEK, P. 2006. Slovník literárnej teórie. Bratislava: LIC, 2006.
WELLEK, R., WARREN, A. 1996. Teorie literatury. Olomouc: Votobia, 1996.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, Czech (passive knowledge)

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 112

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
42,86	0,0	25,0	12,5	8,04	6,25	5,36

Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Zuzana Malinovská, CSc., PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD., doc. Tivadar Palágyi, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde017/15	Introduction to gender studies

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 418

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
75,36	0,0	7,42	7,18	3,35	2,39	4,31

Lecturers: Mgr. Veronika Valkovičová, PhD.

Last change: 03.09.2016

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde017/15	Introduction to gender studies

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 418

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
75,36	0,0	7,42	7,18	3,35	2,39	4,31

Lecturers: Mgr. Veronika Valkovičová, PhD.

Last change: 03.09.2016

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde003/22	Introduction to the History and Culture of Spanish-speaking Countries

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture + seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 4 **per level/semester:** 52
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 4 hours per week lecture and seminar; total per semester 44 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
Total 100 hours of student work: in-person teaching = 44 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks and related study of literature = 24 hours; test preparation = 32 hours.
Teaching methods: interpretation, explanation, discussion of the topic discussed, work with professional text, managed self-study

Number of credits: 4

Recommended semester: 2.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be evaluated on the basis of:
(a) ongoing tasks (aimed at deepening knowledge, developing communication competence, presentation skills, working with professional literature) assigned during the semester, for which he can receive a total of 50 points;
(b) the final oral examination, for which he may receive 50 points. Credits will not be awarded to a student who earns less than 30 points from the final exam.
Evaluation of the oral examination:
• 45-50 points: the student is able to independently submit a comprehensive view of the selected topic of educational content, demonstrating, in addition to knowing the rich factual data, an understanding of the deeper contexts between them and being able to express his/her own opinion on partial questions; uses precise terminology when expressing
• 40-44 points: the student is able to provide a comprehensive view of the selected topic of educational content using the investigator's auxiliary questions, demonstrating, in addition to knowing the rich factual data, an understanding of the deeper context between them and being able to express his/her own opinion on partial questions; uses precise terminology when expressing
• 35-39 points: the student can provide a partial view of the selected topic of educational content, while in addition to knowing an appropriate amount of factual data, he/she will also demonstrate an understanding of the basic context between them; uses appropriate terminology when expressing

• 30-34 points: the student is able to provide a partial view of the selected topic of educational content using the investigator's auxiliary questions, demonstrating, in addition to knowing the basic factual data, the ability to point out the basic connections between them; uses appropriate terminology when expressing
• 20-29 points: the student cannot even use the investigator's help questions to give a partial view of the selected topic of educational content, the student knows the basic factual data and the basic connections between them, but does not use the appropriate terminology when expressing
• 10-19 points: the student is unable to give a partial view of the selected topic of educational content using the investigator's auxiliary questions, although he/she knows the basic factual data, but does not know the basic context between them, does not use appropriate terminology when expressing
• 0-9 points: even the student cannot give a partial view of the selected topic of educational content using the investigator's auxiliary questions, because he/she does not know the basic factual data or the basic connections between them, he does not use the appropriate terminology when expressing.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the subject.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good – above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory – acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient – the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The main objective of the subject is to acquire basic knowledge of the historical and cultural realities of Hispanophone countries and to develop the cultural and intercultural competence of future teachers.
Educational outcomes:
After completing the subject, the student
• can orientate in basic historical events and the development of culture in Spain and, in part, other Hispanophone countries from the earliest periods to the present day;
• explain the interrelationship between historical events and subsequent developments;
• is able to work with the professional text in Spanish at a basic level;
• has acquired a certain degree of competence of analytical and critical thinking;
• deepened your communication, cultural and intercultural competence, presentation skills, as well as the ability to plan your studies.

Class syllabus:
• The physical, humane and economic geography of Spain and Latin America.
• Prehistoric and antiquity on the Iberian Peninsula, historical events and cultural monuments.
• Middle Ages in the Iberian Peninsula – Al Ándalus, historical events, personalities and cultural manifestations.
• Medieval times in the Iberian Peninsula – Christian kingdoms, historical events, personalities and cultural expressions.
• Catholic kings as the beginning of a new historical stage, historical events, personalities and cultural expressions.
• Pre-Columbian cultures. The discovery and colonization of America.
• The Habsburgs on the Spanish throne. The status of the Spanish colonies, their culture and society during the reign of the Habsburgs.
• The golden age of Spanish culture.

• Bourbons on the Spanish throne. External and internal conflicts of Spain in the 19th century, historical events, personalities and cultural monuments. Independence of the Spanish colonies.
• Restaurant. The silver age of Spanish culture.
• The Spanish Civil War. Dictatorship. The transition to democracy. Historical events, personalities.

Recommended literature:

ROLDÁN, J.M. 2000. Historia de España. Madrid: Edelsa, 2000. 8a edic. 146 p. ISBN 84-85786-64-5.
MEDVECZKÁ, M. 2004. Two Faces of Socialism? : Czechoslovakia and Cuba. In: Mozaik Black and White. Roč. 13, č. 1 (2004), s. 12-14.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak, Spanish, English (passive)

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 95

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
21,05	0,0	27,37	21,05	3,16	17,89	9,47

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde013/22	Introduction to the Theory of Translation and Interpreting

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week seminar; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 75 hours
11 x 2 hours of in-person teaching = 22 hours of in-person teaching
11 x 2 continuous home preparation for lessons, self-study, critical analysis of selected articles and chapters from recommended literature, preparation for discussion and group work on lessons = 22 hours
1 x 15.5 preparation of the presentation based on analyzed language data and studied literature
1 x 15.5 preparation of seminar work based on presentation
Teaching methods:
short presentations of teachers and students, analysis of selected issues, interview, case studies, group discussion

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Interim evaluation:
Students will prepare for each seminar by studying selected topics of recommended literature so that they can actively participate in discussions and group work and develop a mini seminar project during the first part of the semester. Their work on individual seminars is evaluated on the basis of self-reflection and self-evaluation through the learner's diary, which they submit after each seminar via an online form, feedback from the teacher (33 % of the evaluation). During the semester, students carry out their seminar project (they analyze a selected part of the professional literature, develop the theoretical and methodological background of the project) and present it in colloquial form (33 % of the evaluation) and in the form of seminar work (33 % of the evaluation).
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work is required).
The final evaluation reflects the level of insight of the graduate in selected topics of translation and interpretation theory, with a primary focus on the current state of research in the discipline with special attention paid to translation pairs Romance language – Slovak language in both directions of translation and interpretation; the level of analytical and critical thinking and reasoning; the ability to apply theoretical knowledge to their professional experience; competence to use knowledge for their personal and professional development.
Excellent: The student is orientated on an excellent level in selected topics of translation and interpretation theory. It critically analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, compares their thesis, interprets their didactic implications and their importance for teaching and learning Romance languages. In discussions, it can argue clearly and logically, support its arguments with knowledge gained during the seminar and use the relevant conceptual apparatus. It is able to design a seminar project, which is characterized by a high degree of theoretical and methodological consistency, analyze the selected issue on the basis of methodologies of current theory of translation and interpretation, and present its research in colloquial and written form.
Very good: The student is orientated on a very good level in selected topics of translation and interpretation theory. Critically analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, can compare them with each other, interprets their didactic implications and their importance for teaching and learning Romance languages. In discussions, it is usually able to argue clearly and logically. He/she can explain any ambiguities and link his arguments with the knowledge gained during the seminar. It is able to design a seminar project, which is characterized by rigorous processing, analyze the selected issue based on the methodologies of the current theory of translation and interpretation and present its seminar project in colloquial and written form.
Good: The student focuses on selected topics of translation and interpretation theory. He/she analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, compares them with each other, can mostly interpret their didactic implications and their importance for teaching and learning Romance languages. In discussions, he/she is usually able to argue clearly and logically. He/she can explain any ambiguities, although not always and uses the relevant conceptual apparatus. He/she is able to design a sufficiently high-quality seminar project, anchored in a well-defined theoretical and methodological framework, even if there are minor shortcomings, especially at the formal level, and present its seminar project in both colloquial and written form.
Satisfactory: The student focuses on selected topics of translation and interpretation theory. He/she is less active in the discussions, his/her arguments are partly based on general knowledge and his own experience, to a satisfactory extent they are linked to the knowledge gained during the seminar. He/she is able to design a seminar project enshrined in the theoretical and methodological apparatus of the theory of translation and interpretation, even if there are shortcomings at a formal or logical level. He/she can analyze selected topics based on the methodologies of translation and interpretation theory, although the presentation of the seminar project has formal shortcomings.
Sufficient: The student focuses on selected topics of translation and interpretation theory. He/she is less active in discussions, his/her arguments are mostly based on general knowledge and his own experience, their connection with the knowledge gained during the seminar is not always clear. He/she can design a research project enshrined in the theoretical and methodological apparatus of translation and interpretation theory, even if it presents shortcomings at a formal or logical level. The presentation of the seminar work has formal shortcomings.

Learning outcomes:
Educational objectives:
develop graduates' knowledge of selected topics of translation and interpretation theory, taking into account theory and research devoted to different approaches in the theory of translation and interpretation in the context of didactics of foreign (Romance) languages, acquisition of basic

concepts of translation and interpretation theory, basic knowledge of the most important basic thesis and developmental directions of the history of the theory of translation and interpretation, familiarization with personalities of domestic and foreign study of selected topics of Slovak and world theory of translation and interpretation, contextualization of translation and its place in national literature and culture, translation and interpretation as a didactic tool in the teaching of Romance languages and software tools and didacticization of translation.
Use this knowledge in practice by designing and implementing seminar work that is oriented to themes of translation theory and interpretation relevant to Romance language teachers (e.g. use of knowledge on translation and interpreting in the didacticization of translation as a tool in teaching and learning foreign (Romance) languages, use of software tools, etc.).
Educational outcomes:
Acquisition of a basic overview of modern trends in discipline with particular regard to contemporary translatology and didactics of foreign languages. By deepening theoretical professional translatological training in relation to its targeted use to teach and learn a foreign (Romance) language, it allows the student to better orientate himself/herself in practice. The future foreign language teacher is able to take advantage of individual trends in the theory of translation and interpretation and the latest developments in the didactics of Romance languages.

Class syllabus:

· Theory of translation and interpretation in the context of didactics of foreign (Romance) languages
· Basic concepts of translation and interpretation theory
· History of translation and interpretation theory
· Important domestic and foreign theorists of translation and interpretation
· Selected topics of Slovak theory of translation and interpretation
· Selected topics of world translation and interpretation theory
· Translation and its place in national literature and culture
· Translation and interpretation as a didactic tool in Romance language teaching
· Software tools and didactization of translation

Recommended literature:

During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
BEDNÁROVÁ, K. 2013. Dejiny umeleckého prekladu na Slovensku I. Bratislava: Veda. 304 s. ISBN 9788022413480.
BEDNÁROVÁ, K. 2015. Rukoväť dejín prekladu na slovensku I. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. 74 s. ISBN 978-80-223-3837-0.
BEDNÁROVÁ, K. 2015. Rukoväť dejín prekladu na slovensku II. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. 66 s. ISBN 978-80-223-3838-7.
HRDINOVÁ, E. M. 2018. Překlad jako didaktický nástroj ve výuce cizích jazyků. Olomouc: Univerzita Palackého v Olomouci. 142 s. ISBN 9788024452470.
KOVAČIČOVÁ, O. – KUSÁ, M. 2015. Slovník slovenských prekladateľov umeleckej literatúry 20. storočie (A - K). Bratislava: Veda. 512 s. ISBN 97880224142809.
KOVAČIČOVÁ, O. – KUSÁ, M. 2017. Slovník slovenských prekladateľov umeleckej literatúry 20. storočie (L - Ž). Bratislava: Veda. 512 s. ISBN 9788022416177.
KUSÁ, M. – RONDZIKOVÁ, N. 2021. Preklad vo vedách o človeku a dialóg kultúr. Bratislava: Veda. 119 s. ISBN 9788022418737.
MALOVECKÝ, M. 2011. Informačné technológie a umelecký preklad. 1. vyd. Bratislava: Vydavateľstvo Michala Vaška. 148 s. ISBN 978-80-7165-842-9.
MOUNIN, G. 1999. Teoretické problémy překladu. Praha: Karolinum. 266 s. ISBN 807184733.

MÜGLOVÁ, D. 2018. Komunikácia, tlmočenie, preklad. Bratislava: Enigma. 440 s. ISBN 9788081330742.
VAJDOVÁ, L. 2014. Myslenie o preklade na Slovensku. Bratislava: Kalligram, Ústav svetovej literatúry SAV. 168 s. ISBN 9788081018688.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 42

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
64,29	0,0	9,52	11,9	2,38	2,38	9,52

Lecturers: PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD., doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde013/22	Introduction to the Theory of Translation and Interpreting

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week seminar; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 75 hours
11 x 2 hours of in-person teaching = 22 hours of in-person teaching
11 x 2 continuous home preparation for lessons, self-study, critical analysis of selected articles and chapters from recommended literature, preparation for discussion and group work on lessons = 22 hours
1 x 15.5 preparation of the presentation based on analyzed language data and studied literature
1 x 15.5 preparation of seminar work based on presentation
Teaching methods:
short presentations of teachers and students, analysis of selected issues, interview, case studies, group discussion

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Interim evaluation:
Students will prepare for each seminar by studying selected topics of recommended literature so that they can actively participate in discussions and group work and develop a mini seminar project during the first part of the semester. Their work on individual seminars is evaluated on the basis of self-reflection and self-evaluation through the learner's diary, which they submit after each seminar via an online form, feedback from the teacher (33 % of the evaluation). During the semester, students carry out their seminar project (they analyze a selected part of the professional literature, develop the theoretical and methodological background of the project) and present it in colloquial form (33 % of the evaluation) and in the form of seminar work (33 % of the evaluation).
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work is required).
The final evaluation reflects the level of insight of the graduate in selected topics of translation and interpretation theory, with a primary focus on the current state of research in the discipline with special attention paid to translation pairs Romance language – Slovak language in both directions of translation and interpretation; the level of analytical and critical thinking and reasoning; the ability to apply theoretical knowledge to their professional experience; competence to use knowledge for their personal and professional development.
Excellent: The student is orientated on an excellent level in selected topics of translation and interpretation theory. It critically analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, compares their thesis, interprets their didactic implications and their importance for teaching and learning Romance languages. In discussions, it can argue clearly and logically, support its arguments with knowledge gained during the seminar and use the relevant conceptual apparatus. It is able to design a seminar project, which is characterized by a high degree of theoretical and methodological consistency, analyze the selected issue on the basis of methodologies of current theory of translation and interpretation, and present its research in colloquial and written form.
Very good: The student is orientated on a very good level in selected topics of translation and interpretation theory. Critically analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, can compare them with each other, interprets their didactic implications and their importance for teaching and learning Romance languages. In discussions, it is usually able to argue clearly and logically. He/she can explain any ambiguities and link his arguments with the knowledge gained during the seminar. It is able to design a seminar project, which is characterized by rigorous processing, analyze the selected issue based on the methodologies of the current theory of translation and interpretation and present its seminar project in colloquial and written form.
Good: The student focuses on selected topics of translation and interpretation theory. He/she analyzes selected topics from a methodological and theoretical point of view, compares them with each other, can mostly interpret their didactic implications and their importance for teaching and learning Romance languages. In discussions, he/she is usually able to argue clearly and logically. He/she can explain any ambiguities, although not always and uses the relevant conceptual apparatus. He/she is able to design a sufficiently high-quality seminar project, anchored in a well-defined theoretical and methodological framework, even if there are minor shortcomings, especially at the formal level, and present its seminar project in both colloquial and written form.
Satisfactory: The student focuses on selected topics of translation and interpretation theory. He/she is less active in the discussions, his/her arguments are partly based on general knowledge and his own experience, to a satisfactory extent they are linked to the knowledge gained during the seminar. He/she is able to design a seminar project enshrined in the theoretical and methodological apparatus of the theory of translation and interpretation, even if there are shortcomings at a formal or logical level. He/she can analyze selected topics based on the methodologies of translation and interpretation theory, although the presentation of the seminar project has formal shortcomings.
Sufficient: The student focuses on selected topics of translation and interpretation theory. He/she is less active in discussions, his/her arguments are mostly based on general knowledge and his own experience, their connection with the knowledge gained during the seminar is not always clear. He/she can design a research project enshrined in the theoretical and methodological apparatus of translation and interpretation theory, even if it presents shortcomings at a formal or logical level. The presentation of the seminar work has formal shortcomings.

Learning outcomes:
Educational objectives:
develop graduates' knowledge of selected topics of translation and interpretation theory, taking into account theory and research devoted to different approaches in the theory of translation and interpretation in the context of didactics of foreign (Romance) languages, acquisition of basic

concepts of translation and interpretation theory, basic knowledge of the most important basic thesis and developmental directions of the history of the theory of translation and interpretation, familiarization with personalities of domestic and foreign study of selected topics of Slovak and world theory of translation and interpretation, contextualization of translation and its place in national literature and culture, translation and interpretation as a didactic tool in the teaching of Romance languages and software tools and didacticization of translation.
Use this knowledge in practice by designing and implementing seminar work that is oriented to themes of translation theory and interpretation relevant to Romance language teachers (e.g. use of knowledge on translation and interpreting in the didacticization of translation as a tool in teaching and learning foreign (Romance) languages, use of software tools, etc.).
Educational outcomes:
Acquisition of a basic overview of modern trends in discipline with particular regard to contemporary translatology and didactics of foreign languages. By deepening theoretical professional translatological training in relation to its targeted use to teach and learn a foreign (Romance) language, it allows the student to better orientate himself/herself in practice. The future foreign language teacher is able to take advantage of individual trends in the theory of translation and interpretation and the latest developments in the didactics of Romance languages.

Class syllabus:

· Theory of translation and interpretation in the context of didactics of foreign (Romance) languages
· Basic concepts of translation and interpretation theory
· History of translation and interpretation theory
· Important domestic and foreign theorists of translation and interpretation
· Selected topics of Slovak theory of translation and interpretation
· Selected topics of world translation and interpretation theory
· Translation and its place in national literature and culture
· Translation and interpretation as a didactic tool in Romance language teaching
· Software tools and didactization of translation

Recommended literature:

During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
BEDNÁROVÁ, K. 2013. Dejiny umeleckého prekladu na Slovensku I. Bratislava: Veda. 304 s. ISBN 9788022413480.
BEDNÁROVÁ, K. 2015. Rukoväť dejín prekladu na slovensku I. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. 74 s. ISBN 978-80-223-3837-0.
BEDNÁROVÁ, K. 2015. Rukoväť dejín prekladu na slovensku II. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. 66 s. ISBN 978-80-223-3838-7.
HRDINOVÁ, E. M. 2018. Překlad jako didaktický nástroj ve výuce cizích jazyků. Olomouc: Univerzita Palackého v Olomouci. 142 s. ISBN 9788024452470.
KOVAČIČOVÁ, O. – KUSÁ, M. 2015. Slovník slovenských prekladateľov umeleckej literatúry 20. storočie (A - K). Bratislava: Veda. 512 s. ISBN 97880224142809.
KOVAČIČOVÁ, O. – KUSÁ, M. 2017. Slovník slovenských prekladateľov umeleckej literatúry 20. storočie (L - Ž). Bratislava: Veda. 512 s. ISBN 9788022416177.
KUSÁ, M. – RONDZIKOVÁ, N. 2021. Preklad vo vedách o človeku a dialóg kultúr. Bratislava: Veda. 119 s. ISBN 9788022418737.
MALOVECKÝ, M. 2011. Informačné technológie a umelecký preklad. 1. vyd. Bratislava: Vydavateľstvo Michala Vaška. 148 s. ISBN 978-80-7165-842-9.
MOUNIN, G. 1999. Teoretické problémy překladu. Praha: Karolinum. 266 s. ISBN 807184733.

MÜGLOVÁ, D. 2018. Komunikácia, tlmočenie, preklad. Bratislava: Enigma. 440 s. ISBN 9788081330742.
VAJDOVÁ, L. 2014. Myslenie o preklade na Slovensku. Bratislava: Kalligram, Ústav svetovej literatúry SAV. 168 s. ISBN 9788081018688.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 42

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
64,29	0,0	9,52	11,9	2,38	2,38	9,52

Lecturers: PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD., doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde002/22	Language Activity in Interaction – Listening Comprehension in Spanish Language

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week of exercise; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; completion of ongoing tasks = 28 hours
Teaching methods: activities aimed at increasing language competence with specific emphasis on working with spoken text and interaction in a foreign language, individual mentoring

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The interim evaluation is 100%: 40%: teaching tasks, 60%: of ongoing homework.
Attendance at the class is compulsory. The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, the teacher may, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to activate the communication language competence with a focus mainly on listening with comprehension and deepening responsibility for the development of one's own language competence.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student

• knows the descriptors of listening with comprehension at level B1 and B2, listening skills and strategies with comprehension according to the Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR);
• he developed a strategy of listening to foreign-language spoken text and deepened the habit of daily contact with the Spanish language.
In terms of language competence after graduation, the student can:
• understand longer speech and follow a complex line of argumentation, provided that the topic is sufficient familiar to it;
• understand most tv news and current affairs programs;
• to understand samples from films in the literary language.
In terms of transferable capabilities, it will deepen its responsibility for the development of its language competences, as well as the ability to formulate and express its own opinion.

Class syllabus:
• Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR): listening comprehension.
• Language activities and listening descriptors comprehension for level B1 and B2 in the CEFR.
• Listening skills and strategies comprehension in the CEFR.
• Practice exercises aimed at listening to and analyzing authentic audio recordings with sequences from different areas.
• Activities aimed at listening and at analysis of recordings from the field of private life and education.
• Activities aimed at listening and analyzing film, radio and television broadcasts.
• Activities aimed at listening to and analysis of the lecture.

Recommended literature:
BENITO CANALEJAS D. et al. 2020. Aprender español con cortos. Ministerio de Educación y Formación Profesional. ISBN: 978-65-993149-0-2. Available at: https://sede.educacion.gob.es/publiventa/aprender-espanol-con-cortos/ensenanza-lengua-espanola/24682
RADA EURÓPY EURÓPSKEJ ÚNIE. 2017. Spoločný európsky referenčný rámec pre jazyky (Common European Framework of Reference). Bratislava: Štátny pedagogický ústav. Available at: https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/publikacna-cinnost/publikacie/serr_tlac-indd.pdf
(selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 29

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
51,72	0,0	27,59	0,0	3,45	10,34	6,9

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 22.09.2024

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde007/22	Language Activity in Interaction – Oral Performance in Spanish Language

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week of exercise; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours in-person teaching; 14 hours elaboration of ongoing tasks; 14 hours individual work on the solution of assignment and independent study of professional literature. A total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: explaining, applying theoretical knowledge on practical examples, discussion, brainstorming, role-playing, working in small groups, didactic games.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be evaluated continuously on the basis of the work in the classroom, the preparation of tasks on individual topics during the semester and the interim assessment of oral speech. The interim evaluation is 100 %: active participation in classroom activities 10 %; completion of ongoing tasks 50 %; oral evaluation 40%.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is the development of a communication language competence with a focus on productive language activity in interaction – oral performance and achieving B2 level according to the CEFR.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student

• is familiar with the descriptors of oral expression and interaction for level B1 and B2, the skills and strategies of oral expression and interaction under the Common European Framework of Reference,
• masters pronunciation rules, grammar and vocabulary appropriately and engages in conversation on most general topics.
• speaks immediately and spontaneously, uses standard language and knows the means of non-verbal communication in Spanish,
• is able to express ideas, feelings and facts in the Spanish language, accurately express and clarify his/her ideas and opinions in the discussion, can argue and clearly outline the situation or problem and discuss the advantages or disadvantages of different solutions,
• communicates in interaction with native speakers and behaves in accordance with the social and cultural conventions of the given language community,
• uses available materials in a individual study,
• gained the foundations for the future use of the acquired knowledge in teaching: he/she can perform exercises focused on oral expression, use various materials, prepare interesting activities.

Class syllabus:
• Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR): oral expression and interaction.
• Language activities and descriptors for levels B1 and B2 in the CEFR.
• Communication competences and processes of oral expression and interaction in the CEFR.
• Oral speech and interaction skills and strategies in the CEFR.
• Aspects of oral expression and interaction: pronunciation, immediacy and spontaneity, turnovers, standard language, nonverbal communication.
• Oral speech and interaction in the classroom: student and group dynamics, teacher role, materials and activities, correction and evaluation.
• Practical tips for oral presentation: the basics of speech and rhetoric.
• Practical exercises to improve language competence.

Recommended literature:
ALCOBA RUEDA, S. 2010. La expresión oral. Barcelona: Ariel, 2010. ISBN 978-8434428515.
GÓMEZ-PABLOS, B. 2021. Teoría y práctica de la expresión e interacción orales en ELE (nivel B1-B2), Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2021. ISBN 978-80-223-5165-2.
RADA EURÓPY EURÓPSKEJ ÚNIE. 2017. Spoločný európsky referenčný rámec pre jazyky. Bratislava: Štátny pedagogický ústav. Available at: https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/publikacna-cinnost/publikacie/serr_tlac-indd.pdf
VÁZQUEZ, G. 2006. La destreza oral. Madrid: Edelsa, 2006. ISBN 978-84-7711-437-6.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 77

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
55,84	0,0	19,48	12,99	5,19	1,3	5,19

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde004/22	Language Activity in Interaction – Reading Comprehension in Spanish Language

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week of exercise; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours in-person teaching; 14 hours elaboration of ongoing tasks; 14 hours individual work on the solution of assignment and independent study of professional literature. A total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: explaining, applying theoretical knowledge on practical examples, working with text, discussion, working in small groups.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be evaluated continuously on the basis of the work in the classroom, the preparation of tasks on individual topics during the semester and the test during the semester. The interim evaluation is 100 %: active participation in classroom activities 10 %; completion of ongoing written tasks 60 %; test 30 %.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to activate the communication language competence with a focus on reading with comprehension and deepening responsibility for the development of one's own language competence.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• is familiar with descriptors for reading and comprehension for level B1 and B2, with

skills and strategies related to reading with comprehension according to the Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR),
• can work with different types of texts and can apply different reading techniques depending on the type and genre of text,
• is able to handle sources where information is provided so that reading is efficient (print and electronic sources, dictionaries, etc.),
• controls several reading methods: global, indicative, recreational, detailed, interpretative and analytical,
• he/she gained the basics for implementing acquired knowledge and skills in teaching according to his/her needs: he/she can choose texts, perform exercises, use various materials, prepare interesting activities and get acquainted with the principles of pupil assessment.

Class syllabus:
• Reading literacy in foreign languages
• Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR): reading comprehension
• Language activities and comprehension reading descriptors for B1 and B2 level in the CEFR
• Reading skills and strategies comprehension in the CEFR
• Type of text and reading technique, depending on the type and genre of text
• Goals and readings: global, indicative, recreational, detailed, interpretative and analytical reading
• Practice exercises to implement updated knowledge and acquired skills in reading training with understanding
• Reading comprehension in lessons: selection of texts, exercises, complementary materials, activities, correction and evaluation

Recommended literature:
PARODI, G. – IBÁÑEZ ORELLANA, R. – PERONARD THIERRY, M. 2010. Saber leer. Madrid: Aguilar, 224 p. ISBN 978-84-03-10088-6. (selected parts)
RADA EURÓPY EURÓPSKEJ ÚNIE. 2017. Spoločný európsky referenčný rámec pre jazyky. Bratislava: Štátny pedagogický ústav. Available at: https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/publikacna-cinnost/publikacie/serr_tlac-indd.pdf (selected parts)
RODRIGO, V. 2019. La comprensión lectora en la enseñanza del español LE/L2. London: Routledge, 2019, 299 p. ISBN 978-1-138-65175-3. (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 75

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
49,33	0,0	30,67	4,0	2,67	8,0	5,33

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde010/22	Language Activity in Interaction – Written Performance in Spanish Language

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week of exercise; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours in-person teaching; 14 hours elaboration of ongoing tasks; 14 hours individual work on the solution of assignment and independent study of professional literature. A total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: explanation, application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, discussion, methods of group teaching and cooperative learning, brainstorming, brainwriting, individual and work, didactic games

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be evaluated continuously on the basis of the work in the classroom, the preparation of tasks on individual topics during the semester and the test during the semester. The interim evaluation is 100 %: active participation in classroom activities 10 %; solution and preparation of written tasks 50 %; test 40%.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is the development of communication language competence, focusing on the development of productive language activities – written expression and the achievement of B2 level according to the CEFR.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student

• is familiar with the descriptors of the written speech for level B1 and B2, the skills and strategies of the written expression of the Common European Framework of Reference,
• knows the rules of spelling and punctuation in Spanish and can apply them when writing texts, through Practice exercises on vocabulary and grammar he/she has improved his/her written competence,
• can produce different kinds of texts: descriptive, narrative, expository and argumentative,
• is able to synthesize content, select the substance from an existing text and reshape it in accordance with specific requirements (i.e. training of selected mediation activities),
• is able to express ideas, feelings, facts and opinions in writing in the Spanish language, to express clearly on various topics, to develop his ideas and to supplement them with examples, to systematically develop a description and presentation with emphasis on the main ideas and to provide details in writing,
• is able to handle information sources so that writing is effective,
• gained the basics for implementing the acquired knowledge and skills in teaching: he/she can carry out exercises focused on writing in a foreign language, use various materials, prepare interesting activities, get acquainted with the principles of text correction and evaluation of pupils.

Class syllabus:
• Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR): written speech
• Language activities and descriptors of written speech for B2 level in the CEFR
• Communication competencies and processes of written expression in the CEFR
• Skills and strategies of written expression in the CEFR
• Typology of texts and individual genres
• Write descriptive, narrative, expository and argumentative text
• Practical vocabulary and grammar exercises to improve written competence
• Written speech in class: materials, activities, repair and evaluation

Recommended literature:
CASSANY, D. 2005. Expresión escrita en L2/ELE. Madrid: Arco Libros, 2005. ISBN 978-84-7635-612-8.
LACORTE, M.; REYES-TORRES, A. 2021. Didáctica del español como 2/L en el siglo XXI. Madrid: Arco Libros, 2021. ISBN 978-84-7133-850-1 (selected chapters)
RADA EURÓPY EURÓPSKEJ ÚNIE. 2017. Spoločný európsky referenčný rámec pre jazyky. Bratislava: Štátny pedagogický ústav. Available at: https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/publikacna-cinnost/publikacie/serr_tlac-indd.pdf (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 70

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
28,57	0,0	37,14	18,57	5,71	5,71	4,29

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde017/22	Language Exercises in Spanish Language 1.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week exercise, total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 50 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks = 11 hours; self-study = 17 hours
Teaching methods:
writing, working with spoken text, working with spoken text, creating written text, creating spoken text, discussion, practicing grammatical phenomena, exercises aimed at vocabulary development, managed self-study, individual mentoring

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: completion of classroom activities
40 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
30 points: written verification of acquired knowledge and level of acquisition of language competences
Attendance at the class is compulsory. The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to strengthen its language competence at B1 level in order to prepare for a more effective understanding and production of Spanish-language texts. Practice selected grammatical phenomena and expand students' vocabulary from selected areas.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student is able to use the language independently in a limited range of topics close to him/her. He/she can understand longer speech and written text in an area that is well known to him/her. He/she is able to have a routine conversation with native speakers on topics close to him/her. He/she can write a detailed text on a wider range of topics relating to his/her interests.

Class syllabus:
Comprehensive development of language activities in interaction (receptive, productive, interactive) with an emphasis on oral expression in interaction and development of contextual grammar at B1 level with links to topics: leisure, gastronomy, housing, etc.

Recommended literature:
SÁNCHEZ MELGAREJO, J.L. 2017. Hablamos: manual de conversación para estudiantes de español. Almería: Editorial Circulo Rojo, 2017. ISBN 9788491407454 (selected parts)
MORENO, C. et al. 2017. Anaya ELE EN Grammar. Nivel avanzado B1. Anaya, 2017. EAN 9788469828588 (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 84

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
42,86	0,0	27,38	15,48	4,76	7,14	2,38

Lecturers: Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde020/22	Language Exercises in Spanish Language 2.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 50 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks = 11 hours; self-study = 17 hours
Teaching methods:
writing, working with spoken text, working with spoken text, creating written text, creating spoken text, discussion, practicing grammatical phenomena, exercises aimed at vocabulary development, managed self-study, individual mentoring

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: completion of classroom activities
40 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
30 points: written verification of acquired knowledge and level of acquisition of language competences
Attendance at the class is compulsory.The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to acquire language competence at B1/B2 level in order to prepare for a more effective understanding and production of Spanish-language texts. Practice selected grammatical phenomena and expand your vocabulary from selected areas.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student is able to communicate in most situations of everyday life. He/she can describe his experiences and events, dreams, hopes and ambitions and briefly justify and explain his/her views and plans. He/she understands the main ideas of a more complex text on both specific and abstract topics, including expert discussions in his/her specialization. He/she communicates appropriately correctly in known contexts; generally masters grammar well, although the influence of the mother tongue can be seen. Despite the mistakes he/she is making, it is clear what he wants to make.

Class syllabus:
Comprehensive development of language activities in interaction (receptive, productive, interactive) with an emphasis on oral expression in interaction and development of contextual grammar at B2.1 level and links to topics: daily activities, travel, meaning of Spanish, art, etc.

Recommended literature:
SÁNCHEZ MELGAREJO, J.L. 2017. Hablamos: manual de conversación para estudiantes de español. Almería: Editorial Circulo Rojo, 2017. ISBN 9788491407454 (selected topics)
MORENO, C et al. 2017. Anaya ELE EN Grammar. Nivel avanzado B1. Anaya, 2017. EAN: 9788469828588 (selected parts)
MORENO, C et al. 2018. Anaya ELE EN Grammar. Nivel avanzado B2. Anaya, 2018. EAN: 9788469846407 (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
: Slovak language, Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 72

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
34,72	0,0	27,78	23,61	8,33	1,39	4,17

Lecturers: Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde024/22	Language Exercises in Spanish Language 3.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 50 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks = 11 hours; self-study = 17 hours
Teaching methods:
working with written text, working with spoken text, creating written text, creating spoken text, discussion, practicing grammatical phenomena, vocabulary development exercises, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 3.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: completion of classroom activities
40 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
30 points: written verification of acquired knowledge and level of acquisition of language competences
Attendance at the class is compulsory. The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to acquire language competence at B2.1 level in order to prepare for a more effective understanding and production of Spanish-language texts. Practice selected grammatical phenomena and expand your vocabulary from selected areas.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student is able to use the language independently in his/her nearby topics. He/she is able to actively participate in the discussion on well-known topics. He/she can also write and to submit in written form an information in support of or against a particular opinion.

Class syllabus:
Comprehensive development of language activities in interaction (receptive, productive, interactive) with an emphasis on oral expression in interaction and development of contextual grammar at B2.1 level with links to topics: characteristics of real and fictional characters, interpersonal relationships, health and lifestyle, world of work, etc.

Recommended literature:
LENGHARDTOVÁ, J. 2005. Conversación Española – Španielska konverzácia. Bratislava: Slovenské pedagogické nakladateľstvo – Mladé letá, 2005. ISBN 8010008389 (selected topics)
MORENO, C. et al. 2018. Anaya ELE EN Gramática. Nivel avanzado B2. Anaya, 2018. EAN: 9788469846407 (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 22

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
36,36	0,0	31,82	13,64	4,55	9,09	4,55

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde028/22	Language Exercises in Spanish Language 4.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 4.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 15.07.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde034/22	Language Exercises in Spanish Language 5.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Teaching method: combined (primarily attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 50 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks = 11 hours; self-study = 17 hours
Teaching methods:
working with written text, working with spoken text, creating written text, creating spoken text, discussion, practicing grammatical phenomena, vocabulary development exercises, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: completion of classroom activities
40 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
30 points: written verification of acquired knowledge and level of acquisition of language competences
Attendance at the class is compulsory. The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the subject.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to acquire language competence at B2/C1 level in order to prepare for a more effective understanding and production of Spanish-language texts. Practice selected grammatical phenomena and expand your vocabulary from selected areas.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student can understand certain types of demanding or longer texts. He/she can express itself spontaneously, although not always smoothly, and appropriately build on the contributions of other speakers. He/she is able to create a comprehensible, appropriately arranged text on more complex topics. He/she shows a relatively high degree of grammar control. He/she doesn't make mistakes that could cause misunderstandings, and he/she can correct most of his/her mistakes.

Class syllabus:
Comprehensive development of language activities (receptive, productive, interactive) with an emphasis on oral expression in interaction and development of contextual grammar at B2/C1 level with links to topics: mass media and modern technologies, education, economy, value world, etc.

Recommended literature:
LENGHARDTOVÁ, J. 2005. Conversación Española – Španielska konverzácia. Bratislava: Slovenské pedagogické nakladateľstvo – Mladé letá, 2005. ISBN 8010008389 (selected parts)
MORENO, C. et al. 2020. Anaya ELE EN Gramática C1/C2. Anaya, 2020. EAN: 9788469873304 (selected parts)
Up-to-date authentic print and audiovisual resources.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 5

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
40,0	0,0	40,0	0,0	20,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde036/22	Language Exercises in Spanish Language 6.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 50 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks = 11 hours; self-study = 17 hours
Teaching methods:
working with written text, working with spoken text, creating written text, creating spoken text, discussion, practicing grammatical phenomena, vocabulary development exercises, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: completion of classroom activities
40 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
30 points: written verification of acquired knowledge and level of acquisition of language competences
Attendance at the class is compulsory. The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to acquire the language competence of C1.1 in order to prepare for the understanding and production of demanding texts in the Spanish language. Practice problematic grammatical phenomena and consolidate vocabulary from selected areas.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student is able to understand a wide range of demanding, longer texts and recognize their implicit meanings. He/she can express itself fluently and spontaneously without too obvious searching for expressions. He/she is able to use the language flexibly. He/she can create clear, well-organized and detailed text on complex topics.

Class syllabus:
Comprehensive development of language activities in interaction (receptive, productive, interactive) with an emphasis on oral expression in interaction and development of contextual grammar at C1.1 level with links to topics such as employment, social problems, environment, culture, etc.

