

Course descriptions

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. A-dSZ-509/22 Academic Writing for Publication 1.....	3
2. A-dSZ-510/22 Academic Writing for Publication 2.....	6
3. A-dVC-017/22 Active Participation in a Scientific Event Abroad (Published Abstract).....	8
4. A-dVC-018/22 Active participation in a scientific event in Slovakia (published abstract).....	10
5. A-moKF-026/20 Ancient Greek Religion.....	12
6. A-moKF-027/20 Ancient Roman Religion.....	14
7. A-dDA-007/22 Bachelor's Final Thesis Assessment Authorship.....	16
8. A-dDA-009/22 Bachelor's Final Thesis Supervision.....	18
9. A-dSF-016/22 Between Literature and Philosophy.....	20
10. A-moFI-159/22 Czechoslovak Dissent and Philosophy.....	22
11. A-dSZ-099/22 Dissertation Thesis Defence (state exam).....	24
12. A-dSZ-098/22 Doctoral Examination (state exam).....	26
13. A-dVC-013/22 Expert Article in a Non-Indexed Journal / Expert Chapter or Article (less than 36 000 signs).....	28
14. A-dVC-009/22 Expert Article in an Indexed Journal / Expert Monograph / Chapter or Article (minimum 36 000 signs).....	30
15. A-dSZ-512/22 Faith and Politics in the Non-Religious World.....	32
16. A-moFI-162/22 Feminist Philosophy in the Historical Perspective.....	34
17. A-dVC-029/22 G: Young Scientists Grant Head Researcher.....	36
18. A-dVC-033/22 H: Member of the International Scientific Event Organizational Committee.....	38
19. A-dSF-028/22 Human Nature from the Evolutionary-Ethical Perspective 1.....	40
20. A-dSF-029/22 Human Nature from the Evolutionary-Ethical Perspective 2.....	42
21. A-dSZ-005/22 Individual Study of Literature 1.....	44
22. A-dSZ-006/22 Individual Study of Literature 2.....	46
23. A-dSZ-013/20 Information Resources and Communication in Science.....	48
24. A-dSZ-502/21 Innovative teaching that inspires good learning I.....	50
25. A-dSZ-504/21 Innovative teaching that inspires good learning II.....	53
26. A-dSZ-004/13 Logical Semantics and Reasoning.....	56
27. A-moFI-007/22 Metaethics.....	58
28. A-dSF-003/22 Metaphilosophy 1.....	60
29. A-dSF-004/22 Metaphilosophy 2.....	62
30. A-dSZ-003/13 Methodology of Science.....	64
31. A-dSF-018/22 Neo-Aristotelian Ethical Naturalism.....	66
32. A-dSF-027/22 Philosophical Greek Language.....	68
33. A-moFI-116/22 Philosophical Legacy of J. A. Comenius and T. G. Masaryk.....	70
34. A-dSF-017/22 Philosophy and Religion.....	72
35. A-dSZ-002/22 Philosophy of Language.....	74
36. A-moFI-157/22 Philosophy of the Future.....	76
37. A-moFI-154/22 Philosophy of the Present.....	78
38. A-dSF-001/22 Post-graduate Studies Seminar 1.....	80
39. A-dSF-002/22 Post-graduate Studies Seminar 2.....	82
40. A-dSF-013/22 R. Rorty: Philosophy and the Mirror of Nature.....	84
41. A-dVC-005/22 Scientific Paper in a Non-Indexed Journal / Chapter or Article (less than 36 000 signs).....	86
42. A-dVC-003/22 Scientific Paper in an Indexed Journal / Scientific Monograph / Chapter or Article (minimum 36 000 signs).....	88

43. A-dVC-020/22	Scientific Review Published in a Non-Indexed Journal.....	90
44. A-dVC-019/22	Scientific Review Published in an Indexed Journal.....	92
45. A-drLO-001/14	Selected Topics in Logic, Semantics, Philosophy of Language and Methodology of Sciences.....	94
46. A-moFI-138/22	Slovak Philosophy in the 20th Century.....	96
47. A-dDA-008/22	Supervision of a Paper Presented at the Students' Research Conference.....	98
48. A-dDA-006/22	Teaching Activities - Course Assistance.....	100
49. A-dDA-005/22	Teaching Activities - Implementation of a Course.....	102
50. A-dDA-002/22	Teaching Text Authorship (less than 36 000 signs).....	104
51. A-dSF-012/22	Truth and the "Regime of Truth".....	106
52. A-dDA-001/22	University Textbook or Teaching Text Authorship (minimum 36 000 signs).....	108
53. A-dSZ-511/22	Walter Benjamin's Theory of Modernity.....	110
54. A-dSZ-506/21	What 20th century history is and can be about.....	112
55. A-dSZ-017/20	Women, Science and Feminism: Philosophical Perspective.....	116

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.Dek/A-dSZ-509/22	Course title: Academic Writing for Publication 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: (In order to enrol in this course, eligible students must apply due the limited space available. Please see the note below for more information on applying for the course.) Participants are required to actively participate in 80% of workshops. All assignments must be successfully completed and submitted in MS TEAMS by the deadline. Facilitators assess if the submitted work meets the requirements. Assessment: Students will be assessed on the following: · Active participation · Submission of all weekly/bi-weekly assignments (successfully completed) · Submission of final paper (1500-word argumentative essay with appropriate formatting and citations) Unexcused absences allowed: 0. Grading scale: 0-59% - FX, 60-67% - E, 68-75% - D, 76-83% - C, 84-91% - B, 92-100% - A. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student will lose all the received points in the relevant assessment. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: By the end of this course, participants shall be able to: · Understand and recognize the requirements of scholarly research and a well-written academic paper. · Recognize, evaluate, and produce effective research questions and thesis statements. · Produce an acceptable argumentative paper, ethically integrating relevant sources, with the proper use of citations and cohesive devices, according to academic norms. · Provide relevant and appropriate feedback.	
Class syllabus: 1. Cultural, disciplinary, and interdisciplinary differences and requirements of academic writing in English compared to Czech/Slovak 2. Finding and evaluating sources for reliability and relevance	

3. Organizing and saving your sources, citations, and work
4. Understanding genre, purpose, audience, and the writing process
5. Recognizing and employing good practices in creating research questions and formulating thesis statements
6. Plagiarism and its consequences
7. Recognizing and implementing academic norms in citing other's work ethically
8. Summarizing and paraphrasing skills
9. Recognizing and producing an effective academic paragraph using a topic sentence and appropriate paragraph development
10. Effectively using of discourse markers
11. Applying effective organisational, and coherence and cohesion strategies to academic texts
12. Developing valid arguments effectively in a short paper (academic argumentative essay).

Recommended literature:

BAZERMAN, Charles. The Informed Writer: Using Sources in the Disciplines. 3rd edition. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1989. ISBN 0-395-36901-0.

BIRKENSTEIN, Cathy and Gerald GRAFF. They Say, I Say: The Moves That Matter in Academic Writing, 3rd edition. New York: Norton, 2016. ISBN 0393935841.

DOLLAHITE, Nancy E. and Julie HAUN. Sourcework: Academic Writing from Sources. Boston: Heinle, 2006. ISBN 978-0-618-41287-7.

GREETHAM, Bryan. How to Write Better Essays. New York: Palgrave, 2001. ISBN 0-333-94715-0.

HAMILTON, Carole L. Anthem Guide to Essay Writing [online]. London: Anthem Press, 2011. Available at: ProQuest Ebook Central, <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uniba-ebooks/detail.action?docID=3001959>.

LEVIN, Peter. Write Great Essays [online]. New York: McGraw-Hill Education, 2009. Available at: ProQuest Ebook Central, <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uniba-ebooks/detail.action?docID=487786>.

MCCARTHY Michael and Felicity O'DELL. Academic Vocabulary in Use with Answers. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017. ISBN 9780521689397.

MURRAY, Neil and Geraldine HUGHES. Writing up Your University Assignments and Research Projects [online]. New York: McGraw-Hill Education, 2008. Available at: ProQuest Ebook Central, <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uniba-ebooks/detail.action?docID=345139>.

SHIELDS, Mung Ling. Essay Writing: A Student's Guide. Los Angeles: SAGE, 2010. ISBN 978-1-84787-090-2.

SWALES, John and Christine FEAK. Academic Writing for Graduate Students, 3rd Ed. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2012. ISBN 9780472034758.

ZEMACH, Dorothy and Lisa A. RUMISEK. Academic Writing: From Paragraph to Essay. Oxford: Macmillan, 2005. ISBN 978-1-4050-8606-6.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

English - at least B2 level

Notes:

Past grade distribution					
Total number of evaluated students: 8					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
12,5	50,0	12,5	0,0	0,0	25,0
Lecturers: Mgr. Lucia Grauzľová, PhD., M. A. Linda Steyne, PhD., Mgr. Ágnes Simon, PhD.					
Last change: 13.02.2023					
Approved by:					

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.Dek/A-dSZ-510/22	Course title: Academic Writing for Publication 2
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Recommended prerequisites: In order to enrol in this course, successful completion of Academic Writing for Publication I or the approval of the instructors is required.	
Course requirements: The course consists of 180-minute workshops (with a break) meeting every other week, primarily online in MS TEAMS and occasionally face-to-face at the Faculty of Arts. Participants are required to actively participate in 80% of workshops. All assignments must be successfully completed and submitted in MS TEAMS by the deadlines. Facilitators assess if the submitted work meets the requirements. Students will be assessed on the following: • Active participation • Submission of all weekly/bi-weekly assignments (successfully completed) • Submission of final paper (4,000-word journal article with appropriate formatting and citations) Unexcused absences allowed: 0 Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: By the end of this course, participants will be able to: • Understand and recognize the requirements of a peer-reviewed journal article. • Recognize, evaluate, and produce the various sections of a journal article (from abstract to conclusion). • Produce an acceptable journal article, ethically integrating relevant sources, with the proper use of citations and cohesive devices, according to academic norms. • Provide relevant and appropriate feedback on such academic papers to peers and colleagues.	
Class syllabus: 1. Organization of a journal article: outlining and paragraphing 2. Organizing and saving your sources, citations, and work 3. Citing ethically (review of quotations, paraphrasing, and summarizing) 4. The introduction (review of research questions and thesis statements)	

5. The methods section
6. The results/analysis section
7. The discussion section (developing valid arguments effectively and coherently)
8. The conclusion
9. The abstract
10. What journals want and how to work with editors
11. How to avoid academese, i.e., unnecessary jargon and incomprehensible content often found in academic papers

Recommended literature:

BAZERMAN, Charles. The Informed Writer: Using Sources in the Disciplines. 3rd edition. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1989. ISBN 0-395-36901-0.

BIRKENSTEIN, Cathy a Gerald GRAFF. They Say, I Say: The Moves That Matter in Academic Writing, 3rd edition. New York: Norton, 2016. ISBN 0393935841.

DOLLAHITE, Nancy E. a Julie HAUN. Sourcework: Academic Writing from Sources. Boston: Heinle, 2006. ISBN 978-0-618-41287-7.

GREETHAM, Bryan. How to Write Better Essays. New York: Palgrave, 2001. ISBN 0-333-94715-0.

HAMILTON, Carole L. Anthem Guide to Essay Writing [online]. London: Anthem Press, 2011. Dostupné na: ProQuest Ebook Central, <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uniba-ebooks/detail.action?docID=3001959>.

LEVIN, Peter. Write Great Essays [online]. New York: McGraw-Hill Education, 2009. Dostupné na: ProQuest Ebook Central, <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uniba-ebooks/detail.action?docID=487786>.

MCCARTHY Michael a Felicity O'DELL. Academic Vocabulary in Use with Answers. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017. ISBN 9780521689397.

MURRAY, Neil a Geraldine HUGHES. Writing up Your University Assignments and Research Projects [online]. New York: McGraw-Hill Education, 2008. Dostupné na ProQuest Ebook Central, <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uniba-ebooks/detail.action?docID=345139>.

SWALES, John and Christine FEAK. Academic Writing for Graduate Students, 3rd Ed. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2012. ISBN 9780472034758.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

English language (B2)

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 7

A	B	C	D	E	FX
14,29	14,29	14,29	0,0	28,57	28,57

Lecturers: Mgr. Lucia Grauzľová, PhD., M. A. Linda Steyne, PhD., Mgr. Ágnes Simon, PhD.

