

Course descriptions

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. A-mDPS-990/11 Degree Thesis Defence (state exam).....	2
2. A-muHI-988/16 Methodology of History (state exam).....	4
3. A-muDE-190/00 Methodology of History 1.....	6
4. A-muDE-191/00 Methodology of History 2.....	8
5. A-dpsDE-101/21 Teacher Training in History 1.....	10
6. A-dpsDE-102/21 Teacher Training in History 2.....	12

STATE EXAM DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2024/2025	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KPA/A-mDPS-990/11	Course title: Degree Thesis Defence
Number of credits: 0	
Educational level: D	
Course requirements: The student must submit the final thesis within the deadline specified in the schedule of the current academic year for the relevant date of the state exam. Submission of the final thesis within the deadline specified in the schedule of the current academic year for the relevant date of completion of the final exam. The final thesis is submitted in electronic form via AIS. The work must meet the parameters determined by the internal quality system of the Comenius University. The provisions of Article 5 of Internal Regulation No. apply to the evaluation of the final thesis. 9/2020 (Study Regulations of the Faculty of Arts, Charles University). The final thesis consultant will prepare an opinion on the final thesis and will propose an evaluation in the classification of the A-FX thesis. The student has a report available min. 3 working days before the defense. Classification scale: 0-59% -FX, 60-67% -E, 68-75% -D, 76-83% -C, 84-91% -B, 92-100% -A Criteria for the evaluation of the final thesis: 1. The contribution of the final thesis, the fulfillment of the objectives of the thesis in its assignment and the requirements for the content of the final thesis DPŠ given by the internal quality system of Comenius University. Assessed: demonstrates knowledge and skills, the ability to work in the study program, the ability to apply in the collection, interpretation and processing of basic literature; in the case of practically focused final work also mastering the application of theoretical principles in practice and verifiability of hypotheses; 2. Originality of the work (the final work must not have the character of plagiarism, it must not infringe the copyrights of other authors); 3. Correctness and correctness of citation of used information sources, research results of other authors and author groups, correctness of description of methods and working procedures of other authors or author groups; 4. Compliance of the structure of the final work with the prescribed composition defined by the internal quality system of the Comenius University; 5. Respecting the recommended scope of the final work of the DPŠ (minimum scope of the final work of the basic DPŠ module is 27,000 characters including spaces, the minimum scope of the final work of the extension DPŠ module is 36,000 characters including spaces.), The adequacy of the scope of work is assessed by the final thesis consultant; 6. Linguistic and stylistic level of work and formal arrangement. The student orally presents the content, achieved goals and conclusions of the final thesis and answers to the questions and comments of the final thesis consultant, resp. members of the examination board. The committee will comprehensively evaluate the quality of the final thesis, assess the method and form of the defense and the student's ability to fully respond to the comments and questions in the opinion of the final thesis consultant and the opponent. The evaluation is	

achieved from the arithmetic average of the evaluation from the opinion of the final thesis consultant and the evaluation of the examination commission. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 0/100
Learning outcomes: The student has mastered the basic requirements of writing a scientific text in compliance with the rules of academic ethics, is able to work creatively in the field of study in which he completed the study program, and has adequate knowledge and understanding of the relevant issues. The student can apply his skills in the collection, interpretation, and processing of basic scientific literature, or even in its application in practice, or has the ability to solve a partial task related to the focus of the bachelor's thesis. After a successful defence of the final thesis, the student is able to logically deal with the theoretical background of the final thesis and respond to questions relating to the relevant issues.
Class syllabus: 1. Presentation of the final thesis to the students before the commission for state exams and those present. 2. Student's response to comments and questions in the review from the final thesis consultant. 3. Student's response to the questions of the commission for the final exams of DPŠ and in the discussion.
State exam syllabus:
Recommended literature: LIČNEROVÁ, Lucia. Písanie a obhajoba záverečných prác [online]. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2016 [cit. 2021-10-10]. Available at: http://stella.uniba.sk/texty/LL_pisanie_obhajoba_zaverecne_prace.pdf Vnútorný predpis č. 5/2020 [online]. Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 2015 [cit. 2021-10-10]. Available at: https://uniba.sk/fileadmin/ruk/as/2020/20200527/04aStudijny_poriadok_FiF_UK.pdf Záverečné práce [online]. Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, Filozofická fakulta, 2020 [cit. 2021-09-09]. Available at: https://fphil.uniba.sk/studium/student/bakalarske-amagisterske_studium/zaverecne-prace/ Further literature according to the topic of the bachelor's thesis.
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak
Last change: 23.04.2022
Approved by:

STATE EXAM DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2024/2025	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KVD/A-muHI-988/16	Course title: Methodology of History
Number of credits: 3	
Educational level: D, II.	
Course requirements: State exam consist of presentation of two semester project of didactic analysis of thematic unit of curriculum and of appropriate responses to particular questions related to presentation. The student can take the state exam a) after obtaining at least such number of credits that after obtaining credits for successful completion of state exams, they achieve the necessary number of credits for the proper completion of studies, b) after successful completion of compulsory subjects, compulsory elective subjects, and elective subjects in the composition determined by the study program, c) after fulfilling the student's obligations arising from § 71, par. 3 letter b of the Higher Education Act, d) if no disciplinary action is taken against them. Successful completion of the state exam is one of the conditions for successful completion of the study program. The subject of the state exam is evaluated by the committee for state exams using the grading scale A-FX. The evaluation of the state examination or its part is decided by the examination committee by consensus. If the examination committee does not reach a consensus, the evaluation of the state examination or its part is decided by voting. On the basis of the wording of the study regulations of the faculty (Internal Rules and Regulations 5/2020, Art. 15), the framework dates of state examinations are set by the dean in accordance with the faculty's academic schedule. Departments are obliged to publish the dates of their state exams on the website no later than 5 weeks before they take place. The student registers for the state exam through the academic information system (AIS) at least three weeks before it takes place. The student is entitled to one regular and two resit attempts of the state exam. The regular attempt is the one for which the student applied for the first time within the deadline set for state exams. If the student was evaluated with the FX mark on the regular attempt of the state exam, the student may take resits of the state exam a) on the following dates assigned for state examinations in the relevant academic year - or b) on the dates assigned for state examinations in one of the following academic years in accordance with § 65, par. 2 of the Higher Education Act. If the student is unable to come on the day of the state exam for which they have registered on serious grounds, they are obliged to apologize in writing to the chairman of the examination committee in advance or no later than three working days after the date of the state examination or its part, if there were serious obstacles that prevented them to do so in advance. If the student does not attend the state examination or its part without an excuse within the specified period, or if the chairman of the examination committee does not accept their excuse, they are assessed with the FX grade from that attempt of the state exam. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 0/100	

Learning outcomes: The students who passes the state exam of Didactic of History has comprehensively mastered the core competencies, which are essential requirements of teaching the history. Those competencies are outcomes of theoretical and practical procession of curriculum determined by educational standard for elementary school and particular types of secondary grammar school. The didactic analysis is connected to structure of question of state exams.
Class syllabus: 1. The student arrives on the registered date of the state exam according to the schedule set by the department. 2. Before examination committee student presents his two-semester project of didactic analysis of thematic unit of curriculum 3. After the presentation the student receives questions, which follow or are related to the presentation of analysis of student receives a question from the subject of the state exam, which is submitted 4. After the student finishes their answer, the examination committee decides on the results of the state exam in a closed session of the examination committee. The course of the state exam and the announcement of its results are public.
State exam syllabus: Knowledge of various methods, approaches and techniques of creation of curriculum and schemes and about administrative forms of work and evaluating, presentation of specific thematic unit of curriculum
Recommended literature: Recommended literature is sum of didactic and methodology documents in portfolio – archive of each student
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak
Last change: 02.04.2022
Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2024/2025	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KVD/A-muDE-190/00	Course title: Methodology of History 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: D, II.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The students didactically reconstruct the learning content in their own didactic analysis of the selected thematic unit, which constitutes their final semestral project. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student will lose all the received points in the relevant assessment. Grading scale: 0-59%-FX, 60-67%-E, 68-75%-D, 76-83%-C, 84-91%-B, 92-100%-A Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: Students have core competencies which together form the main prerequisites for their history teaching in each type of school. These are especially; the competence to define the main categories, the essential features of teaching history, then the competence to carry out goal-oriented activities, to work actively with the structure of learning objectives and to cognitively differentiate and rate them. Another competence the students possess is to arrange learning paths - methods and, on their basis, to stage learning figures - situations and, consequently, to reflect on their own teaching.	
Class syllabus: 1. On the problem of diagnosing and revealing the lived present relation of pupils to the past. 2. Past - present - future as a temporal structure of the world. 3. Reflected handling of the categories of time, space, society, economy, government, power, change and continuity, reality, and fiction, right and wrong. 4. Fostering the ability to temporalize and dimensionalize historical events. Differentiating students' ability to say "we" about different groups in the perspective of time. Recognizing and problematizing social differences and forms of governance in a particular society. Reflecting on the changeability of phenomena. Debunking legends and myths and distinguishing between contemporary and historical norms. 5. Goal-oriented activity, active planning of the history teacher and his/her orientation in the structure of learning objectives. 6. The teacher's ability to cognitively differentiate and cognitively grade learning objectives, learning tasks and questions, and test items according to difficulty. 7. Theoretical background - Bloom's taxonomy (1956, 2001). 8. Didactic analysis of the thematic unit of learning (analytical definition of the individual meanings of the thematic unit, analysis of the structure of the learning objectives, methodological analysis). 9. The	