Recommended literature:
LENGHARDT, J. 2005. Conversación Española – Španielska konverzácia. Bratislava: Slovenské pedagogické nakladateľstvo – Mladé letá, 2005. ISBN 8010008389 (selected topics)
MORENO, C. et al. 2020. Anaya ELE EN Grammar C1/C2. Anaya, 2020. EAN: 9788469873304 (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 4

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde023/22	Leisure time education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% pass mark.
Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (40+60, total 100 points).
Interim assessment 1: consists of the preparation of a term paper and its oral presentation or practical application in class.
Semester work: consists of a creative assignment for 40b (20+20). It includes an electronic portfolio - a plan of experiential activities in the context of leisure education based on a set structure (20b) and its presentation or application of the created activities in the classroom (20b).
Intermediate assessment 2: consists of a test of the acquired knowledge, namely a knowledge test for 60p. The written exam/test consists of theoretical preparation for the course in basic concepts and study of the literature.
Prerequisites:
The rating shall be awarded on a scale of
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of continuous assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation to leisure issues, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A minimum of 81 points is required to obtain a B grade. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach to developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise to problem solving assignments using consultation, above

average orientation to leisure issues, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above average activity in class during the semester.
For a grade of C at least 73 points. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments using the assigned template, good professional knowledge and its application in problem solving in a group or with the assistance of the instructor, good orientation to leisure issues, good communication skills, completion of assignments, average activity in class during the semester as prompted by the instructor.
At least 66 points for a grade D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application of knowledge in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to leisure issues, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
At least 60 points for an E grade. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments and with the assistance of the instructor, basic/minimal vocational knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to leisure issues, basic communication skills, completion of assignments.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
By completing the subject Leisure time in education, the student should:
- to know the content of leisure time pedagogy as a scientific discipline: functions, goals and requirements, areas of leisure time education,
- to know the subjects of leisure education and their importance in influencing the leisure time of an individual in society in a meaningful way,
- to be able to apply the acquired knowledge in the creation of leisure activities,
- to be able to create a plan for an activity of interest and to be able to apply it through practical tasks,
- be able to present their creative output to a group,
- be able to justify the appropriateness of the choice of didactic categories in the interest activity plan,
- understand the importance of leisure as a fundamental value in human life.
Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills through creative tasks on the course, digital skills - the student realizes the creation of a website as an e-portfolio with the use of feedback, interpersonal skills within the group - activation in the group, taking the initiative and responsibility.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
The Leisure time in educationis intended to introduce students to the important sphere of leisure through leisure activities, which represent an important value for the individual and which are necessary in the targeted education of children, youth and adults. The Leisure time in educationcourse clarifies the basic pedagogical categories in a direct link between theoretical and practical training. By completing the course, the student will gain knowledge of the basic concepts in the field of leisure pedagogy, of leisure organizations aimed at children, youth, adults, and of activating didactic methods or experiential learning for the creation of leisure activities.
Topics:
Basic concepts of leisure pedagogy. Objectives of leisure education. Didactic process of leisure education and the creation of a plan of activating leisure activities - mission, goals, tasks, principles, content, forms and methods. Educational areas of activity outside the classroom. Interests and

leisure-time education. Problems of education in leisure time. Field work (streetwork), prevention, volunteering. New approaches in leisure time pedagogy. Personality of the pedagogue, social assistant, animator in the processes of education and training in leisure time. Educator and education and training in the school. Institutions and facilities providing leisure and interest activities.

Recommended literature:

NOVOTNÁ, E. (2017). Pedagogika voľného času. Teória výchovy mimo vyučovania vo voľnom čase. Rokus: Prešov. 224s. ISBN 978-80-89540-58-0
ZELINOVÁ, M. (2007). Hry pro rozvoj emocí a komunikace. Koncepce a model tvorivěhumanistické výchovy. Portál: Praha, 2007. 139s. ISBN 978-80-7367-197-6 (e-kniha)
Recommended reading:
JASLOVSKÁ, B., KOLDEOVÁ, L. (v tlači). Voľný čas v práci učiteľa a ďalších pedagogických profesií. Kapitola v metodickom materiáli k Stáži A, Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Pedagogická fakulta.
JASLOVSKÁ, B., STRAKOŠ, J. (v tlači). Profesijný rast učiteľa: formálne a neformálne vzdelávanie, informálne učenie sa. Kapitola v metodickom materiáli k Stáži B, Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Pedagogická fakulta.
KRATOCHVÍLOVÁ, E. (2010). Pedagogika voľného času. Výchova v čase mimo vyučovania v pedagogickej teórii a v praxi. Trnava: Typii Universitatis Tyrnaviensis, VEDY. 356 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-330-6
ZELINOVÁ, M. (2012). Voľný čas efektívne a tvorivo. Teória a prax výchovy mimo vyučovania. Bratislava: Iura Edition, 146s. ISBN 978-80-8078-479-9

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 1530

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
43,14	0,0	24,25	13,4	8,76	4,05	6,41

Lecturers: PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD., prof. PaedDr. Ján Danek, CSc.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde023/22	Leisure time education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: 100% pass mark.
Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (40+60, total 100 points).
Interim assessment 1: consists of the preparation of a term paper and its oral presentation or practical application in class.
Semester work: consists of a creative assignment for 40b (20+20). It includes an electronic portfolio - a plan of experiential activities in the context of leisure education based on a set structure (20b) and its presentation or application of the created activities in the classroom (20b).
Intermediate assessment 2: consists of a test of the acquired knowledge, namely a knowledge test for 60p. The written exam/test consists of theoretical preparation for the course in basic concepts and study of the literature.
Prerequisites:
The rating shall be awarded on a scale of
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of continuous assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation to leisure issues, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A minimum of 81 points is required to obtain a B grade. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach to developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise to problem solving assignments using consultation, above

average orientation to leisure issues, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, and above average activity in class during the semester.
For a grade of C at least 73 points. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments using the assigned template, good professional knowledge and its application in problem solving in a group or with the assistance of the instructor, good orientation to leisure issues, good communication skills, completion of assignments, average activity in class during the semester as prompted by the instructor.
At least 66 points for a grade D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application of knowledge in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to leisure issues, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
At least 60 points for an E grade. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop ongoing assignments and with the assistance of the instructor, basic/minimal vocational knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to leisure issues, basic communication skills, completion of assignments.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
By completing the subject Leisure time in education, the student should:
- to know the content of leisure time pedagogy as a scientific discipline: functions, goals and requirements, areas of leisure time education,
- to know the subjects of leisure education and their importance in influencing the leisure time of an individual in society in a meaningful way,
- to be able to apply the acquired knowledge in the creation of leisure activities,
- to be able to create a plan for an activity of interest and to be able to apply it through practical tasks,
- be able to present their creative output to a group,
- be able to justify the appropriateness of the choice of didactic categories in the interest activity plan,
- understand the importance of leisure as a fundamental value in human life.
Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills through creative tasks on the course, digital skills - the student realizes the creation of a website as an e-portfolio with the use of feedback, interpersonal skills within the group - activation in the group, taking the initiative and responsibility.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
The Leisure time in educationis intended to introduce students to the important sphere of leisure through leisure activities, which represent an important value for the individual and which are necessary in the targeted education of children, youth and adults. The Leisure time in educationcourse clarifies the basic pedagogical categories in a direct link between theoretical and practical training. By completing the course, the student will gain knowledge of the basic concepts in the field of leisure pedagogy, of leisure organizations aimed at children, youth, adults, and of activating didactic methods or experiential learning for the creation of leisure activities.
Topics:
Basic concepts of leisure pedagogy. Objectives of leisure education. Didactic process of leisure education and the creation of a plan of activating leisure activities - mission, goals, tasks, principles, content, forms and methods. Educational areas of activity outside the classroom. Interests and

leisure-time education. Problems of education in leisure time. Field work (streetwork), prevention, volunteering. New approaches in leisure time pedagogy. Personality of the pedagogue, social assistant, animator in the processes of education and training in leisure time. Educator and education and training in the school. Institutions and facilities providing leisure and interest activities.

Recommended literature:

NOVOTNÁ, E. (2017). Pedagogika voľného času. Teória výchovy mimo vyučovania vo voľnom čase. Rokus: Prešov. 224s. ISBN 978-80-89540-58-0
ZELINOVÁ, M. (2007). Hry pro rozvoj emocí a komunikace. Koncepce a model tvorivěhumanistické výchovy. Portál: Praha, 2007. 139s. ISBN 978-80-7367-197-6 (e-kniha)
Recommended reading:
JASLOVSKÁ, B., KOLDEOVÁ, L. (v tlači). Voľný čas v práci učiteľa a ďalších pedagogických profesií. Kapitola v metodickom materiáli k Stáži A, Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Pedagogická fakulta.
JASLOVSKÁ, B., STRAKOŠ, J. (v tlači). Profesijný rast učiteľa: formálne a neformálne vzdelávanie, informálne učenie sa. Kapitola v metodickom materiáli k Stáži B, Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Pedagogická fakulta.
KRATOCHVÍLOVÁ, E. (2010). Pedagogika voľného času. Výchova v čase mimo vyučovania v pedagogickej teórii a v praxi. Trnava: Typii Universitatis Tyrnaviensis, VEDY. 356 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-330-6
ZELINOVÁ, M. (2012). Voľný čas efektívne a tvorivo. Teória a prax výchovy mimo vyučovania. Bratislava: Iura Edition, 146s. ISBN 978-80-8078-479-9

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 1530

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
43,14	0,0	24,25	13,4	8,76	4,05	6,41

Lecturers: PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD., prof. PaedDr. Ján Danek, CSc.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde044/22	Literary Reading Support and Promotion

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: seminar 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
Total 50 hours of student work: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks and individual reading of literary works = 38 hours
Teaching methods:
work with written or spoken text, analysis of text from various perspectives, discussion, presentation of students, exercises aimed at the development of vocabulary or selected grammatical phenomena

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be evaluated on the basis of interim assessment, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
44 points: active participation in classroom activities and performance of assignments
56 points: independent reading of literary works and preparation of outputs from it in written and/or oral form.
Attendance at the class is compulsory. In justified cases, the Lecturer may specify alternative options for fulfilling the conditions of the evaluation.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to increase students' interest in literary reading, to familiarize them with various techniques of working with text in Spanish teaching and to deepen their communication competence.

Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• will know selected works of contemporary Spanish and Hispano-American literature;
• more readable texts will be able to analyze more extensively and will be able to analyze the possibilities of their use in Spanish language and culture teaching;
• on the basis of analytical reading and resource study, he/she will be able to identify historical, cultural, lexical and grammatical phenomena that enable him/her to know the target culture and language in greater depth.
The student will know
• read Spanish literary texts of reasonable difficulty in the original;
• to appreciate the artistic value of literary texts;
• use literary snippets in Spanish language teaching.

Class syllabus:
The course has the character of a literary club, which leads students to read literary works independently, to communicate with each other and to discuss each other under the guidance of the teacher about the works that interested students, to gain incentives to discover new works. Selected excerpts from literary works will be placed in a wider cultural context and appropriately didactized. Activities based on reading or listening to literary works will help to consolidate and expand students' language skills.

Recommended literature:
BARROS LORENZO, 2007. Curso de literatura. Español lengua extranjera. Madrid: Edelsa. ISBN 978-84-7711-620-2.
Selected literary works according to the interest of students and teachers.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 3

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde044/22	Literary Reading Support and Promotion

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: seminar 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
Total 50 hours of student work: in-person teaching = 22 hours; elaboration of ongoing tasks and individual reading of literary works = 38 hours
Teaching methods:
work with written or spoken text, analysis of text from various perspectives, discussion, presentation of students, exercises aimed at the development of vocabulary or selected grammatical phenomena

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be evaluated on the basis of interim assessment, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
44 points: active participation in classroom activities and performance of assignments
56 points: independent reading of literary works and preparation of outputs from it in written and/or oral form.
Attendance at the class is compulsory. In justified cases, the Lecturer may specify alternative options for fulfilling the conditions of the evaluation.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to increase students' interest in literary reading, to familiarize them with various techniques of working with text in Spanish teaching and to deepen their communication competence.

Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• will know selected works of contemporary Spanish and Hispano-American literature;
• more readable texts will be able to analyze more extensively and will be able to analyze the possibilities of their use in Spanish language and culture teaching;
• on the basis of analytical reading and resource study, he/she will be able to identify historical, cultural, lexical and grammatical phenomena that enable him/her to know the target culture and language in greater depth.
The student will know
• read Spanish literary texts of reasonable difficulty in the original;
• to appreciate the artistic value of literary texts;
• use literary snippets in Spanish language teaching.

Class syllabus:
The course has the character of a literary club, which leads students to read literary works independently, to communicate with each other and to discuss each other under the guidance of the teacher about the works that interested students, to gain incentives to discover new works. Selected excerpts from literary works will be placed in a wider cultural context and appropriately didactized. Activities based on reading or listening to literary works will help to consolidate and expand students' language skills.

Recommended literature:
BARROS LORENZO, 2007. Curso de literatura. Español lengua extranjera. Madrid: Edelsa. ISBN 978-84-7711-620-2.
Selected literary works according to the interest of students and teachers.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 3

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde031/22	Literature for Children and Youth

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: seminar 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student's workload: a total of 75 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 22 hours of continuous home preparation for class, sub-tasks; 11 hours of preparation of the seminar work and its presentation in the classroom; 20 hours of preparation for the test.
Teaching methods: lecturing, interpretation, discussion on the topic discussed, interview, text work, critical analysis of texts, problem solving tasks, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities, participation in discussions on the topic discussed and on the papers, 22% of the evaluation.
At the beginning of the course, the student chooses a theme from the course topics and prepares a paper which will be presented in oral form during the assigned lesson. The presentation will be followed by a discussion with comments of the participants of the course and the teacher with possible suggestions for additions or extensions to the topic. Subsequently, the student completes the final version of the report and submits its written form in the evaluation week. The paper and seminar work represents 44 % of the evaluation, of which 20 % the paper, 24 % seminar work.
During the course, the student's knowledge is verified by a test representing 34% of the assessment.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to acquire basic knowledge in the field of children's and youth literature in Spanish and to be able to transfer them to the teaching process in didactized processing.
Educational outcomes:
Student after successful completion of the course
• obtains a basic overview of the history of Spanish children's and youth literature,
• knows the most important representatives of children's and youth literature,
• knows the general specificities of children's and youth literature,
• knows the characteristics of individual genres of children's and youth literature,
• is able to interpret literary text for children and youth and to apply it to the teaching of foreign languages,
• is able to adapt the acquired knowledge and create didactic processing of the contents of Spanish literature for children and youth for the purposes of teaching literature.
After successful completion of the course, the student should have a basic overview of children's and youth literature in Spanish and the skills needed to use them in the teaching of literature.

Class syllabus:
Specific features of children's and youth literature.
Characteristics of individual genres of children's and youth literature in Spanish.
Selected representatives of children's and youth literature in Spanish literature.
Selected representatives of children's and youth literature of Hispanic America.
Translations of children's and youth literature in Spanish into Slovak.
Use of folk fairy tales and other genres of oral tradition in the teaching of literature, didactization of contents.
Use of folk songs and other genres of oral tradition in the teaching of literature, didactization of content.
Use of authorial fairy tales in the teaching of literature.
Use of poems for children and children's songs in the teaching of literature, didactization of content.

Recommended literature:
During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
BOJNIČANOVÁ, R. 2013. „La tipología del héroe y la funcionalidad del cómic contemporáneo: tres ejemplos editados en España y en Eslovaquia en el siglo XXI“. In: Analele Universităţii Spiru Haret Seria Filologie - Limbi şi literaturi străine. Volume 18, n. 18, p. 239-250. ISSN 1454-8291.
CERRILLO TORREMOCHA, P. 2010. Literatura infantil y juvenil y educación literaria. Hacia una nueva enseñanza de la literatura. Barcelona: Octaedro. 32 s. ISBN: 978-84-9921-085-8. Digitized book available online at: https://www.uv.mx/rmipe/files/2017/02/Literatura-infantil-y-juvenil.pdf
COLOMER, T. 1991. „De la enseñanza de la literatura a la educación literaria“. In: Comunicación, lenguaje y educación, 9, pp. 21 – 31. ISSN: 0214-7033. Available online at: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=126236
GARRALÓN DE LA TORRE, A. 2017. Historia portátil de la literatura infantil y juvenil. Prensas universitarias Zaragoza. ISBN 8416933510.
SÁNCHEZ MORILLAS, C. 2018. „Historia de la literatura infantil y juvenil: Europa y España“. In: La literatura infantil y juvenil: investigaciones, ed. E. Jiménez-Pérez,– S. Fabregat Barrios, s. 11–22. Barcelona: Octaedro. ISBN: 978-84-17667-18-4. Available online at: https://investigacion.ujaen.es/documentos/6109f8c3a278564a8fceb7e9?

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Spanish and Slovak

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde031/22	Literature for Children and Youth

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: seminar 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student's workload: a total of 75 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 22 hours of continuous home preparation for class, sub-tasks; 11 hours of preparation of the seminar work and its presentation in the classroom; 20 hours of preparation for the test.
Teaching methods: lecturing, interpretation, discussion on the topic discussed, interview, text work, critical analysis of texts, problem solving tasks, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities, participation in discussions on the topic discussed and on the papers, 22% of the evaluation.
At the beginning of the course, the student chooses a theme from the course topics and prepares a paper which will be presented in oral form during the assigned lesson. The presentation will be followed by a discussion with comments of the participants of the course and the teacher with possible suggestions for additions or extensions to the topic. Subsequently, the student completes the final version of the report and submits its written form in the evaluation week. The paper and seminar work represents 44 % of the evaluation, of which 20 % the paper, 24 % seminar work.
During the course, the student's knowledge is verified by a test representing 34% of the assessment.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to acquire basic knowledge in the field of children's and youth literature in Spanish and to be able to transfer them to the teaching process in didactized processing.
Educational outcomes:
Student after successful completion of the course
• obtains a basic overview of the history of Spanish children's and youth literature,
• knows the most important representatives of children's and youth literature,
• knows the general specificities of children's and youth literature,
• knows the characteristics of individual genres of children's and youth literature,
• is able to interpret literary text for children and youth and to apply it to the teaching of foreign languages,
• is able to adapt the acquired knowledge and create didactic processing of the contents of Spanish literature for children and youth for the purposes of teaching literature.
After successful completion of the course, the student should have a basic overview of children's and youth literature in Spanish and the skills needed to use them in the teaching of literature.

Class syllabus:
Specific features of children's and youth literature.
Characteristics of individual genres of children's and youth literature in Spanish.
Selected representatives of children's and youth literature in Spanish literature.
Selected representatives of children's and youth literature of Hispanic America.
Translations of children's and youth literature in Spanish into Slovak.
Use of folk fairy tales and other genres of oral tradition in the teaching of literature, didactization of contents.
Use of folk songs and other genres of oral tradition in the teaching of literature, didactization of content.
Use of authorial fairy tales in the teaching of literature.
Use of poems for children and children's songs in the teaching of literature, didactization of content.

Recommended literature:
During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
BOJNIČANOVÁ, R. 2013. „La tipología del héroe y la funcionalidad del cómic contemporáneo: tres ejemplos editados en España y en Eslovaquia en el siglo XXI“. In: Analele Universităţii Spiru Haret Seria Filologie - Limbi şi literaturi străine. Volume 18, n. 18, p. 239-250. ISSN 1454-8291.
CERRILLO TORREMOCHA, P. 2010. Literatura infantil y juvenil y educación literaria. Hacia una nueva enseñanza de la literatura. Barcelona: Octaedro. 32 s. ISBN: 978-84-9921-085-8. Digitized book available online at: https://www.uv.mx/rmipe/files/2017/02/Literatura-infantil-y-juvenil.pdf
COLOMER, T. 1991. „De la enseñanza de la literatura a la educación literaria“. In: Comunicación, lenguaje y educación, 9, pp. 21 – 31. ISSN: 0214-7033. Available online at: https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=126236
GARRALÓN DE LA TORRE, A. 2017. Historia portátil de la literatura infantil y juvenil. Prensas universitarias Zaragoza. ISBN 8416933510.
SÁNCHEZ MORILLAS, C. 2018. „Historia de la literatura infantil y juvenil: Europa y España“. In: La literatura infantil y juvenil: investigaciones, ed. E. Jiménez-Pérez,– S. Fabregat Barrios, s. 11–22. Barcelona: Octaedro. ISBN: 978-84-17667-18-4. Available online at: https://investigacion.ujaen.es/documentos/6109f8c3a278564a8fceb7e9?

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Spanish and Slovak

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde032/22	Medial education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture + seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
100% of the interim grade, which includes 30% class activity (30 points) and 70% project (70 points).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), the student reflects correctly and actively both critically and creatively
knowledge, including its meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice.
B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), the student reflects critically on knowledge appropriately,
including their meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice.
C (85-76%, good - routine reliable work), students performed standardly well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge.
D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), students were less prepared during the semester, they have slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge. They cannot critically analyze information.
E (67-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), the student has limited theoretical knowledge, is unable to critically analyze this knowledge, is only minimally able to apply the knowledge.
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The thematic focus of the course introduces students to applied ethics and its disciplinary divisions, to
defining the basic concepts of ethics, explaining morality as a social regulator, moral norms and the principles of creating ethical codes. It focuses on the professional ethics of the teaching profession

(teacher competences, ethical dilemmas in the teaching profession, etc.) and the importance of a code of ethics in this profession. The aim of the course is to highlight the importance of a favourable classroom and school climate and to work with the content of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Using selected examples from other branches of applied ethics (bioethics, media ethics, etc.) to show the importance of the presence of a code of ethics in the professions, the possibility of using classical ethical concepts and also different alternatives in solving ethical dilemmas.
This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication).
The course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers by its focus and methods of education.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Introduction to the subject: defining the basic concepts: ethics and morality.
Ethics and professional ethics: definition of basic terms: applied ethics - sectoral division of applied ethics. Professional ethics as a part of applied ethics.
2. Professional tact and etiquette as a basis for the formation of the code of ethics of individual professions.
3. The teaching profession and the teacher's code of ethics. Status and role of the teacher. Resolving dilemmas in the teaching profession.
4. Positive climate in the classroom, relationships between school climate factors.
5. Convention on the Rights of the Child.
6. A closer definition of bioethics and its areas of interest on concrete examples, the issue of the code of ethics. Classical ethical theories and alternative methods in solving ethical dilemmas.

Recommended literature:
Compulsory reading:
ANZENBACHER, A. (2001). Úvod do etiky. Praha: Academia, s. 13 – 17. ISBN 978-80-200-0917-5
GLUCHMAN, V. (2000). Úvod do etiky. Prešov: Univerzum, s. 7 – 103, 122 – 133. ISBN 978-80-890-1200-0
GLUCHMANOVÁ, M., GLUCHMAN, V. (2008). Učiteľská etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 88 – 127, 194 – 215. ISBN 978-80-806-8808-0
Recommended reading:
HUPKOVÁ, M., PETLÁK, E. (2004). Sebareflexia a kompetencie v práci učiteľa. Bratislava: IRIS, 2004. s. 11 – 26, 81 – 96. ISBN 978-80-89018-77-7
KOSOVÁ B. a kol. (2012). Vysokoškolské vzdelávanie učiteľov. Banská Bystrica: Pedagogická fakulta UMB v Banskej Bystrici, s. 6 – 21, 52 – 61. ISBN 978-80-557-0353-4
LIESSMANN, K. P. (2012). Teorie nevzdělanosti. Praha: ACADEMIA, s. 37 – 61. ISBN 978-80-200-1677-5
MALANKIEVIČOVÁ, S. (2008). Profesijná etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 13 – 35. ISBN 978-80-806-8811-0
REMIŠOVÁ, A. (1997). Podnikateľská etika. Nitra: ENIGMA, s. 12 – 49, 198 – 211.
VAJDA, J. (2004). Úvod do etiky. Nitra: Enigma, s. 13 - 56. ISBN 978-80-8913-212-6
VETEŠKA, J., TURECKIOVÁ, M. (2008). Kompetence ve vzdělávání. Praha: GRADA, s. 13 – 57. ISBN 978-80-247-1770-8
ŽILÍNEK, M. (1997). Étos a utváranie mravnej identity osobnosti. Bratislava: IRIS, s. 12 – 108. ISBN 978-80-88778-60-3

WILLIAMS, B. (2021). Morálka: úvod do etiky. Praha: OYIKOYMENH. ISBN 978-80-7298-569-2
DANIŠKOVÁ, Z. (2013). Môže prístup „new public management“ dopomôcť k etablovaniu etického
kódexu učiteľa? 2013 dostupné na http://www.casopispedagogika.sk/rocnik-4/cislo-2/studia_daniskova.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 195

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
60,0	0,0	20,0	8,21	1,54	0,0	10,26

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde032/22	Medial education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture + seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
100% of the interim grade, which includes 30% class activity (30 points) and 70% project (70 points).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-94%, excellent - outstanding results), the student reflects correctly and actively both critically and creatively
knowledge, including its meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice.
B (93-86%, very good - above average standard), the student reflects critically on knowledge appropriately,
including their meaningful integration into the realities of life and pedagogical practice.
C (85-76%, good - routine reliable work), students performed standardly well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge is at a good level, but they lack the ability to critically apply this knowledge.
D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results), students were less prepared during the semester, they have slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge. They cannot critically analyze information.
E (67-60%, sufficient - the results meet the minimum criteria), the student has limited theoretical knowledge, is unable to critically analyze this knowledge, is only minimally able to apply the knowledge.
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The thematic focus of the course introduces students to applied ethics and its disciplinary divisions, to
defining the basic concepts of ethics, explaining morality as a social regulator, moral norms and the principles of creating ethical codes. It focuses on the professional ethics of the teaching profession

(teacher competences, ethical dilemmas in the teaching profession, etc.) and the importance of a code of ethics in this profession. The aim of the course is to highlight the importance of a favourable classroom and school climate and to work with the content of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Using selected examples from other branches of applied ethics (bioethics, media ethics, etc.) to show the importance of the presence of a code of ethics in the professions, the possibility of using classical ethical concepts and also different alternatives in solving ethical dilemmas.
This knowledge can be used in further studies as well as in real life. The chosen educational methods will contribute to the further development of competences (cognitive area: memory, critical thinking, thinking in context, reasoning; affective area: attitudes and beliefs, values; psychomotor area: digital skills; social area: cooperation, communication).
The course contributes to the gradual development of important professional competences of future teachers by its focus and methods of education.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Introduction to the subject: defining the basic concepts: ethics and morality.
Ethics and professional ethics: definition of basic terms: applied ethics - sectoral division of applied ethics. Professional ethics as a part of applied ethics.
2. Professional tact and etiquette as a basis for the formation of the code of ethics of individual professions.
3. The teaching profession and the teacher's code of ethics. Status and role of the teacher. Resolving dilemmas in the teaching profession.
4. Positive climate in the classroom, relationships between school climate factors.
5. Convention on the Rights of the Child.
6. A closer definition of bioethics and its areas of interest on concrete examples, the issue of the code of ethics. Classical ethical theories and alternative methods in solving ethical dilemmas.

Recommended literature:
Compulsory reading:
ANZENBACHER, A. (2001). Úvod do etiky. Praha: Academia, s. 13 – 17. ISBN 978-80-200-0917-5
GLUCHMAN, V. (2000). Úvod do etiky. Prešov: Univerzum, s. 7 – 103, 122 – 133. ISBN 978-80-890-1200-0
GLUCHMANOVÁ, M., GLUCHMAN, V. (2008). Učiteľská etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 88 – 127, 194 – 215. ISBN 978-80-806-8808-0
Recommended reading:
HUPKOVÁ, M., PETLÁK, E. (2004). Sebareflexia a kompetencie v práci učiteľa. Bratislava: IRIS, 2004. s. 11 – 26, 81 – 96. ISBN 978-80-89018-77-7
KOSOVÁ B. a kol. (2012). Vysokoškolské vzdelávanie učiteľov. Banská Bystrica: Pedagogická fakulta UMB v Banskej Bystrici, s. 6 – 21, 52 – 61. ISBN 978-80-557-0353-4
LIESSMANN, K. P. (2012). Teorie nevzdělanosti. Praha: ACADEMIA, s. 37 – 61. ISBN 978-80-200-1677-5
MALANKIEVIČOVÁ, S. (2008). Profesijná etika. Prešov: FF PU (ESF), s. 13 – 35. ISBN 978-80-806-8811-0
REMIŠOVÁ, A. (1997). Podnikateľská etika. Nitra: ENIGMA, s. 12 – 49, 198 – 211.
VAJDA, J. (2004). Úvod do etiky. Nitra: Enigma, s. 13 - 56. ISBN 978-80-8913-212-6
VETEŠKA, J., TURECKIOVÁ, M. (2008). Kompetence ve vzdělávání. Praha: GRADA, s. 13 – 57. ISBN 978-80-247-1770-8
ŽILÍNEK, M. (1997). Étos a utváranie mravnej identity osobnosti. Bratislava: IRIS, s. 12 – 108. ISBN 978-80-88778-60-3

WILLIAMS, B. (2021). Morálka: úvod do etiky. Praha: OYIKOYMENH. ISBN 978-80-7298-569-2
DANIŠKOVÁ, Z. (2013). Môže prístup „new public management“ dopomôcť k etablovaniu etického
kódexu učiteľa? 2013 dostupné na http://www.casopispedagogika.sk/rocnik-4/cislo-2/studia_daniskova.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 195

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
60,0	0,0	20,0	8,21	1,54	0,0	10,26

Lecturers: PhDr. Slávka Drozdová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde015/22	Mediation Activities in Spanish Language 1.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 20 hours of continuous home preparation for class, sub-tasks; 8 hours preparation of seminar work.
Teaching methods: interpretation, discussion on the topic discussed, interview, working with foreign text, translation, critical analysis of texts and comparison, problem solving of tasks, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities during the semester and the fulfilment of ongoing tasks aimed at practicing mediation activities (translation, summary and reformulating of text, preparation for interpretation in normal communication situations) and tasks related to the use of such texts in foreign language teaching. Active performance in lessons and participation in discussion of the solutions developed are evaluated. For the performance of these tasks, the student can earn 60 points. The remaining 40 points are earned by the student for the preparation of seminar work according to the assignment of the teachers. Credits will not be awarded to a student who does not perform ongoing tasks and does not hand over the intended seminar work.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to acquire basic skills of using mediation language activities in learning a foreign language.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• acquires basic knowledge in the field of mediation activities in the learning of foreign languages,
• can apply mediation language skills to specific texts in Spanish,
• acquire basic practical skills in translating texts at a lower level of difficulty,
• acquire basic practical skills in other mediation activities, such as summarizing and reformulating the Spanish text and interpreting in normal communication situations,
• knows the basic mediation strategies,
• has developed basic learning skills,
• is able to adapt the acquired theoretical knowledge for practical use in mediation activities and propose the use of mediation activities in the teaching of a foreign language.

Class syllabus:
Basic practical competencies and theoretical knowledge of mediation activities in learning a foreign language.
Use of text and mediation activities in foreign language teaching.
Mediation strategies.
The translation process. Specific types of translation.
Other mediation activities in written and oral speech (summary and reformulation of text, interpretation in normal communication situations, etc.).
Application of acquired knowledge in mediation of stylistically diverse texts into Slovak. Training of mediation activities focusing on shorter-range texts.
Artistic text
Journalistic text
Professional text

Recommended literature:
ARRIBA GARCÍA, C. de - CANTERO SERENA F.J. 2004. „ La mediación lingüística en la enseñanza de lenguas.“ Didáctica (Lengua y Literatura) 2004, vol. 16, s. 9-21, ISSN: 1130-0531
MÜGLOVÁ, D. 2009. Komunikácia, tlmočenie, preklad. Bratislava: Enigma, 2009. 323 s. ISBN 978-80-89132-82-9. (3rd chapter, M. Dvorecký, p. 209–234)
Miesto mediačných činností v kontexte maturitnej skúšky. In: KLIMOVÁ K., MEDVECZKÁ M., ŠTRBÁKOVÁ R., TÓTH Z.: Ako efektívne vyučovať maturitné témy románskych jazykov (francúzština, španielčina, taliančina) teória a prax 2. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 2022. ISBN 978-80-223-5539-1. s.31-38.
RADA EURÓPY EURÓPSKEJ ÚNIE. 2017. Spoločný európsky referenčný rámec pre jazyky. Bratislava: Štátny pedagogický ústav. Dostupné na: https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/publikacna-cinnost/publikacie/serr_tlac-indd.pdf (selected parts)
RADA EURÓPY EURÓPSKEJ ÚNIE. 2022. Spoločný európsky referenčný rámec pre jazyky: učenie sa, vyučovanie, hodnotenie – doplňujúce vydanie. ŠPÚ: Bratislava. Dostupné na: https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/vyskum/publikacna#cinnost/publikacie/spolocny#europsky#ramec#jazyky#ucenie#vyucovanie#hodnotenie#doplnujuce#vydanie.pdf (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Spanish and Slovak

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 37

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
40,54	0,0	37,84	13,51	2,7	5,41	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde015/22	Mediation Activities in Spanish Language 1.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 20 hours of continuous home preparation for class, sub-tasks; 8 hours preparation of seminar work.
Teaching methods: interpretation, discussion on the topic discussed, interview, working with foreign text, translation, critical analysis of texts and comparison, problem solving of tasks, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities during the semester and the fulfilment of ongoing tasks aimed at practicing mediation activities (translation, summary and reformulating of text, preparation for interpretation in normal communication situations) and tasks related to the use of such texts in foreign language teaching. Active performance in lessons and participation in discussion of the solutions developed are evaluated. For the performance of these tasks, the student can earn 60 points. The remaining 40 points are earned by the student for the preparation of seminar work according to the assignment of the teachers. Credits will not be awarded to a student who does not perform ongoing tasks and does not hand over the intended seminar work.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to acquire basic skills of using mediation language activities in learning a foreign language.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• acquires basic knowledge in the field of mediation activities in the learning of foreign languages,
• can apply mediation language skills to specific texts in Spanish,
• acquire basic practical skills in translating texts at a lower level of difficulty,
• acquire basic practical skills in other mediation activities, such as summarizing and reformulating the Spanish text and interpreting in normal communication situations,
• knows the basic mediation strategies,
• has developed basic learning skills,
• is able to adapt the acquired theoretical knowledge for practical use in mediation activities and propose the use of mediation activities in the teaching of a foreign language.

Class syllabus:
Basic practical competencies and theoretical knowledge of mediation activities in learning a foreign language.
Use of text and mediation activities in foreign language teaching.
Mediation strategies.
The translation process. Specific types of translation.
Other mediation activities in written and oral speech (summary and reformulation of text, interpretation in normal communication situations, etc.).
Application of acquired knowledge in mediation of stylistically diverse texts into Slovak. Training of mediation activities focusing on shorter-range texts.
Artistic text
Journalistic text
Professional text

Recommended literature:
ARRIBA GARCÍA, C. de - CANTERO SERENA F.J. 2004. „ La mediación lingüística en la enseñanza de lenguas.“ Didáctica (Lengua y Literatura) 2004, vol. 16, s. 9-21, ISSN: 1130-0531
MÜGLOVÁ, D. 2009. Komunikácia, tlmočenie, preklad. Bratislava: Enigma, 2009. 323 s. ISBN 978-80-89132-82-9. (3rd chapter, M. Dvorecký, p. 209–234)
Miesto mediačných činností v kontexte maturitnej skúšky. In: KLIMOVÁ K., MEDVECZKÁ M., ŠTRBÁKOVÁ R., TÓTH Z.: Ako efektívne vyučovať maturitné témy románskych jazykov (francúzština, španielčina, taliančina) teória a prax 2. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 2022. ISBN 978-80-223-5539-1. s.31-38.
RADA EURÓPY EURÓPSKEJ ÚNIE. 2017. Spoločný európsky referenčný rámec pre jazyky. Bratislava: Štátny pedagogický ústav. Dostupné na: https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/publikacna-cinnost/publikacie/serr_tlac-indd.pdf (selected parts)
RADA EURÓPY EURÓPSKEJ ÚNIE. 2022. Spoločný európsky referenčný rámec pre jazyky: učenie sa, vyučovanie, hodnotenie – doplňujúce vydanie. ŠPÚ: Bratislava. Dostupné na: https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/vyskum/publikacna#cinnost/publikacie/spolocny#europsky#ramec#jazyky#ucenie#vyucovanie#hodnotenie#doplnujuce#vydanie.pdf (selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Spanish and Slovak

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 37

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
40,54	0,0	37,84	13,51	2,7	5,41	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde045/22	Mediation Activities in Spanish Language 2.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 20 hours of home preparation for lessons, sub-tasks; 8 hours preparation of seminar work.
Teaching methods: interpretation, discussion on the topic discussed, interview, working with foreign text, translation, critical analysis of texts and comparison, problem solving of tasks, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in lessons during the semester and the fulfilment of ongoing tasks aimed at practicing mediation activities (translation, summary and reformulating of text, preparation for interpretation in normal communication situations) and tasks related to the use of such texts in foreign language teaching. Active performance in lessons and participation in discussion of the solutions developed are evaluated. For the performance of these tasks, the student can earn 60 points. The remaining 40 points are earned by the student for the preparation of seminar work according to the assignment of the teachers. Credits will not be awarded to a student who does not perform ongoing tasks and does not hand over the intended seminar work.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the subject.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to deepen the basic skills of using mediation language activities in the acquisition and teaching of Spanish.
Educational outcomes:
Student after successful completion of the course
• acquired basic knowledge in the field of mediation activities in the acquisition and teaching of Spanish,
• can apply mediation language skills to various texts in Spanish,
• acquired practical skills in translating medium-range and demanding texts,
• acquire practical skills in other mediation activities, such as summarizing and reformulating the Spanish-language text and interpreting in normal communication situations,
• can use basic mediation strategies,
• can independently design simple mediation activities suitable for teaching Spanish.

Class syllabus:
Enhanced practical competences and theoretical knowledge of mediation activities in learning and teaching Spanish.
Use of mediation strategies in the mediation process.
Translation analysis.
Selected types of translation.
Application and exemplification of acquired knowledge in mediation of stylistically diverse texts of medium range and difficulty into Slovak.
Application of other mediation activities (summary and reformulation of texts, interpretation in normal communication situations, etc.) to texts of medium range and difficulty.