Last change: 17.01.2023

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dVC-017/22	Course title: Active Participation in a Scientific Event Abroad (Published Abstract)
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 15	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The student prepares an abstract that is accepted by the organizers of the scientific event held abroad (conference, symposium, congress, etc.). The student participates in the scientific event, the costs associated with it being covered by grant funds—usually from the supervisor's project, or from a Comenius University grant for young researchers. At the scientific event, the student presents his/her paper, answers questions and participates in the discussion of other papers. On his/her return, he/she informs colleagues in the workplace about the results of the event and may publish a report on it. He/she will submit the abstract published during the event to the publication register. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 30/70 Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 30/70	
Learning outcomes: The student demonstrates his/her ability to find a topic and to formulate an abstract attractive to the organizers of the scientific event and succeed with them in the competition. He/she will deepen his/her knowledge in the field and his/her scientific communication skills, and will strengthen and broaden his/her contacts in the professional community.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student cooperates with and follows the recommendations of the supervisor regarding the preparation of the abstract, the paper, and its presentation. The procedure and timing are determined by the student himself/herself, taking into account the deadlines set by the organisers of the event.	
Recommended literature: The literature needed for the output will be determined in collaboration with the supervisor, taking into account the subject area and current state of research. Literature that is not available in the Academic Library or available through external information sources will be provided by purchasing at the initiative of the student or by using the interlibrary loan service.	
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English, other if necessary (conference languages)	

Notes:		
Past grade distribution		
Total number of evaluated students: 103		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 27.09.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dVC-018/22	Course title: Active participation in a scientific event in Slovakia (published abstract)
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 7	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The student prepares an abstract that is accepted by the organizers of the scientific event held in Slovakia (conference, symposium, congress, etc.). The student participates in the scientific event, the costs associated with it being covered by grant funds—usually from the supervisor's project, or from a Comenius University grant for young researchers. At the scientific event, the student presents his/her paper, answers questions and participates in the discussion of other papers. On his/her return, he/she informs colleagues in the workplace about the results of the event and may publish a report on it. He/she will submit the abstract published during the event to the publication register.	
Learning outcomes: The student demonstrates his/her ability to find a topic and to formulate an abstract attractive to the organizers of the scientific event and succeed with them in the competition. He/she will deepen his/her knowledge in the field and his/her scientific communication skills, and will strengthen and broaden his/her contacts in the professional community.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student cooperates with and follows the recommendations of the supervisor regarding the preparation of the abstract, the paper, and its presentation. The procedure and timing are determined by the student himself/herself, taking into account the deadlines set by the organisers of the event.	
Recommended literature: The literature needed for the output will be determined in collaboration with the supervisor, taking into account the subject area and current state of research. Literature that is not available in the Academic Library or available through external information sources will be provided by purchasing at the initiative of the student or by using the interlibrary loan service.	
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English, other if necessary (conference languages)	
Notes:	

Past grade distribution		
Total number of evaluated students: 99		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 17.09.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KKSF/A-moKF-026/20	Course title: Ancient Greek Religion
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: a) during the semester (20 points): During the semester, the student actively participates in lectures conducted in an interactive way by asking questions and commenting on selected shorter texts, which will be available in advance before or during the lecture. b) in the examination period: (80 points): In the examination period the student has passed an exam in the form of a written test in which demonstrates knowledge of all topics covered during the semester. Grading scale: 0-59%-FX, 60-67%-E, 68-75%-D, 76-83%-C, 84-91%-B, 92-100%-A The teacher will accept a maximum of two absences with documentation. The exact date for continuous evaluation and the topic of the paper will be announced at the beginning of the semester. The dates for the final test will be announced through AIS no later than in the last week of the instruction period. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 20 % / 80 %	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, the student has an overview of the basic theoretical areas of Ancient Greek religion. The student knows the fundamental terms connected with the main topic, as well as key representatives of major religious and philosophical schools, and has acquired adequate knowledge of sacral architecture, rituals and major deities of the Greek pantheon.	
Class syllabus: 1. Basic terms of the Greek religion. Development of Ancient religious studies. 2. Characteristic of Ancient Greek religion and representatives of various religious streams. 3. Basic religious ideas in Minoan and Mycenaean civilizations. 4. Major deities, religious rituals and funerals against the background of Homeric epics. 5. Ancient Greek ideas about the afterlife. 6. Religion of archaic Greece - Hesiod and the origin of the gods. 7. Divination and therapeutic cults, magic. 8. Alternative cults, Orphics and Pythagoreans, mystery cults. 9. Sacred space - temenos, temple, cult image and priests.	

10. Sacred time - calendar and holidays. 11. Religious life in classical Athens, reflections of religion in theatrical plays. 12. Twilight of the Greek religion in the time of Hellenism, the influence of Judaism and other ancient religions.					
Recommended literature: • DOSTÁLOVÁ, Růžena - HOŠEK, Radislav. Antická mystéria. Praha : Vyšehrad, 1997. ISBN 80-7021-217-9. • HOŠEK, Radislav. Řecké náboženství. Praha, 1969. ISBN 80 -7021-516-X. • KOMOROVSKÝ, Ján. Po cestách Asklepiových. Bratislava : Tatran, 1994. ISBN 80-222-0325-4. • NILSSON, Martin P. A history of Greek religion by Martin. I-II. New York: W. W. Norton, 1964. ISBN 0-393-00287-X. • VANDENBERG, Philipp. Tajomstvo veštiarní. Bratislava : Obzor, 1983. • VIDMAN, Ladislav. Od Olympu k Panteonu. Praha, 1986 (and more recent editions). ISBN 80-7021-221-7.					
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English, Czech					
Notes:					
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 6					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
50,0	33,33	0,0	0,0	0,0	16,67
Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Marcela Andoková, PhD.					
Last change: 26.03.2022					
Approved by:					

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KKSF/A-moKF-027/20	Course title: Ancient Roman Religion
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: a) during the semester (20 points): During the semester, the student actively participates in lectures conducted in an interactive way by asking questions and commenting on selected shorter texts, which will be available in advance before or during the lecture. b) in the examination period: (80 points): In the examination period the student has passed an exam in the form of a written test in which demonstrates knowledge of all topics covered during the semester. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student will lose all the received points in the relevant assessment. Grading scale: 0-59%-FX, 60-67%-E, 68-75%-D, 76-83%-C, 84-91%-B, 92-100%-A The teacher will accept a maximum of two absences with documentation. The exact date for continuous evaluation and the topic of the paper will be announced at the beginning of the semester. The dates for the final test will be announced through AIS no later than in the last week of the instruction period. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 20 % / 80 %	
Learning outcomes: Introduction to the study of the Roman religion.	
Class syllabus: 1. Introduction and sources to the study of Roman religion, basic concepts. 2. The origins of the Roman religion and the understanding of the main religious authority. 3. Organizational forms - colleges and priests. 4. Roman polytheism - pantheon of the gods I. 5. Pantheon of the gods and their priests II. 6. Magic, divination and other occult practices. 7. Etruscan discipline, Etruscan and Greek influences in the Roman religion. 8. Sacred time - calendar, holidays, religious processions. 9. Emperor and the religion in Domus Augusta - new understanding of authority. 10. Apotheosis, changes in priestly colleges, sacred space, temples, altars and asylum.	

11. The beginnings of Christianity in the time of the empire, pagan and Christian martyrs, other oriental cults.					
12. Christianity in the Roman Empire of the 4th century - monasticism and pilgrimages.					
Recommended literature: • ANDOKOVÁ, Marcela – BABIC, Marek – ELIÁŠOVÁ BUZÁSSYOVÁ, Ľudmila – ROŠKOVÁ, Daniela – VALACHOVIČ, Pavol. In: ANDOKOVÁ, Marcela – HRNČIAROVÁ, Daniela (eds.). Bežný život starých Rimanov. Bratislava : Iris, 2020. ISBN 987-80-8200-064-4. • BURIAN, Jan – MOUCHOVÁ, Bohumila. Záhadní Etruskové. Praha, 1966 (and more recent editions). • GAJDOŠ, Marcel. Dokumenty prvých dvoch ekumenických snemov. Prešov, 1999. ISBN 80-88774-61-6. • R#PKE, Jörg. Náboženství Římanů. Mníchov, 2001. ISBN 987-80-7021-807-5. • VOUGA, François. Dějiny raného křesťanství. Brno, 1997. ISBN 80-85959-22-4 80-7021-231-4.					
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, Czech					
Notes:					
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 4					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
25,0	50,0	25,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Marcela Andoková, PhD.					
Last change: 26.03.2022					
Approved by:					

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dDA-007/22	Course title: Bachelor's Final Thesis Assessment Authorship
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The student, in agreement with the head of the department and the supervisor, will write an assessment of a final bachelor thesis, the topic of which is related to the topic of his/her dissertation project. He/she will prepare the assessment responsibly, express and support the evaluation of the thesis as a whole and its individual parts, name the strengths and weaknesses and add recommendations for the author's further work on the final bachelor's thesis. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 0/100	
Learning outcomes: By writing an assessment for the final bachelor thesis, the student deepens his/her ability to make critical evaluative judgements and his/her argumentative competence. The work on the thesis report also contributes to the success of his/her dissertation project.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student shall immediately and in detail familiarize himself/herself with the thesis under review and shall send the review to the author of the thesis and to the thesis defense administrator (academic advisor) no later than five days prior to the defense.	
Recommended literature: The literature required for the assessment of the thesis is chosen by the student. When obtaining it, the student uses the funds of the Academic Library of Comenius University and external information sources.	
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English	
Notes:	

Past grade distribution		
Total number of evaluated students: 100		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 02.10.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dDA-009/22	Course title: Bachelor's Final Thesis Supervision
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 10	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: In agreement with the head of the department and the PhD supervisor, the PhD student is supervising the final thesis of the bachelor's study, the topic of which is related to the topic of his/her dissertation project. The PhD student is supervising the student's thesis methodologically, helps the author to conceptualize and design the research, and comments on the textual form. He/she participates in the preparation of the presentation and the thesis defence. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50	
Learning outcomes: By supervising the final thesis of the bachelor's study, the PhD student deepens his/her ability of scientific work and develops his/her pedagogical competences.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. Supervising a thesis requires ongoing activity, meetings and consultations, as well as continuous reading and commenting on the author's texts, so that the author's energy and time are spent efficiently and the workload is spread appropriately over time.	
Recommended literature: The literature required for the thesis is selected by the PhD student in cooperation with the author of the thesis, taking into account the topic, the thematic area and the current research in it. The funds of the Academic Library of Comenius University and external information sources are used in its acquisition. Literature that is available neither in the Academic Library nor through external information sources will be provided by purchasing the publication or by using the interlibrary loan service.	
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English	
Notes:	

Past grade distribution		
Total number of evaluated students: 36		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 02.10.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-dSF-016/22	Course title: Between Literature and Philosophy
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Preliminary evaluation during the instruction period: a) Active participation in seminars: 3 points for each seminar, max. 30 points b) Philosophical analysis of the selected topic and its presentation: max. 30 points c) Final colloquium: max. 30 points Absences with documentation not exceeding 25% of the classes are accepted. Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. Grading scale: A – 90-85 points; B – 84-79 points; C – 78-73 points; D – 72-67 points; E - 66-60 points; Fx – 59 points or less. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100% preliminary	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, doctoral students will be able to critically reflect on and understand the relationship between philosophy and literature. They know their distinctive and specific features, methods and forms. They pay attention to the multidimensional level of differences in the interpretation of texts, respecting the particularities of literature and philosophy. They are able to analyse the views of authors presenting the themes of essay theory and the philosophical novel. They know the relevant contemporary and historical literature. They are able to apply the acquired knowledge in arguing in debates and polemics, as well as in their own research.	
Class syllabus: 1. Thematisation of the relationship between literature and philosophy. 2. Specifics of literary and philosophical texts. 3. Specifics of literary and philosophical style. 4. Autobiographical memoir. 5. Philosophical novel. 6. Theory of essay.	
Recommended literature: FRANK, Manfred. Štýl vo filozofii. Bratislava: Archa, 1994. ISBN 80-7115-051-7. GOMÉZ-MARTINÉZ, José Luis. Teoría eseje. Bratislava: Archa, 1996. ISBN 80-7115-121-1.	

CAMPAGNON, Antoine. Démon teórie. Literatúra a bežné myslenie. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2006. ISBN 80-7149-804-1. RONENOVÁ, Ruth. Možné světy v teorii literatury. Brno: Host, 2006. ISBN 80-7294-180-1. GOODMAN, Nelson, ELGINOVÁ, Catherine Z. Nové pojetí filozofie a dalších umění a věd. Praha: Trivium, 2017. ISBN 978-80-7308-737-1. Additional literature will be presented at the beginning and during the semester. Temporary study texts will be available in MS Teams.					
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, Czech					
Notes:					
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 0					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Erika Lalíková, PhD.					
Last change: 11.03.2022					
Approved by:					

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-moFI-159/22	Course title: Czechoslovak Dissent and Philosophy
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: II., III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Preliminary evaluation during the instruction period: a) Active participation in seminars: 1 point for each seminar, max. 10 points b) Presentation of one selected topic: max. 20 points c) Written paper in the form of a philosophical essay of min. 7 standard pages: 20 points Absences with documentation not exceeding 25% of the classes are accepted. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student can lose all the received points in the relevant performance. Grading scale: A – 50-47 points; B – 46-43 points; C – 42-38 points; D – 37-34 points; E – 33-30 points; Fx – 29 points or less Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100% preliminary	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, the students have knowledge of Czechoslovak dissent. They understand the relationship between Marxist and so-called non-Marxist philosophies in the post-World War II period. They know the most important dissident personalities and can critically reflect on and analyse their views.	
Class syllabus: 1. Life behind the “Iron Curtain”. 2. Specifics of philosophical (and literary) texts and their forms in the period from World War II to 1989 in the Czech Republic and Slovakia. 3. Features of the formation of Marxist philosophy in the Central European context. 4. Charter 77, the period of normalization and its impact on the status and level of philosophy. 5. Prague and Bratislava seminars and samizdat. 6. The Demon of Consent by Dominik Tatarka. 7. Big Brother and Big Sister by Milan Šimečka and Miroslav Kusý. 8. Marian Váross, Ján Kocka, Ivan Kadlečík, Marcel Strýko. 9. Jan Patočka, Ladislav Hejdlánek and Václav Havel. 10. Critical probing and looking back.	
Recommended literature:	

DAYOVÁ, Barbara. Sametoví filozofové. Brno: Doplněk, 1999. ISBN 80-7239-045-7.
 KUSÁ, Jolana, ZAJAC, Peter (eds.). Prítomnosť minulosti, minulosť prítomnosti. Bratislava: Nadácia M. Šimečku, 1999. ISBN 80-967156-8-2.
 PATOČKA, Jan, Češi I. Praha: OIKOYMENH, 2006. ISBN 80-7007-230-X. ISBN 80-7298-181-1.
 ŠIMEČKA, Milan. Společenství strachu a jiné eseje. Bratislava: Nadácia M. Šimečku, 2003. ISBN 80-89008-16-X.
 KUSÝ, Miroslav, ŠIMEČKA, Milan. Veľký brat a veľká sestra. Bratislava: Nadácia M. Šimečku, 2000. ISBN 80-89008-01-1.
 Additional literature will be presented at the beginning and during the semester. Teacher presentations will be available in MS Teams.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, Czech (receptive acquaintance)

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 23

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
82,61	0,0	13,04	0,0	0,0	4,35	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Erika Lalíková, PhD.