problem of the internal structure of the learning content - theoretical basis Jerome Bruner, practical methods, and forms of work in teaching oriented to the internal structure. 10. Methodological principles of teaching history 11. Arranging learning paths - methods of history teaching 12. Staging learning figures, learning situations 13. The problem of reflection of the teacher's teaching and his pupils' learning.																				
Recommended literature: KRATOCHVIL, Viliam. Metafora stromu ako model didaktiky dejepisu. K predpokladom výučby. Bratislava : Raabe, 2019. ISBN 978-80-8140-365-1. KRATOCHVÍL, Viliam. Modely na rozvíjanie kompetencií žiakov. K transformácii vzťahu histórie a školského dejepisu. Bratislava : Stimul, 2004. ISBN 80-88982-94-4. MAREŠ, Jiří. Pedagogická psychologie. Praha : Portál, 2013. ISBN 978-80-262-0174-8. PETTY, Geof. Moderní vyučování. Praha : Portál, 2013. ISBN 978-80-262-0367-4. VÁGNEROVÁ, Marie. Dětství a dospívání. Praha : Karolinum, 2012. ISBN 978-80-246-2153-1																				
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak																				
Notes:																				
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 425 <table> <tr> <th>A</th><th>ABS</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th><th>FX</th></tr> <tr> <td>30,12</td><td>0,0</td><td>28,71</td><td>29,41</td><td>9,65</td><td>1,41</td><td>0,71</td></tr> </table>							A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX	30,12	0,0	28,71	29,41	9,65	1,41	0,71
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX														
30,12	0,0	28,71	29,41	9,65	1,41	0,71														
Lecturers: Mgr. Ján Machaj, PhD.																				
Last change: 10.06.2022																				
Approved by:																				

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2024/2025	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KVD/A-muDE-191/00	Course title: Methodology of History 2
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: D, II.	
Prerequisites: FiF.KVD/A-muDE-190/00 - Methodology of History 1	
Course requirements: The students continue with the didactic reconstruction of the learning content. The didactic analysis of the thematic unit within the final two-semesteral project is classified. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student will lose all the received points in the relevant assessment. Grading scale: 0-59%-FX, 60-67%-E, 68-75%-D, 76-83%-C, 84-91%-B, 92-100%-A Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: Students have core competencies which together form the main prerequisites for their history teaching in each type of school. These are especially; the competence to define the main categories, the essential features of teaching history, then the competence to carry out goal-oriented activities, to work actively with the structure of learning objectives and to cognitively differentiate and rate them. Another competence the students possess is to arrange learning paths - methods and, on their basis, to stage learning figures - situations and, consequently, to reflect on their own teaching.	
Class syllabus: 1. On the problem of diagnosing and revealing the lived present relation of pupils to the past. 2. Past - present - future as a temporal structure of the world. 3. Reflected handling of the categories of time, space, society, economy, government, power, change and continuity, reality, and fiction, right and wrong. 4. Fostering the ability to temporalize and dimensionalize historical events. Differentiating students' ability to say "we" about different groups in the perspective of time. Recognizing and problematizing social differences and forms of governance in a particular society. Reflecting on the changeability of phenomena. Debunking legends and myths and distinguishing between contemporary and historical norms. 5. Goal-oriented activity, active planning of the history teacher and his/her orientation in the structure of learning objectives. 6. The teacher's ability to cognitively differentiate and cognitively grade learning objectives, learning tasks and questions, and test items according to difficulty. 7. Theoretical background - Bloom's taxonomy (1956, 2001). 8. Didactic analysis of the thematic unit of learning (analytical definition of the individual meanings of the thematic unit, analysis of the structure of the learning objectives, methodological analysis). 9. The	

problem of the internal structure of the learning content - theoretical basis Jerome Bruner, practical methods, and forms of work in teaching oriented to the internal structure. 10. Methodological principles of teaching history 11. Arranging learning paths - methods of history teaching 12. Staging learning figures, learning situations 13. The problem of reflection of the teacher's teaching and his pupils' learning.																				
Recommended literature: KRATOCHVIL, Viliam. Metafora stromu ako model didaktiky dejepisu. K predpokladom výučby. Bratislava : Raabe, 2019. ISBN 978-80-8140-365-1. KRATOCHVÍL, Viliam. Modely na rozvíjanie kompetencií žiakov. K transformácii vzťahu histórie a školského dejepisu. Bratislava : Stimul, 2004. ISBN 80-88982-94-4. MAREŠ, Jiří. Pedagogická psychologie. Praha : Portál, 2013. ISBN 978-80-262-0174-8. PETTY, Geof. Moderní vyučování. Praha : Portál 2013. ISBN 978-80-262-0367-4 VÁGNEROVÁ, Marie. Dětství a dospívání. Praha : Karolinum, 2012. ISBN 978-80-246-2153-1.																				
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak																				
Notes:																				
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 427 <table> <tr> <th>A</th><th>ABS</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th><th>FX</th></tr> <tr> <td>53,86</td><td>0,0</td><td>22,01</td><td>11,48</td><td>4,92</td><td>4,45</td><td>3,28</td></tr> </table>							A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX	53,86	0,0	22,01	11,48	4,92	4,45	3,28
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX														
53,86	0,0	22,01	11,48	4,92	4,45	3,28														
Lecturers: Mgr. Ján Machaj, PhD.																				
Last change: 10.06.2022																				
Approved by:																				