Recommended literature:
CRUZ ITURRIBARRI, S.L. 2025. Propuesta de evaluación de actividades de mediación escrita. In: Sendas del hispanismo: márgenes, centros y convergencias : Actas del XXI Congreso de la Asociación Internacional de Hispanistas (Neuchâtel 2023) / coord. por J. P. Sánchez Méndez, E. Padrón Castilla, N. Parro Gómez. ISBN 978-3-96869-759-8, s. 199-208.Dostupné na: https://www.iberoamericana-vervuert.es/capitulos/9783968696935_014.pdf
MAREČKOVÁ, P. (Ne)Ztraceno v překladu: mediační úlohy v cizojazyčné výuce a jejich příprava. In: Cizí jazyky. roč. 64, č. 5. ISSN 1210-0811.
MÜGLOVÁ, D. 1996. Preklad v teórii a praxi cudzojazyčnej výučby. Nitra: VŠP. 109 s. ISBN 8080500819.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Czech language and Spanish language

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde045/22	Mediation Activities in Spanish Language 2.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 20 hours of home preparation for lessons, sub-tasks; 8 hours preparation of seminar work.
Teaching methods: interpretation, discussion on the topic discussed, interview, working with foreign text, translation, critical analysis of texts and comparison, problem solving of tasks, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in lessons during the semester and the fulfilment of ongoing tasks aimed at practicing mediation activities (translation, summary and reformulating of text, preparation for interpretation in normal communication situations) and tasks related to the use of such texts in foreign language teaching. Active performance in lessons and participation in discussion of the solutions developed are evaluated. For the performance of these tasks, the student can earn 60 points. The remaining 40 points are earned by the student for the preparation of seminar work according to the assignment of the teachers. Credits will not be awarded to a student who does not perform ongoing tasks and does not hand over the intended seminar work.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the subject.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to deepen the basic skills of using mediation language activities in the acquisition and teaching of Spanish.
Educational outcomes:
Student after successful completion of the course
• acquired basic knowledge in the field of mediation activities in the acquisition and teaching of Spanish,
• can apply mediation language skills to various texts in Spanish,
• acquired practical skills in translating medium-range and demanding texts,
• acquire practical skills in other mediation activities, such as summarizing and reformulating the Spanish-language text and interpreting in normal communication situations,
• can use basic mediation strategies,
• can independently design simple mediation activities suitable for teaching Spanish.

Class syllabus:
Enhanced practical competences and theoretical knowledge of mediation activities in learning and teaching Spanish.
Use of mediation strategies in the mediation process.
Translation analysis.
Selected types of translation.
Application and exemplification of acquired knowledge in mediation of stylistically diverse texts of medium range and difficulty into Slovak.
Application of other mediation activities (summary and reformulation of texts, interpretation in normal communication situations, etc.) to texts of medium range and difficulty.

Recommended literature:
CRUZ ITURRIBARRI, S.L. 2025. Propuesta de evaluación de actividades de mediación escrita. In: Sendas del hispanismo: márgenes, centros y convergencias : Actas del XXI Congreso de la Asociación Internacional de Hispanistas (Neuchâtel 2023) / coord. por J. P. Sánchez Méndez, E. Padrón Castilla, N. Parro Gómez. ISBN 978-3-96869-759-8, s. 199-208.Dostupné na: https://www.iberoamericana-vervuert.es/capitulos/9783968696935_014.pdf
MAREČKOVÁ, P. (Ne)Ztraceno v překladu: mediační úlohy v cizojazyčné výuce a jejich příprava. In: Cizí jazyky. roč. 64, č. 5. ISSN 1210-0811.
MÜGLOVÁ, D. 1996. Preklad v teórii a praxi cudzojazyčnej výučby. Nitra: VŠP. 109 s. ISBN 8080500819.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, Czech language and Spanish language

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde012/22	Methodology of Professional Text Writing

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture + seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: lecture and seminar 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 20 hours of ongoing written tasks on individual topics and home preparation for class, 8 hours finalization of the final project of bachelor's work.
Teaching methods: lecturing, interpretation, discussion on the topic discussed, working with the professional text, critical analysis of texts, problem solving of tasks, written works, managed self-study, e-learning.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be awarded credits 100% based on the performance of ongoing tasks. The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities during the semester – 10% and the fulfilment of ongoing tasks on individual topics according to the curriculum, consisting in the training of various components of professional style texts and in the analysis of professional texts in Slovak and Spanish/Italian – 60 %. Based on feedback on partial tasks from teachers, the student completes the bachelor's final work project, which accounts for 30% of the evaluation.
The evaluation takes into account the student's ability to apply the methodology of writing specialized text when creating his/her own texts and the precision in observing the rules of professional style. Credits will not be awarded to a student who does not perform ongoing tasks and does not hand them over within the specified time limit.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to improve the quality of written expression in a professional style in order to prepare students for writing a bachelor's final work, adopting the principles of academic ethics in writing professional works and quotation techniques.

Educational outcomes:

Student after successful completion of the course

• has adopted ethical principles of working with resources and writing specialized professional texts,

• be able to select, analyze and thoroughly examine the sources of information used,

• can quote (both literally and paraphrasing) printed and electronic information sources in accordance with the chosen quotation technique,

• can draw up a list of bibliography in accordance with the current standard, taking into account the specificities of the Spanish language,

• is able to apply knowledge in practice and create a cohesion and coherent professional text from his specialization in both Slovak and Spanish/Italian.

Completion of the course contributes to the achievement of competences such as: the ability to analyze and synthesis, the ability to plan and organize their studies, the ability to search, select and process relevant information, etc.

Class syllabus:

• Professional communication - style and its specifics. Lexical and morphosyntactic properties of the professional style. Specific features of the professional style of Spanish/Italian-language texts.

• Types of professional texts. Typology of information sources used in the final works.

• Stages in the creation of professional work and text writing. Work schedule.

• Ethical principles of writing and publishing professional texts.

• Quotation methods, quote and paraphrase.

• Creating a list of bibliographic references.

• Latin abbreviations used in quotation.

• Writing abstract and résumé, creating synthesis of studied materials.

• Text structure, cohesion, and text coherence.

• Training in writing professional texts in Spanish/Italian/Slovak.

• Guidelines on the creation and formal regulation of bachelor thesis. Bachelor´s thesis project.

Recommended literature:

GAVORA, P. – KOLLÁRIKOVÁ, Z. – NOVÁKOVÁ, E. 2010. Manuál pre tvorbu bakalárskej a diplomovej práce. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Pedagogická fakulta, aktualizácia 2010.

KIMLIČKA, Š. 2006. Metodika písania vysokoškolských a kvalifikačných prác. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. 58 s.

MEŠKO, D. – KATUŠČÁK, D. a kol. 2004. Akademická príručka. Martin: Osveta, 2004. 316 s. ISBN 8080631506.

VP č. 32/2023 Smernica rektora UK. Úplné znenie VP č. 12/2013 Smernice rektora UK o základných náležitostiach záverečných prác, rigoróznych prác a habilitačných prác, kontrole ich originality, uchovávaní a sprístupňovaní na UK. (Directive of the Rector of Comenius University - requirements for final theses concerning various degrees)

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 114

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
59,65	0,0	27,19	7,89	2,63	0,88	1,75

Lecturers: Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD., PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde031/22	Methodology of Teaching Plurilingualism in EU Countries

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: seminar 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
11 x 2 hours of in-person teaching = 22 hours
11 x 0.5 hours to fill in the diary after each seminar for self-evaluation, self-reflection and seminar evaluation = 5.5 hours
11 x 2 continuous home preparation for teaching, self-study, critical analysis of scientific and scientific literature, preparation of suggestions for discussion = 22 hours
1 x 25.5 preparation of multilingual activities for modelling
Teaching methods:
short presentations, brainstorming, interview, group discussion, case studies, modelling

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Interim evaluation:
Students will prepare for each seminar by studying selected articles and chapters of recommended literature (short presentations, suggestions for discussion, reflections on the connection of theory and practice, etc.). Their work on individual seminars will be evaluated on the basis of self-reflection through the diary of the learner, who will be submitted after each seminar via an online form, and feedback from the teacher (66% of the evaluation). Once during the semester, students create material for model teaching using multilingual approaches. Model teaching is evaluated by seminar participants and teachers (34% of the evaluation).
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

The final evaluation reflects the level of insight of the graduate in the themes of plurilinguism and multilingualism, the ability to work with professional literature and to discern the didactic implications of scientific studies; the level of analytical and critical thinking and reasoning; the ability to apply theoretical knowledge to their professional experience; competence to use knowledge for their personal and professional development.
Excellent: the graduate focuses on an excellent level in the complex theme of plurilinguism and multilingualism, has a detailed overview of selected studies and their didactic implications, knows selected Council of Europe documents analyzed during the seminar. In discussions, he can argue clearly and logically, support his argumentation with scientific knowledge, which he will link to his/her own experience, and use the relevant conceptual apparatus. In modelling, it is clear that it was prepared on the basis of the knowledge gained during the seminar and by linking it to their own experience.
Very good: the graduate focuses on a very good level in the complex theme of plurilinguism and multilingualism, has an overview of selected studies and their didactic implications, knows the selected documents of the Council of Europe analyzed during the seminar. Most of the time, he/she can argue clearly and logically, but sometimes he/she shows less uncertainty. The link between his/her arguments and scientific studies is not always transparent, but the graduate's responses to clarifying questions reflect the theoretical anchoring of his reasoning. Modelling is prepared on the basis of the knowledge and skills acquired during the seminar and demonstrates only minor shortcomings (e.g. less connectivity with one's own experience or less presence of individual elements).
Good: the graduate is orientated in the complex theme of plurilinguism and multilingualism, has an overview of selected studies and their didactic implications, knows the selected documents of the Council of Europe analyzed during the seminar. He/she is able to actively engage in discussions, but his arguments and responses to clarifying questions reflect less interconnectedness with the literature studied. Model teaching is prepared on the basis of the knowledge and skills acquired during the seminar and demonstrates partial shortcomings (e.g. less creativity and own ideas, increased tendency to take on finished teaching material).
Satisfactory: the graduate is sufficiently focused on the complex theme of plurilinguism and multilingualism, has an overview of selected studies and their didactic implications, knows some of the selected Council of Europe documents analyzed during the seminar. He/she is less active in discussions, his/her reasoning and responses to clarifying questions reflect less interconnectedness with the literature studied, more often based on his/her own experience and general knowledge. Linking this experience and knowledge with the knowledge gained during the seminar and self-study is not always transparent. Model teaching is prepared based on the knowledge and skills acquired during the seminar, but demonstrates shortcomings (e.g. less creativity and own ideas, increased tendency to take on finished teaching material without adaptation to the target audience).
Sufficient: the graduate is sufficiently focused on the complex theme of plurilinguism and multilingualism, has a basic overview of selected studies and their didactic implications, he/she is sufficiently familiar with some of the selected Council of Europe documents analyzed during the seminar. He/she participates less actively in discussions, his/her arguments and responses to clarifying questions reflect less interconnectedness with the literature studied, rather based on his/her own experience and general knowledge, which are not always revised on the basis of the knowledge gained during the seminar and self-study. Model learning is prepared on the basis of the knowledge and skills acquired during the seminar, but demonstrates various shortcomings (e.g. less creativity and own ideas, increased tendency to take over finished teaching material without adaptation for the target audience, less internal consistency).

Learning outcomes:

Objectives of education: to provide an introduction to the theme of multilingualism in relation to language teaching, to get familiar with basic knowledge of multilingualism (e.g. theoretical definitions such as the first language, the second language and the third language, the concept of a dominant linguistic constellation, psycholinguistic studies on the acquisition of third languages, the concept of pluralistic competence), to raise graduates' awareness of the importance of multilingualism, which is at the heart of European language policy, to give an overview of multilingual approaches in language teaching.
Educational outcomes: Graduates of the subject acquire knowledge of the theoretical conceptualisation of multilingualism, the impact and implications of multilingualism on language teaching, the importance of multilingualism in European language policy; develop a skill to orientate itself in the diversity of teaching methods and multilingual approaches to language teaching and learning, and a skill to apply these methods and approaches in practice, also on the basis of the practical experience gained in this seminar. The theoretical and didactic competencies acquired will allow graduates to adapt language teaching to the diverse needs of multilingual learners.

Class syllabus:
Block 1: linguistic diversity and language learning (theoretical definitions of basic terms such as first language, second language, third languages, dominant linguistic constellation, multilingualism, plurilinguism, concept of plurilingual competence).
Block 2: multilingualism as a central element of European language policy (Council of Europe Recommendations on a comprehensive approach to language teaching and learning, plurilingual and pluricultural competence in the CEFR, FREPA framework).
Block 3: psycholinguistic studies on multilingualism (M-factor concept, importance of metallisive and cross-linguistic awareness, positive impact of multilingualism on cognitive processes).
Block 4: multilingualism and education: multilingual approaches to language teaching and learning (e.g. language awakening, integrated language approach, understanding between related languages, an approach developing pluricultural competence, translanguaging as a pedagogical approach, attractions and inspirational examples in research and practice).

Recommended literature:
During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
Current resources from the EC and domestic institutions from research in education.
COUNCIL OF EUROPE. 2020. Common European Framework of reference for languages: learning, teaching, assessment. Companion volume with new descriptors. Strasbourg, France: Council of Europe. 235 pp.
DUCHOŇOVÁ, Z. 2014. Viacjazyčnosť vo vyučovaní na základných školách. Bratislava: Mpc: metodicko-pedagogické centrum. 72 s. ISBN: 978-80-565-0009-5.
EURÓPSKA KOMISIA. 2015. Zjednotení v rozmanitosti. Brusel, (pdf document)
GRIMA A. C., CANDELIER M., CASTELLOTTI V., LŐRINCZ I., MEIßNER F.-J., MOLINIÉ M., NOGUEROL A., PIETRO J.-F. DE, SCHRÖDER-SURA A. 2012. FREPA: A Framework of reference for pluralistic approaches to languages and cultures - Competences and resources, Council of Europe Publishing, Strasbourg. ISBN: 978-92-871-7173-3.
SCHWARZER, D., ACOSTA, Ch. 2014. Two Activities for Multilingual Students Learning in Monolingual Classrooms, Journal of Multilingual Education, 5, 93-110. URL: http://fordham.bepress.com/jmer/vol5/iss1/6
VETTER, E., JESSNER, U. (eds) International Research on Multilingualism: Breaking with the Monolingual Perspective. Multilingual Education, vol 35. Springer, Cham. 254 s. ISBN:978-3-030-21382-4 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-21380-0_13

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, English language (passive)

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 16

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
87,5	0,0	6,25	0,0	0,0	0,0	6,25

Lecturers: Mgr. Zuzana Tóth, Dr.phil

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ROMde031/22	Methodology of Teaching Plurilingualism in EU Countries

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: seminar 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
11 x 2 hours of in-person teaching = 22 hours
11 x 0.5 hours to fill in the diary after each seminar for self-evaluation, self-reflection and seminar evaluation = 5.5 hours
11 x 2 continuous home preparation for teaching, self-study, critical analysis of scientific and scientific literature, preparation of suggestions for discussion = 22 hours
1 x 25.5 preparation of multilingual activities for modelling
Teaching methods:
short presentations, brainstorming, interview, group discussion, case studies, modelling

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Interim evaluation:
Students will prepare for each seminar by studying selected articles and chapters of recommended literature (short presentations, suggestions for discussion, reflections on the connection of theory and practice, etc.). Their work on individual seminars will be evaluated on the basis of self-reflection through the diary of the learner, who will be submitted after each seminar via an online form, and feedback from the teacher (66% of the evaluation). Once during the semester, students create material for model teaching using multilingual approaches. Model teaching is evaluated by seminar participants and teachers (34% of the evaluation).
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

The final evaluation reflects the level of insight of the graduate in the themes of plurilinguism and multilingualism, the ability to work with professional literature and to discern the didactic implications of scientific studies; the level of analytical and critical thinking and reasoning; the ability to apply theoretical knowledge to their professional experience; competence to use knowledge for their personal and professional development.
Excellent: the graduate focuses on an excellent level in the complex theme of plurilinguism and multilingualism, has a detailed overview of selected studies and their didactic implications, knows selected Council of Europe documents analyzed during the seminar. In discussions, he can argue clearly and logically, support his argumentation with scientific knowledge, which he will link to his/her own experience, and use the relevant conceptual apparatus. In modelling, it is clear that it was prepared on the basis of the knowledge gained during the seminar and by linking it to their own experience.
Very good: the graduate focuses on a very good level in the complex theme of plurilinguism and multilingualism, has an overview of selected studies and their didactic implications, knows the selected documents of the Council of Europe analyzed during the seminar. Most of the time, he/she can argue clearly and logically, but sometimes he/she shows less uncertainty. The link between his/her arguments and scientific studies is not always transparent, but the graduate's responses to clarifying questions reflect the theoretical anchoring of his reasoning. Modelling is prepared on the basis of the knowledge and skills acquired during the seminar and demonstrates only minor shortcomings (e.g. less connectivity with one's own experience or less presence of individual elements).
Good: the graduate is orientated in the complex theme of plurilinguism and multilingualism, has an overview of selected studies and their didactic implications, knows the selected documents of the Council of Europe analyzed during the seminar. He/she is able to actively engage in discussions, but his arguments and responses to clarifying questions reflect less interconnectedness with the literature studied. Model teaching is prepared on the basis of the knowledge and skills acquired during the seminar and demonstrates partial shortcomings (e.g. less creativity and own ideas, increased tendency to take on finished teaching material).
Satisfactory: the graduate is sufficiently focused on the complex theme of plurilinguism and multilingualism, has an overview of selected studies and their didactic implications, knows some of the selected Council of Europe documents analyzed during the seminar. He/she is less active in discussions, his/her reasoning and responses to clarifying questions reflect less interconnectedness with the literature studied, more often based on his/her own experience and general knowledge. Linking this experience and knowledge with the knowledge gained during the seminar and self-study is not always transparent. Model teaching is prepared based on the knowledge and skills acquired during the seminar, but demonstrates shortcomings (e.g. less creativity and own ideas, increased tendency to take on finished teaching material without adaptation to the target audience).
Sufficient: the graduate is sufficiently focused on the complex theme of plurilinguism and multilingualism, has a basic overview of selected studies and their didactic implications, he/she is sufficiently familiar with some of the selected Council of Europe documents analyzed during the seminar. He/she participates less actively in discussions, his/her arguments and responses to clarifying questions reflect less interconnectedness with the literature studied, rather based on his/her own experience and general knowledge, which are not always revised on the basis of the knowledge gained during the seminar and self-study. Model learning is prepared on the basis of the knowledge and skills acquired during the seminar, but demonstrates various shortcomings (e.g. less creativity and own ideas, increased tendency to take over finished teaching material without adaptation for the target audience, less internal consistency).

Learning outcomes:

Objectives of education: to provide an introduction to the theme of multilingualism in relation to language teaching, to get familiar with basic knowledge of multilingualism (e.g. theoretical definitions such as the first language, the second language and the third language, the concept of a dominant linguistic constellation, psycholinguistic studies on the acquisition of third languages, the concept of pluralistic competence), to raise graduates' awareness of the importance of multilingualism, which is at the heart of European language policy, to give an overview of multilingual approaches in language teaching.
Educational outcomes: Graduates of the subject acquire knowledge of the theoretical conceptualisation of multilingualism, the impact and implications of multilingualism on language teaching, the importance of multilingualism in European language policy; develop a skill to orientate itself in the diversity of teaching methods and multilingual approaches to language teaching and learning, and a skill to apply these methods and approaches in practice, also on the basis of the practical experience gained in this seminar. The theoretical and didactic competencies acquired will allow graduates to adapt language teaching to the diverse needs of multilingual learners.

Class syllabus:
Block 1: linguistic diversity and language learning (theoretical definitions of basic terms such as first language, second language, third languages, dominant linguistic constellation, multilingualism, plurilinguism, concept of plurilingual competence).
Block 2: multilingualism as a central element of European language policy (Council of Europe Recommendations on a comprehensive approach to language teaching and learning, plurilingual and pluricultural competence in the CEFR, FREPA framework).
Block 3: psycholinguistic studies on multilingualism (M-factor concept, importance of metallisive and cross-linguistic awareness, positive impact of multilingualism on cognitive processes).
Block 4: multilingualism and education: multilingual approaches to language teaching and learning (e.g. language awakening, integrated language approach, understanding between related languages, an approach developing pluricultural competence, translanguaging as a pedagogical approach, attractions and inspirational examples in research and practice).

Recommended literature:
During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
Current resources from the EC and domestic institutions from research in education.
COUNCIL OF EUROPE. 2020. Common European Framework of reference for languages: learning, teaching, assessment. Companion volume with new descriptors. Strasbourg, France: Council of Europe. 235 pp.
DUCHOŇOVÁ, Z. 2014. Viacjazyčnosť vo vyučovaní na základných školách. Bratislava: Mpc: metodicko-pedagogické centrum. 72 s. ISBN: 978-80-565-0009-5.
EURÓPSKA KOMISIA. 2015. Zjednotení v rozmanitosti. Brusel, (pdf document)
GRIMA A. C., CANDELIER M., CASTELLOTTI V., LŐRINCZ I., MEIßNER F.-J., MOLINIÉ M., NOGUEROL A., PIETRO J.-F. DE, SCHRÖDER-SURA A. 2012. FREPA: A Framework of reference for pluralistic approaches to languages and cultures - Competences and resources, Council of Europe Publishing, Strasbourg. ISBN: 978-92-871-7173-3.
SCHWARZER, D., ACOSTA, Ch. 2014. Two Activities for Multilingual Students Learning in Monolingual Classrooms, Journal of Multilingual Education, 5, 93-110. URL: http://fordham.bepress.com/jmer/vol5/iss1/6
VETTER, E., JESSNER, U. (eds) International Research on Multilingualism: Breaking with the Monolingual Perspective. Multilingual Education, vol 35. Springer, Cham. 254 s. ISBN:978-3-030-21382-4 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-21380-0_13

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak language, English language (passive)

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 16

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
87,5	0,0	6,25	0,0	0,0	0,0	6,25

Lecturers: Mgr. Zuzana Tóth, Dr.phil

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde008/22	Methodology of research in educational science

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture + seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 3 **per level/semester:** 39
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 5

Recommended semester: 4.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by examination, the ratio of midterm/final assessment is 50/50.
The interim evaluation includes the following:
• semester work in the form of a subject portfolio: proposal (design) of educational research of quantitative or qualitative nature - 50 points,
The final evaluation includes the following:
• assessment of knowledge and selected competences in the form of a written test - 50 points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-94%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (93-86%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (85-76%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (75-68%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (67-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
Students will acquire knowledge of the basics of research in educational sciences, get acquainted with the basic concepts and theoretical-methodological knowledge necessary for designing (planning, conducting and evaluating) in the field of research... In the theoretical-empirical level in the framework of the analysis of individual quantitative and qualitative tools, methods and techniques, they will acquire the knowledge and acquire the competences necessary for planning, conducting and evaluating research in educational sciences of quantitative and qualitative nature. Students will acquire the competences to solve and resolve problems in the field of research in educational sciences.
Transferable competences: the course develops students' analytical, critical, evaluative, contextual and creative thinking and reasoning in context. In terms of self-reflection, the student is able to evaluate and assess his/her own availability to conduct research in educational sciences

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Science and research. Types of research. Validity, reliability and objectivity/objective truth. Subjectivity/subjective truth. Ethical aspects of research. Subjects in research in educational sciences and their specificities.
Quantitative and qualitative oriented research in educational sciences. Intentions, subjects and objects in research, principals in research, observed phenomena and conditions for the implementation of quantitative and qualitative oriented research in educational sciences.
Scientific hypotheses and variables for quantifying the phenomena under study. Operationalization of variables.
Questionnaire design, implementation and evaluation.
Assessment scales - typology, creation and evaluation.
The sociometry method and the measurement of social climate.
Interview design and use. Differences between quantitative and qualitative interviews.
Quantitative and qualitative observation in research..
Content analysis of documents from a quantitative and qualitative point of view.
Data processing, data analysis and interpretation. Statistical-mathematical procedures and triangulation processes. Dissemination of findings. Study design/design.

Recommended literature:
Required reading:

Gavora, P., Koldeová, L., Dvorská, D., Pekárová, J., Moravčík, M. 2010. Elektronická učebnica pedagogického výskumu. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. http://www.e-metodologia.fedu.uniba.sk/ ISBN 978–80–223–2951–4
Kostrub, D. 2016. Základy kvalitatívnej metodológie. Bratislava: UK. ISBN 978-80-223-4166-0
Recommended reading:
Ferjenčík, J. 2000. Úvod do metodológie psychologického výzkumu. Praha: Portál. ISBN 80-7178-367-6
Gavora, P. 2008. Úvod do pedagogického výskumu. Bratislava: UK. ISBN 978-80-223-2391-8
Maňák, J., Švec, V. 2004. Cesty pedagogického výzkumu. Brno: Paido. ISBN 978-80-7315-0785
Švec, Š. 2009. Metodologie věd o výchově: kvantitativně-scientické a kvalitativně-humanitní přístupy v edukačním výzkumu. Brno: Paido. ISBN 978-80-731-5192-8
Tomšik, R. 2017. Kvantitatívny výskum v pedagogických vedách. Úvod do metodológie a štatistického spracovania. Nitra: PdF UKF. ISBN 978-80-558-1206-9

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 28

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
67,86	0,0	21,43	3,57	0,0	0,0	7,14

Lecturers: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde033/22	Multicultural education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
Weighting of interim and final assessment: 100/0, the course ends with an assessment.
Students will develop two interim activities/projects as part of the interim coursework assessed at 40% and 40%. Activity and preparation in seminars is assessed (20%). A total of 100% of the interim assessment.
Students will choose one of the activities, work it systematically and develop it into a comprehensive lesson preparation according to this structure:
a. Objectives of the activity (what skills and abilities it develops - students will choose an appropriate topic according to their apprenticeship, where they will be able to apply the activity as a cross-cutting theme).
b. Target group of the activity (for which pupils it could be used).
c. Time and space (how long it would take, any special space requirements).
d. Activity flow (a brief scenario of how the student would carry it out).
e. Evaluation of the activity (different ways of assessing pupils, self-assessment, etc.).
f. Theoretical perspective on the activity (interpretation of the activity based on at least three key words from multicultural education theory).
g. Literature used.
The evaluation will assess the fulfilment of the principles of multicultural education, the suitability of the activity for teaching within the cross-cutting theme of multicultural education, the systematic nature of the preparation and the interconnectedness of its components. Negative evaluations are given to projects that could reinforce stereotypes and prejudices among pupils or in which students present stereotypical views of other cultures.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), students will produce an original project that is thought through as a coherent whole to develop intercultural understanding, all criteria are met at an excellent level.
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), students creatively develop a project that will assist in developing intercultural understanding, Criteria are met at a very good level.

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), students will produce a project that does not undermine the principles of multicultural education, Criteria are met at a good level.
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), students will develop a project that is not internally intertwined but does not violate the principles of multicultural education, the criteria are met satisfactorily.
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), students will develop a project that marginally undermines the principles of multicultural education, Criteria are met sufficiently.
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), students will develop a project that promotes cultural stereotypes and biases. The project does not meet the minimum criteria.

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
Students understand the principles and practices of multicultural education. They know how to work with stereotypes and prejudices in the classroom. They can plan and design multicultural education and integrate it as a cross-curricular topic in the classroom. They develop intercultural understanding. They master the main didactical procedures for the implementation of multicultural education as a cross-cutting theme.
Learning objectives:
To provide students with the basic information needed to understand the principles of multicultural education as a cross-cutting theme in the national curriculum. To teach students how to work with the issue of stereotypes and prejudices, to teach them how to plan and design multicultural education in schools as a cross-cutting theme. To familiarize students with the basic didactic practices in the implementation of multicultural education in education.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
The problem facing multicultural education - stereotypes and prejudices in us. Encountering another culture. Culture shock. How we get information about other cultures. How to cope with the arrival of foreigners. What we think about intercultural communication. Migration and values in multicultural education. How to proceed in multicultural education. Assessment in multicultural education.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:
Compulsory reading:
MISTRÍK, E. a kol. (2008). Multikultúrna výchova v škole. Bratislava : Nadácia otvorenej spoločnosti. ISBN 978-80-969271-4-2
MISTRÍK, E. a kol. 2006: Multi-kulti-na školách. Metodická príručka pre multikultúrnu výchovu. Bratislava: Nadácia Milana Šimečku. ISBN 80-89008-21-6
Recommended reading:
KASÍKOVÁ, H. a kol. (2003). Interkulturní výchova a vzdělávání: efektivní metody a formy. Praha : FF UK. (bez ISBN)
KOMINAREC, I. – KOMINARECOVÁ, E. (2009). Multikulturalita a komunikácia. Prešov : PO. ISBN 978-80-555-0061-4
MISTRÍK, E. (2008). Multikultúrna výchova. In: Školské vzdelávacie programy. Praktický manuál pre zostavenie vlastného školského vzdelávacieho programu. 3. E1. Bratislava : RAABE. ISBN 978-80-89182-27-5
PRŮCHA. J. (2006). Multikulturní výchova. Praha : Triton. ISBN 80-7254-866-2
VANČÍKOVÁ, K. (2013). Multikultúrna výchova, jej miesto v súčasnej škole. Banská Bystrica: PdF UMB. ISBN 978-80-557-0512-5

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 134

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
68,66	0,0	20,15	5,22	0,0	0,0	5,97

Lecturers: Mgr. Lucia Hlavatá, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde033/22	Multicultural education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
Weighting of interim and final assessment: 100/0, the course ends with an assessment.
Students will develop two interim activities/projects as part of the interim coursework assessed at 40% and 40%. Activity and preparation in seminars is assessed (20%). A total of 100% of the interim assessment.
Students will choose one of the activities, work it systematically and develop it into a comprehensive lesson preparation according to this structure:
a. Objectives of the activity (what skills and abilities it develops - students will choose an appropriate topic according to their apprenticeship, where they will be able to apply the activity as a cross-cutting theme).
b. Target group of the activity (for which pupils it could be used).
c. Time and space (how long it would take, any special space requirements).
d. Activity flow (a brief scenario of how the student would carry it out).
e. Evaluation of the activity (different ways of assessing pupils, self-assessment, etc.).
f. Theoretical perspective on the activity (interpretation of the activity based on at least three key words from multicultural education theory).
g. Literature used.
The evaluation will assess the fulfilment of the principles of multicultural education, the suitability of the activity for teaching within the cross-cutting theme of multicultural education, the systematic nature of the preparation and the interconnectedness of its components. Negative evaluations are given to projects that could reinforce stereotypes and prejudices among pupils or in which students present stereotypical views of other cultures.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results), students will produce an original project that is thought through as a coherent whole to develop intercultural understanding, all criteria are met at an excellent level.
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard), students creatively develop a project that will assist in developing intercultural understanding, Criteria are met at a very good level.

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work), students will produce a project that does not undermine the principles of multicultural education, Criteria are met at a good level.
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results), students will develop a project that is not internally intertwined but does not violate the principles of multicultural education, the criteria are met satisfactorily.
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria), students will develop a project that marginally undermines the principles of multicultural education, Criteria are met sufficiently.
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required), students will develop a project that promotes cultural stereotypes and biases. The project does not meet the minimum criteria.

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
Students understand the principles and practices of multicultural education. They know how to work with stereotypes and prejudices in the classroom. They can plan and design multicultural education and integrate it as a cross-curricular topic in the classroom. They develop intercultural understanding. They master the main didactical procedures for the implementation of multicultural education as a cross-cutting theme.
Learning objectives:
To provide students with the basic information needed to understand the principles of multicultural education as a cross-cutting theme in the national curriculum. To teach students how to work with the issue of stereotypes and prejudices, to teach them how to plan and design multicultural education in schools as a cross-cutting theme. To familiarize students with the basic didactic practices in the implementation of multicultural education in education.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
The problem facing multicultural education - stereotypes and prejudices in us. Encountering another culture. Culture shock. How we get information about other cultures. How to cope with the arrival of foreigners. What we think about intercultural communication. Migration and values in multicultural education. How to proceed in multicultural education. Assessment in multicultural education.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:
Compulsory reading:
MISTRÍK, E. a kol. (2008). Multikultúrna výchova v škole. Bratislava : Nadácia otvorenej spoločnosti. ISBN 978-80-969271-4-2
MISTRÍK, E. a kol. 2006: Multi-kulti-na školách. Metodická príručka pre multikultúrnu výchovu. Bratislava: Nadácia Milana Šimečku. ISBN 80-89008-21-6
Recommended reading:
KASÍKOVÁ, H. a kol. (2003). Interkulturní výchova a vzdělávání: efektivní metody a formy. Praha : FF UK. (bez ISBN)
KOMINAREC, I. – KOMINARECOVÁ, E. (2009). Multikulturalita a komunikácia. Prešov : PO. ISBN 978-80-555-0061-4
MISTRÍK, E. (2008). Multikultúrna výchova. In: Školské vzdelávacie programy. Praktický manuál pre zostavenie vlastného školského vzdelávacieho programu. 3. E1. Bratislava : RAABE. ISBN 978-80-89182-27-5
PRŮCHA. J. (2006). Multikulturní výchova. Praha : Triton. ISBN 80-7254-866-2
VANČÍKOVÁ, K. (2013). Multikultúrna výchova, jej miesto v súčasnej škole. Banská Bystrica: PdF UMB. ISBN 978-80-557-0512-5

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 134

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
68,66	0,0	20,15	5,22	0,0	0,0	5,97

Lecturers: Mgr. Lucia Hlavatá, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde020/22	Pathopsychology for teachers

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 149

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
52,35	0,0	34,9	5,37	0,0	1,34	6,04

Lecturers:

Last change: 22.04.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde020/22	Pathopsychology for teachers

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 149

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
52,35	0,0	34,9	5,37	0,0	1,34	6,04

Lecturers:

Last change: 22.04.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde017/22	Pedagogy of children and adolescents with learning disabilities

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student completes an intermediate written examination of knowledge (maximum possible points - 60). For successful completion of the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (min. 60 out of 100 points).
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to prepare intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when called upon by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course Pedagogy of children and adolescents with learning disabilities is to provide students with a basic framework of knowledge in the field of education of pupils with specific developmental learning disabilities. The student will be able to define the concept of specific developmental learning disabilities, categorize the different types of specific learning disabilities. The student is oriented in the basic terminology of education of individuals with SEN. Understands the etiology of learning disabilities from a variety of theoretical perspectives. Is able to characterise the educational options for individuals with SEND. Can explain the implications of a learning disability to the educational process. Knows and understands the specifics of educating individuals with learning disabilities, particularly in relation to secondary school pupils. Can name and justify selected support measures in the education of pupils with SEND. Explain the importance of teacher collaboration with other educational and professional staff of the school and the school counselling centre in the care of pupils with SEN. Know the educational programmes for pupils with SEN.
Transferable competences developed during the students' work in the seminars: critical thinking skills, contextual reasoning, communication skills.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Terminological definition of specific developmental learning disabilities (SDLD), their
Characteristics, classification, etiology, symptomatology, predictors. Peculiarities of psychological development of an individual with SPDU. Diagnosis and documentation of SAD. Impacts of specific learning disabilities on the educational process. Supportive measures in the education of pupils with SEN and special-pedagogical care for pupils with SEN, re-education of individual types of disorders. Education of pupils with SEN, methods of teacher's work with pupils with SEN. Pupils with specific developmental learning disability in secondary schools. Educational programme for pupils with specific learning disability. Possibilities of teacher's cooperation with school counseling facilities.

Recommended literature:
Reference sources:
Required reading:
HARČARÍKOVÁ, T. 2008. Pedagogika jednotlivcov s poruchami učenia. Bratislava: Pedagogická fakulta UK. 94 s. ISBN 978-80-89113-57-6. https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/uploads/media/PTP_vybrane_kapitoly.pdf
MIKULAJOVÁ, M. Jazykovo-kognitívne metódy prevencie a terapie dyslexie. Bratislava: MABAG. 69s. ISBN: 978-80-89113-74-3.

https://www.sal.sk/doc/publikacie/jazykovo-kognitivne-metody-prevencie-a-terapie-dyslexie.pdf
Recommended reading:
JUCOVIČOVÁ, D.-ŽÁČKOVÁ, H. Reedukace specifických poruch učení u dětí. Praha: Portál 175 s. ISBN978-880-73674748.
KREJČOVÁ, L.- HLADÍKOVÁ, Z. 2019. Zvládame specifické poruchy učení. Brno: Edika. 248 s. ISBN 978-80-266-1400-5.
MIKULAJOVÁ, M. 2012. Čítanie, písanie a dyslexia. Bratislava: Slovenská asociála
POKORNÁ, V. 2010. Teórie a náprava vývojových poruch učení a chování. Praha: Portál, 336s.
Vzdelávací program pre žiakov so špecifickou vývinovou poruchou učenia. Štátny pedagogický ústav https://www.statpedu.sk/sk/svp/statny-vzdelavaci-program/vp-deti-ziakov-so-zdravotnym-znevyhodnenim/vp-ziakov-vyvinovymi-poruchami-ucenia/
ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. 2021. Schopnosť plynulého čítania vo vzťahu k vybraným percepčným a percepčno-motorickým schopnostiam dieťaťa so špecifickou vývinovou poruchou učenia dyslexiou. Olomouc: PdF UP v Olomouci. DP

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak language, czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 27

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
11,11	0,0	25,93	29,63	18,52	0,0	14,81

Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde017/22	Pedagogy of children and adolescents with learning disabilities

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student completes an intermediate written examination of knowledge (maximum possible points - 60). For successful completion of the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (min. 60 out of 100 points).
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to prepare intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when called upon by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course Pedagogy of children and adolescents with learning disabilities is to provide students with a basic framework of knowledge in the field of education of pupils with specific developmental learning disabilities. The student will be able to define the concept of specific developmental learning disabilities, categorize the different types of specific learning disabilities. The student is oriented in the basic terminology of education of individuals with SEN. Understands the etiology of learning disabilities from a variety of theoretical perspectives. Is able to characterise the educational options for individuals with SEND. Can explain the implications of a learning disability to the educational process. Knows and understands the specifics of educating individuals with learning disabilities, particularly in relation to secondary school pupils. Can name and justify selected support measures in the education of pupils with SEND. Explain the importance of teacher collaboration with other educational and professional staff of the school and the school counselling centre in the care of pupils with SEN. Know the educational programmes for pupils with SEN.
Transferable competences developed during the students' work in the seminars: critical thinking skills, contextual reasoning, communication skills.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Terminological definition of specific developmental learning disabilities (SDLD), their
Characteristics, classification, etiology, symptomatology, predictors. Peculiarities of psychological development of an individual with SPDU. Diagnosis and documentation of SAD. Impacts of specific learning disabilities on the educational process. Supportive measures in the education of pupils with SEN and special-pedagogical care for pupils with SEN, re-education of individual types of disorders. Education of pupils with SEN, methods of teacher's work with pupils with SEN. Pupils with specific developmental learning disability in secondary schools. Educational programme for pupils with specific learning disability. Possibilities of teacher's cooperation with school counseling facilities.