Last change: 23.02.2022

Approved by:

STATE EXAM DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.Dek/A-dSZ-099/22	Course title: Dissertation Thesis Defence
Number of credits: 30	
Educational level: III.	
Course requirements: A doctoral student may apply for permission to defend his/her dissertation if a) he/she has obtained at least 150 credits (in a study programme for the proper completion of which the achievement of 180 credits is a prerequisite) or at least 210 credits (in a study programme for the proper completion of which the achievement of 240 credits is a prerequisite), (b) fulfils the conditions laid down in the individual study plan; and (c) has authored at least three scientific papers related to the topic of the doctoral thesis, two of which must be published and the third either published or accepted for publication. A request for permission to defend the dissertation is submitted in writing to the Dean through the Doctoral Studies Office. The application shall be submitted at the latest five months before the end of the standard length of studies; if the doctoral student's studies exceed the standard length of studies, the application shall be submitted at the latest five months before the end of the academic year in which the defence is to take place. The application shall be accompanied by a) the dissertation, (b) a summary of the main results of the dissertation, normally of two standard pages, c) copies of all publications and other elaborations, if they are not part of the dissertation; if the doctoral candidate attaches the output from the CU publication database, he/she does not need to submit copies of publications, d) a list of published works with full bibliographical data and unpublished scientific works and performances of the PhD student, as well as reviews of them and, where appropriate, testimonials about them, (e) a justification of the differences between the original dissertation and the submitted dissertation, if the doctoral candidate submits a new dissertation in the same field of doctoral study after an unsuccessful defence, f) confirmation from the editorial board of the acceptance of the scientific thesis for publication, if it is a scientific thesis accepted for publication. The dissertation shall be assessed by three/three referees. At the end of the written assessment, the referee(s) shall comment on whether he/she recommends the thesis for defence and shall propose a pass or fail classification. The successful defence of the dissertation is the end of the doctoral studies. The defence of the dissertation is evaluated by the classification grade pass or fail. The overall result of the duly completed doctoral studies shall be graded as pass. If the doctoral candidate has been assessed with a failing grade at the dissertation defence, he/she shall be entitled to one revision period. A failing grade at the remedial term of the dissertation defence is a reason for exclusion from the studies. The dissertation defence and the announcement of its results are public. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 0/100	

Learning outcomes:

The defence of the dissertation demonstrates the student's ability to think critically and to gather and evaluate information, as well as his/her readiness for independent scientific and creative activity in the field of research or development.

Class syllabus:

The dissertation defence takes place in the form of a scientific debate between the doctoral student, the dissertation opponents, the members of the examination committee and other participants about the knowledge gained and the contribution of the dissertation.

The procedure for the defence of the dissertation:

1. the chairperson of the examination committee will present the doctoral student's curriculum vitae, announce the essential information from the supervisor's report, an overview of the doctoral student's scientific works and their responses,
2. the doctoral candidate shall briefly state the essential content of his/her dissertation, its conception, results and contribution,
3. the opponents will present the essential content of their opinions,
4. the doctoral candidate gives his/her opinion on the evaluations, in particular comments on all suggestions and comments and answers questions,
5. the chairperson of the examination committee opens a discussion in which all participants in the defence may take part; the discussion verifies the correctness, validity, scientific originality and relevance of the knowledge contained in the thesis,
6. the doctoral candidate answers all questions during the discussion and takes a position on all suggestions and comments made by the participants of the dissertation defence,
7. the examination committee decides on the result of the defence in a closed session in the presence of the dissertation opponents and the supervisor,
8. the announcement of the result of the dissertation examination is made in the presence of the student(s) and is public.

State exam syllabus:**Recommended literature:**

not applicable

Last change: 02.10.2023

Approved by:

STATE EXAM DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.Dek/A-dSZ-098/22	Course title: Doctoral Examination
Number of credits: 20	
Educational level: III.	
Course requirements: PhD students in the full-time form of PhD studies shall register for the doctoral examination within 24 months of the beginning of their studies at the latest. A PhD student in the external form shall register no later than 30 months after the beginning of his/her studies. The period of interruption of studies shall not be included in the time limit for registration for the doctoral examination. The PhD candidate is obliged to submit a thesis for the doctoral examination together with the application for the doctoral examination. A condition for granting consent to take the doctoral examination is the acquisition of at least 60 credits, completion of the courses of the study part of the PhD studies in the composition determined by the study programme and the individual study plan, and the authorship of at least one scientific work related to the topic of the dissertation, which is published or accepted for publication at the time of submitting the application for the doctoral examination; a published scientific work, if published, or a manuscript thereof and a confirmation of acceptance for publication by the editors of the journal or publishing house shall be compulsory annexes to the application for the doctoral examination. The application for the doctoral examination with the annex and the written thesis shall be submitted in written form to the Dean through the Doctoral Studies Office. Details of the requirements for the application for the doctoral examination and the method of submission are published on the Faculty's website. The thesis for the doctoral examination includes a) the objectives of the dissertation, b) the theoretical foundations of the dissertation, c) the current state of knowledge on the dissertation topic, (d) an analysis of the methodological approach to the dissertation topic; and (e) the state of the dissertation work in progress. The thesis for the doctoral examination is assessed by an opponent, who draws up a written assessment and proposes its assessment with a pass or fail classification grade. The PhD student has the right to get acquainted with the assessment at the latest three working days before the date of the doctoral examination. The doctoral examination and the announcement of its results shall be public. The doctoral examination shall be evaluated as a whole with a pass or fail classification. The individual parts of the doctoral examination shall not be assessed separately. If a PhD student has been assessed as failing in the doctoral examination, he/she shall be entitled to one marking period. He/she may take the doctoral examination by at the earliest three months after the doctoral examination in which he/she failed, but at the latest by the end of the penultimate year of the standard length of the PhD studies. A failing grade in the doctoral examination shall be a reason for the PhD student's exclusion from studies. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 0/100	

Learning outcomes:

The PhD student who passes the doctoral examination comprehensively handles the issues of the relevant scientific research area, while his/her theoretical and practical knowledge is based on the current state of knowledge. At the same time, he/she has a clear and justified conception of the dissertation project, which he/she has convincingly defended, while demonstrating that an adequate part of the work on the project has already been carried out.

Class syllabus:

1. The student shall attend the registered date of the doctoral examination according to the schedule set by the department and the departmental committee for the given study programme.
2. The dissertation examination consists of
 - a) a debate on the thesis for the doctoral examination and
 - b) a part in which the doctoral student has to demonstrate theoretical knowledge of a range of topics according to the focus of the dissertation topic.
3. The decision of the Examination Board on the result of the doctoral examination shall take place at a closed meeting of the Examination Board.
4. The announcement of the result of the doctoral examination shall take place in the presence of the student and shall be public.

State exam syllabus:

The content of the first part of the doctoral examination depends on the topic of the dissertation project.

The content of the second part is determined by the examination committee according to the focus of the PhD student's dissertation project, as a wide range of knowledge and scientific research related to the focus of the project.

Recommended literature:

not applicable

Last change: 02.10.2023

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dVC-013/22	Course title: Expert Article in a Non-Indexed Journal / Expert Chapter or Article (less than 36 000 signs)
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 10	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The student will write an expert paper published or accepted for publication in a non-indexed journal or an expert monograph or a chapter/paper of less than 36 000 signs. In the case of a monograph or chapter/paper, the output must be published or accepted for publication in a reputable foreign or national journal or publishing house. The student will produce the output under the methodological guidance of the supervisor. The student shall have a clear and demonstrable authorial contribution to the output, the extent of which is expressed as a percentage. This percentage also becomes part of the record in the publication register. The number of credits shall be determined as the product of $35 \times N$, where N is a number less than or equal to 1 that expresses the doctoral student's share of authorship; if $35 \times N$ is a decimal number, it shall be mathematically rounded to the nearest whole number. The definition of the scientific output of the publication activity is regulated by the Decree of the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic No. 397/2020, § 1, point 5. Violation of academic ethics results in the annulment of the credit evaluation. Completion of the course is without grade. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 0/100	
Learning outcomes: The student will demonstrate a comprehensive knowledge of the relevant field of research by writing the expert output. The outcome is a test of the student's ability to write a relevant text that raises clearly defined questions and issues. In the case of an output that is the work of several co-authors, the student will also deepen his/her ability to collaborate and coordinate the research activities.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student cooperates with and follows the recommendations of the supervisor and, where appropriate, the editor of the output with regard to the focus, structure and method of preparation of the output. The process and timetable of the work is determined by the student, subject to the approval of the supervisor.	

Recommended literature: The literature needed for the output will be determined in collaboration with the supervisor, taking into account the subject area and current state of research. Literature that is not available in the Academic Library or available through external information sources will be provided by purchasing at the initiative of the student or by using the interlibrary loan service.		
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English		
Notes:		
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 51		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 27.09.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dVC-009/22	Course title: Expert Article in an Indexed Journal / Expert Monograph / Chapter or Article (minimum 36 000 signs)
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 20	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The student will write an expert paper published or accepted for publication in an indexed journal or an expert monograph or a chapter/paper of at least 36 000 signs. In the case of a monograph or chapter/paper, the output must be published or accepted for publication in a reputable foreign or national journal or publishing house. The student will produce the output under the methodological guidance of the supervisor. The student shall have a clear and demonstrable authorial contribution to the output, the extent of which is expressed as a percentage. This percentage also becomes part of the record in the publication register. The number of credits shall be determined as the product of $35 \times N$, where N is a number less than or equal to 1 that expresses the doctoral student's share of authorship; if $35 \times N$ is a decimal number, it shall be mathematically rounded to the nearest whole number. The definition of the scientific output of the publication activity is regulated by the Decree of the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic No. 397/2020, § 1, point 5. Violation of academic ethics results in the annulment of the credit evaluation. Completion of the course is without grade. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 0/100	
Learning outcomes: The student will demonstrate a comprehensive knowledge of the relevant field of research by writing the expert output. The outcome is a test of the student's ability to write a relevant text that raises clearly defined questions and issues. In the case of an output that is the work of several co-authors, the student will also deepen his/her ability to collaborate and coordinate the research activities.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student cooperates with and follows the recommendations of the supervisor and, where appropriate, the editor of the output with regard to the focus, structure and method of preparation of the output. The process and timetable of the work is determined by the student, subject to the approval of the supervisor.	

Recommended literature: The literature needed for the output will be determined in collaboration with the supervisor, taking into account the subject area and current state of research. Literature that is not available in the Academic Library or available through external information sources will be provided by purchasing at the initiative of the student or by using the interlibrary loan service.		
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English		
Notes:		
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 6		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 27.09.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.Dek/A-dSZ-512/22	Course title: Faith and Politics in the Non-Religious World
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: During the semester: 4 resumes of texts in preparation for the seminar and active participation in discussions (10%). As a condition for the completion of the course, 2 assignments in the form of an essay or study of an agreed length (5-10 pages) will be handed in. The assessment will include active participation in the seminars (reading the recommended texts, preparation of assignments and discussion of the topics covered). Each student will consult at least once the subject of each essay or study and will clarify in conversation with the tutor the chosen methodology. The consultation will be evaluated and will be a condition of acceptance of the written work. Assessment: 1. consultation of topic A and methodology 10% 2. preparation of an essay/study 30% 3. consultation of topic B and methodology 10% 4. essay/study 30% 5. active participation in seminars 20%. Grading scale: 0-59% - FX, 60-67% - E, 68-75% - D, 76-83% - C, 84-91% - B, 92-100% - A. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student will lose all the received points in the relevant assessment. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50	
Learning outcomes: Students will be introduced to the basic terminology and methods of studying religion in historical perspective from the first half of the 20th century to the present. They will acquire the competencies necessary to analyze the tensions as well as the intersections between the religious worldview and the modern ideologies of liberalism, conservatism, nationalism, fascism, and communism. In methodological terms, they will learn to distinguish between the traditional approach focusing on hierarchical institutions and elite discourses and a 'bottom-up' perspective, that is, the everyday and the gender perspective of actors. Examples of biblical interpretation and their ethical implications in contemporary interpretation will introduce students to different levels of understanding the biblical text from its literal to its faith-based or personal interpretation.	

Class syllabus:

Church, state and nation in the 20th and 21st centuries. Theological, historical, sociological and anthropological perspectives. Religion and liberalism (socialism) in the 19th century. Religion and fascism in the first half of the 20th century. Religion and communism in the second half of the 20th century. The return of religion after 2000: fundamentalism, culture wars and digitalisation.