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2024/2025	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KVD/A-dpsDE-101/21	Course title: Teacher Training in History 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: practice Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 20s Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: D	
Prerequisites:	
Antirequisites: FiF.KVD/A-buHI-580/15	
Course requirements: Course assessment: • teaching a determined number of lessons • 1-hour long classroom observation, • active participation in the extracurricular activities of the teaching mentor, • student evaluation by the teaching mentor, • evaluation of the teacher's diary submitted by the student by the set deadline to the training supervisor Grade percentage: A (100-92%); B (91-84%); C (83-76%); D (75-68%); E (67-60%), Fx (59-0%). Not following the set deadlines and the supervisor's instructions may be punished by lowering the grade or not receiving the credits for teacher training. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: After completing the course, the student will: • develop their professional competencies necessary for their independent planning, projecting, management, and organisation of the educational process in the relevant subject based on the valid curricular documents while adapting the educational program to specific groups of pupils, • develop their competencies in the pedagogic evaluation of the pupils, • develop their competencies to apply the knowledge from educational psychology and subject didactics in the educational process, • develop their abilities to evaluate diverse teaching situations and processes, to respond flexibly to them, and to deal properly with them, • gain practical experience in identification of psychological and social factors of the pupil's learning, • deepen their knowledge about the differences in children's development that result from their health and social disadvantages or talent, so that they can effectively cooperate with the special educators, psychologists, and other professionals during the inclusive educational process, and follow their professional recommendations and findings,	

- deepen their knowledge in the laws of general application pertaining to teacher's job, pedagogical and other documenting, conceptual, and strategic documents of the school
- develop their abilities to assess the suitability of the educational materials,
- develop their communication and presentation skills, abilities to cooperate with their colleagues, parents, community, etc.,
- gain the competencies related to creating a pedagogical portfolio,
- develop their abilities to express and accept effective criticism and praise.

Class syllabus:

Familiarising students with the teacher training requirements

Familiarising students with the pedagogical documentation, conceptual and strategic documents of the training school

Participation in classes within the scope of the trainee teacher's full-time duties and extracurricular activities.

Producing classroom observation records from the teaching mentor's classes

Producing class analyses.

Production of written preparations for lessons.

Producing a teaching diary and submitting it to the Head of Teaching Practice.

Recommended literature:

All official textbooks for elementary schools and high schools

Updated state education program for the 2nd level of elementary school

Updated state education program for grammar schools with four-year and five-year education program

School education program of the training school

Internal regulations of the school

KOSOVÁ, B. – TOMENGOVÁ, A.: Profesijná praktická príprava budúcich učiteľov. Banská Bystrica: Belianum, 2015, ISBN 978-80-557-0860-7. Dostupné na: <https://www.minedu.sk/data/att/8032.pdf>

KRATOCHVIL, Viliam. Metafora stromu ako model didaktiky dejepisu. K predpokladom výučby. Bratislava : Raabe, 2019. ISBN 978-80-8140-365-1.

KORTHAGEN, Fred. Jak spojit praxi s teorií: Didaktika realistického vzdělávání učitelů. Brno : Paido, 2011. ISBN 978-80-7315-221-5.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 170

A	B	C	D	E	FX
90,0	3,53	2,35	0,0	1,18	2,94

Lecturers: Mgr. Ján Machaj, PhD.

Last change: 14.05.2024

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2024/2025					
University: Comenius University Bratislava					
Faculty: Faculty of Arts					
Course ID: FiF.KVD/A-dpsDE-102/21		Course title: Teacher Training in History 2			
Educational activities: Type of activities: practice Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: 20s Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning					
Number of credits: 3					
Recommended semester: 2.					
Educational level: D					
Prerequisites:					
Course requirements:					
Learning outcomes:					
Class syllabus:					
Recommended literature:					
Languages necessary to complete the course:					
Notes:					
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 24					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
83,33	12,5	0,0	0,0	0,0	4,17
Lecturers: Mgr. Ján Machaj, PhD.					
Last change: 14.05.2024					
Approved by:					