Recommended literature:
Reference sources:
Required reading:
HARČARÍKOVÁ, T. 2008. Pedagogika jednotlivcov s poruchami učenia. Bratislava: Pedagogická fakulta UK. 94 s. ISBN 978-80-89113-57-6. https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/uploads/media/PTP_vybrane_kapitoly.pdf
MIKULAJOVÁ, M. Jazykovo-kognitívne metódy prevencie a terapie dyslexie. Bratislava: MABAG. 69s. ISBN: 978-80-89113-74-3.

https://www.sal.sk/doc/publikacie/jazykovo-kognitivne-metody-prevencie-a-terapie-dyslexie.pdf
Recommended reading:
JUCOVIČOVÁ, D.-ŽÁČKOVÁ, H. Reedukace specifických poruch učení u dětí. Praha: Portál 175 s. ISBN978-880-73674748.
KREJČOVÁ, L.- HLADÍKOVÁ, Z. 2019. Zvládame specifické poruchy učení. Brno: Edika. 248 s. ISBN 978-80-266-1400-5.
MIKULAJOVÁ, M. 2012. Čítanie, písanie a dyslexia. Bratislava: Slovenská asociála
POKORNÁ, V. 2010. Teórie a náprava vývojových poruch učení a chování. Praha: Portál, 336s.
Vzdelávací program pre žiakov so špecifickou vývinovou poruchou učenia. Štátny pedagogický ústav https://www.statpedu.sk/sk/svp/statny-vzdelavaci-program/vp-deti-ziakov-so-zdravotnym-znevyhodnenim/vp-ziakov-vyvinovymi-poruchami-ucenia/
ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. 2021. Schopnosť plynulého čítania vo vzťahu k vybraným percepčným a percepčno-motorickým schopnostiam dieťaťa so špecifickou vývinovou poruchou učenia dyslexiou. Olomouc: PdF UP v Olomouci. DP

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak language, czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 27

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
11,11	0,0	25,93	29,63	18,52	0,0	14,81

Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde028/22	**Course title:** Pedagogy of individuals with special educational needs
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** seminar **Number of hours:** **per week:** 2 **per level/semester:** 26 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	

Course requirements:

Prerequisite subjects: none

Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:

The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student completes an intermediate written examination of knowledge (maximum possible points - 60). For successful completion of the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (min. 60 out of 100 points).

The rating is awarded on a scale:

A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),

B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),

E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).

A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.

A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of the course Pedagogy of Individuals with Special Educational Needs is to make available basic information about the specifics of educating individuals with special educational needs and to prepare the student for pedagogical work in the context of the diversity of the educated population. The student will be able to define the term special educational needs, categorize individuals with special educational needs (SEN) in relation to the current system of special education and in accordance with current legislation. Becomes familiar with basic terminology in special education. Is able to characterize the educational opportunities for individuals with SEN. Can explain the implications of a disability/impairment/threat and/or other SEN in the educational process. Knows and understands the specifics of educating individuals with SEND. Can name and justify selected support measures in the education of children and pupils with SEND. Explain the importance of the teacher's cooperation with other educational and professional staff of the school and the school counselling centre in the care of pupils with SEN included in mainstream education. Know the educational programmes for pupils with SEND and understand the Individual Education Programme document. The student is prepared to address the risks associated with the inclusion of a pupil with SEND in a classroom of able-bodied classmates.
Transferable competences developed during the students' work in the seminars: critical thinking skills, contextual reasoning, communication skills.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
General foundations and terminological definition of special education.
Definition of basic terms in special education.
Trends in education, current opportunities and legislative conditions in education of individuals with SEND (with a focus on inclusive education).
Specifics of education and support measures for children and pupils with SEN according to individual categories:
pupils with intellectual disabilities and cognitive impairment; pupils with autism spectrum disorder; pupils with physical disabilities, illnesses and disabilities; pupils with impaired communication skills; pupils with specific learning disabilities; pupils with behavioural disorders; pupils from socially disadvantaged backgrounds; gifted and exceptionally gifted pupils.
Educational programmes and individual educational programme for pupils with SEN.
The position of a pupil with SEN in a class group of intact classmates.

Cooperation of the teacher with other pedagogical and professional staff of the school and the school counselling centre in the care of SEN pupils (inclusive team).

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:
LECHTA, V. (eds.), (2016). Inkluzivní pedagogika. Praha: Portál, 600 s. ISBN 978-80-262-1123-5.
VAŠEK, Š. (2013). Základy špeciálnej pedagogiky. Bratislava: SAPIENTIA. 213 s. ISBN 978-80-89229-21-5. http://www.specialnazs.sk/files/Stefan_Vasek_-_Zaklady_specialnej_pedagogiky.pdf
VLADOVÁ, K., a LECHTA, V., a kol., (2017). Aplikácia vzdelávacích programov pre žiakov so zdravotným znevýhodnením a všeobecným intelektovým nadaním. Bratislava: ŠPÚ, 201 s. ISBN 978-80-8118-196-2. https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/deti-ziaci-so-svvp/deti-ziaci-so-zdravotnym-znevyhodnenim-vseobecnym-intelektovym-nadanim/intelektove-nadanie.pdf
Vzdelávacie programy pre žiakov so zdravotným znevýhodnením a všeobecným intelektovým nadaním: Štátny pedagogický ústav
Zákon č. 245/2008 o výchove a vzdelávaní školský zákon a prislúchajúce vyhlášky
Recommended literature
BOOTH, T., AINSCOW, M., (2019). Index inklúzie: príručka na rozvoj škôl s dôrazom na inkluzívne hodnoty. Nadácia pre deti Slovenska, 224 s. ISBN 978-80-89403-19-6. https://www.ktochyba.sk/webroot/video/index-inkluzie.pdf
HARČARÍKOVA, T. (eds.),2020. Integrované/inkluzívne vzdelávanie žiakov so špeciálnymi výchovno-vzdelávacími potrebami (zborník vedeckých príspevkov), Bratislava: IRIS, 187 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-058-3.
FISCHER, S., ŠKODA, J. (2008). Speciální pedagogika. Praha: Triton, 206 s. ISBN 978-80-7387-014-0.
Katalogy podpůrných opatření pro žáky s potřebou podpory ve vzdělávání z důvodu zdravotního nebo sociálního znevýhodnění. Olomouc: Univerzita Palackého v Olomouci, 2015.
RENOTIÉROVÁ, M. a kol. (2006). Speciální pedagogika. Olomouc: UP, 313 s. ISBN 80-244-0873-2.
SLOWÍK, J. (2007). Speciální pedagogika. Praha: Portál, 160 s. ISBN 97-880-2471733-3.
ŠUHAJOVÁ, I. (2014). Termín špeciálne výchovno-vzdelávacie potreby versus dodatočné podporné opatrenia. In Efeta-otvor sa, 24(2), 2014.
TARCSIOVÁ, D.- JURÁNEKOVÁ, Z.- TOMÁŠKOVÁ, M. (2021). Príručka o vzdelávaní žiaka s poruchou sluchu v bežnej škole. Bratislava: IRIS, 114 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-100-9.
VALENTA, M. at al. (2014). Přehled speciální pedagogiky. Praha: Portál, 224 s. ISBN 97-880-2620602-6.
ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2015). Rómske deti a ich vzdelanostný pokrok. In: Slovenská encyklopédia edukológie. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2015.
ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2014). Problémové správanie žiakov a úloha sociálneho pedagóga v reflexii učiteľov. In: Sociální pedagogika: časopis pro vědu a praxi. 2(1), 2014.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 195

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
17,44	0,0	36,92	26,15	9,74	4,1	5,64

Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde028/22	**Course title:** Pedagogy of individuals with special educational needs
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** seminar **Number of hours:** **per week:** 2 **per level/semester:** 26 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	

Course requirements:

Prerequisite subjects: none

Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:

The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student completes an intermediate written examination of knowledge (maximum possible points - 60). For successful completion of the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (min. 60 out of 100 points).

The rating is awarded on a scale:

A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),

B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),

E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).

A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.

A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of the course Pedagogy of Individuals with Special Educational Needs is to make available basic information about the specifics of educating individuals with special educational needs and to prepare the student for pedagogical work in the context of the diversity of the educated population. The student will be able to define the term special educational needs, categorize individuals with special educational needs (SEN) in relation to the current system of special education and in accordance with current legislation. Becomes familiar with basic terminology in special education. Is able to characterize the educational opportunities for individuals with SEN. Can explain the implications of a disability/impairment/threat and/or other SEN in the educational process. Knows and understands the specifics of educating individuals with SEND. Can name and justify selected support measures in the education of children and pupils with SEND. Explain the importance of the teacher's cooperation with other educational and professional staff of the school and the school counselling centre in the care of pupils with SEN included in mainstream education. Know the educational programmes for pupils with SEND and understand the Individual Education Programme document. The student is prepared to address the risks associated with the inclusion of a pupil with SEND in a classroom of able-bodied classmates.
Transferable competences developed during the students' work in the seminars: critical thinking skills, contextual reasoning, communication skills.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
General foundations and terminological definition of special education.
Definition of basic terms in special education.
Trends in education, current opportunities and legislative conditions in education of individuals with SEND (with a focus on inclusive education).
Specifics of education and support measures for children and pupils with SEN according to individual categories:
pupils with intellectual disabilities and cognitive impairment; pupils with autism spectrum disorder; pupils with physical disabilities, illnesses and disabilities; pupils with impaired communication skills; pupils with specific learning disabilities; pupils with behavioural disorders; pupils from socially disadvantaged backgrounds; gifted and exceptionally gifted pupils.
Educational programmes and individual educational programme for pupils with SEN.
The position of a pupil with SEN in a class group of intact classmates.

Cooperation of the teacher with other pedagogical and professional staff of the school and the school counselling centre in the care of SEN pupils (inclusive team).

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:
LECHTA, V. (eds.), (2016). Inkluzivní pedagogika. Praha: Portál, 600 s. ISBN 978-80-262-1123-5.
VAŠEK, Š. (2013). Základy špeciálnej pedagogiky. Bratislava: SAPIENTIA. 213 s. ISBN 978-80-89229-21-5. http://www.specialnazs.sk/files/Stefan_Vasek_-_Zaklady_specialnej_pedagogiky.pdf
VLADOVÁ, K., a LECHTA, V., a kol., (2017). Aplikácia vzdelávacích programov pre žiakov so zdravotným znevýhodnením a všeobecným intelektovým nadaním. Bratislava: ŠPÚ, 201 s. ISBN 978-80-8118-196-2. https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/deti-ziaci-so-svvp/deti-ziaci-so-zdravotnym-znevyhodnenim-vseobecnym-intelektovym-nadanim/intelektove-nadanie.pdf
Vzdelávacie programy pre žiakov so zdravotným znevýhodnením a všeobecným intelektovým nadaním: Štátny pedagogický ústav
Zákon č. 245/2008 o výchove a vzdelávaní školský zákon a prislúchajúce vyhlášky
Recommended literature
BOOTH, T., AINSCOW, M., (2019). Index inklúzie: príručka na rozvoj škôl s dôrazom na inkluzívne hodnoty. Nadácia pre deti Slovenska, 224 s. ISBN 978-80-89403-19-6. https://www.ktochyba.sk/webroot/video/index-inkluzie.pdf
HARČARÍKOVA, T. (eds.),2020. Integrované/inkluzívne vzdelávanie žiakov so špeciálnymi výchovno-vzdelávacími potrebami (zborník vedeckých príspevkov), Bratislava: IRIS, 187 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-058-3.
FISCHER, S., ŠKODA, J. (2008). Speciální pedagogika. Praha: Triton, 206 s. ISBN 978-80-7387-014-0.
Katalogy podpůrných opatření pro žáky s potřebou podpory ve vzdělávání z důvodu zdravotního nebo sociálního znevýhodnění. Olomouc: Univerzita Palackého v Olomouci, 2015.
RENOTIÉROVÁ, M. a kol. (2006). Speciální pedagogika. Olomouc: UP, 313 s. ISBN 80-244-0873-2.
SLOWÍK, J. (2007). Speciální pedagogika. Praha: Portál, 160 s. ISBN 97-880-2471733-3.
ŠUHAJOVÁ, I. (2014). Termín špeciálne výchovno-vzdelávacie potreby versus dodatočné podporné opatrenia. In Efeta-otvor sa, 24(2), 2014.
TARCSIOVÁ, D.- JURÁNEKOVÁ, Z.- TOMÁŠKOVÁ, M. (2021). Príručka o vzdelávaní žiaka s poruchou sluchu v bežnej škole. Bratislava: IRIS, 114 s. ISBN 978-80-8200-100-9.
VALENTA, M. at al. (2014). Přehled speciální pedagogiky. Praha: Portál, 224 s. ISBN 97-880-2620602-6.
ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2015). Rómske deti a ich vzdelanostný pokrok. In: Slovenská encyklopédia edukológie. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2015.
ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. (2014). Problémové správanie žiakov a úloha sociálneho pedagóga v reflexii učiteľov. In: Sociální pedagogika: časopis pro vědu a praxi. 2(1), 2014.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 195

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
17,44	0,0	36,92	26,15	9,74	4,1	5,64

Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde032/22	Practical Exercises in Didactics of Foreign Languages

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours of in-person teaching; 14 hours of elaboration of ongoing tasks; 14 hours of individual work on the solution of assignment and individual study of professional literature. A total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: explanation, application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, discussion, individual and group work, brainstorming, brainwriting.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be evaluated continuously on the basis of the work in the classroom, the preparation of assignments requiring individual work during the semester and the test during the semester. The interim evaluation is 100%: active participation in classroom activities 30%; solution of ongoing tasks (aimed at the practical application of didactics knowledge in teaching) 70%.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to apply knowledge of didactics of foreign languages in specialization in Spanish in the training of design, implementation and evaluation of the teaching process.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student gained experience in teaching Spanish as a foreign language on a practical level and
• is able to be creative and create various complementary learning materials in the Spanish language: texts, exercises from grammar and vocabulary, games, etc.,

• can also use and integrate other didactic materials such as songs, films or paintings in teaching in order to improve the pupil's language competence,
• demonstrates the ability to flexibly shape lessons, to carry out Spanish language teaching dynamically and to select exercises according to the language level of pupils,
• can define the objectives of teaching and formulate them in the form of teaching requirements,
• demonstrates the ability to correct pupils' mistakes in a positive and motivating way and to assess their progress according to measurable and objective criteria.

Class syllabus:
• Creation of didactic materials for teaching Spanish.
• Teaching specific language activities: oral and written speech, listening and reading with comprehension.
• Lesson planning. Methods for identifying educational needs and setting targets.
• Evaluation and correction of errors. Methods of interpretation of the teaching process on the basis of testing and observations, methods of evaluation and methodology of classification.

Recommended literature:
During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
ALONSO, E. 2012. Soy profesor/a. Aprender a enseñar 1-2, Madrid: Edelsa, 2012. ISBN 978-84-771-1990-6.
GELABERT, M. J. et al. 2002. Producción de materiales para la enseñaza de español, Madrid: Arco Libros, 2002. ISBN 84-7635-488-6.
GÓMEZ-PABLOS, B. 2014. Didáctica de ELE. Fundamentos de didáctica, Nümbrecht: Kirsch-Verlag, 2014. ISBN 978-3-943906-15-8.
RADA EURÓPY EURÓPSKEJ ÚNIE. 2017. Spoločný európsky referenčný rámec pre jazyky. Bratislava: Štátny pedagogický ústav. Available at: https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/publikacna-cinnost/publikacie/serr_tlac-indd.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: Mgr. Zuzana Tóth, Dr.phil, doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde032/22	Practical Exercises in Didactics of Foreign Languages

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours of in-person teaching; 14 hours of elaboration of ongoing tasks; 14 hours of individual work on the solution of assignment and individual study of professional literature. A total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: explanation, application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, discussion, individual and group work, brainstorming, brainwriting.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be evaluated continuously on the basis of the work in the classroom, the preparation of assignments requiring individual work during the semester and the test during the semester. The interim evaluation is 100%: active participation in classroom activities 30%; solution of ongoing tasks (aimed at the practical application of didactics knowledge in teaching) 70%.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to apply knowledge of didactics of foreign languages in specialization in Spanish in the training of design, implementation and evaluation of the teaching process.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student gained experience in teaching Spanish as a foreign language on a practical level and
• is able to be creative and create various complementary learning materials in the Spanish language: texts, exercises from grammar and vocabulary, games, etc.,

• can also use and integrate other didactic materials such as songs, films or paintings in teaching in order to improve the pupil's language competence,
• demonstrates the ability to flexibly shape lessons, to carry out Spanish language teaching dynamically and to select exercises according to the language level of pupils,
• can define the objectives of teaching and formulate them in the form of teaching requirements,
• demonstrates the ability to correct pupils' mistakes in a positive and motivating way and to assess their progress according to measurable and objective criteria.

Class syllabus:
• Creation of didactic materials for teaching Spanish.
• Teaching specific language activities: oral and written speech, listening and reading with comprehension.
• Lesson planning. Methods for identifying educational needs and setting targets.
• Evaluation and correction of errors. Methods of interpretation of the teaching process on the basis of testing and observations, methods of evaluation and methodology of classification.

Recommended literature:
During the semester, thematic work is carried out with selected chapters and parts of the texts of the study literature below.
ALONSO, E. 2012. Soy profesor/a. Aprender a enseñar 1-2, Madrid: Edelsa, 2012. ISBN 978-84-771-1990-6.
GELABERT, M. J. et al. 2002. Producción de materiales para la enseñaza de español, Madrid: Arco Libros, 2002. ISBN 84-7635-488-6.
GÓMEZ-PABLOS, B. 2014. Didáctica de ELE. Fundamentos de didáctica, Nümbrecht: Kirsch-Verlag, 2014. ISBN 978-3-943906-15-8.
RADA EURÓPY EURÓPSKEJ ÚNIE. 2017. Spoločný európsky referenčný rámec pre jazyky. Bratislava: Štátny pedagogický ústav. Available at: https://www.statpedu.sk/files/sk/publikacna-cinnost/publikacie/serr_tlac-indd.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: Mgr. Zuzana Tóth, Dr.phil, doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde038/16	Practical Spanish Language 1.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week of exercise; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours of in-person teaching; 11 hours continuous preparation for lessons; 15 hours preparation of ongoing tasks, 2 hours preparation (repetition) for the test. A total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: explanation, application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, written tasks, work in small groups, controlled self-study.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be evaluated on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: completion of classroom activities
40 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
30 points: written verification of acquired knowledge and level of language proficiency (short test)
At least 60% of the scoring must be obtained in order to complete the subject successfully.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to enable the acquisition of language competence at B2.1 level according to the CEFR to those students who are interested in improving their competence and need to work in a targeted way to adopt grammar and vocabulary to reach this level and to improve receptive, productive and interactive skills in Spanish beyond compulsory and compulsory optional subjects.
After successful completion of the course, the student

• can understand the main ideas of sound recording in literary speech from ordinary or academic life or conversation between native speakers,
• can understand relatively quickly the global meaning of text in the literary language, can synthesize information and arguments from various sources,
• understands also more complex instructions and instructions,
• is able to express himself on various topics close to his/her field or areas of interest, aptly describe events, experiences, stories, etc.,
• is able to elaborate the idea, both verbally and in writing, and supplement it with examples or arguments, in the discussion to briefly explain his opinion on the current problem, indicating the advantages and disadvantages of different approaches.

Class syllabus:
• Practice exercises to improve language competence: grammatical and lexical phenomena according to students' needs, e.g. use and omission of members, past times, structures with an indefinite/transition/subdivision, etc.
• Activities supporting the development of strategies in the performance of individual language activities and autonomy of learners (self-assessment of progress, e-learning).
• Developing contextual grammar with links to topics such as:
- University world and study, student mobility, student life.
- Traditions and festivities in Spanish-speaking countries; controversial customs and traditions.
- Music and dance, musical instruments, famous artists from the Hispanophone world.
- Natural conditions, climate change and their impact on the countryside.
- Other topical issues in a given period (taking into account the composition of the group and the interests of students).

Recommended literature:
COTO BAUTISTA, V. – TURZA FERRÉ. A. 2011. Tema and Tema. B2. Libro del alumno. Madrid: Edelsa, 2011. 152 p. ISBN: 8477117225.
Authentic and adapted documents (written texts, audio recordings, videos)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 102

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
58,82	0,0	17,65	9,8	1,96	0,0	11,76

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde039/16	Practical Spanish Language 2.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week of exercise; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours of in-person teaching; 11 hours of continuous preparation for lessons; 15 hours preparation of ongoing tasks, 2 hours preparation (repetition) for the test. A total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: explanation, application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, written tasks, work in small groups, controlled self-study.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: completion of classroom activities
40 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
30 points: written verification of acquired knowledge and level of language proficiency (short test)
At least 60% of the scoring must be obtained in order to complete the subject successfully.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to enable the acquisition of language competence at B2.2 level according to the CEFR to those students who are interested in improving their competence and need to work in a targeted way to acquire grammar and vocabulary to reach this level and to improve receptive, productive and interactive skills in Spanish beyond compulsory and compulsory optional subjects.
After successful completion of the course, the student

• can understand the audio recording in the literary language on topics from the mainstream or academic sphere; adequately monitor the conversation between native speakers,
• is able to quickly understand the global meaning of the text in the literary language and to identify important details, synthesize and evaluate information and arguments from various sources,
• can create a developed description on various topics close to his/her field or area of interest, present the selected topic aptly with emphasis on the main ideas,
• is able to develop reasoning and support his views with examples,
• be able to give clearly a pre-prepared short speech and spontaneously answer the supplementary questions referred,
• has the ability to use description and paraphrase to cover gaps in vocabulary and grammar.

Class syllabus:

Type of activities:
• Practice exercises to improve language competence: grammatical and lexical phenomena according to students' needs, e.g. use of subjunctive/indicative in complex sentences, past subjunctive in Spanish, relative pronouns, prepositions and verbs (prepositions to use with binding) etc.
• Activities supporting the development of strategies in the performance of individual language activities and autonomy of learners (self-assessment of progress, e-learning).
• Developing contextual grammar with links to topics such as:
- Travelling and getting to know new cultures, cultural differences and misunderstandings.
- Mass media, new technologies and their impact on children and youth.
- Classical and modern art, boundaries of art, taste and kitsch.
- Personalities of world importance coming from Spanish-speaking countries and their contribution.
- Other topical topics in a given period (taking into account the composition of the group and the interests of students).

Recommended literature:

Authentic and adapted documents (written texts, audio texts, videos)
COTO BAUTISTA, V. – TURZA FERRÉ. A. 2011. Tema and Tema. B2. Libro del alumno. Madrid: Edelsa. 152 p. ISBN: 8477117225.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 26

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
23,08	0,0	46,15	0,0	7,69	0,0	23,08

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde040/16	Practical Spanish Language 3.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 2 hours per week of exercise; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: 22 hours of in-person teaching; 11 hours of continuous preparation for lessons; 15 hours preparation of ongoing tasks, 2 hours preparation (repetition) for the test. A total of 50 hours of student work.
Teaching methods: explanation, application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, written tasks, work in small groups, controlled self-study.

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 3.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The student will be assessed on the basis of an interim evaluation, for which he/she can receive a total of 100 points, of which:
30 points: completion of classroom activities
40 points: elaboration of ongoing homework
30 points: written verification of acquired knowledge and level of language proficiency (short test)
At least 60% of the scoring must be obtained in order to complete the course successfully.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to enable the consolidation of language competence at B2 level according to the CEFR to those students who are interested in improving their competence and need to work in a targeted way to practice grammar and vocabulary and to improve receptive, productive and interactive skills in Spanish beyond mandatory and compulsory optional subjects.
After successful completion of the course, the student

• can understand standard speech audio recordings on topics from different areas; sufficient understand the conversation between native speakers,
• can also understand more complex texts from its specialization and identify important details,
• can present in detail various topics close to his field or area of interest, write a detailed description of actual or fictional events,
• is able to develop arguments, both verbally and in writing, and to support his views with examples and arguments,
• can give a logically constructed speech, highlight important ideas and details, spontaneously answer supplementary questions and follow up on interesting ideas,
• has the ability to compensate, by description or paraphrase, to compensate for gaps in vocabulary and grammar, able to correct its renunciations and errors.

Class syllabus:
Type of activities:
• Practice exercises to improve language competence: grammatical and lexical phenomena according to the needs of students, e.g. use of the indicative/subjunctive in dependent adverbial clauses expressing condition, reason, time, purpose, concession, consequence, comparison), direct and indirect speech, sequence of times in the indicative and conjunctive, etc.
• Activities supporting the development of strategies in the performance of individual language activities and autonomy of learners (self-assessment of progress, e-learning).
• Developing contextual grammar with links to topics such as:
- Important historical figures of the Hispanophone world from today's perspective, critical evaluation of their contribution in the context of their time and present.
- Spanish and Hispano-American cinematography, major films/series, their perception by today's viewers.
- Physical and mental health and diseases, civilization diseases, different approaches to treatment.
- Employment and current problems, job search, preparation for a job interview.
- Other topical topics in a given period (taking into account the composition of the group and the interests of students).

Recommended literature:
Authentic and adapted documents (written texts, audio recordings, videos)
COTO BAUTISTA, V. – TURZA FERRÉ. A. 2011. Tema and Tema. B2. Libro del alumno. Madrid: Edelsa. 152 p. ISBN: 8477117225.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde014/22	Psychodidactics

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Students are required to complete a 40-point midterm paper (micro-exit/presentation of model situations) and a 60-point midterm test, for a total of 100 points. The successful completion of the course is subject to obtaining at least 60% of the maximum possible course grade.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to prepare continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation in the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
The aim of the course is to present knowledge related to the processes of learning and teaching in a psychological context. Upon successful completion of the course, students will acquire scientific knowledge of the concept of psychodidactics. They will be able to use critical thinking to justify the importance of didactic influence and knowledge formation in the teaching process. Students will have adequately developed the competence to design the teaching process based on the specificities of psychodidactics.
Transferable competences: by analysing, implementing and discussing seminar assignments, students are able to communicate their conclusions, think critically and think in context.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
1. Psychodidactics as a scientific discipline.
2. Neurophysiological bases of memory.
3. Neurophysiological bases of learning. Epistemological foundations of child/pupil learning.
4. Pupils' learning styles in relation to specifics from a psychodidactic perspective.
5. Teacher's teaching style. Epistemological foundations of teacher's teaching.
6. Children's naive theories, preconceptions, and misconceptions in relation to students' internal knowledge systems and to pedagogical constructivism.
7. The use of psychodidactic knowledge in learning and teaching.
8. School and scientific knowledge: the relationship between individualized knowledge (as a compromise with reality) and knowledge acceptable to contemporary society. The relationship between everyday knowledge, school knowledge and scientific knowledge.
9. Micro-approaches of male and female students/presentations of model situations.

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Required reading:
ŠKODA, J., DOULÍK, P. 2011. Psychodidaktika. Praha: Grada, 2011.
Recommended reading:
ČÁP, J., MAREŠ, J. 2001. Psychologie pro učitele. Praha: Portál, 2001. ISBN 80-7178-463-X.
DUCHOVIČOVÁ, J. 2010. Neurodidaktický a psychodidaktický kontext edukácie. Nitra : UKF. ISBN 978-80-8094-783-5.
KOSTRUB, D. 2008. Dieťa/žiak/študent – učivo – učiteľ, didaktický alebo bermudský trojuholník. Prešov : Rokus, 2008. 170 s. ISBN 978-80-89055-87-6.
KOSTRUB, D., TÓTHOVÁ, R., FERKOVÁ, Š. Žiak, učiteľ, výučba. Všeobecná didaktika pre študentov učiteľstva. Prešov : Rokus, 2017. ISBN 978-80-89510-61-0

PETLÁK, E., VALÁBIK, D., ZAJACOVÁ, J. 2009. Vyučovanie – mozog – žiak: úvod do problematiky mozgovokompatibilného učenia. Bratislava : IRIS, 2009. ISBN 978-80-89256-43-3.TÓTHOVÁ, R. 2013. Implementácia kurikula do vyučovacieho procesu. Bratislava : Z-F Lingua, 2013. ISBN 978-80-89328-93-2

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 82

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
52,44	0,0	15,85	14,63	3,66	1,22	12,2

Lecturers: Mgr. Štefánia Ferková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde014/22	Psychodidactics

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Students are required to complete a 40-point midterm paper (micro-exit/presentation of model situations) and a 60-point midterm test, for a total of 100 points. The successful completion of the course is subject to obtaining at least 60% of the maximum possible course grade.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to prepare continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation in the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
The aim of the course is to present knowledge related to the processes of learning and teaching in a psychological context. Upon successful completion of the course, students will acquire scientific knowledge of the concept of psychodidactics. They will be able to use critical thinking to justify the importance of didactic influence and knowledge formation in the teaching process. Students will have adequately developed the competence to design the teaching process based on the specificities of psychodidactics.
Transferable competences: by analysing, implementing and discussing seminar assignments, students are able to communicate their conclusions, think critically and think in context.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
1. Psychodidactics as a scientific discipline.
2. Neurophysiological bases of memory.
3. Neurophysiological bases of learning. Epistemological foundations of child/pupil learning.
4. Pupils' learning styles in relation to specifics from a psychodidactic perspective.
5. Teacher's teaching style. Epistemological foundations of teacher's teaching.
6. Children's naive theories, preconceptions, and misconceptions in relation to students' internal knowledge systems and to pedagogical constructivism.
7. The use of psychodidactic knowledge in learning and teaching.
8. School and scientific knowledge: the relationship between individualized knowledge (as a compromise with reality) and knowledge acceptable to contemporary society. The relationship between everyday knowledge, school knowledge and scientific knowledge.
9. Micro-approaches of male and female students/presentations of model situations.

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Required reading:
ŠKODA, J., DOULÍK, P. 2011. Psychodidaktika. Praha: Grada, 2011.
Recommended reading:
ČÁP, J., MAREŠ, J. 2001. Psychologie pro učitele. Praha: Portál, 2001. ISBN 80-7178-463-X.
DUCHOVIČOVÁ, J. 2010. Neurodidaktický a psychodidaktický kontext edukácie. Nitra : UKF. ISBN 978-80-8094-783-5.
KOSTRUB, D. 2008. Dieťa/žiak/študent – učivo – učiteľ, didaktický alebo bermudský trojuholník. Prešov : Rokus, 2008. 170 s. ISBN 978-80-89055-87-6.
KOSTRUB, D., TÓTHOVÁ, R., FERKOVÁ, Š. Žiak, učiteľ, výučba. Všeobecná didaktika pre študentov učiteľstva. Prešov : Rokus, 2017. ISBN 978-80-89510-61-0

PETLÁK, E., VALÁBIK, D., ZAJACOVÁ, J. 2009. Vyučovanie – mozog – žiak: úvod do problematiky mozgovokompatibilného učenia. Bratislava : IRIS, 2009. ISBN 978-80-89256-43-3.TÓTHOVÁ, R. 2013. Implementácia kurikula do vyučovacieho procesu. Bratislava : Z-F Lingua, 2013. ISBN 978-80-89328-93-2

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 82

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
52,44	0,0	15,85	14,63	3,66	1,22	12,2

Lecturers: Mgr. Štefánia Ferková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde030/22	Psychological development disorders of children and adolescents

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture + seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 5

Recommended semester: 2.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an examination. The weighting of the interim and final assessment is 50/50.
During the semester, students will prepare and present a seminar paper on selected current topics (max. 30 points). Students' activity during discussions is also assessed (max. 20 points). Final examination - written (max. 50 points).
Learning outcomes:
For an overall grade of A, you need at least 91 points, for a grade of B at least 81 points, for a grade of C at least 73 points, for a grade of D at least 66 points and for a grade of E at least 60 points. To pass the course you must obtain a minimum of 60% of the marks.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
The aim is to familiarize students with the basic classification and manifestations of developmental, behavioral and learning disorders in childhood and adolescence and their impact on the child's school functioning. Students will gain a basic understanding of the most common developmental disorders and behavioral and learning disorders occurring in childhood and adolescence. The ability to navigate the subject matter is essential in an inclusive school environment in both primary and secondary schools where future teachers of education may work in combination with a second subject endorsement. In this subject, students will not only acquire theoretical knowledge, but will also acquire sufficient competences to work with other professionals involved in dealing with developmental, learning and behavioural disorders. A good understanding of the subject is a prerequisite for an individualised teacher-pupil relationship with a developmental, learning and behavioural disorder. Such a relationship not only requires empathy, but must also be underpinned by acquired skills. An important goal is to prepare students to participate in the implementation of individual education plans for children with developmental, learning and behavioural disabilities. Most children with developmental, learning and behavioural disorders (with few exceptions) are pupils in mainstream primary schools and it is therefore important that students are able to work competently with the inclusion team, but also with specialists from other disciplines, such as a neurologist, psychologist, paediatrician or child psychiatrist. Transferable competences: critical thinking skills, communication skills.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Introduction to developmental disorders - definition of developmental disorders, precursors of developmental disorders, classification of developmental disorders in children and adolescents. Issues of norm and pathology in development.
2. Etiology of developmental disorders - pathogenic factors and the complexity of their action, risks in the pre-, peri- and post-natal period, early diagnosis, intervention, stimulation, deprivation.
3. Psychomotor retardation (general developmental delay) and mental retardation/intellectual disability - aetiology, classification, options and limitations, interventions.
4. Psychogenic conditioned disorders in the somatic area- enuresis, encopresis, tics. Manifestations, forms, possibilities of intervention.

5. Psychogenic conditioned communication disorders - barking and mutism - definition, classification, intervention options.
6. Pervasive developmental disorders or autism spectrum disorders - autism, Asperger's syndrome, Rett's syndrome. Etiology, clinical picture, organization of care.
7. The problem of organicity in child psychology - cerebral palsy (CP) - etiology, clinical picture. Developmental disorder of motor functions.
8. Hyperkinetic syndrome (ADD and ADHD). The most significant factors in working with children with ADHD, ADD.
9. Specific learning disabilities - diagnosis and differential diagnosis, remedial programmes in work with children with specific learning disabilities.
10. Emotional disorders in childhood - anxiety disorders, phobias and depression in childhood and adolescence. Obsessive-compulsive disorder. Post-traumatic stress disorder.
11. Behaviour and conduct disorders - classification, manifestations and intervention options. Self-harm and suicide in childhood and adolescence - incidence, etiology, prevention. 12. Eating disorders- classification, manifestations and intervention options.
13. Mental disorders caused by psychoactive substances. Pathological gambling and other forms of addiction

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Required reading:
Harineková, M. - Stempelová, J. 2012. Poruchy psychického vývinu u detí a dospievajúcich. Učebné texty. Bratislava : VŠ zdravotníctva a sociálnej práce sv.Alžbety. 2012. 161 s. ISBN 978-80-8132-040-8
Recommended reading:
Derková, J.: Deti s Aspergerovým syndrómom v kontexte rodinnej výchovy. In: Koláčková,J., Rapošová, P. (Ed) : Zborník Tolerancia inakosti ako priorita (nielen) sociálnej pedagogiky. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského, Pedagogická fakulta. 2020, s.93. ISBN 978-80-223-4976-5
Heretik, A. a kol. 2016. Klinická psychológia. 2.prepracované a doplnené vydanie. Nakl. Psychoprof : Nové Zámky.(Kapitoly o poruchách psychického vývinu detí a dospievajúcich- s. 415-459.). ISBN 978-80-8932-224-4
Papalová, S.. Špecifické vývinové poruchy učenia a reči. Dostupné na URL: http://www.psychagogia.sk/wp-content/uploads/svp_papalova.pdf
Periodiká a vedecké databázy: PsycInfo (APA); Psychológia a patopsychológia dieťaťa, Československá psychologie a iné.
Vágnerová, M. (2014). Současná psychopatologie pro pomáhající profese. Portál : Praha.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 67

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
19,4	0,0	32,84	16,42	7,46	13,43	10,45

Lecturers: Mgr. Štefánia Ferková, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22	Psychology for teachers 1

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar / lecture
Number of hours:
per week: 2 / 2 **per level/semester:** 26 / 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 4

Recommended semester: 1., 3.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by examination, the weight of the interim and final assessment is 50/50
50% Intermediate assessment: includes a seminar paper (10 points), an intermediate test (40 points).
50% Final assessment: includes a reflective essay (5 points) and a final test (45 points). The student must score at least 50% on each test.
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For a grade of A, the student must be consistently outstanding, capable of independent study, and able to critically compare professional texts in psychology. The student is able to navigate a variety of literary sources, is proficient in the fundamentals of general and developmental psychology, understands the thinking, decision-making and emotional responses of children and adults, and can reflect critically on psychological knowledge.
A grade of B indicates that the student has performed above average throughout the semester, has been able to study independently, has mastered basic information related to general and developmental psychology, understands how children and adults think, make decisions, and react emotionally, and reflects on psychological knowledge.
A grade of C means that the student has performed standardly well during the semester, his/her theoretical knowledge of the subject is at a good level, but the ability to apply this knowledge or critically evaluate and compare individual psychological findings is lacking.
A grade of D means that the student has been less prepared during the semester, has slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge related to the subject of education and has more problems in independent implementation work, cannot critically analyze information.

A grade of E means that the student fails in more than one of the assignments, lacks the ability to analyze and compare multiple pieces of knowledge, and fails in critical thinking and application of theoretical knowledge to practice as well as theoretical knowledge.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of Psychology for Teachers 1 is to make available basic information about the general laws of human experience and behaviour so that they can form a platform for understanding the workings of the human psyche and to synthesize psychological knowledge, facts, theories, research approaches into a coherent view of the individual's psyche for the purposes of creative professional application in pedagogical practice. The student after completing the course
- knows the basic concepts of general, cognitive and developmental psychology, basic models and theories of developmental psychology, knows how to differentiate and classify them into individual categories,
- can apply knowledge of general, cognitive and developmental psychology in an educational context,
- knows the laws of psychic development, especially with regard to the needs of lower and upper secondary education,
- knows the laws of development of cognitive processes and their application in the educational process
- can read and understand professional text and psychological research, understand tables and graphs
- finds logical connections in opinions and thoughts

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Part of general and cognitive psychology:
1. Introduction to psychology: a brief history of psychology, psychology as a science, the subject of psychological inquiry, the formation of psychological knowledge and psychological methodology. Psychological processes and states.
2. Sensory processes. Perception - characteristics of the process, laws of perception and types of perception.
3. Imagination and attention. Fantasy and memory imagery. Types of ideas and their comparison. Illusions and hallucinations. Types and characteristics of attention.
4. Memory and learning. Theories of memory. Types of memory. Memory processes and information (remembering, retention, reproduction, retrieval). Forgetting, extremes and pathology in memory performance. Characteristics and some theories of learning, types, conditions and principles of learning
5. Thinking, intelligence and speech. Thought operations and forms of thought. Types of thinking. Thinking as problem solving. Thinking and intelligence. Relationship between thinking and language.
6. Dynamics of the psyche: motivation and emotions. Emotional states and feelings. Physiological bases of emotionality. Classification and characteristics of feelings and emotions. Stress, frustration and the experience of conflict. Characteristics and functions of motivation, principles of motivation, energetic basis of the organism, instincts, drives, needs, values, attitudes, aspirations, frustration, volition.
Part of developmental psychology:

7. The subject of investigation and methods of developmental psychology. Subject, aims of developmental psychology; interdisciplinary relations. Assessment of psychological development - basic methods of diagnosing developmental level, developmental screening and scales, psychological tests.
8. Mental development in general - developmental changes and laws, factors of development. Developmental changes and their classification, basic developmental processes. Factors of development - conditions of psychic development, external and internal factors of psychic development and their interrelations; maturation and learning, development and education. Developmental laws.
9. Theoretical models and concepts of psychological development. Periodization of development. Problems of unified classification of psychological development, classification systems. Critical or sensitive developmental stages, developmental crisis. Basic psychological characteristics of developmental phases.
10. The most important theoretical models of psychological development and their critical evaluation - psychoanalytic, humanistic, biodimensional model, Piaget's school, Vygotsky and the Russian school ai... Theoretical concepts of determination of psychological development - nativism and endogenistic theories, empiricism and exogenistic theories, interaction theoretical models.
11. Developmental roles of the different periods - prenatal development, newborn, infant, toddler, pre-school age, younger school age, adolescence, adulthood and old age.