Introducing the biblical text as a literary text with different levels of narrative and interpretation. Literary genres in the Bible the most important interpretative planes in contemporary theology and biblically inspired literature. Synchronic and diachronic interpretation of biblical texts. Biblical phraseology in secular literary settings and its implications for written expression and understanding the role of Christian interpretations in literature and normative texts.

Recommended literature:

KOCÚR, Miroslav. National and Religious Identity: A Study in Galatians 3,23-29 and Romans 10,12-21: v. 24. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, 2003.

SZABÓ, Miloslav. Potraty. Dejiny slovenských kultúrnych vojen od Hlinku po Kuffu. Bratislava: N Press, 2020.

TÍŽIK, Miroslav. Náboženstvo vo verejnom živote na Slovensku. Zápasy o ideový charakter štátu a spoločnosti. Bratislava: Sociologický ústav SAV, 2011.

TÍŽIK, Miroslav; SIVÁK, Ľubomír (eds.). Svetonázorové dilemy, ateizmus a náboženská viera na Slovensku. O prekonávaní a výskume náboženstva v slovenskej sociológii v druhej polovici 20. storočia. Bratislava: Sociologický ústav SAV, 2019.

WARD, James Mace. Priest, Politician, Collaborator: Jozef Tiso and the Making of Fascist Slovakia. Ithaca (New York): Cornell University Press, 2013. Slovak edition: Jozef Tiso. Kňaz, politik, kolaborant. Bratislava: Slovart, 2018.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak

Notes:**Past grade distribution**

Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: Mgr. Miloslav Szabó, PhD., ThDr. Miroslav Kocúr, PhD.

Last change: 15.09.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-moFI-162/22	Course title: Feminist Philosophy in the Historical Perspective
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: II., III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Preliminary evaluation during the instruction period: presentation of one selected topic (30 points) In the examination period: colloquial exam consisting of the presentation and defence of a written thesis (40 points) The condition for admission to the exam is the scoring of min. 10 points from the preliminary assessment. Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. Grading scale: A: 70-65, B: 64-59, C: 58-53, D: 52-47, E: 46-42, Fx: 41-0. A maximum of 2 excused absences are accepted. The exact date and topic of the preliminary assessment will be announced at the beginning of the semester. Dates of examination will be published via AIS no later than the last week of the instruction period. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 43%/ 57%	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, students have knowledge of the genesis and historical development of feminist philosophy and are familiar with the periodisation of feminist thought. They know the typology of feminist philosophical concepts and currents, being familiar with their conceptual apparatus. They understand the differences between the individual historical types of feminist philosophy and understand the issues that feminist thought has thematised at different stages of development. They are able to navigate different concepts and understand the central lines of argument.	
Class syllabus: 1. What is feminist philosophy - definition of basic terms. 2. Feminist reflection and critique of the philosophical canon. 3. Historical stages in the development of feminist thought, the origins of feminist philosophy. 4. Developmental forms of feminist philosophy: the philosophical starting points of the Enlightenment liberal feminism. 5. Enlightenment feminism and the problem of gender disparity (Rousseau versus Wollstonecraft).	

6. Enlightenment Liberal Feminism - Harriet Taylor Mill and John Stuart Mill.
7. Woman as the Second One - Simone de Beauvoir, existentialist inspirations.
8. Theories of the second wave of feminism, typologies of feminist concepts and currents.
9. Philosophical foundations of liberal feminism and its limits.
10. Radical feminism, its main themes and starting points. Analysis of patriarchy and sexual politics theory.
11. The materialist theory of women's oppression and the problem of domestic work.
12. Feminist philosophy and postmodernism.

Recommended literature:

SZAPUOVÁ, Mariana. Kapitoly z feministické filozofie. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 2010. Elektronický zdroj. ISBN 978-80-233-2786-2.

SZAPUOVÁ, Mariana. Filozofický pohľad na rovnosť žien a mužov: perspektíva J. S. Milla. Filozofia, 2005, roč. 60, č. 2, s. 103-114. ISSN (Available on: <http://www.klemens.sav.sk/fiusav/doc/filozofia/2005/2/103-114.pdf>) ISSN 0046-385X.

MILL, John Stuart. Poddanstvo žien. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2003. ISBN 80-7149-593-X.

FARKAŠOVÁ, Etela. Na ceste k „vlastnej izbe“. Postavy, podoby, problémy feministické filozofie. Bratislava: Iris, 2006, s. 13-77. ISBN 80-89256-00-7.

MILLETT, Kate: Sexuální politika. In: Oates-Indruchová, Libora (ed.). Dívčí válka s ideologií. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství, 1998. s. 69 – 89. ISBN 80-85850-67-2.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, Czech (receptive acquaintance)

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 50

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
64,0	0,0	12,0	8,0	0,0	2,0	14,0

Lecturers: doc. PhDr. Mariana Szapuová, CSc.

Last change: 11.03.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dVC-029/22	Course title: G: Young Scientists Grant Head Researcher
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 17	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Obtaining a grant for young researchers - a UK grant, or under another grant scheme. Implementation of the supported research project, efficient use of funds, timely and proper accounting. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50	
Learning outcomes: The student will develop the skills necessary to produce a clear, meaningful, competitive research project. The student will learn how to manage a project independently, both conceptually and practically, including economic and administrative processes.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student cooperates with and follows the recommendations of the supervisor regarding the development of the project and its implementation. The progress and timetable of the work is determined by the student himself/herself, taking into account the deadlines set by the grant scheme in question.	
Recommended literature: The literature required for the elaboration and implementation of the project will be determined in cooperation between the student and the supervisor, taking into account the topic, the given thematic area and the current research in it. Literature that is not available in the Academic Library or available through external information sources will be provided by purchasing publications at the initiative of the student or through interlibrary loan services.	
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English	
Notes:	

Past grade distribution		
Total number of evaluated students: 12		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 02.10.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023		
University: Comenius University Bratislava		
Faculty: Faculty of Arts		
Course ID: FiF/A-dVC-033/22	Course title: H: Member of the International Scientific Event Organizational Committee	
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning		
Number of credits: 4		
Recommended semester:		
Educational level: III.		
Prerequisites:		
Course requirements: Active cooperation in the conceptual and practical preparation and implementation of an international scientific event in Slovakia or abroad. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0		
Learning outcomes: The student will deepen his/her knowledge in the conceptual and practical preparation and implementation of a scientific event (conference, symposium, congress, etc.). He/she will broaden his/her contacts in the scientific community. Contribute his/her own personal input to the organisation of the scientific event.		
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The timetable of work is determined by the main organizers of the scientific event. The student's engagement and its scope is subject to the approval of the supervisor.		
Recommended literature: not applicable		
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English, other if necessary (conference languages)		
Notes:		
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 32		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 02.10.2023		

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-dSF-028/22	Course title: Human Nature from the Evolutionary-Ethical Perspective 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 1. Preliminary evaluation during the instruction period: a) Active speaking, discussion and participation in the course: 3 points for each seminar, max. 30 points; b) Philosophical and ethical analysis of the selected topic and its presentation: max. 30 points; c) Final colloquium resulting from critical reflection on the studied literature: max. 40 points. Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. Grading scale: A: 100-92 B: 91-84 C: 83-76 D: 75-68 E: 67-60 FX: 59-0. The teacher will accept a maximum of two absences with documentation. The dates for the final test will be announced through AIS at the beginning of the instruction period. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100%/ 0%	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, students have deeper knowledge of human nature from the evolutionary-biological, socio-biological, cultural-social and ethical perspectives. They are able to analyse, critically interpret, compare and evaluate the views of key representatives of the subject. They know the relevant traditional and contemporary literature and navigate through the topic of their dissertation. They are able to formulate new questions and hypotheses and use them in their own research.	
Class syllabus: 1. Thematisation of the issue of human nature. 2. Between nature and culture. 3. The relationship of biological and cultural determinism in the interpretation of human nature. 4. Evolutionary foundations of morality.	

5. The problem of naturalism and transcendentalism.
6. Ethical aspects of human nature: man as a moral animal.

Recommended literature:

FLEGR, Jaroslav. Zamrzlá evoluce, aneb, Je to jinak, pane Darwin. Praha: Academia, 2016. ISBN 978-80-200-2528-9.

KOMÁREK, Stanislav. Příroda a kultura svět jevů a svět interpretací. Praha: Academia, 2008. ISBN 978-80-200-1582-2.

KOMÁREK, Stanislav. Darwin, Wilson, Portmann, Lorenz - obraz člověka v dílech biologů. Praha: Academia, 2021. ISBN 978-80-200-3247-8.

RICHERSON, J. Peter a Robert BOYD. V genech není všechno, aneb, Jak kultura změnila evoluci člověka. Praha: Academia, 2012. ISBN 978-80-200-2066-6.

WRIGHT, Robert. Morální zvíře proč jsme to, co jsme. Praha: Lidové noviny, 1995. ISBN 80-7106-127-1.

Temporary teaching texts for the subject are available in MS TEAMS. Students will be acquainted with supplementary literature during the lessons.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, Czech (receptive acquaintance)

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 13

A	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Zlatica Plašienková, CSc.

Last change: 11.03.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-dSF-029/22	Course title: Human Nature from the Evolutionary-Ethical Perspective 2
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 1. Preliminary evaluation during the instruction period: a) Active speaking, discussion and participation in the course: 3 points for each seminar, max. 30 points; b) Philosophical and ethical analysis of the selected topic and its presentation: max. 30 points; c) Final colloquium resulting from critical reflection on the studied literature: max. 40 points. Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. Grading scale: A: 100-92 B: 91-84 C: 83-76 D: 75-68 E: 67-60 FX: 59-0. The teacher will accept a maximum of two absences with documentation. The dates for the final test will be announced through AIS at the beginning of the instruction period. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100%/ 0%	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, students have knowledge of human nature from the viewpoint of its typology and from the evolutionary-biological, socio-biological, cultural-social and ethical perspectives. They are able to analyse, critically interpret, compare and evaluate relevant traditional and contemporary literature and navigate through the topic of their dissertation. They understand the criteria for defining the difference between humanism, transhumanism and posthumanism. They are able to formulate questions and hypotheses regarding new explanations of the foundations of morality in relation to brain biology and neuroscience.	
Class syllabus: 1. Typology of human nature. 2. The question of artificial enhancement of human nature. 3. Between Darwinism and neo-Darwinism.	

4. Humanism, transhumanism and posthumanism. 5. The end of human evolution? Life in the final age. 6. Optimism, pessimism and ethical dilemmas in relation to the human future.					
Recommended literature: BREGMAN, Rutgert. Ľudskosť optimistická história človeka. Bratislava: N Press, 2020. ISBN 978-80-99925-38-1. CHURCHLANDOVÁ, S. Patricia. Mozek a dôvera, aneb, Co nám neurověda říká o morálce. Praha: Dybbuk, 2015. ISBN 978-80-7438-115-7. HAVLÍK, Vladimír, HŘÍBEK, Tomáš et al. Z evolučního hlediska pojem evoluce v současné filosofii. Praha: Filosofia, 2011. ISBN 978-80-7007-358-2. KOVÁČ, Ladislav. Konec lidské evoluce život v závěrečném věku. Červený Kostelec: Pavel Mervart, 2015. ISBN 978-80-7465-224-0. PINKER, Steven. Buď světlo obhajoba rozumu, vedy, humanizmu a pokroku. Bratislava: Tatran, 2019. ISBN 978-80-222-1019-5. Temporary teaching texts for the subject are available in MS TEAMS. Students will be acquainted with supplementary literature during the lessons.					
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, Czech (receptive acquaintance)					
Notes:					
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 13					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Zlatica Plašienková, CSc.					
Last change: 11.03.2022					
Approved by:					

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dSZ-005/22	Course title: Individual Study of Literature 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: independent work Number of hours: per week: 15 per level/semester: 210 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 4	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Colloquium led by the supervisor or seminar project or incorporation of the studied material into the prepared chapters of the dissertation. Classification Scale: A: 100% - 93% B: 92% - 85% C: 84% - 77% D: 76% - 69% E: 68% - 60% FX: 59% - 0% Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: Familiarity with basic scientific literature related to the topic of the dissertation project, as well as with other titles stimulating from the perspective of methodology and broader context. Critical analysis of the weaknesses and strengths of the scholarly theses and arguments presented therein.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The procedure and timing are determined by the supervisor.	
Recommended literature: The literature needed for the output will be determined by the supervisor, taking into account the subject area and current state of research. Literature that is not available in the Academic Library or available through external information sources will be provided by purchasing at the initiative of the supervisor or by using the interlibrary loan service.	
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English	
Notes:	

Past grade distribution					
Total number of evaluated students: 40					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:					
Last change: 27.09.2023					
Approved by:					

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023					
University: Comenius University Bratislava					
Faculty: Faculty of Arts					
Course ID: FiF/A-dSZ-006/22		Course title: Individual Study of Literature 2			
Educational activities: Type of activities: independent work Number of hours: per week: 15 per level/semester: 210 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning					
Number of credits: 4					
Recommended semester:					
Educational level: III.					
Prerequisites:					
Course requirements: Colloquium led by the supervisor or seminar project or incorporation of the studied material into the prepared chapters of the dissertation. Classification Scale: A: 100% - 93% B: 92% - 85% C: 84% - 77% D: 76% - 69% E: 68% - 60% FX: 59% - 0% Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0					
Learning outcomes: Familiarity with scientific literature related to the topic of the dissertation project, as well as with titles stimulating from the perspective of methodology and broader context. Critical analysis of the weaknesses and strengths of the scholarly theses and arguments presented therein.					
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The procedure and timing are determined by the supervisor.					
Recommended literature: The literature needed for the output will be determined by the supervisor, taking into account the subject area and current state of research. Literature that is not available in the Academic Library or available through external information sources will be provided by purchasing at the initiative of the supervisor or by using the interlibrary loan service.					
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English					
Notes:					
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 28					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:					