Recommended literature:
Compulsory reading:
KUBÁNI, V., (2010). Všeobecná psychológia. Prešov: Prešovská univerzita. ISBN: 978-80-555-0172-7,
JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z. (2021). Od narodenia po maturitu. Poznatky vývinovej psychológie v kontexte vzdelávania. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. ISBN 978-80-223-5169-0.
Recommended reading:
LEMEŠOVÁ, M., SOKOLOVÁ, L., MINAROVIČOVÁ, K. (2015). Psychológia (inter)aktívne. Univerzita Komenského
NAKONEČNÝ M. (2016). Obecná psychologie. Triton.
SOKOLOVÁ, L., BROZMANOVÁ, E. (2015). Psychológia trochu inak. Univerzita Komenského
ŠRAMOVÁ, B. (2008). Osobnosť v procese ontogenézy. Melius

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak, czech and english

Notes:
students of the psychology teaching (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 1547

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
18,29	0,0	25,02	20,88	15,13	12,28	8,4

Lecturers: PhDr. Romana Schunová, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22	Psychology for teachers 1

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar / lecture
Number of hours:
per week: 2 / 2 **per level/semester:** 26 / 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 4

Recommended semester: 2., 4.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by examination, the weight of the interim and final assessment is 50/50
50% Intermediate assessment: includes a seminar paper (10 points), an intermediate test (40 points).
50% Final assessment: includes a reflective essay (5 points) and a final test (45 points). The student must score at least 50% on each test.
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For a grade of A, the student must be consistently outstanding, capable of independent study, and able to critically compare professional texts in psychology. The student is able to navigate a variety of literary sources, is proficient in the fundamentals of general and developmental psychology, understands the thinking, decision-making and emotional responses of children and adults, and can reflect critically on psychological knowledge.
A grade of B indicates that the student has performed above average throughout the semester, has been able to study independently, has mastered basic information related to general and developmental psychology, understands how children and adults think, make decisions, and react emotionally, and reflects on psychological knowledge.
A grade of C means that the student has performed standardly well during the semester, his/her theoretical knowledge of the subject is at a good level, but the ability to apply this knowledge or critically evaluate and compare individual psychological findings is lacking.
A grade of D means that the student has been less prepared during the semester, has slight deficiencies in theoretical knowledge related to the subject of education and has more problems in independent implementation work, cannot critically analyze information.

A grade of E means that the student fails in more than one of the assignments, lacks the ability to analyze and compare multiple pieces of knowledge, and fails in critical thinking and application of theoretical knowledge to practice as well as theoretical knowledge.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim of Psychology for Teachers 1 is to make available basic information about the general laws of human experience and behaviour so that they can form a platform for understanding the workings of the human psyche and to synthesize psychological knowledge, facts, theories, research approaches into a coherent view of the individual's psyche for the purposes of creative professional application in pedagogical practice. The student after completing the course
- knows the basic concepts of general, cognitive and developmental psychology, basic models and theories of developmental psychology, knows how to differentiate and classify them into individual categories,
- can apply knowledge of general, cognitive and developmental psychology in an educational context,
- knows the laws of psychic development, especially with regard to the needs of lower and upper secondary education,
- knows the laws of development of cognitive processes and their application in the educational process
- can read and understand professional text and psychological research, understand tables and graphs
- finds logical connections in opinions and thoughts

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Part of general and cognitive psychology:
1. Introduction to psychology: a brief history of psychology, psychology as a science, the subject of psychological inquiry, the formation of psychological knowledge and psychological methodology. Psychological processes and states.
2. Sensory processes. Perception - characteristics of the process, laws of perception and types of perception.
3. Imagination and attention. Fantasy and memory imagery. Types of ideas and their comparison. Illusions and hallucinations. Types and characteristics of attention.
4. Memory and learning. Theories of memory. Types of memory. Memory processes and information (remembering, retention, reproduction, retrieval). Forgetting, extremes and pathology in memory performance. Characteristics and some theories of learning, types, conditions and principles of learning
5. Thinking, intelligence and speech. Thought operations and forms of thought. Types of thinking. Thinking as problem solving. Thinking and intelligence. Relationship between thinking and language.
6. Dynamics of the psyche: motivation and emotions. Emotional states and feelings. Physiological bases of emotionality. Classification and characteristics of feelings and emotions. Stress, frustration and the experience of conflict. Characteristics and functions of motivation, principles of motivation, energetic basis of the organism, instincts, drives, needs, values, attitudes, aspirations, frustration, volition.
Part of developmental psychology:

7. The subject of investigation and methods of developmental psychology. Subject, aims of developmental psychology; interdisciplinary relations. Assessment of psychological development - basic methods of diagnosing developmental level, developmental screening and scales, psychological tests.
8. Mental development in general - developmental changes and laws, factors of development. Developmental changes and their classification, basic developmental processes. Factors of development - conditions of psychic development, external and internal factors of psychic development and their interrelations; maturation and learning, development and education. Developmental laws.
9. Theoretical models and concepts of psychological development. Periodization of development. Problems of unified classification of psychological development, classification systems. Critical or sensitive developmental stages, developmental crisis. Basic psychological characteristics of developmental phases.
10. The most important theoretical models of psychological development and their critical evaluation - psychoanalytic, humanistic, biodimensional model, Piaget's school, Vygotsky and the Russian school ai... Theoretical concepts of determination of psychological development - nativism and endogenistic theories, empiricism and exogenistic theories, interaction theoretical models.
11. Developmental roles of the different periods - prenatal development, newborn, infant, toddler, pre-school age, younger school age, adolescence, adulthood and old age.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

KUBÁNI, V., (2010). Všeobecná psychológia. Prešov: Prešovská univerzita. ISBN: 978-80-555-0172-7,

JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z. (2021). Od narodenia po maturitu. Poznatky vývinovej psychológie v kontexte vzdelávania. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave. ISBN 978-80-223-5169-0.

Recommended reading:

LEMEŠOVÁ, M., SOKOLOVÁ, L., MINAROVIČOVÁ, K. (2015). Psychológia (inter)aktívne. Univerzita Komenského

NAKONEČNÝ M. (2016). Obecná psychologie. Triton.

SOKOLOVÁ, L., BROZMANOVÁ, E. (2015). Psychológia trochu inak. Univerzita Komenského

ŠRAMOVÁ, B. (2008). Osobnosť v procese ontogenézy. Melius

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak, czech and english

Notes:

students of the psychology teaching (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 1547

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
18,29	0,0	25,02	20,88	15,13	12,28	8,4

Lecturers:

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde007/22	Psychology for teachers 2

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar / lecture
Number of hours:
per week: 2 / 2 **per level/semester:** 26 / 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 4

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1

Course requirements:
Prerequisites: B-VUZde003 / Psychology for teachers 1
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an assessment, 100% of the continuous assessment, which includes continuous work - completion of a self-awareness test (15% of the assessment) and a seminar paper (20% of the assessment), completion of a continuous test in personality psychology (30% of the assessment), a reflective essay (5% of the assessment), and a final test (30% of the assessment).
Credit will not be awarded to a student who scores less than 50% on any of the four written examinations.
To pass the course, you must obtain a minimum of 60 % of the marks.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)
For an A grade, the student must have excellent theoretical knowledge, be able to evaluate and critically analyse it, and use the knowledge flexibly in practically set tasks.
For a grade of B, the student must have excellent theoretical knowledge, be able to evaluate and critically analyze it, and have slight deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks.
For a grade of C, the student must have excellent theoretical knowledge, moderate deficiencies in its evaluation and critical analysis, moderate deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks.
For a grade D, the student must have basic theoretical knowledge, moderate deficiencies in their evaluation and critical analysis, moderate deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks).
For an E grade, the student must have basic theoretical knowledge, moderate deficiencies in their evaluation and critical analysis, and major deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim is
- Know the principles of personality formation and use them to develop the cognitive, affective and competence of pupils and students;
- to build and develop the individual components of the educational process in the context of the personalities of the pupils in the classroom;
- in accordance with the personality of the pupils and students, choose an empathetic approach and encourage self-actualizing tendencies;
- apply social and emotional competencies to promote their own psychological well-being;
- to know the basic psychological problems of education and to be able to work effectively with them in the educational process,
- be able to actively acquire information and use it to solve practical tasks in the field.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
Psychology of personality
1. Basic definition and concepts of personality psychology - structure, dynamics.
2. Concepts of the psychoanalytic approach - the structure of personality according to S. Freud, defence mechanisms of A. Freud and their relationship to psychological difficulties in the educational environment (burnout syndrome, depression, etc.).
3. Concepts of individual and analytical psychology - the need for power A. Adler, the need for power in pupils in the educational environment; archetypes of C. Jung, the acceptance of archetypal tendencies and their relation to the educational process.
4. Behaviorism and neobehaviorism - the concept of behavioziorism E. Thorndike, J. Watson, operant conditioning B. Skinner in teacher-pupil relationship, social learning of A. Bandura - teacher as a role model.
5. Humanistic psychology - concepts of A. Maslow, V. Frankl, C. Rogers, self-actualization and the promotion of the self-actualizing instinct as the goal of the educational process.
Educational psychology
6. Basic definition and concepts of educational psychology.
7. Approach to pupils with special educational needs (specific focus on the intellectually gifted, pupils with Asperger's syndrome, ADHD).
8. Psychohygiene of teachers in educational settings - stress management, attitudes, assertiveness and assertive techniques, teachers' attitudes and biases.
9. Psychological problems in pupils and students - perfectionism, hypersensitivity, stress and anxiety.
10. Psychological aspects of education - intrinsic and extrinsic motivation of pupils, assessment.
11. Psychological aspects of working with gifted individuals in the classroom.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:
DRAPELA, V. J. (2011). Přehled teorií osobnosti. Praha: Portál. ISBN 8071787663
FÁBIK, D. (2019). Psychológia intelektovo nadaných žiakov. Košice: Equilibria. 328 s. ISBN 9788081432521
Recommended reading:
FÁBIK, D. (2021). Obranné mechanizmy v sne. Psychoterapie. 15(2), 122-133. ISSN 1802-3983
HALL, C.S., & LINDZEY, G. (1997). Psychológia osobnosti. Bratislava: SPN.

JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z., LEMEŠOVÁ, M., MIŠKOLCI, J., CABANOVÁ, K., HORVÁTHOVÁ, Ľ., SOKOLOVÁ, L. (2019). Postoje, inklúzia a predsudky v slovenských školách. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, s. 199. ISBN: 978-80-223-4814-0
JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z. (2015). Osobnosť začínajúcich učiteľov a učiteliek. In Osobnosť a sociálno-psychologické kompetencie učiteľov a učiteliek. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, s. 102-112. ISBN 978-80-223-3950-6.
JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z. (2015). Akí sú študenti učiteľstva na začiatku svojej kariéry? Motivácia a osobnosť začínajúcich študentov voliacich si učiteľskú profesiu. Ecoletra.com [elektronický zdroj]. - roč. 1, č. 1, s. 68-83.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:
Notes: students of the psychology teaching (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 1018

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
41,45	0,0	25,83	16,5	6,39	5,5	4,32

Lecturers:

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde007/22	Psychology for teachers 2

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar / lecture
Number of hours:
per week: 2 / 2 **per level/semester:** 26 / 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 4

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1

Course requirements:
Prerequisites: B-VUZde003 / Psychology for teachers 1
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an assessment, 100% of the continuous assessment, which includes continuous work - completion of a self-awareness test (15% of the assessment) and a seminar paper (20% of the assessment), completion of a continuous test in personality psychology (30% of the assessment), a reflective essay (5% of the assessment), and a final test (30% of the assessment).
Credit will not be awarded to a student who scores less than 50% on any of the four written examinations.
To pass the course, you must obtain a minimum of 60 % of the marks.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)
For an A grade, the student must have excellent theoretical knowledge, be able to evaluate and critically analyse it, and use the knowledge flexibly in practically set tasks.
For a grade of B, the student must have excellent theoretical knowledge, be able to evaluate and critically analyze it, and have slight deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks.
For a grade of C, the student must have excellent theoretical knowledge, moderate deficiencies in its evaluation and critical analysis, moderate deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks.
For a grade D, the student must have basic theoretical knowledge, moderate deficiencies in their evaluation and critical analysis, moderate deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks).
For an E grade, the student must have basic theoretical knowledge, moderate deficiencies in their evaluation and critical analysis, and major deficiencies in the use of knowledge in practically set tasks.

Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The aim is
- Know the principles of personality formation and use them to develop the cognitive, affective and competence of pupils and students;
- to build and develop the individual components of the educational process in the context of the personalities of the pupils in the classroom;
- in accordance with the personality of the pupils and students, choose an empathetic approach and encourage self-actualizing tendencies;
- apply social and emotional competencies to promote their own psychological well-being;
- to know the basic psychological problems of education and to be able to work effectively with them in the educational process,
- be able to actively acquire information and use it to solve practical tasks in the field.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
Psychology of personality
1. Basic definition and concepts of personality psychology - structure, dynamics.
2. Concepts of the psychoanalytic approach - the structure of personality according to S. Freud, defence mechanisms of A. Freud and their relationship to psychological difficulties in the educational environment (burnout syndrome, depression, etc.).
3. Concepts of individual and analytical psychology - the need for power A. Adler, the need for power in pupils in the educational environment; archetypes of C. Jung, the acceptance of archetypal tendencies and their relation to the educational process.
4. Behaviorism and neobehaviorism - the concept of behavioziorism E. Thorndike, J. Watson, operant conditioning B. Skinner in teacher-pupil relationship, social learning of A. Bandura - teacher as a role model.
5. Humanistic psychology - concepts of A. Maslow, V. Frankl, C. Rogers, self-actualization and the promotion of the self-actualizing instinct as the goal of the educational process.
Educational psychology
6. Basic definition and concepts of educational psychology.
7. Approach to pupils with special educational needs (specific focus on the intellectually gifted, pupils with Asperger's syndrome, ADHD).
8. Psychohygiene of teachers in educational settings - stress management, attitudes, assertiveness and assertive techniques, teachers' attitudes and biases.
9. Psychological problems in pupils and students - perfectionism, hypersensitivity, stress and anxiety.
10. Psychological aspects of education - intrinsic and extrinsic motivation of pupils, assessment.
11. Psychological aspects of working with gifted individuals in the classroom.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:
DRAPELA, V. J. (2011). Přehled teorií osobnosti. Praha: Portál. ISBN 8071787663
FÁBIK, D. (2019). Psychológia intelektovo nadaných žiakov. Košice: Equilibria. 328 s. ISBN 9788081432521
Recommended reading:
FÁBIK, D. (2021). Obranné mechanizmy v sne. Psychoterapie. 15(2), 122-133. ISSN 1802-3983
HALL, C.S., & LINDZEY, G. (1997). Psychológia osobnosti. Bratislava: SPN.

JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z., LEMEŠOVÁ, M., MIŠKOLCI, J., CABANOVÁ, K., HORVÁTHOVÁ, Ľ., SOKOLOVÁ, L. (2019). Postoje, inklúzia a predsudky v slovenských školách. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, s. 199. ISBN: 978-80-223-4814-0
JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z. (2015). Osobnosť začínajúcich učiteľov a učiteliek. In Osobnosť a sociálno-psychologické kompetencie učiteľov a učiteliek. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, s. 102-112. ISBN 978-80-223-3950-6.
JURSOVÁ ZACHAROVÁ, Z. (2015). Akí sú študenti učiteľstva na začiatku svojej kariéry? Motivácia a osobnosť začínajúcich študentov voliacich si učiteľskú profesiu. Ecoletra.com [elektronický zdroj]. - roč. 1, č. 1, s. 68-83.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:
Notes: students of the psychology teaching (combined) programme do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 1018

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
41,45	0,0	25,83	16,5	6,39	5,5	4,32

Lecturers: PhDr. Romana Schunová, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde034/22	Rhetoric and communication

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde005/22 - Communication in education

Course requirements:
Prerequisites: B-VUZde005 / Communication in education
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: the course is completed with an evaluation, 100% of the continuous evaluation.
Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (60+40, total 100 points).
Interim assessment 1: consists of the development of a semester cooperative work and its oral presentation or practical application in class. This is a prepared micro-team output: the creation of a student conference.
Semester work: consists of a creative assignment for 60p (30+30). It includes the preparation of a group simulation of a student conference (30b) together with a professional paper on a selected topic (30b) for approximately 45 minutes.
Intermediate assessment 2: consists of the creation of documents for the student conference (40b): poster, invitation, programme, etc. in an electronic environment (web development).
Prerequisites:
The rating shall be awarded on a scale of
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above

average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:
By completing the Rhetoric and Communication course, the student should:
- be able to select information, identify relevant sources on the selected topic,
- be able to justify the choice of specialist sources for the semester thesis,
- understand the importance of selection and analysis of information and information sources,
- be able to work in a team, organise activities to accomplish sub-tasks,
- be able to present intermediate tasks to the group,
- be able to justify and discuss in a group various social topics related to education and training,
- acquire presentation and communication skills and be able to apply them through creative activities in the classroom,
- Know methods for Developing of critical thinking and good reasoning,
- should be able to identify manipulative behaviour.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills, analytical thinking in evaluating information and working with text, critical thinking and thinking in context in the development of arguments and their application in discussion, interpersonal skills within the group - activation and taking initiative and responsibility, also creative work with e-portfolio (ability to create an interesting website) through creative tasks in the course.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
The aim of the course is the practical application of knowledge about effective communication through practicing rhetorical outcomes and teamwork, i.e. through cooperative methods and interactive activities. Using various activating methods and problem-based tasks, students will actively participate in the learning process and create their own course content, in which they will use their own potential and develop the intra and interpersonal aspects of their own personality. An important part of this is a semester-long group project through which students will learn how to organize major events, while developing proper communication and rhetorical skills.
Topics:
Rhetoric - types of speech, practicing presentation skills through micro performances. Content/ form/communication skills (verbal and non-verbal) of oral presentation and analysis of speakers. Presentation - structure and organization of speech/speech/lecture. Evaluating information and

finding relevant sources. Simulating a debate. Organisation of time and group cooperation. Visualisation and generating interest in the listener. Argumentation - types of arguments and logical fallacies. Manipulation and manipulation techniques, assertiveness. Types of conversation, discussion - how to conduct a conversation, structuring a conversation. Division of discussion methods. Feedback - self-evaluation and self-reflection. Creating a website and e-portfolio with student outputs. Implementation of student conference - simulation/workshop.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

ALLHOFF, D.-W. (2008). Rétorika a komunikace. Praha: Grada publishing, 200 s.. ISBN 978-80-247-2283-2

KLAPETEK, M. (2008). Komunikace, argumentace, rétorika. Praha: Grada publishing, 256 s. ISBN 978-80247-2652-6

Recommended reading:

JASLOVSKÁ B., PISOŇOVÁ, M. (2019). Humanisticky orientovaná komunikácia a jej vplyv na produktivitu žiakov v edukačnom procese. Hradec Králové: Magnanimitas akademické sdružení, 45-56s. ISBN 978-80-87952-29-0

JASLOVSKÁ, B. (2019). Nástroj na sebahodnotenie žiakov v rámci Encounterovej skupiny a učiteľ ako facilitátor procesu hodnotenia. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 37-45s. ISBN 978-80-223-4847-8

KURUC, M. (2018). Rozvoj sebaregulácie a motivácie ako faktor úspechu slovenských žiakov v kontexte teórie sebaurčenia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 143-160s. ISBN 978-80-223-4661-0

ŠUŤÁKOVÁ, V., FERENCOVÁ, J., ZAHATŇANSKÁ, M. (2017). Sociálna a didaktická komunikácia. Prešov: Wolters Kluwer. 208s. ISBN 978-80-81685-48-4

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

smaller groups, up to a maximum of 25 students, are required for the course for effective classroom interaction

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 21

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
95,24	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	4,76

Lecturers: Mgr. Barbora Jaslovská, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde034/22	Rhetoric and communication

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde005/22 - Communication in education

Course requirements:
Prerequisites: B-VUZde005 / Communication in education
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course: the course is completed with an evaluation, 100% of the continuous evaluation.
Assessment: consists of 2 intermediate assessments (60+40, total 100 points).
Interim assessment 1: consists of the development of a semester cooperative work and its oral presentation or practical application in class. This is a prepared micro-team output: the creation of a student conference.
Semester work: consists of a creative assignment for 60p (30+30). It includes the preparation of a group simulation of a student conference (30b) together with a professional paper on a selected topic (30b) for approximately 45 minutes.
Intermediate assessment 2: consists of the creation of documents for the student conference (40b): poster, invitation, programme, etc. in an electronic environment (web development).
Prerequisites:
The rating shall be awarded on a scale of
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above

average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.

Learning outcomes:

Learning objectives and outcomes:
By completing the Rhetoric and Communication course, the student should:
- be able to select information, identify relevant sources on the selected topic,
- be able to justify the choice of specialist sources for the semester thesis,
- understand the importance of selection and analysis of information and information sources,
- be able to work in a team, organise activities to accomplish sub-tasks,
- be able to present intermediate tasks to the group,
- be able to justify and discuss in a group various social topics related to education and training,
- acquire presentation and communication skills and be able to apply them through creative activities in the classroom,
- Know methods for Developing of critical thinking and good reasoning,
- should be able to identify manipulative behaviour.

Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills, analytical thinking in evaluating information and working with text, critical thinking and thinking in context in the development of arguments and their application in discussion, interpersonal skills within the group - activation and taking initiative and responsibility, also creative work with e-portfolio (ability to create an interesting website) through creative tasks in the course.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
The aim of the course is the practical application of knowledge about effective communication through practicing rhetorical outcomes and teamwork, i.e. through cooperative methods and interactive activities. Using various activating methods and problem-based tasks, students will actively participate in the learning process and create their own course content, in which they will use their own potential and develop the intra and interpersonal aspects of their own personality. An important part of this is a semester-long group project through which students will learn how to organize major events, while developing proper communication and rhetorical skills.
Topics:
Rhetoric - types of speech, practicing presentation skills through micro performances. Content/ form/communication skills (verbal and non-verbal) of oral presentation and analysis of speakers. Presentation - structure and organization of speech/speech/lecture. Evaluating information and

finding relevant sources. Simulating a debate. Organisation of time and group cooperation. Visualisation and generating interest in the listener. Argumentation - types of arguments and logical fallacies. Manipulation and manipulation techniques, assertiveness. Types of conversation, discussion - how to conduct a conversation, structuring a conversation. Division of discussion methods. Feedback - self-evaluation and self-reflection. Creating a website and e-portfolio with student outputs. Implementation of student conference - simulation/workshop.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:
ALLHOFF, D.-W. (2008). Rétorika a komunikace. Praha: Grada publishing, 200 s.. ISBN 978-80-247-2283-2
KLAPETEK, M. (2008). Komunikace, argumentace, rétorika. Praha: Grada publishing, 256 s. ISBN 978-80247-2652-6
Recommended reading:
JASLOVSKÁ B., PISOŇOVÁ, M. (2019). Humanisticky orientovaná komunikácia a jej vplyv na produktivitu žiakov v edukačnom procese. Hradec Králové: Magnanimitas akademické sdružení, 45-56s. ISBN 978-80-87952-29-0
JASLOVSKÁ, B. (2019). Nástroj na sebahodnotenie žiakov v rámci Encounterovej skupiny a učiteľ ako facilitátor procesu hodnotenia. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 37-45s. ISBN 978-80-223-4847-8
KURUC, M. (2018). Rozvoj sebaregulácie a motivácie ako faktor úspechu slovenských žiakov v kontexte teórie sebaurčenia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 143-160s. ISBN 978-80-223-4661-0
ŠUŤÁKOVÁ, V., FERENCOVÁ, J., ZAHATŇANSKÁ, M. (2017). Sociálna a didaktická komunikácia. Prešov: Wolters Kluwer. 208s. ISBN 978-80-81685-48-4

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:
smaller groups, up to a maximum of 25 students, are required for the course for effective classroom interaction

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 21

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
95,24	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	4,76

Lecturers: PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRJL/B-ESPde050/25	Romance Languages and Cultures - Strategies of Communication and Culture Transfer in Central Europe

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 25s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers:

Last change: 23.01.2026

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRJL/B-ESPde050/25	Romance Languages and Cultures - Strategies of Communication and Culture Transfer in Central Europe

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 25s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD.

Last change: 23.01.2026

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde010/22	School system and school legislation for teachers

Educational activities:
Type of activities: lecture + seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 4

Recommended semester: 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites:
The rating shall be awarded on a scale of
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.

A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
By completing the course on school systems and school legislation for teachers, the student should:
- to know the content of school management as a scientific discipline: the system of school management in the Slovak Republic and the system of internal school management in the context of managerial functions,
- be familiar with the basic legal documents and be able to correctly interpret the meanings given in these legal documents, which regulate the activities of kindergarten, primary school and secondary school, with an emphasis on the needs of the work of the teaching staff,
- be able to apply the acquired knowledge and knowledge from other disciplines in the focus area: school image, culture and climate, in the area of cooperation with the school management and legal representatives of children/pupils in solving standard, specific and problematic tasks and situations and in the framework of school development,
- be able to creatively use the acquired knowledge and competences in the study of other pedagogical disciplines and in their future school practice.
Transferable competences: the student strengthens soft skills such as communication skills, organizational skills, interpersonal skills within a group - group activation, taking initiative and responsibility, creativity and imagination, critical thinking, analytical skills, problem-solving skills, and others through the creation of a semester project in the course.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
- Basic terminology, options for studying school management, sources of study. Schools and concepts of management. Specifics of school management. The system of school management in the Slovak Republic and the system of internal school management in the context of managerial functions. Legal and pedagogical-organisational regulations applied in the school system and their significance. Interpretation of the constitution in terms of application in education. The legislation governing the activities of kindergartens, primary and secondary schools (laws, decrees, working regulations, pedagogical-organisational instructions, guidelines and regulations), with an emphasis on the needs of the work of the teaching staff. The personality of the head of teaching staff - legal and personality requirements. School image, school culture. School climate.

Recommended literature:
Required reading:
Current legislative norms concerning the establishment and activities of primary and secondary schools in the Slovak Republic.
PISOŇOVÁ, M. a kol. 2014. Školský manažment pre študijné odbory učiteľstva a prípravu vedúcich pedagogických zamestnancov. Bratislava : Univerzita Komenského, 2014. 227 s. ISBN 978-80-223-3621-5
https://www.fedu.uniba.sk/uploads/media/Skolsky_manazment.pdf
Pisoňová, M. a kol. 2017. Školský manažment terminologický a výkladový slovník. Bratislava : Wolters Kluwer, 2017, 1. vyd., 167 s., ISBN 978-80-8168-660-3
https://moodle.uniba.sk/mod/quiz/view.php?id=57866
Učebný zdroj: ŠKOLSKÝ MANAŽMENT (moodle):

https://moodle.uniba.sk/course/view.php?id=162
Ústava Slovenskej republiky.
Vyhláška Ministerstva školstva, vedy, výskumu a športu Slovenskej republiky č. 1/2020 Z. z. o kvalifikačných predpokladoch pedagogických zamestnancov a odborných zamestnancov.
Vyhláška Ministerstva školstva, vedy, výskumu a športu Slovenskej republiky č. 361/2019 Z. z. o vzdelávaní v profesijnom rozvoji.
Zákon č. 245/2008 Z. z. o výchove a vzdelávaní (školský zákon) a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov.
Zákon č. 596/2003 Z. z. o štátnej správe v školstve a školskej samospráve a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov.
Zákon č. 138/2019 Z. z. o pedagogických zamestnancoch a odborných zamestnancoch a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov.
Zákon č. 597/2003 Z. z. o financovaní základných škôl, stredných škôl a školských zariadení

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 92

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
32,61	0,0	33,7	21,74	7,61	1,09	3,26

Lecturers: PaedDr. Tímea Šeben Zaťková, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde016/22	Social determination of education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an assessment of: 100% of the intermediate assessment
The interim evaluation includes the following:
• Intermediate work: preparation of a seminar paper - 45 points,
• assessment of knowledge and selected competences - 55 points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
Students will gain knowledge and understanding of the educational process and its determinants in a social context with emphasis on current trends and perspectives in the field of education. Students will deepen their knowledge of education, the educational process in its diversity with a focus on the determinants of education in a broader social context. On a theoretical-practical level, through analysis and discussion, students will become familiar with the specific factors determining the educational process. On the basis of the complexity of the knowledge of the determinants of educational action, they will understand the specificity and diversity of the mission of education in the formation of personality.
Transferable competences: the course develops students' analytical, critical, evaluative and creative thinking, reasoning in context and supports the development of their interpersonal skills, self-development and personal and professional growth.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Education and the educational process. Theoretical bases and determinants of education.
The relationship between education and society. Conception and bases of education, genesis of education.
Education and personality of the child, categorization of factors of personality formation, social determination of personality development and education.
Educational environment, its typology and importance for a person. Social environment as a determinant of the child's personality.
Rights of the child. Convention on the Rights of the Child. Legal education, its importance and application in practice.
The environment of family upbringing and its importance in terms of the formation of the child's personality. Typology and functions of the family. Conditions and importance of the educational influence of the family on the formation of personality. Educational styles in the family. Negative tendencies in family upbringing.
Social conditions of the school environment. Relationship between school and society, negative phenomena in the school environment and their prevention.
Teacher's personality and its influence on the educational process. The climate of the school environment and interpersonal relationships between teachers and pupils.
The significance and specifics of the influence of peer groups on personality formation.
Education in a multicultural environment and social diversity.
Information society and mass media as a determinant of child upbringing in terms of current tendencies.

The educational impact of the out-of-school learning environment.

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:

Required reading:

DANEK, J. (2008). Výchova v pedagogickom výskume a praxi. Trnava: UCM, 2008. 729 s. ISBN 978-80-8105-007-7

KRAUS, B., POLÁČKOVÁ, V. (2001). Člověk-prostředí-výchova. Praha: Portál, 2001. 199 s. ISBN 80-7315-0042.

Recommended reading:

DERKOVÁ, J. 2018. Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: Iris, 2018. 144 s. ISBN 978-80-82000347

FERKOVÁ, Š., PREVENDÁROVÁ,J., KOLDEOVÁ, L. et al (2017). Morálne kompetencie žiakov. Metodická príručka pre učiteľov na nižšom sekundárnom stupni vzdelávania. Bratislava: UK. 212 s. ISBN 978-80-223-4432-6

KOSOVá, B. 2015. Filozofické a globálne súvislosti edukácie. Banská Bystrica: Belianum. 174 s. ISBN 978-80-557-1021-1

MANNIOVÁ, J. 2008. Učiteľ v procese výchovy a vzťahov. Bratislava: Axima, 2008. ISBN 98-969178-5-3.

POTOČÁROVÁ, M., DERKOVÁ, J., FERKOVÁ, Š., KOLDEOVÁ, L. (2021). Teória výchovy 1. Bratislava: UK. ISBN 978-80-223-5327-4

Zákon č. 245/2008 Z. z. o výchove a vzdelávaní (školský zákon) a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 59

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
72,88	0,0	22,03	3,39	0,0	0,0	1,69

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde016/22	Social determination of education

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an assessment of: 100% of the intermediate assessment
The interim evaluation includes the following:
• Intermediate work: preparation of a seminar paper - 45 points,
• assessment of knowledge and selected competences - 55 points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to work through assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, and completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
Students will gain knowledge and understanding of the educational process and its determinants in a social context with emphasis on current trends and perspectives in the field of education. Students will deepen their knowledge of education, the educational process in its diversity with a focus on the determinants of education in a broader social context. On a theoretical-practical level, through analysis and discussion, students will become familiar with the specific factors determining the educational process. On the basis of the complexity of the knowledge of the determinants of educational action, they will understand the specificity and diversity of the mission of education in the formation of personality.
Transferable competences: the course develops students' analytical, critical, evaluative and creative thinking, reasoning in context and supports the development of their interpersonal skills, self-development and personal and professional growth.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Education and the educational process. Theoretical bases and determinants of education.
The relationship between education and society. Conception and bases of education, genesis of education.
Education and personality of the child, categorization of factors of personality formation, social determination of personality development and education.
Educational environment, its typology and importance for a person. Social environment as a determinant of the child's personality.
Rights of the child. Convention on the Rights of the Child. Legal education, its importance and application in practice.
The environment of family upbringing and its importance in terms of the formation of the child's personality. Typology and functions of the family. Conditions and importance of the educational influence of the family on the formation of personality. Educational styles in the family. Negative tendencies in family upbringing.
Social conditions of the school environment. Relationship between school and society, negative phenomena in the school environment and their prevention.
Teacher's personality and its influence on the educational process. The climate of the school environment and interpersonal relationships between teachers and pupils.
The significance and specifics of the influence of peer groups on personality formation.
Education in a multicultural environment and social diversity.
Information society and mass media as a determinant of child upbringing in terms of current tendencies.

The educational impact of the out-of-school learning environment.

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:

Required reading:

DANEK, J. (2008). Výchova v pedagogickom výskume a praxi. Trnava: UCM, 2008. 729 s. ISBN 978-80-8105-007-7

KRAUS, B., POLÁČKOVÁ, V. (2001). Člověk-prostředí-výchova. Praha: Portál, 2001. 199 s. ISBN 80-7315-0042.

Recommended reading:

DERKOVÁ, J. 2018. Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: Iris, 2018. 144 s. ISBN 978-80-82000347

FERKOVÁ, Š., PREVENDÁROVÁ,J., KOLDEOVÁ, L. et al (2017). Morálne kompetencie žiakov. Metodická príručka pre učiteľov na nižšom sekundárnom stupni vzdelávania. Bratislava: UK. 212 s. ISBN 978-80-223-4432-6

KOSOVá, B. 2015. Filozofické a globálne súvislosti edukácie. Banská Bystrica: Belianum. 174 s. ISBN 978-80-557-1021-1

MANNIOVÁ, J. 2008. Učiteľ v procese výchovy a vzťahov. Bratislava: Axima, 2008. ISBN 98-969178-5-3.

POTOČÁROVÁ, M., DERKOVÁ, J., FERKOVÁ, Š., KOLDEOVÁ, L. (2021). Teória výchovy 1. Bratislava: UK. ISBN 978-80-223-5327-4

Zákon č. 245/2008 Z. z. o výchove a vzdelávaní (školský zákon) a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov

Languages necessary to complete the course:

slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 59

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
72,88	0,0	22,03	3,39	0,0	0,0	1,69

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde015/22	Social pedagogy for teachers

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student completes an intermediate written examination of knowledge (maximum possible number of points - 60). For successful completion of the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (min. 60 out of 100 points).
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
The aim of the Social Pedagogy for Teachers course is to provide basic information about social pedagogy as a scientific discipline and the importance of different types of social and educational environment for human beings. After successful completion of the course, the student will know the basic conceptual apparatus of social pedagogy. The student understands the place of social pedagogy in the system of educational sciences. He/she categorizes the typology of the environment and can explain the effects of a stimulus-unfriendly environment on a child. Can identify the socio-cultural context of child/pupil development. Describes and interprets the specifics of raising a child in a foster family and institutional setting. Understands the socio-pedagogical aspects of the school as an educational institution.
The student is able to assess the social environment of an individual and identify risk factors in it and choose appropriate methods of prevention and intervention in cooperation with other pedagogical and professional staff.
Transferable competences developed during students' work in seminars: by analysing, solving and discussing problems from seminar assignments, the student is able to communicate his/her conclusions, think critically and think in context.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Basic conceptual apparatus of social pedagogy. Social pedagogy as a scientific discipline (origin and development). The subject of social pedagogy. Relationship of social pedagogy to other sciences and scientific disciplines.
Environment, its typology and importance for humans.
The family as a natural environment of upbringing. Socio-pedagogical cognition of the family environment, cognition of socio-cultural specifics of the family environment. Socio-pedagogical intervention in the family. Socially-disadvantaging environment of the family.
The environment of foster family and institutional education - a family with a child in foster care and the environment of social-legal protection of children and social curatorship institutions (Centre for Children and Family).
Environment of special educational institutions - diagnostic centre, re-education centre, medical-educational sanatorium.
The school environment from the perspective of social pedagogy. Social competences of the teacher in pedagogical situations. Teacher's cooperation with the social pedagogue as a professional school employee and with other pedagogical and professional school employees.

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Required reading:
BAKOŠOVÁ, Z. 2011. Sociálna pedagogika ako životná pomoc. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2011. ISBN 9788096994403.
HRONCOVÁ, J. et al. 2020. Sociálna pedagogika na Slovensku a v zahraničí – teoretické východiská a prax. BELIANUM, Vydavateľstvo UMB v Banskej Bystrici, 2020. 247 s. ISBN 978-80-557-1717-3.
Recommended reading:
BAKOŠOVÁ, Z. a kol. 2011. Teórie sociálnej pedagogiky: edukačné, sociálne a komunikačné aspekty. Bratislava: SAV, 2011. ISBN 978-80-970675-0-2.
HATÁR, C. 2007. Základy sociálnej pedagogiky pre pomáhajúce profesie. Nitra: PdF UKF Nitra, 2007. ISBN 978-80-8094-174-1.
KRAUS, B. 2008. Základy sociální pedagogiky. Bratislava: Portál, 2008. ISBN 8073673833.
KURUC, M., TOMÁNEK, P. 2017. Korene nepokoje. Brno: Tribune EU, s.r.o. 2017. ISBN 978-80-263-1163-8.
ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. 2014. Problémové správanie žiakov a úloha sociálneho pedagóga v reflexii učiteľov In: Sociální pedagogika: časopis pro vědu a praxi. 2(1), 22-36.
ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. 2021. Dieťa z odlišného socio-kultúrneho prostredia v predškolskej edukácii z aspektu sociálnej pedagogiky. In: Pedagogika.sk. 12(3), 177-183.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak language, czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 36

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
66,67	0,0	5,56	5,56	11,11	8,33	2,78

Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde015/22	Social pedagogy for teachers

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment, 100% of the interim assessment. Interim assessment includes: the student will prepare a seminar paper (maximum possible number of points - 40). The seminar paper is a condition for the award of the overall grade. The student completes an intermediate written examination of knowledge (maximum possible number of points - 60). For successful completion of the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points (min. 60 out of 100 points).
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the assigned template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
The aim of the Social Pedagogy for Teachers course is to provide basic information about social pedagogy as a scientific discipline and the importance of different types of social and educational environment for human beings. After successful completion of the course, the student will know the basic conceptual apparatus of social pedagogy. The student understands the place of social pedagogy in the system of educational sciences. He/she categorizes the typology of the environment and can explain the effects of a stimulus-unfriendly environment on a child. Can identify the socio-cultural context of child/pupil development. Describes and interprets the specifics of raising a child in a foster family and institutional setting. Understands the socio-pedagogical aspects of the school as an educational institution.
The student is able to assess the social environment of an individual and identify risk factors in it and choose appropriate methods of prevention and intervention in cooperation with other pedagogical and professional staff.
Transferable competences developed during students' work in seminars: by analysing, solving and discussing problems from seminar assignments, the student is able to communicate his/her conclusions, think critically and think in context.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Basic conceptual apparatus of social pedagogy. Social pedagogy as a scientific discipline (origin and development). The subject of social pedagogy. Relationship of social pedagogy to other sciences and scientific disciplines.
Environment, its typology and importance for humans.
The family as a natural environment of upbringing. Socio-pedagogical cognition of the family environment, cognition of socio-cultural specifics of the family environment. Socio-pedagogical intervention in the family. Socially-disadvantaging environment of the family.
The environment of foster family and institutional education - a family with a child in foster care and the environment of social-legal protection of children and social curatorship institutions (Centre for Children and Family).
Environment of special educational institutions - diagnostic centre, re-education centre, medical-educational sanatorium.
The school environment from the perspective of social pedagogy. Social competences of the teacher in pedagogical situations. Teacher's cooperation with the social pedagogue as a professional school employee and with other pedagogical and professional school employees.

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Required reading:
BAKOŠOVÁ, Z. 2011. Sociálna pedagogika ako životná pomoc. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2011. ISBN 9788096994403.
HRONCOVÁ, J. et al. 2020. Sociálna pedagogika na Slovensku a v zahraničí – teoretické východiská a prax. BELIANUM, Vydavateľstvo UMB v Banskej Bystrici, 2020. 247 s. ISBN 978-80-557-1717-3.
Recommended reading:
BAKOŠOVÁ, Z. a kol. 2011. Teórie sociálnej pedagogiky: edukačné, sociálne a komunikačné aspekty. Bratislava: SAV, 2011. ISBN 978-80-970675-0-2.
HATÁR, C. 2007. Základy sociálnej pedagogiky pre pomáhajúce profesie. Nitra: PdF UKF Nitra, 2007. ISBN 978-80-8094-174-1.
KRAUS, B. 2008. Základy sociální pedagogiky. Bratislava: Portál, 2008. ISBN 8073673833.
KURUC, M., TOMÁNEK, P. 2017. Korene nepokoje. Brno: Tribune EU, s.r.o. 2017. ISBN 978-80-263-1163-8.
ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. 2014. Problémové správanie žiakov a úloha sociálneho pedagóga v reflexii učiteľov In: Sociální pedagogika: časopis pro vědu a praxi. 2(1), 22-36.
ZEMANČÍKOVÁ, V. 2021. Dieťa z odlišného socio-kultúrneho prostredia v predškolskej edukácii z aspektu sociálnej pedagogiky. In: Pedagogika.sk. 12(3), 177-183.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak language, czech language

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 36

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
66,67	0,0	5,56	5,56	11,11	8,33	2,78

Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KP/B-VUZde019/22	Social psychology for teachers

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1

Course requirements:
Prerequisites: B-VUZde003 Psychology for teachers 1
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
100% of the interim assessment. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
Seminar paper (40% of points) - students work in class with pre-prepared record sheets that contain assignments reflecting on a textbook text or a text of research studies focused on the role of the teacher in an interpersonal context. After completing the worksheets, they discuss the authors' responses in small groups with classmates. Students are evaluated at the end of the seminar for the worksheets they have developed and turned in.
Test (60% of points) - the test reflects the knowledge acquired from the teacher's brief explanation, which will always take place before working with the record sheets. The test consists of open questions or also questions (A/B/C).
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For an A grade, students need to continuously submit outstanding performance throughout the semester. Record sheets indicate a high ability of students to reflect on social psychology knowledge in dealing with interpersonal situations in the classroom. They also reflect at a high level on their own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses of their own persona in a hypothetical school group interaction. The knowledge demonstrated in the student's test demonstrates their excellent mastery of theoretical introductions to seminars across a variety of social psychology topics.
A grade of B means that students performed very well continuously throughout the semester. The record sheets reflect well on social psychology knowledge in dealing with interpersonal situations in the classroom. They also reflect at a very good level on their own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses of their own persona in a hypothetical school group interaction. The knowledge

demonstrated in the student's test shows a very good mastery of the theoretical introductions to seminars in the vast majority of social psychology topics.
A grade of C means that the students performed well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge of various educational situations in the school environment and their solutions is at a good level, but there are also shortcomings in the ability to apply this knowledge. The knowledge demonstrated in the student's test shows a good grasp of the theoretical introductions with few errors.
A grade of D indicates that students underperformed during the semester. The record sheets show little motivation to think critically about different social situations and are filled in as if "just out of obligation". One's own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses of one's own persona in a hypothetical school group interaction are only minimally reflected upon. The knowledge demonstrated in the students' test shows a sufficient mastery of the theoretical introductions to the seminars.
A grade of E indicates that students performed minimally during the semester. The record sheets do not show an ability to think critically about various social situations and are filled out only sternly, which limits the student's ability to engage in quality group discussion. The student's own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses are not reflected in the hypothetical school group interaction. The knowledge demonstrated in the test is minimal.
A grade of FX indicates that the student has not sufficiently completed the course requirements and extra work is required.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The goal of the Social Psychology for Teachers course is to (1) introduce students to the issues of social dynamics and social group interaction, specifically in the school classroom; (2) help students identify social psychological phenomena and mechanisms in concrete examples from the school setting.
By critically reflecting on interpersonal situations from the school environment, the student deepens and actively thinks about (a) solutions to these situations and (b) the application of social psychology knowledge in practice. The student may also reflect on how he or she would address the social needs of students, using communication or intervention practices in the school classroom to achieve desired student behavior. The primary goal of the course is to supplement the student's ability to plan and design instruction with an awareness of the social aspects of the classroom, but also to deepen the student's self-awareness of his/her own functioning (strengths/weaknesses) in interpersonal model situations.
The student after completing the course:
- Knows the process of social group formation and its dynamics.
- Can explain the relationship between group phenomena and pupil behaviour in the classroom.
- Can apply the knowledge of social psychology to the process of school classroom management.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. The pupil in the interaction of social environment and education.
2. Social cognition, the process of forming an impression.
3. Social groups, classification of social groups, group development. group dynamics
4. Social role and social status, role identification and role conflict.
5. Process and types of communication. Teacher-pupil communication.
6. Social behaviour in the school classroom. Social interaction in informal groups.
7. Group norms. Leadership and power.

8. Social impact.
9. Aggressiveness, assertiveness, altruism.
10. Social attitudes.
11. Conflicts and possibilities of their resolution.
12. The use of social psychology knowledge in education and training.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

CANGELOSI, J. S. (2006). Strategie řízení třídy. Praha: Portál, 296 s. ISBN 80-7367-118-2

Recommended reading:

FONTANA, D. (2003). Psychologie ve školní praxi. Praha: Portál, 383 s. ISBN 8071786268
GAVORA, P. (2001). Výskum životného príbehu: učiteľka Adamová. Pedagogika, 51(3), 352-368.
KOLLÁRIK, T. (2004). Sociálna psychológia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 548 s. ISBN 9788022324793
LEMEŠOVÁ, M. (2012). Socializačné aspekty kultúry školy: o pravidlách, hraniciach a problémoch v školskom prostredí. In Lukšík, I. (Eds.). Kultúra škôl a výchovných zariadení. Univerzita Komenského, s. 146-168.
MASARYK, R. (2013). Medzi človekom a ľuďmi: Úvod do sociálnej psychológie. Bratislava: Iris, 202 s. ISBN 9788089238736
PETRÍK, J., & POPPER, M. (2020). Contact Based School Intervention Program: Enhancing Cooperation Intention and Reducing Prejudice toward Roma. Studia Psychologica, 62(3), 232-245.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, Czech and English

Notes:

Notes: students of the psychology teacher education programme (combined) do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 386

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
56,22	0,0	21,24	9,33	5,18	4,92	3,11

Lecturers: Mgr. Miroslava Lemešová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KP/B-VUZde019/22	Social psychology for teachers

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1

Course requirements:
Prerequisites: B-VUZde003 Psychology for teachers 1
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
100% of the interim assessment. For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
Seminar paper (40% of points) - students work in class with pre-prepared record sheets that contain assignments reflecting on a textbook text or a text of research studies focused on the role of the teacher in an interpersonal context. After completing the worksheets, they discuss the authors' responses in small groups with classmates. Students are evaluated at the end of the seminar for the worksheets they have developed and turned in.
Test (60% of points) - the test reflects the knowledge acquired from the teacher's brief explanation, which will always take place before working with the record sheets. The test consists of open questions or also questions (A/B/C).
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
For an A grade, students need to continuously submit outstanding performance throughout the semester. Record sheets indicate a high ability of students to reflect on social psychology knowledge in dealing with interpersonal situations in the classroom. They also reflect at a high level on their own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses of their own persona in a hypothetical school group interaction. The knowledge demonstrated in the student's test demonstrates their excellent mastery of theoretical introductions to seminars across a variety of social psychology topics.
A grade of B means that students performed very well continuously throughout the semester. The record sheets reflect well on social psychology knowledge in dealing with interpersonal situations in the classroom. They also reflect at a very good level on their own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses of their own persona in a hypothetical school group interaction. The knowledge

demonstrated in the student's test shows a very good mastery of the theoretical introductions to seminars in the vast majority of social psychology topics.
A grade of C means that the students performed well during the semester, their theoretical knowledge of various educational situations in the school environment and their solutions is at a good level, but there are also shortcomings in the ability to apply this knowledge. The knowledge demonstrated in the student's test shows a good grasp of the theoretical introductions with few errors.
A grade of D indicates that students underperformed during the semester. The record sheets show little motivation to think critically about different social situations and are filled in as if "just out of obligation". One's own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses of one's own persona in a hypothetical school group interaction are only minimally reflected upon. The knowledge demonstrated in the students' test shows a sufficient mastery of the theoretical introductions to the seminars.
A grade of E indicates that students performed minimally during the semester. The record sheets do not show an ability to think critically about various social situations and are filled out only sternly, which limits the student's ability to engage in quality group discussion. The student's own attitudes, strengths and weaknesses are not reflected in the hypothetical school group interaction. The knowledge demonstrated in the test is minimal.
A grade of FX indicates that the student has not sufficiently completed the course requirements and extra work is required.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The goal of the Social Psychology for Teachers course is to (1) introduce students to the issues of social dynamics and social group interaction, specifically in the school classroom; (2) help students identify social psychological phenomena and mechanisms in concrete examples from the school setting.
By critically reflecting on interpersonal situations from the school environment, the student deepens and actively thinks about (a) solutions to these situations and (b) the application of social psychology knowledge in practice. The student may also reflect on how he or she would address the social needs of students, using communication or intervention practices in the school classroom to achieve desired student behavior. The primary goal of the course is to supplement the student's ability to plan and design instruction with an awareness of the social aspects of the classroom, but also to deepen the student's self-awareness of his/her own functioning (strengths/weaknesses) in interpersonal model situations.
The student after completing the course:
- Knows the process of social group formation and its dynamics.
- Can explain the relationship between group phenomena and pupil behaviour in the classroom.
- Can apply the knowledge of social psychology to the process of school classroom management.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. The pupil in the interaction of social environment and education.
2. Social cognition, the process of forming an impression.
3. Social groups, classification of social groups, group development. group dynamics
4. Social role and social status, role identification and role conflict.
5. Process and types of communication. Teacher-pupil communication.
6. Social behaviour in the school classroom. Social interaction in informal groups.
7. Group norms. Leadership and power.

8. Social impact.
9. Aggressiveness, assertiveness, altruism.
10. Social attitudes.
11. Conflicts and possibilities of their resolution.
12. The use of social psychology knowledge in education and training.

Recommended literature:

Compulsory reading:

CANGELOSI, J. S. (2006). Strategie řízení třídy. Praha: Portál, 296 s. ISBN 80-7367-118-2

Recommended reading:

FONTANA, D. (2003). Psychologie ve školní praxi. Praha: Portál, 383 s. ISBN 8071786268
GAVORA, P. (2001). Výskum životného príbehu: učiteľka Adamová. Pedagogika, 51(3), 352-368.
KOLLÁRIK, T. (2004). Sociálna psychológia. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 548 s. ISBN 9788022324793
LEMEŠOVÁ, M. (2012). Socializačné aspekty kultúry školy: o pravidlách, hraniciach a problémoch v školskom prostredí. In Lukšík, I. (Eds.). Kultúra škôl a výchovných zariadení. Univerzita Komenského, s. 146-168.
MASARYK, R. (2013). Medzi človekom a ľuďmi: Úvod do sociálnej psychológie. Bratislava: Iris, 202 s. ISBN 9788089238736
PETRÍK, J., & POPPER, M. (2020). Contact Based School Intervention Program: Enhancing Cooperation Intention and Reducing Prejudice toward Roma. Studia Psychologica, 62(3), 232-245.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, Czech and English

Notes:

Notes: students of the psychology teacher education programme (combined) do not enrol in the course

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 386

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
56,22	0,0	21,24	9,33	5,18	4,92	3,11

Lecturers: Mgr. Miroslava Lemešová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde026/22	Social skills of teachers training

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 24s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 510

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
91,96	0,0	2,35	0,39	0,39	0,0	4,9

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD., Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD., Mgr. Peter Ostradický, PhD.

Last change: 22.04.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde026/22	Social skills of teachers training

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 24s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 510

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
91,96	0,0	2,35	0,39	0,39	0,0	4,9

Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD., PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD.

Last change: 22.04.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KP/B-VUZde021/22	Socio-educational training for teachers

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 24s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1

Course requirements:
100% of continuous assessment
Continuous assessment includes the evaluation of the performance of continuous tasks and assignments, which are carried out in an individual or group form in the pre-, during and post-training phase. Before the training, the mid-term evaluation consists of three tasks (reflective essay on expectations related to the self-discovery training = 10%; work with tests and questionnaires = 10%; individual preparation for the role-play = 10%), which are further worked on during the training. In the course of the training, activities and exercises are carried out to facilitate, in particular, a wide range of social-psychological competences: the development of intrapersonal (20%), interpersonal (20%) and social-inclusive competences (20%) of the students (dating activities, role-playing games, cooperative games, trust games, etc.). After the training, the continuous evaluation consists of structured feedback on the events in the training group (10%).
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
For an A grade, the student is actively involved in individual activities and shows interest and effort to develop his/her competencies, seeks original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects on the emerging changes that training brings, shows himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.
B grade means that the student is actively involved in individual activities and shows interest and effort to develop his/her competences, to a lesser extent looks for original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects the emerging changes that the training brings, but needs more time and space for their verbalization, manifests himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.

A C grade is awarded if the student is usually actively involved in individual activities, shows interest and effort to develop his/her competences, but only in some topics and areas, makes little use of his/her personality in finding original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects to some extent the emerging changes brought by the training, shows himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.
The grade D means that the student is involved to a limited extent in individual activities and shows only sporadic interest and effort to develop his/her competencies, often with external help looking for original and creative solutions to the tasks, cannot adequately reflect the emerging changes that the training brings, is more passive and more like a marginal member of the training group, although he/she actively expresses his/her own opinion.
Grade E is awarded to a student who behaves passively and minimally participates in individual activities, does not show interest and effort to develop his/her competencies, relies on the group for original and creative solutions to the tasks set, cannot adequately reflect the emerging changes that the training brings, cannot find his/her place in the training group, expresses his/her own opinion sporadically and not always in an appropriate way.
The grade of Fx is given on an exceptional basis, most often due to non-attendance and failure to complete assigned midterm assignments, non-cooperation, refusal to take a stand on required topics and to express one's own opinion.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the Social Psychological Training for Teachers course is to motivate and develop self-knowledge and self-reflection in teacher education students of different study programmes.
The student after completing the course:
- is aware of the importance of self-knowledge, reflection and personal development in teaching practice,
- can define their strengths and weaknesses as well as challenges and pitfalls in their own self-development,
- can name and identify the most general errors in social perception and apply them to teaching practice,
- identifies with the teacher's role, profession and its specifics,
- Through experiential activities, gain experience of active social and experiential learning.

Class syllabus:
The course is implemented through experiential activities and exercises aimed mainly at:
1. The sphere of the external world in the process of self-discovery (the individual's membership in various social groups, the teacher's role in the school classroom and the teacher's team).
2. The area of the inner world in the process of self-knowledge (experiencing, thinking, decision-making, the ways in which our emotions or our body affect us, what hidden beliefs influence our thinking, etc.).
3. The area of the transition zone of self-knowledge (behaviour, communication, etc.)..
4. Increasing sensitivity to one's own experience and to the experience and emotions of others.
5. Building a positive self-image in the context of pro-teacher identification.

Recommended literature:
KOMÁRKOVÁ, R. (2001). Aplikovaná sociální psychologie III. Sociálněpsychologický výcvik. Praha: Grada, 224 s. ISBN 8024701804
KOLAŘÍK, M. (2011). Interakční psychologický výcvik. Praha: Grada, 160 s. ISBN 9788024729411
KOLAŘÍK, M. (2013). Interakční psychologický výcvik pro praxi. Praha: Grada, 128 s. ISBN 978-80-247-8602-5

KUNEŠ, D. (2009). Sebepoznání. Praha: Portál, 152 s. ISBN 978-80-7367-541-7
LEMEŠOVÁ, M., HAMRANOVÁ, A., MINAROVIČOVÁ, K., SABOVÁ, L., SOKOLOVÁ L. (2017). Psychológia zážitkom. Metodická príručka. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 497 s. ISBN978-80-223-3655-0

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:
Students of the study programme Psychology Teaching (combined) do not enrol in the course, the teaching is carried out in a block form.

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 131

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
93,89	0,0	1,53	0,0	0,0	0,0	4,58

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KP/B-VUZde021/22	Socio-educational training for teachers

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 24s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde003/22 - Psychology for teachers 1

Course requirements:
100% of continuous assessment
Continuous assessment includes the evaluation of the performance of continuous tasks and assignments, which are carried out in an individual or group form in the pre-, during and post-training phase. Before the training, the mid-term evaluation consists of three tasks (reflective essay on expectations related to the self-discovery training = 10%; work with tests and questionnaires = 10%; individual preparation for the role-play = 10%), which are further worked on during the training. In the course of the training, activities and exercises are carried out to facilitate, in particular, a wide range of social-psychological competences: the development of intrapersonal (20%), interpersonal (20%) and social-inclusive competences (20%) of the students (dating activities, role-playing games, cooperative games, trust games, etc.). After the training, the continuous evaluation consists of structured feedback on the events in the training group (10%).
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required)
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
For an A grade, the student is actively involved in individual activities and shows interest and effort to develop his/her competencies, seeks original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects on the emerging changes that training brings, shows himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.
B grade means that the student is actively involved in individual activities and shows interest and effort to develop his/her competences, to a lesser extent looks for original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects the emerging changes that the training brings, but needs more time and space for their verbalization, manifests himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.

A C grade is awarded if the student is usually actively involved in individual activities, shows interest and effort to develop his/her competences, but only in some topics and areas, makes little use of his/her personality in finding original and creative solutions to the tasks set, reflects to some extent the emerging changes brought by the training, shows himself/herself as a full member of the training group and actively expresses his/her own opinion.
The grade D means that the student is involved to a limited extent in individual activities and shows only sporadic interest and effort to develop his/her competencies, often with external help looking for original and creative solutions to the tasks, cannot adequately reflect the emerging changes that the training brings, is more passive and more like a marginal member of the training group, although he/she actively expresses his/her own opinion.
Grade E is awarded to a student who behaves passively and minimally participates in individual activities, does not show interest and effort to develop his/her competencies, relies on the group for original and creative solutions to the tasks set, cannot adequately reflect the emerging changes that the training brings, cannot find his/her place in the training group, expresses his/her own opinion sporadically and not always in an appropriate way.
The grade of Fx is given on an exceptional basis, most often due to non-attendance and failure to complete assigned midterm assignments, non-cooperation, refusal to take a stand on required topics and to express one's own opinion.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the Social Psychological Training for Teachers course is to motivate and develop self-knowledge and self-reflection in teacher education students of different study programmes.
The student after completing the course:
- is aware of the importance of self-knowledge, reflection and personal development in teaching practice,
- can define their strengths and weaknesses as well as challenges and pitfalls in their own self-development,
- can name and identify the most general errors in social perception and apply them to teaching practice,
- identifies with the teacher's role, profession and its specifics,
- Through experiential activities, gain experience of active social and experiential learning.

Class syllabus:
The course is implemented through experiential activities and exercises aimed mainly at:
1. The sphere of the external world in the process of self-discovery (the individual's membership in various social groups, the teacher's role in the school classroom and the teacher's team).
2. The area of the inner world in the process of self-knowledge (experiencing, thinking, decision-making, the ways in which our emotions or our body affect us, what hidden beliefs influence our thinking, etc.).
3. The area of the transition zone of self-knowledge (behaviour, communication, etc.)..
4. Increasing sensitivity to one's own experience and to the experience and emotions of others.
5. Building a positive self-image in the context of pro-teacher identification.

Recommended literature:
KOMÁRKOVÁ, R. (2001). Aplikovaná sociální psychologie III. Sociálněpsychologický výcvik. Praha: Grada, 224 s. ISBN 8024701804
KOLAŘÍK, M. (2011). Interakční psychologický výcvik. Praha: Grada, 160 s. ISBN 9788024729411
KOLAŘÍK, M. (2013). Interakční psychologický výcvik pro praxi. Praha: Grada, 128 s. ISBN 978-80-247-8602-5

KUNEŠ, D. (2009). Sebepoznání. Praha: Portál, 152 s. ISBN 978-80-7367-541-7
LEMEŠOVÁ, M., HAMRANOVÁ, A., MINAROVIČOVÁ, K., SABOVÁ, L., SOKOLOVÁ L. (2017). Psychológia zážitkom. Metodická príručka. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 497 s. ISBN978-80-223-3655-0

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:
Students of the study programme Psychology Teaching (combined) do not enrol in the course, the teaching is carried out in a block form.

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 131

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
93,89	0,0	1,53	0,0	0,0	0,0	4,58

Lecturers: Mgr. Miroslava Lemešová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde026/22	Socio-pathological phenomena in schools prevention and intervention

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an assessment, the ratio of the midterm and final assessment is 100/0. The task of the students is to prepare a midterm paper for 40 points and to pass a midterm test for 60 points, a total of 100 points. A minimum of 60% of the maximum possible course grade is required for successful completion of the course.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
The aim of the course is to provide basic knowledge on the issue of socio-pathological phenomena in school. The course pays special attention to the issues of clarification of terminology in the field of social pathology. Students will be able to identify the internal and external causes of social pathological phenomena, describe and distinguish the manifestations of individual phenomena, indicate and propose specific forms of their prevention in school practice. Students will also acquire the prerequisites to be able to use the acquired knowledge in solving specific problem situations.
Transferable competencies: students and learners will develop communication skills using professional terminology in the field of social pathology. They will improve their skills in working with professional literature and producing professional texts. Students will be able to apply knowledge creatively in problem-based tasks.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Basic terminology (social pathology, normality, delinquency, deviance, prevention, intervention, etc.).
2. Causes of the emergence of socio-pathological phenomena.
3. Characteristics of selected socio-pathological phenomena.
4. Specifics of socio-pathological phenomena in the school environment.
5. Violence in schools, bullying and cyberbullying.
7. 4. Truancy of pupils.
8. Pupils using alcohol and drugs.
9. Lying, running, wandering, stealing.
10. Children at risk in the environment (CAN syndrome).
11. Self-harm and suicidal actions in children.
12. Prejudice, xenophobia and racism.
13. Prevention programmes and activities in schools.
14. 13. Intervention strategies in the occurrence of socio-pathological phenomena in school.

Recommended literature:
Reference sources:
Required reading:
HRONCOVÁ, J. 2004. Sociálna patológia. Banská Bystrica: Pedagogická fakulta UMB, 2004.ISBN 80-8055-926-0.
ONDREJKOVIČ, P. 2009. Sociálna patológia. Bratislava: SAV, 2009. ISBN 978-80-224-1074-8
Recommended reading:
ČERNÁ, A. 2013. Kyberšikana. Praha: Grada Publishing, a.s., 2013. ISBN 978-80-247-4577-0.

FERKOVÁ, Š. 2014. Skúsenosti s využitím influenčného programu zameraného na elimináciu rizikového správania žiakov v škole. In Prevencia. roč. 13. č. 4. s. 37 – 44.
FERKOVÁ, Š. 2015. Prevencia a intervencia sebapoškodzujúceho správania sa detí a dospievajúcich. In MMK 2015 [elektronický zdroj]. - Hradec Králové : Magnanimitas, 2015. - ISBN 978-80-87952-12-2. - S. 1150-1158
FISCHER, S., ŠKODA, J. 2009. Sociální patologie. Analýza příčin a možnosti ovlivňovaní závažných sociálně patologických jevů. Praha : Grada Publishing, a.s. ISBN 978-80-247-7813
HUPKOVÁ, I., LIBERČANOVÁ, K. 2012. Drogové závislosti a ich prevencia. Trnava : Pedagogická fakulta TU v Trnave. 153 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-563-8 Dostupné na pdf.truni.sk/download?eskripta/hupkova-libercanova-drogove-zavislosti.pdf
KOLÁŘ, M. 2005. Bolest šikanování. Praha : Portál, 2005. ISBN 978-80-7367-014-6
KOUTEK, J., KOCOURKOVÁ., J. 2003. Sebevražedné chování. Praha : Portál, 2003. ISBN 978-80-7367-349-9
KUNÁK, S. 2007. Vybrané možnosti primárnej prevencie negatívnych vplyvov na deti a mládež. Bratislava: Iris, 2007. ISBN 8089256105.
KYRIACOU, CH. 2005. Řešení výchovných problémů ve škole. Praha : Portál, 2005. ISBN 80-7178-945-3
MATOUŠEK, O., KROFTOVÁ, A. 2003. Mládež a delikvence. Praha : Portál, 2003. ISBN 80-7178-771-X
Smernica č. 36/2018 k prevencii a riešeniu šikanovania detí a žiakov v školách a školských zariadeniach. Dostupné na: https://www.minedu.sk/data/att/16073.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 84

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
44,05	0,0	34,52	10,71	4,76	1,19	4,76

Lecturers: Mgr. Štefánia Ferková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde026/22	Socio-pathological phenomena in schools prevention and intervention

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed with an assessment, the ratio of the midterm and final assessment is 100/0. The task of the students is to prepare a midterm paper for 40 points and to pass a midterm test for 60 points, a total of 100 points. A minimum of 60% of the maximum possible course grade is required for successful completion of the course.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.

A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
The aim of the course is to provide basic knowledge on the issue of socio-pathological phenomena in school. The course pays special attention to the issues of clarification of terminology in the field of social pathology. Students will be able to identify the internal and external causes of social pathological phenomena, describe and distinguish the manifestations of individual phenomena, indicate and propose specific forms of their prevention in school practice. Students will also acquire the prerequisites to be able to use the acquired knowledge in solving specific problem situations.
Transferable competencies: students and learners will develop communication skills using professional terminology in the field of social pathology. They will improve their skills in working with professional literature and producing professional texts. Students will be able to apply knowledge creatively in problem-based tasks.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Basic terminology (social pathology, normality, delinquency, deviance, prevention, intervention, etc.).
2. Causes of the emergence of socio-pathological phenomena.
3. Characteristics of selected socio-pathological phenomena.
4. Specifics of socio-pathological phenomena in the school environment.
5. Violence in schools, bullying and cyberbullying.
7. 4. Truancy of pupils.
8. Pupils using alcohol and drugs.
9. Lying, running, wandering, stealing.
10. Children at risk in the environment (CAN syndrome).
11. Self-harm and suicidal actions in children.
12. Prejudice, xenophobia and racism.
13. Prevention programmes and activities in schools.
14. 13. Intervention strategies in the occurrence of socio-pathological phenomena in school.

Recommended literature:
Reference sources:
Required reading:
HRONCOVÁ, J. 2004. Sociálna patológia. Banská Bystrica: Pedagogická fakulta UMB, 2004.ISBN 80-8055-926-0.
ONDREJKOVIČ, P. 2009. Sociálna patológia. Bratislava: SAV, 2009. ISBN 978-80-224-1074-8
Recommended reading:
ČERNÁ, A. 2013. Kyberšikana. Praha: Grada Publishing, a.s., 2013. ISBN 978-80-247-4577-0.

FERKOVÁ, Š. 2014. Skúsenosti s využitím influenčného programu zameraného na elimináciu rizikového správania žiakov v škole. In Prevencia. roč. 13. č. 4. s. 37 – 44.
FERKOVÁ, Š. 2015. Prevencia a intervencia sebapoškodzujúceho správania sa detí a dospievajúcich. In MMK 2015 [elektronický zdroj]. - Hradec Králové : Magnanimitas, 2015. - ISBN 978-80-87952-12-2. - S. 1150-1158
FISCHER, S., ŠKODA, J. 2009. Sociální patologie. Analýza příčin a možnosti ovlivňovaní závažných sociálně patologických jevů. Praha : Grada Publishing, a.s. ISBN 978-80-247-7813
HUPKOVÁ, I., LIBERČANOVÁ, K. 2012. Drogové závislosti a ich prevencia. Trnava : Pedagogická fakulta TU v Trnave. 153 s. ISBN 978-80-8082-563-8 Dostupné na pdf.truni.sk/download?eskripta/hupkova-libercanova-drogove-zavislosti.pdf
KOLÁŘ, M. 2005. Bolest šikanování. Praha : Portál, 2005. ISBN 978-80-7367-014-6
KOUTEK, J., KOCOURKOVÁ., J. 2003. Sebevražedné chování. Praha : Portál, 2003. ISBN 978-80-7367-349-9
KUNÁK, S. 2007. Vybrané možnosti primárnej prevencie negatívnych vplyvov na deti a mládež. Bratislava: Iris, 2007. ISBN 8089256105.
KYRIACOU, CH. 2005. Řešení výchovných problémů ve škole. Praha : Portál, 2005. ISBN 80-7178-945-3
MATOUŠEK, O., KROFTOVÁ, A. 2003. Mládež a delikvence. Praha : Portál, 2003. ISBN 80-7178-771-X
Smernica č. 36/2018 k prevencii a riešeniu šikanovania detí a žiakov v školách a školských zariadeniach. Dostupné na: https://www.minedu.sk/data/att/16073.pdf

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 84

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
44,05	0,0	34,52	10,71	4,76	1,19	4,76

Lecturers: Mgr. Štefánia Ferková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde005/22	**Course title:** Spanish Language System 1.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 4 **per level/semester:** 52
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 4 hours per week seminar, total per semester 44 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 150 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 44 hours; homework = 44 hours; continuous study of literature = 22 hours; test preparation = 40 hours.
Teaching methods: interpretation, explanation, discussion, application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, managed self-study – task solving and assignments

Number of credits: 6

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The results of the student will be evaluated in the form of an interim assessment of the work in the classroom, written tasks and the final examination from the theory and practical use of the language.
• The interim evaluation is 25%: 5% participation in classroom activities; 20% for ongoing homework on each topic. The fulfilment of the conditions for interim evaluation is not a condition for participation in the examination. The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
• The final test represents 75 % of the evaluation. The examination consists of an oral theoretical part (25 %) and a written practical part (50 %). In order to successfully complete the subject, it is necessary to obtain at least 60 % of the evaluation of the theoretical part and at least 60% of the evaluation of the practical part of the test.
Evaluation of the oral examination:
• 23-25 points: the student is able to independently give a comprehensive view of the selected topic of educational content, while in addition to knowing the rich factual data that he/she can illustrate using specific examples of use, he will also demonstrate an understanding of the deeper contexts between them; when expressing himself/herself, he/she uses precise terminology, which he speaks in both Spanish and Slovak
• 20-22 points: the student is able to provide a comprehensive view of the selected topic of educational content using the investigator's auxiliary questions, while demonstrating an understanding of the deeper contexts between them, in addition to knowing the rich factual data

that he/she can illustrate using specific examples of use; when expressing himself/herself, he/she uses precise terminology, which he speaks in both Spanish and Slovak
• 17-19 points: the student can provide a partial view of the selected topic of educational content, demonstrating, in addition to knowing an adequate amount of factual data, an understanding of the basic context between them; can illustrate part of theoretical knowledge on examples; uses appropriate terminology when expressing both Spanish and Slovak
• 15-17 points: the student can provide a partial view of the selected topic of educational content using the investigator's auxiliary questions, demonstrating, in addition to knowing the basic factual data, the ability to point out the basic connections between them; he/she can illustrate part of theoretical knowledge on examples; he/she uses appropriate terminology when expressing himself/herself in both Spanish and Slovak
• 10-14 points: the student cannot even use the investigator's auxiliary questions to give a partial view of the selected topic of educational content, the student knows the basic factual data and the basic connections between them, but does not use the appropriate terminology when expressing himself/herself or does not control it in both Spanish and Slovak
• 5-9 points: the student cannot even give a partial view of the selected topic of educational content by means of auxiliary questions of the examiner, although he/she knows the basic factual data, but does not know the basic connections between them, does not use appropriate terminology when expressing
• 0-4 points: even with the help of the investigator's assistance questions, the student cannot give a partial view of the selected topic of educational content, because he/she does not know the basic factual data or the basic connections between them, he does not use appropriate terminology when expressing.
The overall evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to acquire basic knowledge of Spanish language linguistics and to develop language competence.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• has basic knowledge of the sound and grammatical structure of the language, with particular regard to the morphological system;
• he/she is orientated in linguistic disciplines dealing with individual language levels and mastering the relevant terminology;
• he/she can present theoretic knowledge of individual language phenomena in the form of a comprehensible and orderly interpretation;
• theoretic knowledge of individual language phenomena can be applied in practice;
• has adequate linguistic competence (phonological, orthoepic, ortographic and grammatical).
Completion of the course contributes to the achievement of competencies such as: communicative competence, ability to observe detail, ability to observe detail, ability to analyze and synthesis, ability to plan and organize their studies, the ability to expand and update knowledge by self-study.

Class syllabus:
• Language as system – general characteristics.

• Sound structure – phonemic system (sounds and phonetics, vocal and consonant system), suprasegmental phenomena (accent, melody, intonation). Phonetics and phonology.
• Morphological structure – morphemic structure of the word, types of morphemes, word types. Morphology.
• Nouns – characteristics, distribution, grammatical categories.
• Adjectives – characteristics, distribution, grammatical categories, graduation.
• Determinants – characteristic, division.
• Definite and indefinite articles.
• Numerals – characteristic, distribution.
• Pronouns – characteristic, division.
• Adverbs – characteristic, division.
• Prepositions – characteristic, division.
• Interjections – characteristic, division.
• Verbs – characteristic, distribution, grammatical categories, tenses (regular and irregular forms).

Recommended literature:

GÓMEZ-PABLOS CALVO, B. 2014. Španielske gramatiky za posledných 40 rokov : charakteristika a návrh klasifikácie. In: Hľadanie metódy v kontrastívnej lingvistike [CD-ROM]. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2014. ISBN 978-80-223-3772-4. S. 33-73.
GÓMEZ TORREGO, L. Gramática didáctica del español. Madrid: SM, 2016. 544 s. ISBN: 978-8820379025. (vybrané kapitoly)
MEDVECZKÁ, M. 2014. Kontrastívne gramatiky španielčiny na Slovensku a ich prístup k deskripcii slovesa v španielčine. In: Hľadanie metódy v kontrastívnej lingvistike. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2014. s. 74-98. ISBN 978-80-223-3772-4.
TRUP, L. 2001. Gramatika španielčiny I. Bratislava: Letra, 2001. 294 s. ISBN 8096781448. (vybrané kapitoly)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Spanish and Slovak

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 90

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
26,67	0,0	30,0	13,33	10,0	4,44	15,56

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde008/22	Spanish Language System 2.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 4 **per level/semester:** 52
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: 4 hours per week seminar, total per semester 44 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload:
A total of 150 hours of student work of which: in-person teaching = 44 hours; homework = 44 hours; continuous study of literature = 22 hours; test preparation = 40 hours.
Methods of education: interpretation, explanation, discussion, application of theoretical knowledge on practical examples, controlled self-study – solving tasks and assignments

Number of credits: 6

Recommended semester: 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The results of the student will be evaluated in the form of interim evaluation of the work on the classroom, written tasks and the final examination from the theory and practical use of the language.
• The interim evaluation is 25%: 5% participation in classroom activities; 20% ongoing homework on each topic. The fulfilment of the conditions for interim evaluation is not a condition for participation in the examination. The student is not entitled to corrective or substitute options for fulfilling the conditions of the interim evaluation, teachers can, if justified, postpone the deadline for the handover of individual assignments.
• The final test represents 75 % of the evaluation. The examination consists of an oral theoretical part (25 %) and a written practical part (50 %). In order to successfully complete the course, it is necessary to obtain at least 60 % of the assessment of the theoretical and at least 60 % of the evaluation of each part of the practical part of the examination.
Evaluation of the oral examination:
• 23-25 points: the student is able to independently give a comprehensive view of the selected topic of educational content, while in addition to knowing the rich factual data that he/she can illustrate using specific examples of use, he/she will also demonstrate an understanding of the deeper contexts between them; when expressing himself, he/she uses precise terminology in both Spanish and Slovak
• 20-22 points: the student is able to provide a comprehensive view of the selected topic of educational content using the investigator's auxiliary questions, while demonstrating an understanding of the deeper contexts between them, in addition to knowing the rich factual data

that he/she can illustrate using specific examples of use; when expressing himself, he uses precise terminology in both Spanish and Slovak
• 17-19 points: the student can provide a partial view of the selected topic of educational content, demonstrating, in addition to knowing an adequate amount of factual data, an understanding of the basic context between them; can illustrate part of theoretical knowledge on examples; uses appropriate terminology in both Spanish and Slovak
• 15-17 points: the student can provide a partial view of the selected topic of educational content using the investigator's auxiliary questions, demonstrating, in addition to knowing the basic factual data, the ability to point out the basic connections between them; heúshe can illustrate part of theoretical knowledge on examples; uses appropriate terminology in both Spanish and Slovak
• 10-14 points: the student cannot even use the investigator's auxiliary questions to give a partial view of the selected topic of educational content, the student knows the basic factual data and the basic connections between them, but does not use the appropriate terminology when expressing or does not control it in both Spanish and Slovak
• 5-9 points: the student cannot even give a partial view of the selected topic of educational content by means of auxiliary questions of the examiner, although he/she knows the basic factual data, but does not know the basic connections between them, does not use appropriate terminology when expressing
• 0-4 points: even with the help of the investigator's assistance questions, the student cannot give a partial view of the selected topic of educational content, because he/she does not know the basic factual data or the basic connections between them, he/she does not use appropriate terminology when expressing.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good – above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory – acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient – the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to acquire basic knowledge of Spanish language linguistics and to develop language competence.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• has basic knowledge of the grammatical and lexical system of the language, with particular regard to the morphosyntactic system;
• master the relevant terminology;
• he/she can present theoretic knowledge of individual language phenomena in the form of a comprehensible and orderly interpretation;
• theoretic knowledge of individual language phenomena can be applied in practice;
• has adequate linguistic competence (orthographic and grammatical).
Completion of the course contributes to the achievement of competencies such as: communicative competence, ability to observe detail, ability to observe detail, ability to analyze and synthesis, ability to plan and organize their studies, the ability to expand their knowledge by self-study.