Last change: 27.09.2023
Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.Dek/A-dSZ-013/20	Course title: Information Resources and Communication in Science
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Assessment: 4 assignments during the semester, 5 points each, minimal condition for a successful finish of the course – to complete and hand in at least 3 of them and obtain at least 11 points. Grading scale: 0-59% - FX, 60-67% - E, 68-75% - D, 76-83% - C, 84-91% - B, 92-100% - A. The teacher will accept a maximum of two absences in case they are supported with relevant documentation. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student will lose all the received points in the relevant assessment. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: The students will get acquainted with internal and external information resources (what, where and how is accessible at Comenius university, or elsewhere), with the system of working with these resources and the possibilities of their use in university research and education. Students will also get informed about principal Slovak and foreign grant schemes and calls for application, about the process of submitting project application and about the procedures how the grant finances should be spent in the institutional conditions of the Faculty of Arts, Comenius University in Bratislava (FiF UK). They will learn about the working procedures within scholarly journals and peer review principles, as well as about fundamental administrative processes at FiF UK which have a direct connection with the research activities of a PhD student.	
Class syllabus: Basic information on organization of libraries at Comenius University (CU). Databases produced by CU. Orientation in the CU union online catalogue. Database of publication outputs. Central register of publication outputs of the Slovak Republic. KIS3G project – union catalogue of Slovak libraries. Union catalogue of Slovak periodicals. Project of the Centre of science and technical information (CVTI SR) – NISPEZ. Abstract databases. Fulltext databases. Scientometrics databases. CU directive on publications. Categories of publications and references. Indexed (Web of Science, Scopus) and Current-Contents journals. Reference managers. Financing of science and research in Slovakia, relevance of grant schemes and participation of PhD students in grant projects. The most significant grant schemes in Slovakia and abroad. How to write a grant application (Grant of	

CU young researchers, VEGA scheme). Project cycle and spending project finances. Science and research journals: how to identify the top quality. Communication with the journal and peer-review.

Recommended literature:

ARAÚJO, Paula Carina de, Renata Cristina Gutierrez Castanha and Birger Hjørland. 2021. Citation Indexing and Indexes. In: Knowledge Organization 48, no. 1: 72-101. Also available in ISKO Encyclopedia of Knowledge Organization, eds. Birger Hjørland and Claudio Gnoli, <https://www.isko.org/cyclo/citation>

SMERNICA rektora UK č. 10/2019 o evidencii publikačnej činnosti. Dostupné na internete: <https://uniba.sk/fileadmin/ruk/legislativa/2019/Vp_2019_10.pdf>

RESEARCH and Library Resources [online]. Regents of the University of California. c2020. [Last update 19 June 2020]. Dostupné na internete: <https://guides.lib.berkeley.edu/?b=g&d=a&group_id=22993>

HANTLA, Bryce. Reference Manager Software: What Is It and What Can It Do? <<https://www.aje.com/arc/reference-manager-software-what-it-and-what-can-it-do/>>

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 75

A	B	C	D	E	FX
69,33	22,67	0,0	0,0	0,0	8,0

Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Jaroslav Šušol, PhD.

Last change: 15.09.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KPA/A-dSZ-502/21	Course title: Innovative teaching that inspires good learning I
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Participants are required to attend at least 7 out of 9 workshops of the course. At the same time, they are to submit short assignments before or after each workshop, that are to be assessed by workshop facilitators. Assessment: Participants submit three class plans. Moreover, they prepare the microteaching lesson that they deliver in a group of other course participants. Facilitators assess if the class plans and microteaching meet first of the expected learning outcomes mentioned below. Grading scale: 0-59% - FX, 60-67% - E, 68-75% - D, 76-83% - C, 84-91% - B, 92-100% - A. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student will lose all the received points in the relevant assessment. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: By the end of this course, participants shall be able to: • Prepare and facilitate course sessions for small and large student groups, while applying the principle of student-centred approach • Design and implement relevant methods of assessment and evaluation of the expected learning outcomes, in cooperation with the course leader, co-teachers and on their own • Critically reflect on their teaching and students' learning while using feedback from the students, colleagues, and results of the student works.	
Class syllabus: The course uses active learning as a key approach through which participants develop their knowledge and skills in this area. By active learning, it is meant the approach where the participating teachers learn by other ways than just by listening to the facilitators of the workshops. Participants complete a series of assignments and the facilitators of the workshops role model teaching so that participant teachers could use these ways of teaching in their future practice. The first semester of the course consists of 9 workshops, which take about 28 hours altogether. The aim of the workshops is to help participants become competent and self-confident teachers. To accomplish that, participants learn pedagogical concepts such as student-centered approach,	

reflective teaching, formative and summative assessment, and SOTL (scholarship of teaching and learning).

Recommended literature:

Recommended literature:

BIGGS, J. "Aligning teaching for constructing learning," The Higher Education Academy, available from: <https://www.advance-he.ac.uk/knowledge-hub/aligning-teaching-constructing-learning> (undated).

GACHALLOVÁ, N. "Chapter 16. Using an online quiz as a formative tool in Latin medical terminology courses", in: Gabriela Pleschová and Agnes Simon (eds.). Early career academics' reflections on learning to teach in Central Europe, London: SEDA, 2018, 162-170, available from: <https://www.seda.ac.uk/Early-career-academics-reflections-on-learning-to-teach-in-Central-Europe>.

CHICK, N. "Scholarship of Teaching and Learning. A Guide from the Vanderbilt University Center for Teaching", available from: <https://my.vanderbilt.edu/sotl/understanding-sotl/scholarship-of-teaching-and-learning/> (undated).

O'NEILL, G.; MCMAHON, T. "Student-centred learning: What does it mean for students and lecturers?", in: Geraldine O'Neill, Sarah Moore, and Barry McMullin (eds.). Emerging issues in the practice of university learning and teaching, Dublin: AISHE, 2005, 27-36.

RÉTIOVÁ, A. "Chapter 15. Peer feedback to facilitate independent learning among first-year sociology students," in: Gabriela Pleschová and Agnes Simon (eds.). Early career academics' reflections on learning to teach in Central Europe, London: SEDA, 2018, 153-161.

-

Further reading:

MARTIN, G. A.; DOUBLE, Jeremy M. "Developing higher education teaching skills through peer observation and collaborative reflection," Innovations in Education and Training International 35 (2), 1998, 161-170.

Higher Education Academy. 10 strategies to engage students with feedback, available from: https://www.heacademy.ac.uk/sites/default/files/resources/10_strategies_to_engage_students_with_feedback.pdf. York: Higher Education Academy, 2012.

PICKERING, J. How to start using technology in your teaching, available from: https://s3.eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/assets.creode.advancehe-document-manager/documents/hea/private/how_to_start_using_technology_in_your_teaching_1568037330.pdf. York: Higher Education Academy, 2015.

RACE, P. (2009) In at the Deep End – Starting to Teach in Higher Education, available from: <https://www.kent.ac.uk/teaching/documents/academic-practice/New%20to%20teaching%20Staff/In%20at%20the%20Deep%20End.pdf>. Leeds: Leeds Metropolitan University, 2009.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, English

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 24

A	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: PaedDr. Darina Dvorská, PhD., Mgr. Janka Medved'ová, PhD., Mgr. Lucia Hlavatá, PhD., Mgr. Gabriela Pleschová, PhD.

Last change: 15.09.2022
Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KPA/A-dSZ-504/21	Course title: Innovative teaching that inspires good learning II
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The second semester of the course Innovative teaching that inspires good learning primarily consists of the cooperation between the coaches and their coachees (participants of the course). Participants are to submit three assignments during the semester. The first one focuses on the innovation participants intend to introduce into their teaching practice and they present as a poster. Then they submit two other assignments, research design, and SOTL study, both in draft versions, that they revise following receiving feedback from the coaches and later submit as a final version. Participants are moreover to attend two workshops where they present their innovations and research in a group of other course participants and coaches while collecting feedback that can be used to modify and improve the assignments. Assessment: Participants submit three assignments: poster, research design and SOTL study, that is to evaluate the results of students learning and achievement of learning outcomes. All assignments are to be submitted as a draft and revised versions. Grading scale: 0-59% - FX, 60-67% - E, 68-75% - D, 76-83% - C, 84-91% - B, 92-100% - A. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student will lose all the received points in the relevant assessment. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: By the end of this course, participants shall be able to: • Prepare and facilitate course sessions for small and large student groups, while applying the principle of student-centred approach • Design and implement relevant methods of assessment and evaluation of the expected learning outcomes, in cooperation with the course leader, co-teachers and on their own • Critically reflect on their teaching and students' learning while using feedback from the students, colleagues, and results of the student works • Evaluate the results of student learning in a SOTL (scholarship of teaching and learning) study.	
Class syllabus: The course uses active learning as a key approach through which participants develop their knowledge and skills in this area. By active learning, it is meant the approach where are the	

participating teachers learn by other ways than just by listening to the facilitators of the workshops. Participants complete a series of assignments and the facilitators of the workshops role model teaching so that participant teachers could use these ways of teaching in their future practice. During the second semester of the course, facilitators cooperate with the participants individually and in groups while serving as their coaches and providing them with guidance and feedback when they design, implement, and research their teaching. Participants are encouraged to use active learning methods through:

teaching practicum, when they teach 3 or more class sessions for a cohort of Bachelor or Master's students, and they invite for a classroom observation by one or more of their colleagues
scholarship of teaching and learning, when they write their SOTL study, in cooperation with their coaches.

Recommended literature:

Recommended literature:

BIGGS, J. "Aligning teaching for constructing learning," The Higher Education Academy, available from: <https://www.advance-he.ac.uk/knowledge-hub/aligning-teaching-constructing-learning> (undated).

GACHALLOVÁ, N. "Chapter 16. Using an online quiz as a formative tool in Latin medical terminology courses", in: Gabriela Pleschová and Agnes Simon (eds.). Early career academics' reflections on learning to teach in Central Europe, London: SEDA, 2018, 162-170, available from: <https://www.seda.ac.uk/Early-career-academics-reflections-on-learning-to-teach-in-Central-Europe>.

CHICK, N. "Scholarship of Teaching and Learning. A Guide from the Vanderbilt University Center for Teaching", available from: <https://my.vanderbilt.edu/sotl/understanding-sotl/scholarship-of-teaching-and-learning/> (undated).

O'NEILL, G.; MCMAHON, T. "Student-centred learning: What does it mean for students and lecturers?", in: Geraldine O'Neill, Sarah Moore, and Barry McMullin (eds.). Emerging issues in the practice of university learning and teaching, Dublin: AISHE, 2005, 27-36.

RÉTIOVÁ, A. "Chapter 15. Peer feedback to facilitate independent learning among first-year sociology students," in: Gabriela Pleschová and Agnes Simon (eds.). Early career academics' reflections on learning to teach in Central Europe, London: SEDA, 2018, 153-161.

-

Further reading:

MARTIN, G. A.; DOUBLE, Jeremy M. "Developing higher education teaching skills through peer observation and collaborative reflection," Innovations in Education and Training International 35 (2), 1998, 161-170.

Higher Education Academy. 10 strategies to engage students with feedback, available from: https://www.heacademy.ac.uk/sites/default/files/resources/10_strategies_to_engage_students_with_feedback.pdf. York: Higher Education Academy, 2012.

PICKERING, J. How to start using technology in your teaching, available from: https://s3.eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/assets.creode.advancehe-document-manager/documents/hea/private/how_to_start_using_technology_in_your_teaching_1568037330.pdf. York: Higher Education Academy, 2015.