Class syllabus:
• Verbs – time and tense. Past tense system, consecutio temporum.
• Verbs – category of mood. Indicative, Imperative, Conditional and Subjunctive mood.

• Impersonal verb forms. Infinitive, participle and gerund constructions.
• Conjunctions – characteristics, distribution
• Syntax – subject of study, basic terminology (syntagma, sentence constituents, sentence, clauses, predicate, complements, modifiers, agreement, binding)
• Sentence Structure and types of Sentences
• Main clauses – subject and predicate; types of predicate
• Constituents of a noun phrase.
• Constituents of a verb phrase.
• Simple, compound and complex sentences, semi-predicative constrictions, types of compound and complex sentences, coordinating and subordinating conjunctions.
• Types of dependent clauses (noun clauses)
• Types of dependent clauses (adjective clauses)
• Types of dependent clauses (adverbial clauses expressing condition, reason, time, purpose, concession, consequence, comparison).
• Lexical system (types of words and phrases, lexical morphology)

Recommended literature:

GÓMEZ-PABLOS CALVO, B. 2014. Španielske gramatiky za posledných 40 rokov : charakteristika a návrh klasifikácie. In: Hľadanie metódy v kontrastívnej lingvistike [CD-ROM]. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2014. ISBN 978-80-223-3772-4. p. 33-73.
GÓMEZ TORREGO, L. 2016. Gramática didáctica del español. Madrid: SM, 2016. 544 p. ISBN: 978-8820379025. (vybrané kapitoly)
MEDVECZKÁ, M. 2014. Kontrastívne gramatiky španielčiny na Slovensku a ich prístup k deskripcii slovesa v španielčine. In: Hľadanie metódy v kontrastívnej lingvistike. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2014. p. 74-98. ISBN 978-80-223-3772-4.
TRUP, L. 2001. Gramatika španielčiny I. Bratislava: Letra, 2001. 294 p. ISBN 8096781448. (vybrané kapitoly)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Spanish and Slovak

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 70

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
15,71	0,0	25,71	20,0	8,57	18,57	11,43

Lecturers: PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., doc. Mgr. Beatriz Gómez-Pablos Calvo, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde038/22	Specialised foreign language

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 141

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
56,74	0,0	21,99	7,09	2,84	2,13	9,22

Lecturers: doc. Tivadar Palágyi, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD.

Last change:

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde038/22	Specialised foreign language

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 141

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
56,74	0,0	21,99	7,09	2,84	2,13	9,22

Lecturers: PaedDr. Peter Gergel, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., PhDr. Mojmír Malovecký, PhD., doc. Tivadar Palágyi, PhD., Mgr. Zuzana Tóth, Dr.phil

Last change:

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde006/22	Survey of the History of Spanish Literature 1.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 4 **per level/semester:** 52
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: seminar 4 hours per week; total per semester 44 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 150 hours of student work
44 hours of in-person teaching, 22 hours of continuous home preparation for class, sub-tasks; 32 hours preparation of the seminar work and its presentation in the classroom; 52 hours test preparation.
Teaching methods: lecturing, interpretation, discussion of the topic discussed, interview, work with the professional text, critical analysis of texts and comparison, problem solving of tasks, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 6

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the subject is active participation classroom activities and performance of ongoing tasks during the semester, writing of seminar work and its oral presentation in the form of a report and final examination.
· Active participation in classroom activities and performance of ongoing tasks, 22 % evaluation.
During the semester, the student is invited to actively participate in discussions and interviews on the topic being discussed. During the semester, he receives partial tasks, the control and evaluation of which is carried out orally in the classroom.
· Preparation and preparation of seminar work and its presentation in the form of a report, 28 % evaluation, of which 14 % written processing, 14 % oral presentation.
At the beginning of the course, the student chooses a theme from the course topics, which he/she prepares during the semester and presents it in the specified lesson in the form of a report. Part of the work should be the design of didactic processing of the selected literary theme.
· The subject ends with a written exam – a test from the topics of literature from the Middle Ages to Pre-Romantism, 50% of the rating.
At the final exam, the student will demonstrate an overview knowledge of literature of older periods in Spanish, can explain the main literary tendencies of these periods, demonstrate basic educational skills and the ability to obtain information and understand it in their mutual context.

At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course. A necessary condition for the completion of the course is the preparation and handover of the seminar work and its presentation in the form of a department.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)
A – excellent performance, the student has acquired an overview knowledge of the history of literature at an excellent level, can explain the main literary tendencies of literature of older periods in the Spanish language, he/she knows the most important representatives and works of literature in the Spanish language, he/she can explain the interrelationships between literary phenomena. The student has demonstrated basic educational skills and the ability to independently search for and obtain information, is able to express his/her own opinion and justify it with arguments. The seminar work was prepared and submitted on time, it is elaborated at an excellent level in terms of content and formal, it is characterized by suitable structure, originality and linguistic correctness. During the oral presentation of the seminar work the student was able to present the topic clearly and logically, he/she proceeded systematically, worked creatively and originally with literary text. The student was able to incorporate into the presentation a didactic component, he/she observed the time parameters, his oral expression is smooth and cultivated. He/she actively participated in discussions and discussions on the topic discussed throughout the teaching period, showed the ability to argue and express his/her own opinion in discussions, regularly and responsibly performed the assigned tasks. The student showed excellent communication competence in the Spanish language, he/she is able to express himself and formulate complex ideas accordingly, he/she has demonstrated the ability to understand artistic texts in Spanish.
B – performance at the level of above average standard, the student has acquired an overview knowledge of the history of literature at the standard level with slight deviations, can explain the main literary tendencies of literature of older periods, he/she knows the most important representatives and works, but has shortcomings in linking the connections between literary phenomena. He/she can express its own opinion and formulate it accordingly. The student demonstrated basic educational skills and the ability to independently search for and obtain information. The seminar work was prepared and submitted on time, it is processed at a standard level, both formally and in content, characterized by linguistic correctness, appropriate structure, albeit a lesser degree of originality. When oral presentation of the department, the student presented the interpretation of the topic clearly and logically, but less systematically, the student worked with the literary text, but to a lesser extent incorporated the didactic component into it. He/she complied with the time parameters of the presentation, his/her oral expression is smooth and cultivated, but there may be linguistic deficiencies. He/she regularly participated in discussions and discussions on the subject being discussed, but with less involvement in reasoning and expressing his own attitudes. He/she regularly performed his tasks on an ongoing basis. The student has shown excellent communication competence in Spanish, is able to express himself and formulate ideas accordingly, has demonstrated the ability to understand artistic texts in Spanish.
C – good performance, the student has acquired an overview knowledge of the history of literature at the level of reliable work, knows the main literary tendencies of literature of older periods in the Spanish language, knows the most important representatives of literature, but has some shortcomings in knowledge of specific works and in the connection of connections between literary phenomena. It can express its own opinion and formulate it accordingly. The student demonstrated

basic educational skills and the ability to independently search for and obtain information. The seminar work has been prepared and submitted on time, it is processed reliably, but contains some unbalanced components in content, formal or linguistic terms. During the oral presentation of the department, the student presented the interpretation of the topic clearly, but to a lesser extent showed creativity in working with literary text and incorporating the didactic component. He/she adhered to the time parameters of the presentation, his oral expression is smooth, but there may be minor linguistic deficiencies. He/she was less active and less regularly involved in discussions and discussions on the subject being discussed. Its activity in the performance of interim tasks during the semester is reduced.

D – sufficient performance, the student acquired an overview knowledge of the history of literature at an acceptable level, showed sufficient knowledge of literary tendencies of literature of older periods, but has certain shortcomings in knowledge of specific authors and works and in the connection of connections between literary phenomena. The student showed a lower level of interest in independent search for information and independent individual study, but gained sufficient knowledge of the content of education. The seminar work has been prepared and submitted in a timely manner, but may contain content, formal or linguistic shortcomings. He/she presented the report to an acceptable level, with less involvement of creativity in working with literary text. His/her activity during the semester is reduced, he/she shows no interest in formulating his own ideas and arguing that there is no connection between the learned.

E – sufficient performance, the student acquired knowledge meeting the criteria at the level of minimum sufficiency, showed a lower level of interest in independent search of information and in independent individual studies, but acquired basic knowledge of the content of education. During the semester his/her performances were fluctuating, his/her participation in discussions and his performance of ongoing tasks were not characterized by regularity. Although the student submitted the seminar work and presented the report at an acceptable level, he/she showed gaps in the content of knowledge from the history of literature, which are verified at the final written examination.

Fx – unacceptable performance, the student did not sufficient adopt the curriculum, did not demonstrate a sufficient degree of acquired knowledge and did not demonstrate sufficient ability in independent study. During the semester, he/she did not perform adequately, did not engage regularly in discussions and did not regularly perform ongoing tasks. Although the student submitted the seminar work and presented the paper, he/she showed insufficient knowledge of the curriculum content verified at the final written examination.

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to acquire a basic knowledge of the history of older literature in the Spanish language and to be able to transfer them to the teaching process in didacticised processing.

Educational outcomes:

After successful completion of the course student:

· obtains a basic overview of the development of Spanish written literature from the first literary texts to the beginning of the 19th century,

· can explain the main tendencies and literary directions of literature of older periods,

· can recognize the most important representatives and knows representative works of older literature in Spanish,

· has the ability to obtain and understand information in relation to each other,

· develops the abilities of creative didactizing processing of literary issues and presentation of the results of his work,

· has developed basic learning skills.

After successful completion of the course, the student should have a basic overview of the Spanish literature from the beginning to the beginning of the 19th century.

Completion of the course contributes to the development of communication competence in Spanish and specifically to the ability to understand artistic texts in Spanish.

Class syllabus:
Medieval poetry. The art of clerics. Heroic epic.
The origins of prose in the Middle Ages.
Literature of the late Middle Ages.
The development of the novel from the Renaissance to the Baroque.
Poetry in the period of Humanism and the Renaissance.
Poetry of baroque style.
The theater of the Golden Age.
18th-century literature. From Classicism to Preromantism.

Recommended literature:
BARROS LORENZO, R., GONZÁLEZ PINO, A. M. FREIRE HERMIDA, M. 2006. Curso de literatura, español lengua extranjera. Madrid: Edelsa. 170 p. ISBN 978-84-7711-620-2.
BOJNIČANOVÁ, R. Panorama de la literatura española. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2015. 120 p. ISBN 978-80-223-4028-1.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Spanish and Slovak

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 80

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
41,25	0,0	27,5	20,0	3,75	5,0	2,5

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde009/22	Survey of the History of Spanish Literature 2.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 4 **per level/semester:** 52
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: seminar 4 hours per week; total per semester 44 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 150 hours of student work
44 hours of in-person teaching, 22 hours of continuous home preparation for class, sub-tasks; 32 hours preparation of the seminar work and its presentation in the classroom; 52 hours test preparation.
Teaching methods: lecturing, interpretation, discussion of the topic discussed, interview, work with the professional text, critical analysis of texts and comparison, problem solving of tasks, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 6

Recommended semester: 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities and performance of ongoing tasks during the semester, writing of seminar work and its oral presentation in the form of a report and final examination of the contents of the course.
· Active participation in classroom activities and performance of ongoing tasks, 22 % evaluation.
During the semester, the student is invited to actively participate in discussions and interviews on the topic being discussed. During the semester, he receives partial tasks, the control and evaluation of which is carried out orally in the classroom.
· Preparation and preparation of seminar work and its presentation in the form of a report, 28 % evaluation, of which 14 % written processing, 14 % oral presentation.
At the beginning of the course, the student chooses a theme from the course topics, which he/she prepares during the semester and presents it in the specified lesson in the form of a report. The aim of the work is to prove that it can adapt the acquired knowledge into didactic processing of the contents of Spanish literature and present it in an appropriate way.
· The subject ends with a written examination – a test from the 19th and 20th centuries of literature, 50% of the evaluation.
At the final exam, the student will demonstrate an overview knowledge of 19th and 20th century literature in Spanish, he/she can explain the main trends of literary directions of literature of these periods, demonstrate basic educational skills and the ability to obtain information and interprete it.

At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course. A necessary condition for the completion of the course is the preparation and handover of the seminar work and its presentation in the form of a report.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good – above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory – acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)
A – excellent performance, the student has acquired an overview knowledge of the history of literature at an excellent level, he/she can explain the main literary tendencies of 19th and 20th century literature written in Spanish, he knows the most important representatives and works of literature of the periods taken over, he can explain the interrelationships between literary phenomena. The student has demonstrated basic educational skills and the ability to independently search for and obtain information, is able to express his/her own opinion and justify it with arguments. The seminar work was prepared and submitted on time, it is elaborated at an excellent level in terms of content and formal, it is characterized by suitable structure, originality and linguistic correctness. During the oral presentation of the report, the student presented the topic clearly and logically, proceeded systematically, worked creatively and originally with literary text, he/she incorporated into the presentation a didactic component, observed the time parameters, his/her oral expression is smooth and cultivated. He/she actively participated in discussions and discussions on the topic discussed throughout the teaching period, showed the ability to argue and express his/her own opinion in discussions, regularly and responsibly performed the assigned tasks. The student showed excellent communication competence in the Spanish language, he/she is able to express himself and formulate complex ideas accordingly, he/she has demonstrated the ability to understand artistic texts in Spanish.
B – performance at the level of above-average standards, the student has acquired an overview knowledge of the history of literature at the standard level with slight deviations, can explain the main literary tendencies of literature of the 19th and 20th centuries, he/she knows the most important representatives and works, but has shortcomings in linking the connections between literary phenomena. He/she can express his/her own opinion and formulate it accordingly. The student demonstrated basic educational skills and the ability to independently search for and obtain information. The seminar work was prepared and submitted on time, it is processed at a standard level, both formally and in content, it is characterized by linguistic correctness, appropriate structure, albeit a lesser degree of originality. His/her oral presentation of the paper is clear and logic but less systematical, the student worked with the literary text, but to a lesser extent incorporated the didactic component into it. He/she complied with the time parameters of the presentation, his/her oral expression is smooth and cultivated, but there may be linguistic deficiencies. He/she regularly participated in discussions and discussions on the subject being discussed, but with less involvement in reasoning and expressing his/her own attitudes. He regularly performed his tasks on an ongoing basis. The student has shown excellent communication competence in Spanish, is able to express himself/herself and formulate ideas accordingly, has demonstrated the ability to understand artistic texts in Spanish.
C – good performance, the student has acquired an overview knowledge of the history of literature at the level of reliable work, knows the main literary tendencies of literature of older periods in the Spanish language, knows the most important representatives of literature, but has some shortcomings in knowledge of specific works and in the connection of connections between literary phenomena. He/she can express his/her own opinion and formulate it accordingly. The

student demonstrated basic educational skills and the ability to independently search for and obtain information. The seminar paper has been prepared and submitted on time, it is processed reliably, but contains some unbalanced components in content, formal or linguistic terms. His/her oral presentation of the paper was clear, but with lower degree of creativity when it comes to working with literary text and to incorporating the didactic component. He/she adhered to the time parameters of the presentation, his/her oral expression is smooth, but there may be minor linguistic deficiencies. He/she was less active and less regularly involved in discussions and discussions on the subject being discussed. His/her activity in the performance of interim tasks during the semester is reduced.

D – sufficient performance, the student acquired an overview knowledge of the history of literature at an acceptable level, showed sufficient knowledge of literary tendencies of literature of older periods, but has certain shortcomings in knowledge of specific authors and works and in the connection of connections between literary phenomena. The student showed a lower level of interest in independent search for information and independent individual study, but gained sufficient knowledge of the content of education. The seminar work has been prepared and submitted on time but with some content, formal or linguistic shortcomings. He/she presented the paper to an acceptable level, with less involvement of creativity in working with literary text. His/her activity during the semester is reduced, he/she shows no interest in formulating his/her own ideas and arguing that there is no connection between the learned.

E – sufficient performance, the student acquired knowledge meeting the criteria at the level of minimum sufficiency, showed a lower level of interest in independent search of information and in independent individual studies, but acquired basic knowledge of the content of education. During the semester his/her performances were fluctuating, his/her participation in discussions and his performance of ongoing tasks were irregular. Although the student submitted the seminar work and presented the paper at an acceptable level, he/she showed gaps in the content of knowledge from the history of literature, which are verified at the final written examination.

Fx – unacceptable performance, the student did not sufficient adopt the curriculum, did not demonstrate a sufficient degree of acquired knowledge and did not demonstrate sufficient ability in independent study. During the semester he/she did not perform adequately, did not engage regularly in discussions and did not regularly perform ongoing tasks. Although the student submitted the seminar work and presented the paper, he/she showed insufficient knowledge of the curriculum content verified at the final written examination.

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to acquire basic knowledge of the history of 19th and 20th century Spanish literature and to be able to transfer them to the teaching process in didactized processing.

Educational outcomes:

After successful completion of the course, the student:

· obtains a basic overview of the development of Spanish written literature of the 19th century and the 20th century,

· can explain the main trends and literary directions,

· can recognize the most important representatives and works of literature in the Spanish language,

· has the ability to obtain and understand information in relation to each other,

· be able to adapt the acquired knowledge into the didactic processing of the contents of Spanish literature and present it in an appropriate manner,

· has a broader developed basic learning skills.

After successful completion of the course, the student should have a basic overview of the history of literature in Spanish in the period of the 19th and 20th centuries.

Completion of the course contributes to the development of communication competence in Spanish and specifically to the ability to understand artistic texts in Spanish.

Class syllabus:
Development of individual literary genres in the 19th century.
The poetry of Romanticism.
Realistic and naturalistic novel.
Symbolism of poetry.
Development of individual literary genres in the 20th century.
New forms of novel, poetry and drama in the context of the history of literature written in Spanish.

Recommended literature:
BARROS LORENZO, R., GONZÁLEZ PINO, A. M. FREIRE HERMIDA, M. 2006. Curso de literatura, español lengua extranjera. Madrid: Edelsa. 170 p. ISBN 978-84-7711-620-2
BOJNIČANOVÁ, R. 2015. El Romanticismo en las literaturas de España. Bratislava: Vydavateľstvo UK. 194 p. ISBN 978-80-223-3926-1.
BOJNIČANOVÁ, R. 2015. Panorama de la historia de la literatura española. Bratislava: Vydavateľstvo UK. 122 p. ISBN 978-80-223-4028-1.
FRANCO, J. 2006. Historia de la literatura hispanoamericana. A partir de la Independencia. 7. vyd., Barcelona: Ariel, 1973. 398 p. ISBN 84-344-8315-7.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Spanish and Slovak

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 65

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
33,85	0,0	21,54	16,92	15,38	10,77	1,54

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD., PhDr. Mária Medveczká, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde009/22	**Course title:** Teaching practice (A)
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** practice **Number of hours:** **per week:** **per level/semester:** 1t **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 3., 5.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	

Course requirements:

Prerequisite subjects: none

Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:

The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).

The student completes an introductory practice seminar with the practice methodologist and a hospitality in a primary or secondary school according to the subject/subject specialization of the practice in the range of 10 - 20 hours. The student will keep a practice portfolio of the hours of hospitalisation, which he/she will submit to the practice methodologist for evaluation in print or electronic form (as agreed with the practice methodologist). The final assessment takes the form of a colloquium. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required.

The rating is awarded on a scale:

A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),

B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),

C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),

D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),

E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),

Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).

A - excellent results: the student is able to apply procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. The student is able to work effectively with professional sources. The student can critically, factually assess and evaluate the presented solutions of others, can evaluate the quality of his/her own work.

B - above average standard: the student can apply the procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. Above-average orientation in the problems of general didactics, above-average communication skills, completion of assigned tasks. Critical evaluation is at borderline level.

C - normal reliable work: the student is able to work out the assigned tasks, average orientation in the problems of general didactics. Can apply the procedures in the processes according to the model and with the teacher's help. Average activity on intermediate assignments.
D - acceptable results: the student is able to work out intermediate tasks with the help of the teacher, average orientation in general didactics, average student activity.
E - the results meet the minimum criteria: the student can define and define basic didactic concepts and elements, but cannot define them in educational contexts. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent. Sufficient level of practical skills and professional knowledge.
FX - extra work is required: the student has very little knowledge of basic didactic terminology, cannot apply it in the outputs, there is no application of procedures, correct elaboration of tasks. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The goal of the Teaching Practicum (A) is to initiate the professional vision of male and female student teachers, particularly in terms of descriptive and identification. After completing the course, the student knows and can navigate in school documentation, can process the record of the educational unit hospitalization, can describe educational phenomena (elements of communication in the learning group, individual differences of pupils, phenomena of the dynamics of the learning group), can identify the individual educational goals, methods, forms, principles and content elements of education. The aim of the course is also to observe and analyse the manifestations of the teacher's personality and the classroom environment. The student will be able to distinguish and evaluate the application of positive and negative evaluation in teaching, be able to identify non-standard educational situations and evaluate the effectiveness of their solution.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Hospitalization activity:
Preparatory phase of the hospitalization - pre-praxis meeting: defining the objectives, methods and focus of the hospitalization.
The implementation phase of hospitalization - own observation of the educational process and processing of the hospitalization portfolio with emphasis on aspects of Communication in education, elements of communication, individual differences of pupils, phenomena of the dynamics of the learning group, educational goals, methods, forms, principles and content elements of education, manifestations of the teacher's personality and the classroom environment, positive and negative evaluations in teaching, non-standard educational situations.
The final phase of the hospitalization - post-hospitalization colloquium: analysis of the practice portfolio, reflection and interpretation of the observed educational phenomena. Familiarisation with the school documentation: state and school curriculum, electronic class book, online student book, Edupage information system. Educational standards, individual education plans, etc.

Recommended literature:
Reference sources:
Compulsory reading:
DOUŠKOVÁ, K., ĽUPTÁKOVÁ-VANČÍKOVÁ, K. (2009). Pedagogická prax v príprave učiteľa. Banská IVANOVIČOVÁ, J., KRAMÁREKOVÁ, H. (2010). Pedagogická prax – súčasť pregraduálnej prípravy budúcich učiteľov. Nitra: Fakulta prírodných vied, 108 s. ISBN 978-80-8094-680-7.

KONTÍROVÁ, S. (2011). Pedagogická prax študentov učiteľstva akademických predmetov. Košice: Univerzita Pavla Jozefa Šafárika, 101 s. ISBN 978-80-7097-904-4.
KOSTRUB, D., SEVERINI, E. Portfólio v pedagogickej praxi študenta. In: Švec, V., Lojdová, K., Pravdová, B. 2016. Učitelské praxe – současné poznatky a perspektivy. Brno. 2016. Masarykova univerzita. ISBN 978-80-210-8274-8
STRAKOŠ, J. 2021. Mimovyučovacie činnosti učiteľa v škole: Metodika stáže A. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2021. ISBN 978-80-223-5231-4
The national curriculum for ISCED 2 and ISCED 3.
Pedagogical documentation

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak language

Notes:
The student enrolls in Teaching practice (A) concurrently with the course or after completing the course Theoretical basics of education. Pedagogical Practices are carried out on dates determined by the schedule of the academic year of the Faculty of Arts of Charles University and are preceded by an introductory instructional session with the student's practice methodologist.

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 1409

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
62,6	0,0	27,18	5,75	0,85	0,57	3,05

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde009/22	Teaching practice (A)

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practice
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 1t
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).
The student completes an introductory practice seminar with the practice methodologist and a hospitality in a primary or secondary school according to the subject/subject specialization of the practice in the range of 10 - 20 hours. The student will keep a practice portfolio of the hours of hospitalisation, which he/she will submit to the practice methodologist for evaluation in print or electronic form (as agreed with the practice methodologist). The final assessment takes the form of a colloquium. To pass the course, a minimum score of 60% is required.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - passing the course still requires a significant amount of effort and work on the part of the student).
A - excellent results: the student is able to apply procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. The student is able to work effectively with professional sources. The student can critically, factually assess and evaluate the presented solutions of others, can evaluate the quality of his/her own work.
B - above average standard: the student can apply the procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. Above-average orientation in the problems of general didactics, above-average communication skills, completion of assigned tasks. Critical evaluation is at borderline level.

C - normal reliable work: the student is able to work out the assigned tasks, average orientation in the problems of general didactics. Can apply the procedures in the processes according to the model and with the teacher's help. Average activity on intermediate assignments.
D - acceptable results: the student is able to work out intermediate tasks with the help of the teacher, average orientation in general didactics, average student activity.
E - the results meet the minimum criteria: the student can define and define basic didactic concepts and elements, but cannot define them in educational contexts. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent. Sufficient level of practical skills and professional knowledge.
FX - extra work is required: the student has very little knowledge of basic didactic terminology, cannot apply it in the outputs, there is no application of procedures, correct elaboration of tasks. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes and transferable competences:
The goal of the Teaching Practicum (A) is to initiate the professional vision of male and female student teachers, particularly in terms of descriptive and identification. After completing the course, the student knows and can navigate in school documentation, can process the record of the educational unit hospitalization, can describe educational phenomena (elements of communication in the learning group, individual differences of pupils, phenomena of the dynamics of the learning group), can identify the individual educational goals, methods, forms, principles and content elements of education. The aim of the course is also to observe and analyse the manifestations of the teacher's personality and the classroom environment. The student will be able to distinguish and evaluate the application of positive and negative evaluation in teaching, be able to identify non-standard educational situations and evaluate the effectiveness of their solution.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
Hospitalization activity:
Preparatory phase of the hospitalization - pre-praxis meeting: defining the objectives, methods and focus of the hospitalization.
The implementation phase of hospitalization - own observation of the educational process and processing of the hospitalization portfolio with emphasis on aspects of Communication in education, elements of communication, individual differences of pupils, phenomena of the dynamics of the learning group, educational goals, methods, forms, principles and content elements of education, manifestations of the teacher's personality and the classroom environment, positive and negative evaluations in teaching, non-standard educational situations.
The final phase of the hospitalization - post-hospitalization colloquium: analysis of the practice portfolio, reflection and interpretation of the observed educational phenomena. Familiarisation with the school documentation: state and school curriculum, electronic class book, online student book, Edupage information system. Educational standards, individual education plans, etc.

Recommended literature:
Reference sources:
Compulsory reading:
DOUŠKOVÁ, K., ĽUPTÁKOVÁ-VANČÍKOVÁ, K. (2009). Pedagogická prax v príprave učiteľa. Banská IVANOVIČOVÁ, J., KRAMÁREKOVÁ, H. (2010). Pedagogická prax – súčasť pregraduálnej prípravy budúcich učiteľov. Nitra: Fakulta prírodných vied, 108 s. ISBN 978-80-8094-680-7.

KONTÍROVÁ, S. (2011). Pedagogická prax študentov učiteľstva akademických predmetov. Košice: Univerzita Pavla Jozefa Šafárika, 101 s. ISBN 978-80-7097-904-4.
KOSTRUB, D., SEVERINI, E. Portfólio v pedagogickej praxi študenta. In: Švec, V., Lojdová, K., Pravdová, B. 2016. Učitelské praxe – současné poznatky a perspektivy. Brno. 2016. Masarykova univerzita. ISBN 978-80-210-8274-8
STRAKOŠ, J. 2021. Mimovyučovacie činnosti učiteľa v škole: Metodika stáže A. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2021. ISBN 978-80-223-5231-4
The national curriculum for ISCED 2 and ISCED 3.
Pedagogical documentation

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak language

Notes:
The student enrolls in Teaching practice (A) concurrently with the course or after completing the course Theoretical basics of education. Pedagogical Practices are carried out on dates determined by the schedule of the academic year of the Faculty of Arts of Charles University and are preceded by an introductory instructional session with the student's practice methodologist.

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 1409

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
62,6	0,0	27,18	5,75	0,85	0,57	3,05

Lecturers: Mgr. Vladimíra Zemančíková, PhD., PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD., Mgr. Štefánia Ferková, PhD., PaedDr. Tímea Šeben Zaťková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde010/22	Teaching practice (B)

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practice
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 1t
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).
The student will attend a pre-practise seminar with the practice methodologist, and will complete at least 10 hours of hospitalization at a primary or secondary school according to the subject/content specialization. The student will keep a personal portfolio of teaching practice from the hours of hospitalization. The personal portfolio is an indicator of the student's personal commitment and responsibility. The portfolio may be in print or electronic format. The portfolio is submitted by the student to the Practice Methodologist for evaluation, and at the end of the portfolio the student will attend a colloquium to evaluate and reflect on the teaching practice.
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
A - excellent results: the student is able to apply procedures in the processes of formulating objectives according to different taxonomies of objectives. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. The student is able to work effectively with professional sources. The student can critically, factually assess and evaluate the presented solutions of others, can evaluate the quality of his/her own work.
B - above average standard: the student can apply the procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. Above-average orientation in the problems of general didactics, above-average communication skills, completion of assigned tasks. Critical evaluation is at borderline level.

C - normal reliable work: the student is able to work out the assigned tasks, average orientation in the problems of general didactics. Can apply the procedures in the processes according to the model and with the teacher's help. Average activity on intermediate assignments.
D - acceptable results: the student is able to work out intermediate tasks with the help of the teacher, average orientation in general didactics, average student activity.
E - the results meet the minimum criteria: the student can define and define basic didactic concepts and elements, but cannot define them in educational contexts. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent. Sufficient level of practical skills and professional knowledge.
FX - extra work is required: the student has very little knowledge of basic didactic terminology, cannot apply it in the outputs, there is no application of procedures, correct elaboration of tasks. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes and transferable competences:
The goal of Teaching Practice B is to initiate students' professional vision at the level of descriptive and identification. After completing the pedagogical practice B, the student knows and can work with pedagogical documentation, can process the record of the teaching unit hospitalization. Identifies the main objectives, methods, forms and phases of the teaching process. Can describe the elements of communication and classroom dynamics.

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
The aim of teaching practice B is to enable students to observe the teaching process from the perspective of a future teacher. During the practicum, students observe the teaching process of the practicing teachers and, on the basis of theoretical knowledge of general didactics, analyse the teaching process in its entirety and, in the final reflection, formulate a characterisation of the observed teaching, the working atmosphere, evaluate the pedagogical competence of the practicing teachers, point out the positives and negatives, propose their own solutions and describe suggestions for change, and give their own reflections on the profession of teaching, where they are in relation to the observed teaching process.
Preparatory phase for the practice: pre-practicum seminar, definition of requirements for the implementation of the practice, preparation and study of hospitality sheets, study of didactic literature, pre-hospitalization interview with the trainee teacher.
The implementation phase of the practice: self-observation of the teaching process, processing of hospitality sheets with emphasis on aspects of objectives, methods, Communication in education, teacher and pupils' activities, identification of teaching phases, cognitive functions, pupils' activity and activation, and a comprehensive record of the teaching process.
Evaluation phase of practice: interpretation of observed educational phenomena, analysis of the hospital portfolio, reflection on the pedagogical practice B.

Recommended literature:

Recommended reading:
ČAPEK, R. (2020). Uč jako umělec. Jan Melvil Publishing, 240s., ISBN 978-80-7555-105-4
IVANOVIČOVÁ, J., KRAMÁREKOVÁ, H. (2010). Pedagogická prax – súčasť pregraduálnej prípravy budúcich učiteľov. Nitra: Fakulta prírodných vied, 108 s. ISBN 978-80-8094-680-7.
KONTÍROVÁ, S. (2011). Pedagogická prax študentov učiteľstva akademických predmetov. Košice: Univerzita Pavla Jozefa Šafárika, 101 s. ISBN 978-80-7097-904-4.
KOMENSKÝ, J. A. (1954). Veľká didaktika. Bratislava: SPN, 272 s. ISBN 9-3264/53
KOSTRUB, D., SEVERINI, E. Portfólio v pedagogickej praxi študenta. In: ŠVEC, V., LOJDOVÁ, K., PRAVDOVÁ, B. 2016. Učitelské praxe – současné poznatky a perspektivy.

Brno: Masarykova univerzita. ISBN 978-80-210-8274-8 https://munispace.muni.cz/library/catalog/book/829
KOSTRUB, D., FERKOVÁ, Š., TÓTHOVÁ, R. (2017). Žiak, učiteľ a výučba. Všeobecná didaktika pre študentov učiteľstva. Prešov: Rokus. 370 s., ISBN 978-80-8951-061-0
KOSTRUB, D. 2008. Dieťa/žiak/študent – učiteľ – učivo, didaktický alebo bermudský trojuholník? Prešov: ROKUS. ISBN 978-80-89055-87-6
KOSTRUB, D., OSTRADICKÝ, P. (2016). Participative didactics as an inspiration for students. In INWENCJA W EDUKACJI DZIECI, MLODZIEZY I DOROSLYCH, 2016, s. 428-438. ISBN 978-83-941235-5-0.
KRATOCHVÍLOVÁ, J., SVOJANOVSKÝ, P. a kol. (2020). Standard kvality profesních kompetencí studenta učitelství. Brno: Masarykova Univerzita, Katedra pedagogiky. 28 s. ISBN 978-80-210-9581-6 https://www.phil.muni.cz/do/fi/is/sebehodnotici_nastroj/Standard-kvality-profesnich-kompetenci.pdf
STRAKOŠ, J. 2021. Mimovyučovacie činnosti učiteľa v škole: Metodika stáže A. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2021, 30 s., (1,45 AH), ISBN 978-80-223-5231-4
National curriculum for ISCED 2 and ISCED 3

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak, english and czech

Notes:
The student enrolls in Pedagogical Practice (B) concurrently with the course or after completing the course General Didactics. Pedagogical Practices are carried out on dates determined by the schedule of the academic year of the Faculty of Arts of Charles University and are preceded by an introductory instructional session with the student's practice methodologist.

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 1269

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
53,66	0,0	25,06	12,21	4,81	2,29	1,97

Lecturers: Ing. Eva Tóblová, PhD., PhDr. Dušan Damián Brezány, Mgr. Peter Ostradický, PhD., Mgr. Eva Gáliková, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde010/22	Teaching practice (B)

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practice
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 1t
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:
The course is completed by assessment (100% of the interim assessment).
The student will attend a pre-practise seminar with the practice methodologist, and will complete at least 10 hours of hospitalization at a primary or secondary school according to the subject/content specialization. The student will keep a personal portfolio of teaching practice from the hours of hospitalization. The personal portfolio is an indicator of the student's personal commitment and responsibility. The portfolio may be in print or electronic format. The portfolio is submitted by the student to the Practice Methodologist for evaluation, and at the end of the portfolio the student will attend a colloquium to evaluate and reflect on the teaching practice.
For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - outstanding results),
B (90-81%, very good - above average standard),
C (80-73%, well - normal reliable work),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
A - excellent results: the student is able to apply procedures in the processes of formulating objectives according to different taxonomies of objectives. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. The student is able to work effectively with professional sources. The student can critically, factually assess and evaluate the presented solutions of others, can evaluate the quality of his/her own work.
B - above average standard: the student can apply the procedures in the processes of formulating goals according to different taxonomies of goals. The student can analyze and classify methods and forms and other didactic categories in practice. Above-average orientation in the problems of general didactics, above-average communication skills, completion of assigned tasks. Critical evaluation is at borderline level.

C - normal reliable work: the student is able to work out the assigned tasks, average orientation in the problems of general didactics. Can apply the procedures in the processes according to the model and with the teacher's help. Average activity on intermediate assignments.
D - acceptable results: the student is able to work out intermediate tasks with the help of the teacher, average orientation in general didactics, average student activity.
E - the results meet the minimum criteria: the student can define and define basic didactic concepts and elements, but cannot define them in educational contexts. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent. Sufficient level of practical skills and professional knowledge.
FX - extra work is required: the student has very little knowledge of basic didactic terminology, cannot apply it in the outputs, there is no application of procedures, correct elaboration of tasks. Critical assessment and evaluation are absent.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes and transferable competences:
The goal of Teaching Practice B is to initiate students' professional vision at the level of descriptive and identification. After completing the pedagogical practice B, the student knows and can work with pedagogical documentation, can process the record of the teaching unit hospitalization. Identifies the main objectives, methods, forms and phases of the teaching process. Can describe the elements of communication and classroom dynamics.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
The aim of teaching practice B is to enable students to observe the teaching process from the perspective of a future teacher. During the practicum, students observe the teaching process of the practicing teachers and, on the basis of theoretical knowledge of general didactics, analyse the teaching process in its entirety and, in the final reflection, formulate a characterisation of the observed teaching, the working atmosphere, evaluate the pedagogical competence of the practicing teachers, point out the positives and negatives, propose their own solutions and describe suggestions for change, and give their own reflections on the profession of teaching, where they are in relation to the observed teaching process.
Preparatory phase for the practice: pre-practicum seminar, definition of requirements for the implementation of the practice, preparation and study of hospitality sheets, study of didactic literature, pre-hospitalization interview with the trainee teacher.
The implementation phase of the practice: self-observation of the teaching process, processing of hospitality sheets with emphasis on aspects of objectives, methods, Communication in education, teacher and pupils' activities, identification of teaching phases, cognitive functions, pupils' activity and activation, and a comprehensive record of the teaching process.
Evaluation phase of practice: interpretation of observed educational phenomena, analysis of the hospital portfolio, reflection on the pedagogical practice B.

Recommended literature:
Recommended reading:
ČAPEK, R. (2020). Uč jako umělec. Jan Melvil Publishing, 240s., ISBN 978-80-7555-105-4
IVANOVIČOVÁ, J., KRAMÁREKOVÁ, H. (2010). Pedagogická prax – súčasť pregraduálnej prípravy budúcich učiteľov. Nitra: Fakulta prírodných vied, 108 s. ISBN 978-80-8094-680-7.
KONTÍROVÁ, S. (2011). Pedagogická prax študentov učiteľstva akademických predmetov. Košice: Univerzita Pavla Jozefa Šafárika, 101 s. ISBN 978-80-7097-904-4.
KOMENSKÝ, J. A. (1954). Veľká didaktika. Bratislava: SPN, 272 s. ISBN 9-3264/53
KOSTRUB, D., SEVERINI, E. Portfólio v pedagogickej praxi študenta. In: ŠVEC, V., LOJDOVÁ, K., PRAVDOVÁ, B. 2016. Učitelské praxe – současné poznatky a perspektivy.