RACE, P. (2009) In at the Deep End – Starting to Teach in Higher Education, available from: <https://www.kent.ac.uk/teaching/documents/academic-practice/New%20to%20teaching%20Staff/In%20at%20the%20Deep%20End.pdf>. Leeds: Leeds Metropolitan University, 2009.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, English

Notes:					
Past grade distribution					
Total number of evaluated students: 18					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: PaedDr. Darina Dvorská, PhD., Mgr. Janka Medved'ová, PhD., Mgr. Gabriela Pleschová, PhD., Mgr. Lucia Hlavatá, PhD.					
Last change: 15.09.2022					
Approved by:					

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dSZ-004/13	Course title: Logical Semantics and Reasoning
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Continuous during the semester: active participation (50 points), home preparation (50 points); Final grade: summative test (60 points). Grading scale: 0-59% - FX, 60-67% - E, 68-75% - D, 76-83% - C, 84-91% - B, 92-100% - A. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student will lose all the received points in the relevant assessment. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: The students deepened their knowledge of the possibilities of applying logic in the field of semantic analysis of scientific and professional texts; they became familiar with the principles of logical semantics; they became familiar with linguistic phenomena that violate the principles of logical semantics and linguistic phenomena that distort these principles; and they became familiar with the rules for the creation and reconstruction of condensed texts. Students learned methods of interpreting texts and improved their argumentation and discussion skills in the practice of their discipline.	
Class syllabus: 1. Traditional semantics: concept name versus concept; concept versus idea associated with the concept name; content and scope of the concept, conceptual features, scope relations of concepts. 2. Principles of logical semantics (LS) for the analysis of natural language - p. effective communication, p. objectivity of the meaning of an expression, p. competent language user (practical mastery of the rules of language, basic ability to reason (logically), knowledge base - knowledge of the core lexis of the language, the range of analytical truths and empirical truths that are relevant to the text being analysed, p. of complete meaning, p. of compositionality (compositionality) of meaning, p. of predication and supposition de dicto and de re, Parmenides' (Frege's) principle of what a sentence is about, p. of substitutability (Leibniz's principle of salva veritate), p. of possibilism (anti-actualism). 3. Theories of meaning (TM): extensional vs. intensional TM; the de dicto and de re substitution of expressions; logical vs. linguistic synonymy of expressions. Hyperintentional TM (epistemic and doxastic attitudes; types of contexts) and structuration of meanings of expressions - meaning of an expression	

as a structured procedure. 4. Interaction of logical operators and vectors of condition (and its facticity or irreality), time and relevance (factual, hypothetical and counterfactual conditionals; deductive and abductive predication and retrodiction). 5. Violation of LS principles: idioms, metaphors, oxymorons, privative modifiers. Distortion of the validity of LS principles in condensed text: sentence elision (entymeme), word and phrase elision, intra-textual referencing; rules for the formation of elisions and references, and rules for the reconstruction of condensed and unconstrained texts. Argumentation and discussion in practice: deductive, abductive, persuasive, heuristic. Reasoning versus explanation.

Recommended literature:

1. BIELIK, Lukáš: Metodologické aspekty vedy (Methodological aspects of science). Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 2019. ISBN 978-80-223-4788-4.
2. Duží, M. – Materna, P.: TIL jako procedurální logika (TIL as procedural logic). Aleph, Bratislava 2012. ISBN 978-80-89491-08-7
3. GAHÉR, František: Logická sémantika a všeobecná teória prekladu (Logical semantics and general translation theory). Bratislava, Stimul, 2010. URL: <https://stella.uniba.sk/texty/fg-lsavtp.pdf> ISBN 978-80-89236-97-8.
4. GAHÉR, František: Interpretácia v práve I (Interpretation in Law I). Filozofia, 70 (8), 2015, 647-658. ISSN (print) 0046-385X.
5. GAHÉR, František: Interpretácia v práve II (Interpretation in Law II). Filozofia, 70 (10), 2015, 789-799. ISSN (print) 0046-385X.
6. GAHÉR, František: Kontrafaktuály (Counterfactuals). In: Filozofia, 2018, roč. 73, č. 1, s. 36 – 50. ISSN (print) 0046-385X.
7. GAHÉR, František, ŠTEVČEK, Marek, BRAXATORIS, Martin: Nástroje a pravidlá produkcie a interpretácie koncízneho textu (s osobitným zreteľom na normativitu) (Tools and rules for the production and interpretation of a concise text (with special regard to normativity)). In: Jazykovedný časopis, 2019, 70 (1), 75 – 94. ISSN (online) 1338-4287.
8. GAHÉR, František: Vetné operátory: ich interakcie (s vektormi času, kauzality a epistemickej relevancie) a ich konštrukcie (Sentencial operators: their interactions (with vectors of time, causality and epistemic relevance) and their construction). In: Jazykovedný časopis. 2020, Roč. 71, č. 2, s. 197-212. ISSN (online) 1338-4287.
9. ZOUHAR, Marian: Význam v kontexte (Meaning in Context). Aleph, Bratislava, 2011, ISBN 9788089491070.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 53

A	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: prof. PhDr. František Gahér, CSc.

Last change: 15.09.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-moFI-007/22	Course title: Metaethics
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: II., III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: a) During the instruction period of the semester: during the instruction part of the semester, each student will give a presentation on one compulsory philosophical text of their choice, and in the penultimate week of the instruction part of the semester a test will be written. Students can score a maximum of 100 points for the test, the minimum for passing the course is 60 points. b) In the examination period there is an oral examination. Admission to the oral exam is subject to the submission of a presentation on the analysis of the philosophical text and successful passing of the test. Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. Test grading scale: A: 100%-92%; B: 91%-84%; C: 83%-76%; D: 75%-68%; E: 67%-60% FX: 59%-0% The teacher will accept a maximum of two absences with documentation. The exact date of the preliminary assessment will be announced at the beginning of the semester. Dates of oral examination will be published via AIS no later than the last week of the instruction period. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): preliminary 50%; final 50%	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, students have knowledge of basic metaethical problems and concepts (cognitivist and noncognitivist). They have knowledge of the main representatives of metaethical approaches and argumentation strategies in dealing with moral issues. Students can identify the fundamental problems of metaethics (dichotomy of facts and values / descriptions - prescriptions; naturalistic fallacy), can compare and critically evaluate the different positions. The acquired knowledge of metaethical theories can be used and applied in solving selected moral conflicts (euthanasia, abortion, the problem of health, etc.).	
Class syllabus: 1. Metaethics and ethics 2. Sources of metaethical reasoning 3. Semantic aspects of the language of morality	

4. Characteristics of noncognitivism 5. Emotivism 6. Prescriptivism 7. Ontological aspects of noncognitivism 8. Characteristics of cognitivism 9. Moral realism 10. Moral naturalism 11. Structure of practice (rationality, emotionality and will) 12. Problems with metaethics						
Recommended literature: ANZENBACHER, Arno. Úvod do etiky. Praha: Academia, 2001. ISBN 80-200-0953-1 Kolář, Peter - Svoboda, Vladimír. Logika a etika. Úvod do metaetiky. Praha: Filosofia, 1997. ISBN: 80-7007-100-1 RICKEN, Friedo. Obecná etika. Praha: OIKOYMENH, 1995. ISBN: 80-85241-72-2 BRÁZDA, Radim: Ethicum. Zlín: VeRBuM, 2010. ISBN: 978-80-904273-9-6 Additional literature will be presented at the beginning and during the semester. Teachers' presentations are available at MS TEAMS.						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, Czech (receptive acquaintance)						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 16						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
43,75	0,0	37,5	18,75	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: prof. Mgr. Michal Chabada, PhD., PhDr. Ladislav Sabela, CSc.						
Last change: 11.03.2022						
Approved by:						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-dSF-003/22	Course title: Metaphilosophy 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 8	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 1. Active participation 100% (any absence must be made up by individual consultation on the topic) 2. Reading and interpretation of compulsory texts 5x10, preliminary assessment total 50% 3. Final assessment: Presentation at the final colloquium (50 p.) 1 absence accepted. Grading scale: A: 100-92, B: 91-84, C: 83–76, D: 75-68, E: 67-60, FX: 0-59 The teacher will accept a maximum of two absences with documentation. Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. The exact date of the preliminary assessment will be announced at the beginning of the semester. Dates of examination period obligations will be published via AIS no later than the last week of the instruction period. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50%/50%; The overall assessment is the sum of the continuous and final assessment.	
Learning outcomes: A: in the area of knowledge about philosophy: • Deepening understanding of the nature of philosophical thinking • Clarifying the relations of philosophy to science and culture • Introduction to the specifics and methods of philosophical research B: in the area of practical philosophical competence: • Deepening the ability of independent philosophical thinking • Developing philosophical expression skills (text analysis, writing, discussion)	
Class syllabus: 1. Philosophy as a philosophical problem 2. The permanent plurality of philosophy 3. Philosophy between knowledge and thought, between the concrete and the abstract 4. Philosophy and creativity (between the “art” and the “craft” of philosophising) 5. The concept of “philosophical inquiry” 6. Basic styles in philosophy: argumentative, interpretive, narrative	

Recommended literature:

CAHOONE, Lawrence E. The Ends of Philosophy. Albany: SUNY Press, 1995. ISBN: 10: 0-7914-2321-2 (text available in MS TEAMS).

CAREL, Havi - GAMEZ, David, eds. What Philosophy Is. London and New York: Continuum, 2004. ISBN-10: 0826472427 (text available in MS TEAMS).

DALY, Christopher. An Introduction to Philosophical Methods. Toronto: Broadview Press, 2010. ISBN-10: 155111934X (text available in MS TEAMS).

DELEUZE, Gilles - GUATTARI, Felix. Co je filozofie? Praha: Oikoymenth, 2001. ISBN 8072980300

RAGLAND, C. P. - HEIDT, Sarah, eds. What Is Philosophy? New Haven and London: Yale University Press, 2001. ISBN-10: 0300087942 (text available in MS TEAMS).

WILLIAMSON, Timothy. The Philosophy of Philosophy, Oxford: Blackwell, 2007. ISBN-10: 1405133961 (text available in MS TEAMS).

Students will be acquainted with supplementary literature during the lessons.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak / Czech / English; Some information sources and sources on the subject seminars are in English - required level min. B1.

Notes:**Past grade distribution**

Total number of evaluated students: 42

A	B	C	D	E	FX
95,24	0,0	0,0	0,0	2,38	2,38

Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Emil Višňovský, CSc., prof. Mgr. Michal Chabada, PhD.

Last change: 23.06.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-dSF-004/22	Course title: Metaphilosophy 2
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 1. Active participation 100% (any absence must be made up by individual consultation on the topic) 2. Reading and interpretation of compulsory texts 5x10, preliminary assessment total 50% 3. Presentation "How do I write my text + sample of own text", final assessment 50% 1 absence accepted. Grading scale: A: 100-92, B: 91-84, C: 83-76, D: 75-68, E: 67-60, FX: 0-59 Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. The exact date of the preliminary assessment will be announced at the beginning of the semester. Dates of examination period obligations will be published via AIS no later than the last week of the instruction period. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50%/ 50%; The overall assessment is the sum of the preliminary and final assessment.	
Learning outcomes: A: in the area of knowledge about philosophy: • Deepening understanding of the nature of philosophical text • Clarifying the nature of philosophical language and discourse • Learning the specifics of the philosophical style B: in the area of practical philosophical competence: • Deepening the ability of independent creation of a philosophical text • Developing philosophical expression skills (text analysis, writing, discussion)	
Class syllabus: 1. Thinking and language 2. Philosophical language 3. The concept of text 4. Philosophical text 5. Style in philosophy 6. Creation of philosophical text 7. Colloquium on the creation of a philosophical text	

Recommended literature:

FRANK , Manfred. Štýl vo filozofii. Bratislava: Archa, 1995.

LANG, Berel. The Anatomy of Philosophical Style. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1990 (text available in MS TEAMS).

LOTMAN, Jurij. Text a kultúra. Bratislava: Archa, 1994.

MARTINICH, Aloysius P. Philosophy Writing. An Introduction. Oxford: Blackwell, 2005 (text available in MS TEAMS).

PEREGRIN, Jaroslav. Filosofie a jazyk. Praha: Triton, 2003.

RICOEUR, Paul. From Text to Action. London: Athlone Press, 1991 (text available in MS TEAMS).

Students will be acquainted with supplementary literature during the lessons.

Languages necessary to complete the course:**Notes:****Past grade distribution**

Total number of evaluated students: 29

A	B	C	D	E	FX
96,55	3,45	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Emil Višňovský, CSc.

Last change: 23.06.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dSZ-003/13	Course title: Methodology of Science
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Active participation in class and continuous problem solving: 50 points. Presentation of the methodological structure of the dissertation project in the form of a seminar paper (10-12 pages): 50 points. Grading scale: 0-59% - FX, 60-67% - E, 68-75% - D, 76-83% - C, 84-91% - B, 92-100% - A. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student will lose all the received points in the relevant assessment. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50	
Learning outcomes: The graduate of the course (PhD student) is able to identify the main elements of scientific research, such as "research problem", "hypothesis", "research study design", "operationalization", "testable implications", "data collection and analysis", "hypothesis testing and evaluation", etc. They can use them to critically analyse scientific and scholarly work and to structure their own research. The student is familiar with basic conceptual and empirical methods, can critically evaluate the conditions for their adequate application in research, and can identify ethical issues related to the planning, implementation and presentation of research. Apply the acquired knowledge and skills in the design and analysis of their own dissertation project and research.	
Class syllabus: 1. Methodological features of science 2. Scientific methods: conceptual, empirical and complex methods 3. Types and structure of research 4. Research design 5. Arguments and reasoning 6. Causality and its place in empirical research 7. Models of scientific explanation and prediction 8. Models of hypothesis testing and evaluation 9. Errors in inference, representation and interpretation of data	
Recommended literature:	

BIELIK, L. Metodologické aspekty vedy. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 2019. ISBN 978-80-223-4788-4.

BRYMAN, A. Social Research Methods. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008. ISBN 978-0-19-958805-3.

GIERE, R., BICKLE, J. a R. F. MAULDIN. Understanding Scientific Reasoning. 5th ed. Wadsworth, 2006. ISBN 978-0-15-506326-6.

HENDL, J. a J. REMR: Metody výzkumu a evaluace. Praha: Portál, 2017. ISBN 978-80-262-1192-1.

PUNCH, H. F. Úspěšný návrh výzkumu. Praha: Portál, 2015. ISBN 978-80-262-0980-5.

RISJORD, M.: Philosophy of Social Science. A Contemporary Introduction. New York: Routledge, 2014. ISBN 978-0-415-89825-6.

The literature will be provided in electronic form.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak (work on seminars, part of literature), Czech (part of literature), English (part of literature)

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 115

A	B	C	D	E	FX
86,96	1,74	0,87	0,87	0,0	9,57

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Lukáš Bielik, PhD.

Last change: 15.09.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-dSF-018/22	Course title: Neo-Aristotelian Ethical Naturalism
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Preliminary assessment: active participation in lectures/seminars and solution of project tasks (50 points); Final assessment: seminar paper (50 points). Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. Grading scale: A: 100%-92%; B: 91%-84%; C: 83%-76%; D: 75%-68%; E: 67%-60% FX: 59%-0% The teacher will accept a maximum of two absences with documentation. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100% preliminary	
Learning outcomes: Doctoral students are informed about the origins, major figures, and problems of neo-Aristotelian ethical naturalism. They understand the argumentative strategies of neo-Aristotelian ethicists (especially Philippa Foot in her Natural Goodness), and can identify the main problems of modern and contemporary ethical theories (the problem of the relation of descriptions and prescriptions, the naturalistic fallacy, practical rationality, the problem of virtues, human good/happiness, and immoralism). They are able to compare the argumentative strategies of neo-Aristotelian ethical naturalism with other ethical theories and apply them to some cases of moral conflict. They have an in-depth knowledge of the history of ethics (especially Aristotle's conception) and of contemporary metaethical theories. They are able to apply the acquired knowledge and skills in the development of their own dissertation project, research and scientific communication.	
Class syllabus: 1. The context of the emergence of neo-Aristotelian ethical naturalism (E. Anscombe). 2. The aims of neo-Aristotelian ethical naturalism. 3. The internal structure of neo-Aristotelian ethical naturalism. 4. Characteristics of the representatives of neo-Aristotelian ethical naturalism. 5. A reading and analysis of Philippa Foot's work: Natural Goodness I. 6. A reading and analysis of Philippa Foot's work: Natural Goodness II. 7. A reading and analysis of Philippa Foot's work: Natural Goodness III. 8. A reading and analysis of Philippa Foot's work: Natural Goodness IV. 9. A reading and analysis of Philippa Foot's work: Natural Goodness V.	

10. A reading and analysis of Philippa Foot's work: Natural Goodness VI. 11. Reconstruction and discussion of the work discussed. 12. A critical evaluation of the ethical views of Ph. Foot.					
Recommended literature: FOOT, Philippa. Natural Goodness. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2010. ISBN: 978-0-19-926547-3 KOLÁŘ, Petr – SVOBODA, Vladimír: Logika a etika Úvod do metaetiky. Praha: Filosofia, 1997. ISBN: 80-7007-100-1 HÄHNEL, Martin (Hg.): Aristotelischer Naturalismus. Stuttgart: J. B. Metzler, 2017. ISBN: 978-3-476-04332-0 REMIŠOVÁ, Anna. Etické názory G. E. Moora a L. Wittgensteina. Bratislava: ASCO art&science, 2004. ISBN: 80-88820-36-7. Additional literature will be presented at the beginning and during the semester. Teachers' presentations are available at MS TEAMS.					
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English } level B1 ; Czech (receptive acquaintance)					
Notes:					
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 0					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: prof. Mgr. Michal Chabada, PhD.					
Last change: 11.03.2022					
Approved by:					

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-dSF-027/22	Course title: Philosophical Greek Language
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 3	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Assessment carried out during the instruction period includes: a). Successful presentation of a paper on one of the philosophically relevant topics of Ancient Greek grammar listed in the course syllabus (max. 30 points) b) Successful completion of a control test at the end of the semester (max. 70 points) The student will be admitted to the test only with a minimum of 10 points from paper. Grading scale: A: 100-92, B: 91-84, C: 83–76, D: 75-68, E: 67-60, FX: 59-0. The exact date of the test and the distribution of paper topics will be announced at the beginning of the semester. The teacher will accept a maximum of two absences with documentation. Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100% preliminary	
Learning outcomes: After completing the course, students will have a thorough knowledge of those parts of ancient Greek morphology and especially syntax that are relevant to philosophical language. They understand how the specific nature of the ancient Greek means of expression enabled the exact expression of philosophical content. Upon completion of the course, students are able to identify linguistic structures that are highly relevant to the philosophical content being interpreted when reading, translating and interpreting ancient Greek philosophical texts.	
Class syllabus: 1. Grammatical accordance and its deviances in Greek philosophical texts 2. Greek article in philosophical texts 3. The use of pronouns in Greek philosophical texts 4. Greek case syntax in philosophical texts, casus accusativus 5. Greek case syntax in philosophical texts, casus genitivus 6. Greek case syntax in philosophical texts, casus dativus 7. Greek verb in philosophical texts 8. Main and subordinate clauses in Greek philosophical texts 9. Greek participium in philosophical texts 10. Greek article in philosophical texts	

11. Greek particles in philosophical texts 12. Greek negatives in philosophical texts 13. Greek infinitive in philosophical texts					
Recommended literature: LIDDELL, Henry George, SCOTT, Robert a Henry Stuart JONES. A Greek-English Lexicon. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1996. ISBN 0198642261 9780198642268 NIEDERLE, Jindřich, NIEDERLE Václav a VARCL Ladislav. Mluvnice jazyka řeckého. Praha: Scriptorum, 1993. ISBN 80-85528-24-X. HNÁTEK, Jaroslav et al. Cvičebnice jazyka řeckého. Praha: Vyšehrad, 1998. ISBN 80-7021-284-5. XENOFÓN: Hostina. Sokratova obhajoba. Bratislava: Kalligram 2006. ISBN 80-7149-890-4. XENOFÓN: O prosperující domácnosti. Zo starogréčiny preložil Andrej Kalaš. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2007. ISBN 978-80-7149-958-9. Students will be acquainted with supplementary literature during the lessons.					
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak					
Notes: Completion of the course requires mastery of ancient Greek at the level of basic morphology and syntax.					
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 0					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Andrej Kalaš, PhD.					
Last change: 11.03.2022					
Approved by:					

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-moFI-116/22	Course title: Philosophical Legacy of J. A. Comenius and T. G. Masaryk
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: II., III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Preliminary evaluation during the instruction period: a) Active participation in seminars: 1 point for each seminar, max. 10 points b) Presentation of one selected topic: max. 20 points c) Written paper in the form of a philosophical essay of min. 7 standard pages: 20 points Absences with documentation not exceeding 25% of the classes are accepted. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student can lose all the received points in the relevant performance. Grading scale: A – 50-47 points; B – 46-43 points; C – 42-38 points; D – 37-34 points; E – 33-30 points; Fx – 29 points or less. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100% preliminary	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, students will have knowledge of the work of two key figures of the Czech philosophical scene: John Amos Comenius and Thomas G. Masaryk. They possess knowledge of the times they lived in. They understand relevant philosophical concepts and are able to analyse and critically reflect on selected portions of the works of both authors as well as their major commentator: Jan Patočka.	
Class syllabus: 1. Comenius in the context of Czech culture. The basic foundations of his work. 2. Syncriticism. Pansophia. Divine world. 3. Comenius' socio-philosophical and pedagogical views. 4. 19th century and Czech philosophy. 5. Masaryk's doctoral thesis. The foundations of his philosophical work. 6. Realism of T. G. Masaryk. 7. The New Europe Project. 8. Ideas of democracy. 9. Reflections of Comenius and Masaryk in Slovak and Czech philosophical thought. 10. Patoček's interpretation of Comenius and Masaryk.	
Recommended literature:	

POPELOVÁ, Jiřina. Filozofia Jana Amosa Komenského. Bratislava: Pravda, 1985.
 PALOUŠ, Radim. Komenského Boží svět. Praha : SPN 1992.
 KOMENSKÝ, Jan Amos: Předehra pansofie. Objasnění pansofických pokusů. Praha: Europa // ACADEMIE, 2010. ISBN 978-80-200-1862-5
 MASARYK, Tomáš G. Sebevražda. Praha: Ústav T. G. Masaryka, 2002. ISBN 80-86495-13-2.
 MASARYK, Tomáš G. Nová Evropa. Brno: Doplněk, 1994. ISBN 80-85765-29-2.
 Additional literature will be presented at the beginning and during the semester. Teacher presentations will be available in MS Teams.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, Czech (receptive acquaintance)

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 42

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
52,38	0,0	26,19	21,43	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Erika Lalíková, PhD.

Last change: 11.03.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-dSF-017/22	Course title: Philosophy and Religion
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Preliminary assessment: active participation in lectures/seminars and solution of project tasks (50 points); Final assessment: seminar paper (50 points). Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. Grading scale: A: 100%-92%; B: 91%-84%; C: 83%-76%; D: 75%-68%; E: 67%-60% FX: 59%-0% The teacher will accept a maximum of two absences with documentation. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100% preliminary	
Learning outcomes: Doctoral students are informed about the types of relationship between philosophy and religion (dialogue, cooperation, integration, separation, and conflict), theories in favour of the rationality of religious beliefs, and theories that deny the rationality of religious beliefs. They have an in-depth knowledge of the history of the relationship between philosophy and religion and an understanding of the past argumentative strategies of both sides of the dialogue. The argumentative strategies of both camps of opinion can be reconstructed, compared and evaluated. They have the skills of reflexivity and critical stance that enable them to enter into contemporary debates about the social and political function of religion in an argumentative way. They are able to apply the acquired knowledge and skills in the context of interreligious and intercultural dialogue, in which the role of philosophy and rational argumentation appears increasingly important against the threats of religious radicalism and extremism.	
Class syllabus: 1. Attempts at a conceptual grasp of the phenomenon of religion 2. Philosophy - theology - religion 3. The internal structure of neo-Aristotelian ethical naturalism 4. A typology of arguments in favour of the rationality of religious beliefs 5. An analysis of the arguments for the rationality of religious beliefs (ontological, cosmological and teleological arguments). 6. An analysis of arguments for the rationality of religious beliefs (religious experience, practical postulates, human needs and ideals)	

7. An analysis of arguments against the rationality of religious beliefs (cognitive irrationality)
8. An analysis of arguments against the rationality of religious beliefs (lack of science)
9. An analysis of arguments against the rationality of religious beliefs (harmfulness)
10. Reconstruction and discussion of the arguments discussed
11. Critical evaluation of the arguments discussed

Recommended literature:

BOCHENSKI M. Joseph. The logic of religion. New York University Press, 1965.

CORETH, Emerich. Boh vo filozofickom myslení. Bratislava: Serafin, 2008. ISBN: 978-80-8081-080-1

WAINWRIGHT, J. William. The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Religion. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2005. ISBN: 978-0-19-533135-6

ZAGZEBSKI, T. Linda. Philosophy of Religion. An Historical Introduction. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing, 2007. ISBN: 978-1-4051-1873-6.

Temporary teaching texts for the subject are available in MS TEAMS. Students will be acquainted with supplementary literature during the lessons.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, English - required level B1

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: prof. Mgr. Michal Chabada, PhD.

Last change: 10.03.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dSZ-002/22	Course title: Philosophy of Language
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Antirequisites: FiF/A-dSZ-002/13	
Course requirements: During semester: active participation (10 pts), homework (20 pts), presentation of the selected topic (20 pts); After semester: essay, 4 - 6 standard pages (50 pts); Classification scale: A: 100 - 93 pts; B: 92 - 85 pts; C: 84 - 77 pts; D: 76 - 69 pts; E: 68 - 61 pts; Fx: 60 - 0 pts. Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the obtained points in the relevant evaluation item. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50	
Learning outcomes: By completing the course, students gain knowledge about the basic problems and concepts of philosophy of language, theory of meaning and use of language. In general, the course enables students to consider the philosophical aspects of language and its use. Since the subject has a partially interdisciplinary character, students gain knowledge they can use in their work in other scientific disciplines.	
Class syllabus: Philosophical reflection of language. Philosophy of language versus linguistics versus theory of communication. Language and expression. Expression and meaning. The problem of determining the boundaries between semantics and pragmatics. Theory of meaning: semantic meaning versus pragmatic meaning; meaning modeling; propositions and truth conditions; context-sensitive expressions and their influence on the truth conditions of statements; different conceptions of propositions. Theory of reference and singular expressions: proper names, singular descriptions, indexical expressions; use of descriptions - referential, attributive. Pragmatics of language: conversational implicatures; speech act theory; constatives and performatives; conditions for a speech act execution. Context and meaning: explicit and implicit components of semantic content. Contextualism versus semantic minimalism.	
Recommended literature: BORG, Emma. Minimal Semantics. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006. ISBN 978-0-19-920692-6.	

CMOREJ, Pavel. Úvod do logickej syntaxe a sémantiky. [Introduction to Logical Syntax and Semantics.] Bratislava: Iris, 2001. ISBN 80-89018-19-X.
 SOAMES, Scott. Reference and Description, 2007. ISBN 978-0-691-13099-6.
 ZOUHAR, Marián. Význam v kontexte. [Meaning in Context.] Bratislava: aleph, 2011. ISBN 978-80-89491-07-0.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, English

Notes:

The course is designed for students of all social sciences and humanities.

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 4

A	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: prof. Mgr. Marián Zouhar, PhD.