Brno: Masarykova univerzita. ISBN 978-80-210-8274-8 https://munispace.muni.cz/library/catalog/book/829
KOSTRUB, D., FERKOVÁ, Š., TÓTHOVÁ, R. (2017). Žiak, učiteľ a výučba. Všeobecná didaktika pre študentov učiteľstva. Prešov: Rokus. 370 s., ISBN 978-80-8951-061-0
KOSTRUB, D. 2008. Dieťa/žiak/študent – učiteľ – učivo, didaktický alebo bermudský trojuholník? Prešov: ROKUS. ISBN 978-80-89055-87-6
KOSTRUB, D., OSTRADICKÝ, P. (2016). Participative didactics as an inspiration for students. In INWENCJA W EDUKACJI DZIECI, MLODZIEZY I DOROSLYCH, 2016, s. 428-438. ISBN 978-83-941235-5-0.
KRATOCHVÍLOVÁ, J., SVOJANOVSKÝ, P. a kol. (2020). Standard kvality profesních kompetencí studenta učitelství. Brno: Masarykova Univerzita, Katedra pedagogiky. 28 s. ISBN 978-80-210-9581-6 https://www.phil.muni.cz/do/fi/is/sebehodnotici_nastroj/Standard-kvality-profesnich-kompetenci.pdf
STRAKOŠ, J. 2021. Mimovyučovacie činnosti učiteľa v škole: Metodika stáže A. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2021, 30 s., (1,45 AH), ISBN 978-80-223-5231-4
National curriculum for ISCED 2 and ISCED 3

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak, english and czech

Notes:
The student enrolls in Pedagogical Practice (B) concurrently with the course or after completing the course General Didactics. Pedagogical Practices are carried out on dates determined by the schedule of the academic year of the Faculty of Arts of Charles University and are preceded by an introductory instructional session with the student's practice methodologist.

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 1269

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
53,66	0,0	25,06	12,21	4,81	2,29	1,97

Lecturers:

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KPg/B-PEDde002/22	**Course title:** Theory of education
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** lecture + seminar **Number of hours:** **per week:** 3 **per level/semester:** 39 **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	

Course requirements:

Prerequisite subjects: none

Prerequisites for successful completion of the course:

The course is completed by examination, 50% of the interim and 50% of the final assessment.

The interim evaluation includes the following:

- continuous work during the semester focused on current issues of education, defining educational goals, concretizing educational principles, identifying educational methods, etc. - 15 points,
- term paper focused on a selected area of education - 35 points.

The final evaluation includes the following:

- final written examination - 50 points.

The rating is awarded on a scale:

A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).

For successful completion of the course it is necessary to obtain at least 60% of the points.

A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.

A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.

A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good

professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50

Learning outcomes:

Learning outcomes:
Learning objectives and outcomes:
Students will gain knowledge and understanding of the educational and self-educational process in a social context with emphasis on the application of the principles of creative-humanistic education and the presentation of new approaches and perspectives in the development of modern educational theory. On a theoretical-empirical level, students are able to implement a variety of educational activities and activities that can be applied within the profession of teacher/educator/teaching assistant and have the competence to solve basic problem situations in educational practice in collaboration with other professionals.
Transferable competences: the course develops students' analytical, critical, evaluative and creative thinking, reasoning in context and supports the development of their interpersonal skills, self-development and personal and professional growth

Class syllabus:

Brief outline of the course:
1. An introduction to the discipline of educational theory. Theoretical foundations and determinants of education. Creative-humanistic education.
2. Views on education. Education in different historical periods.
3. School documents and legislation with a focus on education. Educational programme.
4. Goals of education and their determination.
5. The concept of education and self-education, functions, conditions and factors of education. The process of education/self-education and its phases.
6. Educational methods and new trends.
7. Characteristics and classification of educational principles.
8. Concretization of the content of educational action: moral education, pro-social education, intellectual education, aesthetic education, work education, physical education, ecological and environmental education, multicultural education, etc.
9. Organizational forms and means of education.
10. The personality of the teacher/educator/teaching assistant in the educational process. Educational styles and requirements for the personality of the teacher/educator/teaching assistant. Code of ethics of the teaching profession.
11. The personality of the child in the educational process. Categorization of factors of personality formation, bio-psycho-social determination of personality development and education.
12. Educational environment and its importance for a person.

13. Family and family education. Educational styles in the family. Negative tendencies in family upbringing.
14. Educational conditions of the school environment. Negative phenomena in the school environment and their prevention.
15. Education outside the classroom and its specifics

Recommended literature:

Reference sources:
Required reading:
Gogová, A., Kročková, Š., Pintes, G. 2004. Žiak - sloboda - výchova. Prešov: Vydavateľstvo M. Vaška. 312 s. ISBN 80-8050-675-2
Potočárová, M., Derková, J., Ferková, Š., Koldeová, L. 2021. Teória výchovy 1. Bratislava: UK. ISBN 978-80-223-5327-4
Zákon č. 245/2008 Z. z. o výchove a vzdelávaní (školský zákon) a o zmene a doplnení niektorých zákonov
Recommended reading:
Derková, J. 2018. Rodina ako výchovné prostredie. Bratislava: Iris, 2018. 144 s. ISBN 978-80-8200 034-7
Ferková, Š., Prevendárová, J., Koldeová, L. et al 2017. Morálne kompetencie žiakov. Metodická príručka pre učiteľov na nižšom sekundárnom stupni vzdelávania. Bratislava: UK. 212 s. ISBN 978-80-223-4432-6
Hlásna, S., Horváthová, K., Mucha, M., Tóthová, R. 2006. Úvod do pedagogiky. Nitra: Enigma, 2006. 356 s. ISBN 80-89132-29-4
Koldeová, L. 2018. Odmeny a tresty v rodinnej výchove. Bratislava: UK. 161 s. ISBN 978-80-223-4649-8
Kosová, B. 2015. Filozofické a globálne súvislosti edukácie. Banská Bystrica: Belianum. 174 s. ISBN 978-80-557-1021-1
Kratochvílová, E. et a. 2007. Úvod do pedagogiky. Trnava: PF TU. 168 s. ISBN: 978-80-8082-145-6
Pelikán, J. 1995. Výchova jako teoretický problém. Ostrava: Amosium Servis. ISBN 80-85498-27-8
Potočárová, M. Pedagogika rodiny. 2008. Teoretické východiská rodinnej výchovy. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského. 216 s. ISBN: 978-80-223-2458-8
Skarupská, H. 2016. Filozofie a Teorie výchovy. Studijní opora. Praha: Hnutí R. ISBN 978-80-86798-70-7
Strouhal, M. 2013. Teorie výchovy. Praha: Grada. ISBN 978-80-2474-0
Trabalíková, J., Macháček, D., Zemančíková, V. 2014. Výchova v utváraní osobnosti. Žilina: Žilinská univerzita. ISBN 978-80-554-0904-7
Zelina, M. 2004. Teórie výchovy alebo hľadanie dobra. Bratislava: SPN. ISBN 80-10-00456-1.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
slovak and czech

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 201

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
33,83	0,0	21,89	23,88	8,46	4,98	6,97

Lecturers: PaedDr. Eva Labudová, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-PEDde005/22	Training of social competencies of teachers

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: **per level/semester:** 24s
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 4

Recommended semester: 3.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
Prerequisite subjects: none
Prerequisites for successful completion of the course.
During the training, students will work on three intermediate tasks (reflections on the events of the training), 1 intermediate task for 9 points, a total of 27 points. Upon completion of the training, students will process 1 group distance assignment for 32 points. Final colloquial assessment 41 points.
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade A, a minimum of 81 points for a grade B, a minimum of 73 points for a grade C, a minimum of 66 points for a grade D and a minimum of 60 points for a grade E. To pass the course, a minimum of 60% of the marks must be obtained.
The rating is awarded on a scale:
A (100-91%, excellent - excellent results with only minimal errors),
B (90-81%, very good - above average results with minor errors),
C (80-73%, good - normal reliable work, average results),
D (72-66%, satisfactory - acceptable results, but significant errors occur),
E (65-60%, sufficient - results meet minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, insufficient - extra work required).
A minimum of 91 points is required for a final grade of A. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative and original approach in the development of intermediate assignments, excellent professional knowledge and its application in problem solving, excellent orientation in the subject matter, excellent communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, exceptional activity in the classroom during the semester.
A score of at least 81 points is required for a final grade of B. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: a creative approach in developing ongoing assignments using a model, above average expertise and application of that expertise in problem solving using tutorials, above average orientation to the problem, above average communication skills, responsibility and timely completion of assignments, above average activity in class during the semester.
A minimum of 73 points is required for a final grade of C. For this grade, the student should demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using the given template, good professional knowledge and its application in solving problem problems in a group or with the help

of the instructor, good orientation in the subject matter, good communication skills, completion of the assigned tasks, average activity in class during the semester when prompted by the instructor.
A minimum of 66 points is required for a final grade of D. For this grade, the student is expected to demonstrate: the ability to develop intermediate assignments using a given template and with the assistance of the instructor, average proficiency and application in problem solving in a group setting or with the assistance of the instructor, average orientation to the subject matter, average communication skills, completion of assignments, and basic activity in the classroom during the semester in collaboration with the instructor or other students.
A score of at least 60 points is required for a final grade of E. For this assessment, the student should demonstrate: the ability to produce continuous assignments and with the help of the instructor, basic/minimal professional knowledge, basic/minimal orientation to the subject matter, basic communication skills, completion of assigned tasks.
Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0

Learning outcomes:
Learning outcomes:
- Graduates of the course understand the principles of group dynamics
- The course graduate is well versed/learned and able to critically evaluate group events at the classroom level.
- He approaches the assigned tasks in a complex way, using both the process of analysis and synthesis in the search for solutions. In making decisions, he/she uses thinking strategies based on critical evaluation, which is based on facts and clearly formulated information from reliable information sources.
- On teaching methods aimed at fostering autonomy, cooperation and group work of pupils' creativity as one possible approach and as a source of inspiration for their future teaching practice.
- The graduate can use the acquired knowledge and skills in solving (problem-based) tasks, cooperative and group teaching. He/she is able to analyze the problem and synthesize new solutions. They are able to formulate clear conclusions and their justifications, which they can present to both the professional community and the general public.
- Graduates of the course are encouraged to adopt a growth-oriented mind-set and lifelong learning.
Transferable competences on which the course reflects: development of communication, organisational and digital skills. Fostering analytical, critical, creative and growth-oriented thinking; developing skills related to facilitation and mentoring. Promoting the development of reflective, self-reflective skills and the perception of one's own responsibility for personal decisions.

Class syllabus:
Brief outline of the course:
1. Group dynamics in the classroom
2. Elements of group dynamics
- Group atmosphere and climate
- Objectives of the group
- Cooperation and competition
- Tension and cohesion
- Determination of group standards
- Power and subgroup formation
- Group structure and identity
- Leadership and working with leadership
3. Factors promoting group dynamics
- Group Membership
- Experimenting

- Group culture
- Communication in a group
4. Control versus support for individual and group autonomy
5. Managing problem behaviour
6. Resolving conflicts in the classroom with the win-win method
Intermediate tasks: after each teaching block, students will make a structured reflection on the events during the training (three reflections in total).
Distance task: after all learning blocks are completed, students work in small groups on one distance task (micro-analysis of group events in the classroom - a model situation from the video).
Final evaluation: after completing the entire training and handing in the interim assignments and the distance assignment, students who have fulfilled the requirements of the interim evaluation will undergo a colloquial final evaluation.

Recommended literature:

Derková, J., Ferková, Š., Kuruc, M., Koldeová, L., Bakošová, Z., Katuščáková, T. (2017). Morálne kompetencie žiakov. Metodická príručka pre učiteľov na nižšém sekundárnom stupni vzdelávania. Bratislva: Univerzita Komenského v Brtislave, 2017. 214 s.
Kostrub, D., Tóthová, R. a Ferková, Š. (2017) Žiak, učiteľ a výučba. Prešov: Rokus, 2017. 368s.
Kratochvíl, S. (2009). Skupinová psychoterapia v praxi. Praha: Galén, 2009. 297s.
Labáth, V., Smik, J., Matula, Š. (2011). Expoprogram, Intervenčný program pre prácu s deťmi a mládežov. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 2011. 275s.
Lemon, D. (2021). Teach Like a Champion 3.0: 63 Techniques that Put Students on the Path College. Hoboken: Jossey-Bass, NJ., 2021. 504s.
Rieger, Z. (2007). Loď skupiny. Praha: Portál, 2007. 208s.

Languages necessary to complete the course:
knowledge of Slovak and English.

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 181

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
72,38	0,0	12,71	7,18	3,87	0,55	3,31

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD., Mgr. Peter Ostradický, PhD.

Last change: 10.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde021/22	Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 1.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 22 hours of continuous home preparation in the form of preparation of translations and preparation for discussion, 6 hours preparation of individually prepared translation for final evaluation.
Teaching methods: working with professional text, working with artistic text, critical analysis of texts, translation of texts, group discussion, comparison of translation solutions, problem solving tasks, interview, managed self-study

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities and performance of ongoing tasks during the semester, consisting in the preparation of practical translations of texts of lower difficulty and theoretical assignments, as well as the handing over of the final individually prepared translation of the text according to the Lecturer's assignment.
The results of the student will be evaluated 100% in the form of an interim evaluation. The evaluation shall include:
• Active participation in classroom activities, activity in translation discussions, 20%.
• Preparation of ongoing tasks in the form of translations of texts and selected theoretical assignments, 40 %.
• Individually prepared translation of the text according to the Lecturer's input, 40%.
The final evaluation reflects the level of analytical-critical thinking; skills to apply theoretical knowledge to translation practice; the skill of using relevant knowledge to identify, analyze and address problem translation situations; the skills to propose incentives for adequate translation of texts. The degree of adequacy of the translation, the linguistic correctness of the drafted text, the formal modification of the submitted work, compliance with the Lecturer's instructions is assessed in the case of an individually prepared translation.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:

A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to acquire basic translation skills, which the student will apply to specific texts. The course is aimed at translating texts from Spanish to Slovak and on translation of artistic and professional style texts at a lower level of difficulty. Part of the course is an interpretation of basic theoretical and terminological knowledge in the field of translation, the so-called translation minimum.
Educational outcomes:
Student after successful completion of the course the student:
• acquires basic translation skills,
• acquires basic knowledge of translation terminology and theory,
• can apply theoretical knowledge to specific texts at a lower level of difficulty,
• can discuss and justify translation solutions,
• develops creative and flexible thinking.

Class syllabus:
Basic practical competencies and theoretical knowledge of the translator.
The translation process.
Specific types of translation.
Application of acquired knowledge when translating texts from Spanish to Slovak.
Translation of shorter-range and lower intensity texts from Spanish to Slovak.

Recommended literature:
BOJNIČANOVÁ, R. 2012. „K problematike zachovania kultúrneho obsahu a jazykového bohatstva textu pri preklade“. In: Philologica: studia romanistica. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, s. 33–41. ISBN 978-80-223-3256-9.
HREHOVČÍK, T. 2006. Prekladateľské minimum. Bratislava: Iris. 2006. 113 p. ISBN80-892556-02-3.(selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 32

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
62,5	0,0	31,25	3,13	0,0	0,0	3,13

Lecturers: Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde021/22	Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 1.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 22 hours of continuous home preparation in the form of preparation of translations and preparation for discussion, 6 hours preparation of individually prepared translation for final evaluation.
Teaching methods: working with professional text, working with artistic text, critical analysis of texts, translation of texts, group discussion, comparison of translation solutions, problem solving tasks, interview, managed self-study

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities and performance of ongoing tasks during the semester, consisting in the preparation of practical translations of texts of lower difficulty and theoretical assignments, as well as the handing over of the final individually prepared translation of the text according to the Lecturer's assignment.
The results of the student will be evaluated 100% in the form of an interim evaluation. The evaluation shall include:
• Active participation in classroom activities, activity in translation discussions, 20%.
• Preparation of ongoing tasks in the form of translations of texts and selected theoretical assignments, 40 %.
• Individually prepared translation of the text according to the Lecturer's input, 40%.
The final evaluation reflects the level of analytical-critical thinking; skills to apply theoretical knowledge to translation practice; the skill of using relevant knowledge to identify, analyze and address problem translation situations; the skills to propose incentives for adequate translation of texts. The degree of adequacy of the translation, the linguistic correctness of the drafted text, the formal modification of the submitted work, compliance with the Lecturer's instructions is assessed in the case of an individually prepared translation.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:

A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to acquire basic translation skills, which the student will apply to specific texts. The course is aimed at translating texts from Spanish to Slovak and on translation of artistic and professional style texts at a lower level of difficulty. Part of the course is an interpretation of basic theoretical and terminological knowledge in the field of translation, the so-called translation minimum.
Educational outcomes:
Student after successful completion of the course the student:
• acquires basic translation skills,
• acquires basic knowledge of translation terminology and theory,
• can apply theoretical knowledge to specific texts at a lower level of difficulty,
• can discuss and justify translation solutions,
• develops creative and flexible thinking.

Class syllabus:
Basic practical competencies and theoretical knowledge of the translator.
The translation process.
Specific types of translation.
Application of acquired knowledge when translating texts from Spanish to Slovak.
Translation of shorter-range and lower intensity texts from Spanish to Slovak.

Recommended literature:
BOJNIČANOVÁ, R. 2012. „K problematike zachovania kultúrneho obsahu a jazykového bohatstva textu pri preklade“. In: Philologica: studia romanistica. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, s. 33–41. ISBN 978-80-223-3256-9.
HREHOVČÍK, T. 2006. Prekladateľské minimum. Bratislava: Iris. 2006. 113 p. ISBN80-892556-02-3.(selected parts)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 32

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
62,5	0,0	31,25	3,13	0,0	0,0	3,13

Lecturers: Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde025/22	Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 2.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type of activities, scope (number of hours) and methods of educational activities:
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 22 hours of continuous home preparation in the form of preparation of translations and preparation for discussion, 6 hours of preparation of individually prepared translation for final evaluation.
Teaching methods: working with professional text, working with artistic text, critical analysis of texts, translation of texts, comparison of translation solutions, problem solving tasks, interview, discussion, controlled self-study
Credits: 2

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 2., 4.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities and the performance of ongoing tasks during the semester, consisting in the preparation of practical translations of medium-intensity texts and selected theoretical assignments, as well as the final individualized translation of the text according to the lecturer's assignment.
The results of the student will be evaluated 100% in the form of an interim evaluation. The evaluation shall include:
• Active participation in classroom activities, activity in translation discussions, 20% evaluation.
• Preparation of ongoing tasks - translations of texts at the secondary level of difficulty from Spanish to Slovak language and selected theoretical assignments, 40% of evaluation.
• Individually prepared translation of the text according to the Lecturer's input, 40% of the evaluation.
The final evaluation reflects the level of analytical-critical thinking; skills to apply theoretical knowledge to translation practice; the skill of using relevant knowledge to identify, analyse and address problem translation situations; the skills to propose incentives for adequate translation of texts. The degree of adequacy of the translation, the linguistic correctness of the drafted text, the

formal modification of the submitted work, compliance with the Lecturer's instructions is assessed in the case of an individually prepared translation.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to deepen the translation skills that the student will apply to specific texts. The course is aimed at translating texts from Spanish to Slovak and on translation of texts of artistic, professional style in medium difficulty. The course will include the interpretation of theoretical and terminological knowledge in the field of translation, the extension of the so-called translation minima to other theoretical topics.
Educational outcomes:
Student after successful completion of the subject
• improve his/her practical skills in translating texts,
• expands his/her knowledge of translation terminology and theory,
• can apply theoretical knowledge to the translation of texts at the secondary level of difficulty, can create translated text at a serious language level,
• can discuss and justify translation solutions, improves his/her ability to argue and link lessons learned from different fields,
• develops creative and flexible thinking.
After completing the course, he/she should be able to master the translation of texts in the middle degree of difficulty from the Spanish language to the Slovak language.

Class syllabus:
Practical and theoretical preparation of the translator.
Translation procedures and techniques.
Translation principles.
Problems of translation from a foreign language to Slovak.
Application of acquired knowledge to specific texts.
Translation from Spanish to Slovak of text of different styles.

Recommended literature:
HREHOVČÍK, T. 2006. Prekladateľské minimum. Bratislava: Iris. 113 p. ISBN 80-892556-02-3 (selected parts)
MÜGLOVÁ, D. 2009. Komunikácia, tlmočenie, preklad. Bratislava: Enigma. 323 p. ISBN 978-80-89132-82-9 (1st chapter, D. Müglová, pp. 11–81)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 5

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD.
Last change: 30.10.2025
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde025/22	Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 2.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type of activities, scope (number of hours) and methods of educational activities:
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 22 hours of continuous home preparation in the form of preparation of translations and preparation for discussion, 6 hours of preparation of individually prepared translation for final evaluation.
Teaching methods: working with professional text, working with artistic text, critical analysis of texts, translation of texts, comparison of translation solutions, problem solving tasks, interview, discussion, controlled self-study
Credits: 2

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 1., 3.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in classroom activities and the performance of ongoing tasks during the semester, consisting in the preparation of practical translations of medium-intensity texts and selected theoretical assignments, as well as the final individualized translation of the text according to the lecturer's assignment.
The results of the student will be evaluated 100% in the form of an interim evaluation. The evaluation shall include:
• Active participation in classroom activities, activity in translation discussions, 20% evaluation.
• Preparation of ongoing tasks - translations of texts at the secondary level of difficulty from Spanish to Slovak language and selected theoretical assignments, 40% of evaluation.
• Individually prepared translation of the text according to the Lecturer's input, 40% of the evaluation.
The final evaluation reflects the level of analytical-critical thinking; skills to apply theoretical knowledge to translation practice; the skill of using relevant knowledge to identify, analyse and address problem translation situations; the skills to propose incentives for adequate translation of texts. The degree of adequacy of the translation, the linguistic correctness of the drafted text, the

formal modification of the submitted work, compliance with the Lecturer's instructions is assessed in the case of an individually prepared translation.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
Fx (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to deepen the translation skills that the student will apply to specific texts. The course is aimed at translating texts from Spanish to Slovak and on translation of texts of artistic, professional style in medium difficulty. The course will include the interpretation of theoretical and terminological knowledge in the field of translation, the extension of the so-called translation minima to other theoretical topics.
Educational outcomes:
Student after successful completion of the subject
• improve his/her practical skills in translating texts,
• expands his/her knowledge of translation terminology and theory,
• can apply theoretical knowledge to the translation of texts at the secondary level of difficulty, can create translated text at a serious language level,
• can discuss and justify translation solutions, improves his/her ability to argue and link lessons learned from different fields,
• develops creative and flexible thinking.
After completing the course, he/she should be able to master the translation of texts in the middle degree of difficulty from the Spanish language to the Slovak language.

Class syllabus:
Practical and theoretical preparation of the translator.
Translation procedures and techniques.
Translation principles.
Problems of translation from a foreign language to Slovak.
Application of acquired knowledge to specific texts.
Translation from Spanish to Slovak of text of different styles.

Recommended literature:
HREHOVČÍK, T. 2006. Prekladateľské minimum. Bratislava: Iris. 113 p. ISBN 80-892556-02-3 (selected parts)
MÜGLOVÁ, D. 2009. Komunikácia, tlmočenie, preklad. Bratislava: Enigma. 323 p. ISBN 978-80-89132-82-9 (1st chapter, D. Müglová, pp. 11–81)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 5

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD., doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD.
Last change: 30.10.2025
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde029/22	Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 3.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 22 hours of continuous home preparation in the form of preparation of translations and preparation for discussion, 6 hours preparation of individually prepared translation for final evaluation.
Teaching methods: working with professional text, working with artistic text, critical analysis of texts, translation of texts, comparison of translation solutions, problem solving tasks, interview, discussion, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in lessons and performance of ongoing tasks during the semester. During the semester, the student receives tasks for the written preparation of translations of texts discussed in the classroom. After discussion, he/she will produce a definitive version of the translation incorporating the comments from the discussion and the knowledge from the theoretical interpretation. Part of the course is the interpretation of selected theoretical contents in the field of translatology. At the end of the course, the student prepares and submits an individually prepared translation of the text according to the Lecturer's assignment.
The results of the student will be evaluated 100% in the form of an interim evaluation. The evaluation shall include:
• active participation in classroom activities, participation in discussion, 20% evaluation;
• written preparation of translations and selected theoretical assignments, 40% evaluation;
• final individual translation, 40% of the evaluation.
The final evaluation reflects the level of analytical-critical thinking; skills to apply theoretical knowledge to translation practice; the skill of using relevant knowledge to identify, analyze and address problem translation situations; the skills to propose incentives for adequate translation of texts. The degree of adequacy of the translation, the linguistic correctness of the drafted text, the

formal modification of the submitted work, compliance with the lecturer's instructions is assessed in the case of an individually prepared translation.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to deepen theoretical knowledge and practical skills in translating texts from Spanish to Slovak, but also vice versa, from Slovak to Spanish.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• improves practical skills in translating medium-intensity texts from Spanish to Slovak,
• develops skills in translating lower-intensity texts from Slovak to Spanish,
• expands knowledge of theories and opinions of Spanish experts,
• can discuss and justify translation solutions.
The student will deepen theoretical knowledge and practical skills in translating texts of different styles on the subject through practical exercises. After completing the subject, he/she should be able to master the translation of texts at the secondary level of difficulty from Spanish to Slovak and texts at a lower level of difficulty from Slovak to Spanish. At the same time as improving his/her practical skills, he/she will also deepen his ability to theoretical reflection on translation.

Class syllabus:
Theoretical preparation of translator from Spanish to Slovak and from Slovak to Spanish.
Theory, history and practice of artistic translation in Romance countries, especially in Spain.
Formal correspondence and dynamic equivalence.
Application of acquired knowledge to specific texts.

Recommended literature:
BOJNIČANOVÁ, R. 2010. Formálna korešpondencia a dynamická ekvivalencia. In: Translatologické štúdie: teória, história a prax umeleckého prekladu v románskych krajinách (selected texts). Bratislava: AnaPress, 2010. pp. 139-150. ISBN 978-80-89137-64-0.
BOJNIČANOVÁ, R. 2010. „Valentín García Yebra“. In: Translatologické štúdie: teória, história a prax umeleckého prekladu v románskych krajinách (selected texts). Bratislava: AnaPress, 2010. pp. 137-139. ISBN 978-80-89137-64-0.
GARCÍA YEBRA, V. 1982. Teoría y práctica de la traducción. Madrid: Gredos. 1982. 408 p. ISBN 84-249-0281-5 (selected chapters)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish language

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 7

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.
Last change: 30.10.2025
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde029/22	Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 3.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work
22 hours of in-person teaching, 22 hours of continuous home preparation in the form of preparation of translations and preparation for discussion, 6 hours preparation of individually prepared translation for final evaluation.
Teaching methods: working with professional text, working with artistic text, critical analysis of texts, translation of texts, comparison of translation solutions, problem solving tasks, interview, discussion, controlled self-study

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in lessons and performance of ongoing tasks during the semester. During the semester, the student receives tasks for the written preparation of translations of texts discussed in the classroom. After discussion, he/she will produce a definitive version of the translation incorporating the comments from the discussion and the knowledge from the theoretical interpretation. Part of the course is the interpretation of selected theoretical contents in the field of translatology. At the end of the course, the student prepares and submits an individually prepared translation of the text according to the Lecturer's assignment.
The results of the student will be evaluated 100% in the form of an interim evaluation. The evaluation shall include:
• active participation in classroom activities, participation in discussion, 20% evaluation;
• written preparation of translations and selected theoretical assignments, 40% evaluation;
• final individual translation, 40% of the evaluation.
The final evaluation reflects the level of analytical-critical thinking; skills to apply theoretical knowledge to translation practice; the skill of using relevant knowledge to identify, analyze and address problem translation situations; the skills to propose incentives for adequate translation of texts. The degree of adequacy of the translation, the linguistic correctness of the drafted text, the

formal modification of the submitted work, compliance with the lecturer's instructions is assessed in the case of an individually prepared translation.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the course.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to deepen theoretical knowledge and practical skills in translating texts from Spanish to Slovak, but also vice versa, from Slovak to Spanish.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion of the course, the student
• improves practical skills in translating medium-intensity texts from Spanish to Slovak,
• develops skills in translating lower-intensity texts from Slovak to Spanish,
• expands knowledge of theories and opinions of Spanish experts,
• can discuss and justify translation solutions.
The student will deepen theoretical knowledge and practical skills in translating texts of different styles on the subject through practical exercises. After completing the subject, he/she should be able to master the translation of texts at the secondary level of difficulty from Spanish to Slovak and texts at a lower level of difficulty from Slovak to Spanish. At the same time as improving his/her practical skills, he/she will also deepen his ability to theoretical reflection on translation.

Class syllabus:
Theoretical preparation of translator from Spanish to Slovak and from Slovak to Spanish.
Theory, history and practice of artistic translation in Romance countries, especially in Spain.
Formal correspondence and dynamic equivalence.
Application of acquired knowledge to specific texts.

Recommended literature:
BOJNIČANOVÁ, R. 2010. Formálna korešpondencia a dynamická ekvivalencia. In: Translatologické štúdie: teória, história a prax umeleckého prekladu v románskych krajinách (selected texts). Bratislava: AnaPress, 2010. pp. 139-150. ISBN 978-80-89137-64-0.
BOJNIČANOVÁ, R. 2010. „Valentín García Yebra“. In: Translatologické štúdie: teória, história a prax umeleckého prekladu v románskych krajinách (selected texts). Bratislava: AnaPress, 2010. pp. 137-139. ISBN 978-80-89137-64-0.
GARCÍA YEBRA, V. 1982. Teoría y práctica de la traducción. Madrid: Gredos. 1982. 408 p. ISBN 84-249-0281-5 (selected chapters)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish language

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 7

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD., Mgr. Radana Štrbáková, PhD.
Last change: 30.10.2025
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde042/16	Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 4.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Type, volume, methods and workload of the student - additional information
Type and scope of teaching: exercise 2 hours per week; total per semester 22 hours
Study method: combined (primary attendance)
Student workload: a total of 50 hours of student work 22 hours of in-person teaching, 22 hours of continuous home preparation in the form of translations and preparation for discussion, 6 hours preparation of individually prepared translation for final evaluation
Teaching methods: working with professional text, working with artistic text, critical analysis of texts, translation of texts, working in groups, comparing translation solutions, problem solving of tasks, interview, discussion, managed self-study

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in lessons and performance of ongoing tasks. During the semester, the student receives tasks for the written preparation of translations of texts discussed in the classroom. After discussion, he/she will produce a definitive version of the translation incorporating the comments from the discussion and the knowledge from the theoretical interpretation. Part of the course is the interpretation of selected theoretical content from the field of stylistics. At the end of the course, the student prepares and submits an individually prepared translation of the text according to the Lecturer's assignment.
The results of the student will be evaluated 100% in the form of an interim evaluation. The evaluation shall include:
• Active participation in classroom activities, participation in discussion, 20% evaluation.
• Written preparation of translations and selected theoretical assignments, 40% evaluation.
• Final individual translation, 40% of the evaluation.
The final evaluation reflects the level of analytical-critical thinking; skills to apply theoretical knowledge to translation practice; the skill of using relevant knowledge to identify, analyze and address problem translation situations; the skills to propose incentives for adequate translation of texts. The degree of adequacy of the translation, the linguistic correctness of the drafted text, the formal modification of the submitted work, compliance with the Lecturer's instructions is assessed in the case of an individually prepared translation.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the subject.

The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:
The aim of the course is to deepen theoretical knowledge and practical skills in translating texts from Spanish to Slovak and from Slovak to Spanish, with a focus on translation of professional and administrative style texts.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion, the student
• improve practical skills in translating texts, especially professional and administrative style texts, but also essay text,
• expands knowledge of translation terminology,
• can apply theoretical knowledge to specific texts,
• can link the acquired knowledge from different fields of language research,
• can discuss and justify translation solutions, he can argue.
The student will deepen theoretical knowledge and practical translation skills on the subject through practical exercises. After completing the subject, he/she should be able to master the translation of texts at the secondary level of difficulty from Spanish to Slovak and vice versa. At the same time as improving his/her practical skills, he/she will also deepen his/her ability to theoretical reflection on translation.

Class syllabus:
Working with specialized dictionaries.
Accuracy and terminology when translating.
Specificities of professional and administrative style.
Application of acquired knowledge to specific texts with a primary focus on professional and administrative style texts.
Translation of texts on the interface of professional and artistic style, translation of essayist-style text.

Recommended literature:
ÁLVAREZ, M. 2002. Tipos de escrito III: Epistolar, administrativo y jurídico. 3rd edition. Madrid: Arco Libros. 70 p. ISBN 84-7635-170-4.
GARCÍA YEBRA, V. 1982. Teoría y práctica de la traducción. 1st edition. Madrid: Gredos. 408 p. ISBN 84-249-0281-5 (selected chapters)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD.

Last change: 30.10.2025
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KRoJL/B-ESPde042/22	Translation Exercises in Spanish Language 4.

Educational activities:
Type of activities: practicals
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 2

Recommended semester: 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:
The condition for successful completion of the course is active participation in lessons and performance of ongoing tasks. During the semester, the student receives tasks for the written preparation of translations of texts discussed in the classroom. After discussion, he/she will produce a definitive version of the translation incorporating the comments from the discussion and the knowledge from the theoretical interpretation. Part of the course is the interpretation of selected theoretical content from the field of stylistics. At the end of the course, the student prepares and submits an individually prepared translation of the text according to the Lecturer's assignment.
The results of the student will be evaluated 100% in the form of an interim evaluation. The evaluation shall include:
• Active participation in classroom activities, participation in discussion, 20% evaluation.
• Written preparation of translations and selected theoretical assignments, 40% evaluation.
• Final individual translation, 40% of the evaluation.
The final evaluation reflects the level of analytical-critical thinking; skills to apply theoretical knowledge to translation practice; the skill of using relevant knowledge to identify, analyze and address problem translation situations; the skills to propose incentives for adequate translation of texts. The degree of adequacy of the translation, the linguistic correctness of the drafted text, the formal modification of the submitted work, compliance with the Lecturer's instructions is assessed in the case of an individually prepared translation.
At least 60% of the total scoring must be obtained for successful completion of the subject.
The evaluation shall be awarded on a scale of:
A (100-95%, excellent – excellent results),
B (94-85 %, very good — above average standard),
C (84-77 %, good – normal reliable work),
D (76-70 %, satisfactory — acceptable results),
E (69-60 %, sufficient — the results meet the minimum criteria),
FX (59-0%, underperforming – extra work required)

Learning outcomes:

The aim of the course is to deepen theoretical knowledge and practical skills in translating texts from Spanish to Slovak and from Slovak to Spanish, with a focus on translation of professional and administrative style texts.
Educational outcomes:
After successful completion, the student
• improve practical skills in translating texts, especially professional and administrative style texts, but also essay text,
• expands knowledge of translation terminology,
• can apply theoretical knowledge to specific texts,
• can link the acquired knowledge from different fields of language research,
• can discuss and justify translation solutions, he can argue.
The student will deepen theoretical knowledge and practical translation skills on the subject through practical exercises. After completing the subject, he/she should be able to master the translation of texts at the secondary level of difficulty from Spanish to Slovak and vice versa. At the same time as improving his/her practical skills, he/she will also deepen his/her ability to theoretical reflection on translation.

Class syllabus:
Working with specialized dictionaries.
Accuracy and terminology when translating.
Specificities of professional and administrative style.
Application of acquired knowledge to specific texts with a primary focus on professional and administrative style texts.
Translation of texts on the interface of professional and artistic style, translation of essayist-style text.

Recommended literature:
ÁLVAREZ, M. 2002. Tipos de escrito III: Epistolar, administrativo y jurídico. 3rd edition. Madrid: Arco Libros, 2002. 70 p. ISBN 84-7635-170-4.
BOJNIČANOVÁ, R. 2012. „K problematike zachovania kultúrneho obsahu a jazykového bohatstva textu pri preklade.“ In: Philologica 68: Studia Romanistica. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, p. 33-41.
GARCÍA YEBRA, V. 1982. Teoría y práctica de la traducción. 1st edition. Madrid: Gredos. 1982. 408 p. ISBN 84-249-0281-5 (selected chapters)

Languages necessary to complete the course:
Slovak and Spanish

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Renáta Bojničanová, PhD.

Last change: 09.11.2022

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KP/B-VUZde045/25	Učenie hrou

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 23

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
73,91	0,0	17,39	0,0	0,0	8,7	0,0

Lecturers: PhDr. Dušan Damián Brezány, doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD., Mgr. Timotej Smutný, Mgr. Barbora Jaslovská, PhD.

Last change: 08.09.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KP/B-VUZde045/25	Učenie hrou

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 23

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
73,91	0,0	17,39	0,0	0,0	8,7	0,0

Lecturers:

Last change: 08.09.2025

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde039/23	Zvedavé učenie 1

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 1., 3., 5.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites:

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 71

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
76,06	0,0	11,27	0,0	2,82	0,0	9,86

Lecturers: Mgr. Barbora Jaslovská, PhD., doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD., Mgr. Eva Gáliková, PhD.

Last change:

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026

University: Comenius University Bratislava

Faculty: Faculty of Education

Course ID:	**Course title:**
PdF.KPg/B-VUZde040/23	Zvedavé učenie 2

Educational activities:
Type of activities: seminar
Number of hours:
per week: 2 **per level/semester:** 26
Form of the course: on-site learning

Number of credits: 3

Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.

Educational level: I.

Prerequisites: PdF.KPg/B-VUZde039/23 - Zvedavé učenie 1

Course requirements:

Learning outcomes:

Class syllabus:

Recommended literature:

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Notes:

Past grade distribution
Total number of evaluated students: 34

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
76,47	0,0	17,65	0,0	2,94	0,0	2,94

Lecturers: Mgr. Barbora Jaslovská, PhD., doc. Mgr. Martin Kuruc, PhD., Mgr. Eva Gáliková, PhD.

Last change:

Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde041/24	**Course title:** Študentská vedecká, odborná a umelecká činnosť 1
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** **Number of hours:** **per week:** **per level/semester:** **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 2., 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements:	
Learning outcomes:	
Class syllabus:	
Recommended literature:	
Languages necessary to complete the course:	
Notes:	
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 6	

ABS	M
100,0	0,0

Lecturers:
Last change: 20.02.2025
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2025/2026	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Education	
Course ID: PdF.KP/B-VUZde042/24	**Course title:** Študentská vedecká, odborná a umelecká činnosť 2
Educational activities: **Type of activities:** **Number of hours:** **per week:** **per level/semester:** **Form of the course:** on-site learning	
Number of credits: 2	
Recommended semester: 4., 6.	
Educational level: I.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements:	
Learning outcomes:	
Class syllabus:	
Recommended literature:	
Languages necessary to complete the course:	
Notes:	
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 0	

ABS	M
0,0	0,0

Lecturers:
Last change: 20.02.2025
Approved by: prof. PaedDr. Dušan Kostrub, PhD.