Last change: 01.04.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-moFI-157/22	Course title: Philosophy of the Future
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: II., III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: During the semester continuously: Presentation 1 (20 p.), Presentation 2 (20 p.), Essay outline (10 p.) Final assessment: Final essay (30 p.), Defending the essay at a colloquium (20 p.) 1 absence accepted. Grading scale: A: 100-92, B: 91-84, C: 83–76, D: 75-68, E: 67-60, FX: 0-59 Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. The exact date of the preliminary assessment will be announced at the beginning of the semester. Dates of examination period obligations will be published via AIS no later than the last week of the instruction period. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50%/50%; The overall assessment is the sum of the preliminary and final assessment.	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, in the field of knowledge, students know what issues are dealt with by philosophy reflecting the future of mankind; what solutions to the problems of the future of mankind are proposed by philosophy. In the area of practical philosophical competences, the students are able to analyse selected philosophical concepts and problems of the future of humanity; to develop their skills of philosophical expression (text analysis, writing, discussion); to think (philosophize) critically about the issues of the future of humanity on their own.	
Class syllabus: 1. Culture versus nature 2. Humanism, Posthumanism and Transhumanism 3. The idea of human improvement 4. Perspectives on techno-, info- and neurosciences 5. Digitalism/dataism and the society of the algorithm 6. Do we have free will? 7. Are there any alternatives to capitalism? 8. Will we live forever? 9. The crisis of values	

10. Philosophy and the future of humanity.						
Recommended literature: BAUMAN, Zygmunt: Retrotopia. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2017. BROCKMAN, John, ed.: Příštích padesát let. Praha: Dokořán a Argo, 2004. FEUERBACH, Ludwig: Zásady filosofie budoucnosti a jiné filosofické práce. Praha: Filosofický ústav ČSAV, 1959. HARARI, Yuval Noah: Homo deus. Bratislava: Aktuell, 2018. JASPERS, Karl.: Atómová bomba a budoucnost lidstva. Praha: Academia, 2016. KOVÁČ, Ladislav.: Konec lidské evoluce. Červený Kostelec: Mervart, 2017. Students will be acquainted with supplementary literature during the lessons.						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak / Czech (receptive acquaintance) / English; The information sources and sources used to on the subject seminars are also in English. Requires min. level B1.						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 28						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
46,43	0,0	10,71	10,71	14,29	3,57	14,29
Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Emil Višňovský, CSc.						
Last change: 23.06.2022						
Approved by:						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-moFI-154/22	Course title: Philosophy of the Present
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: II., III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: During the semester continuously: Presentation 1 (20 p.), Presentation 2 (20 p.), Essay outline (10 p.) Final assessment: Final essay (30 p.), Defending the essay at a colloquium (20 p.) 1 absence accepted. Grading scale: A: 100-92, B: 91-84, C: 83–76, D: 75-68, E: 67-60, FX: 0-59. Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50%/ 50%; The overall assessment is the sum of the preliminary and final assessment.	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, in the field of knowledge students know what the key problems of contemporary civilization from the point of view of philosophy are; what solutions to the current problems of mankind are proposed by contemporary philosophy. In the area of philosophical competences, the students are able to analyse selected philosophical concepts of contemporary philosophy; to develop their skills of philosophical expression (text analysis, writing, discussion); to think (philosophize) critically about the key issues of the contemporary civilisation.	
Class syllabus: 1. Philosophical concept of the present (civilization) 2. The concept of late modernity 3. Anthropocene: the age of man 4. Philosophical issues of globalisation 5. Philosophical issues of scientific and technological development 6. Artificial intelligence, the internet and virtual reality 7. The problem of media communication (post-truth era) 8. The crisis of culture 9. Educational perspectives 10. The possibilities of philosophy in the contemporary world.	
Recommended literature: BAUMAN, Zygmunt. Tekutá modernita. Praha: Mladá fronta, 2002.	

BÉLOHRADSKÝ, Václav. Společnost nevolnosti. Praha: SLON, 2007. HARARI, Yuval Noah. Sapiens. Stručná história ľudstva. Bratislava: Aktuell, 2018. HAUSER, Michal. Prolegomena k filosofii současnosti. Praha: Filosofia, 2007. LYOTARD, Jean-Francois. O postmodernismu. Praha: Filosofický ústav ČSAV, 1993. SPENGLER, Oswald. Zánik Západu. Praha: Academia, 2010.						
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak; Czech (receptive acquaintance); English						
Notes:						
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 49						
A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
28,57	0,0	12,24	26,53	14,29	10,2	8,16
Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Emil Višňovský, CSc.						
Last change: 23.06.2022						
Approved by:						

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-dSF-001/22	Course title: Post-graduate Studies Seminar 1
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 8	
Recommended semester: 1.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Continuously during the semester: Clarification of methodological issues of dissertation writing (goal, plan and implementation of research and possible modifications), active participation in seminar discussions; oral presentation of the plan and structure of own dissertation in the presence of the seminar leader, supervisor and other PhD students; preparation of a written basis for the presentation; commenting on other dissertation projects in the form of a colloquium; defence of the dissertation thesis, systematic work according to the individual schedule and regular consultations with the supervisor. Active participation and colloquial comments on other dissertation projects are evaluated with 50 points; Own oral presentation, the defence of one's own project and the submission of a written basis for the presentation are evaluated with 50 points. Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. Grading scale: A: 100-92, B: 91-84, C: 83–76, D: 75-68, E: 67-60, FX: 0-59. The teacher will accept a maximum of two absences with documentation. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): preliminary 100%	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, students are proficient in analytical, communication, critical and presentation skills. They can analyse and interpret relevant literature to their dissertation, they can compare and evaluate knowledge from secondary literature. They can identify the interdisciplinary contexts of their scientific research. They have in-depth knowledge and skills to process the theoretical part of their dissertation. They have practical knowledge of theoretical preparation, methodology and research methods, can formulate, refine and elaborate their research problem, and can take into account new questions and hypotheses that arise from the discussion in the colloquium. They have good oral and written communication skills. They are able to process the obtained data, verify hypotheses and formulate conclusions and prepare their own scientific text for publication.	
Class syllabus: 1. Principles and standards of academic writing.	

2. Methodology of dissertation writing - methodological and terminological definition of the topic.
3. Defining the main and sub-objectives of the dissertation.
4. Short presentations of the intentions and structure of dissertations I.
5. Short presentations of the intentions and structure of dissertations II.
6. Short presentations of the intentions and structure of dissertations III.
7. More detailed presentations of the intentions and structure of dissertations I.
8. More detailed presentations of the intentions and structure of dissertations II.
9. More detailed presentations of the intentions and structure of dissertations III.
10. Discussions to the presented intentions and structure of dissertations I.
11. Discussions to the presented intentions and structure of dissertations II.
12. Discussions to the presented intentions and structure of dissertations III.

Recommended literature:

MARTINICH, Aloysius P.: Philosophical Writing: An Introduction. Malden: Blackwell, 2005

ISBN: 978-1-4051-3167-4

VYDRA, Anton: Akademické pisanie: Ako vzniká filozofický text. Trnava: Filozofická fakulta TU, 2010. ISBN: 978-80-8082-414-3

LICHNEROVÁ, Lucia: Písanie a obhajoba záverečných prác: vysokoškolské skriptá pre študentov Univerzity Komenského v Bratislave [online]. Bratislava: Stimul, 2016 [cit.

2019-01-09]. Also available at: stella.uniba.sk/texty/LL_pisanie_obhajoba_zaverecne_prac.pdf

LICHNEROVÁ, Lucia a Ľudmila HRDINÁKOVÁ. Ako dodržať akademickú etiku pri písaní vedeckých a odborných textov? In: Naša univerzita. 2020, roč. 67, č. 1, s. 62-65.

ISSN (print) 1338-4163. Also available at: https://uniba.sk/fileadmin/ruk/nasa_univerzita/NU2020-21/201021_Nasa_univerzita_1_20-21.pdf

Vnútný predpis č. 5/2020 [online]. Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave,

2015 [cit. 2021-09-09]. Dostupné na: https://uniba.sk/fileadmin/ruk/as/2020/20200527/04a_Studijny_poriadok_FiF_UK.pdf

Additional literature will be presented at the beginning and during the semester. Teachers' presentations are available at MS TEAMS.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, English - level B1

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 53

A	B	C	D	E	FX
79,25	11,32	3,77	0,0	0,0	5,66

Lecturers: prof. Mgr. Michal Chabada, PhD., prof. PhDr. Emil Višňovský, CSc., doc. PhDr. Mariana Szapuová, CSc., doc. Mgr. Richard Sťahel, PhD.

Last change: 23.02.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-dSF-002/22	Course title: Post-graduate Studies Seminar 2
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 8	
Recommended semester: 2.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Continuously during the semester: active participation in colloquia and discussions within the seminar; oral presentation of one chapter of own dissertation; defence of the chapter within the colloquial discussion in the presence of the seminar leader, supervisor and other doctoral students; elaboration of a detailed written basis for the presentation; commenting on the projects of other dissertations; consolidation of the dissertation thesis, systematic work according to the individual timetable and regular consultations with the supervisor. Active participation and colloquial comments on other dissertation projects are evaluated with 50 points; Own oral presentation, the defence of one chapter of one's own thesis and the submission of a detailed written basis for the presentation are evaluated with 50 points. Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. Grading scale: A: 100-92, B: 91-84, C: 83–76, D: 75-68, E: 67-60, FX: 0-59 The teacher will accept a maximum of two absences with documentation. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): preliminary 100%	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, doctoral students are proficient in analytical, communication, critical and presentation skills. They have developed skills in scientific communication at a high level; they can analyse and interpret relevant literature to their dissertation, they can compare and evaluate knowledge from secondary literature. They have in-depth knowledge and skills to process the theoretical part of their dissertation and have the knowledge basis for interdisciplinary solutions in their own research area. They have mastered the methodology and method of research at a high level, can formulate, refine and elaborate their research problem, can take into account new questions and hypotheses that arise from the discussion in the colloquium. They are able to process the obtained data, verify hypotheses and formulate conclusions. They are able to present new results of their work, assess the possible consequences of their proposed solutions, working at a high level with professional language, have a cultivated written and oral expression, are able to produce their own scientific texts.	
Class syllabus:	

1. Principles, standards and methodology of academic writing.
2. Precise definition of the main objective of the dissertation.
3. Precise definition of the partial objectives of the dissertation.
4. Presentations of individual texts of dissertation chapters I.
5. Presentations of individual texts of dissertation chapters II.
6. Presentations of individual texts of dissertation chapters III.
7. Analysis and discussion of the presented texts of the dissertation chapters I.
8. Analysis and discussion of the presented texts of the dissertation chapters II.
9. Analysis and discussion of the presented texts of the dissertation chapters III.
10. Preliminary defence of dissertation theses I.
11. Preliminary defence of dissertation theses II.
12. Preliminary defence of dissertation theses III.

Recommended literature:

MARTINICH, Aloysius P.: Philosophical Writing: An Introduction. Malden: Blackwell, 2005
ISBN: 978-1-4051-3167-4

VYDRA, Anton: Akademické písanie: Ako vzniká filozofický text. Trnava: Filozofická fakulta TU, 2010. ISBN: 978-80-8082-414-3

LICHNEROVÁ, Lucia: Písanie a obhajoba záverečných prác: vysokoškolské skriptá pre študentov Univerzity Komenského v Bratislave [online]. Bratislava: Stimul, 2016 [cit. 2019-01-09]. Also available at: stella.uniba.sk/texty/LL_pisanie_obhajoba_zaverecne_prac.pdf

LICHNEROVÁ, Lucia a Ľudmila HRDINÁKOVÁ. Ako dodržať akademickú etiku pri písaní vedeckých a odborných textov? In: Naša univerzita. 2020, roč. 67, č. 1, s. 62-65. ISSN (print) 1338-4163. Also available at: https://uniba.sk/fileadmin/ruk/nasa_univerzita/NU2020-21/201021_Nasa_univerzita_1_20-21.pdf

Vnútný predpis č. 5/2020 [online]. Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave, 2015 [cit. 2021-09-09]. Also available at: https://uniba.sk/fileadmin/ruk/as/2020/20200527/04a_Studijny_poriadok_FiF_UK.pdf

Additional literature will be presented at the beginning and during the semester. Teachers' presentations are available at MS TEAMS.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, English - level B1

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 46

A	B	C	D	E	FX
91,3	6,52	2,17	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: prof. Mgr. Michal Chabada, PhD., prof. PhDr. František Gahér, CSc., doc. Mgr. Lukáš Bielik, PhD., doc. Mgr. Richard Šťahel, PhD.

Last change: 23.02.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-dSF-013/22	Course title: R. Rorty: Philosophy and the Mirror of Nature
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: During the semester continuously: Active attendance (20 p.), Commentary on texts (30 p.), Final assessment: Essay and presentation at the final colloquium (50 p.) 1 absence accepted. Grading scale: A: 100-92, B: 91-84, C: 83–76, D: 75-68, E: 67-60, FX: 0-59 Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. The exact date of the preliminary assessment will be announced at the beginning of the semester. Dates of examination period obligations will be published via AIS no later than the last week of the instruction period. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50%/50%; The overall assessment is the sum of the preliminary and final assessment.	
Learning outcomes: A: in the area of knowledge about philosophy: • Understanding the essence of Rorty's philosophy B: in the area of practical philosophical competence: • Developing the skills of independent philosophical thinking	
Class syllabus: 1. Rorty's philosophical work, 2. The invention of the mind, 3. People without minds, 4. The idea of a "theory of knowledge", 5. Privileged representations, 6. Epistemology and empirical psychology, 7. Epistemology and philosophy of language, 8. From epistemology to hermeneutics, 9. Philosophy without mirrors, 10. Rorty's philosophical anti-absolutism.	

Recommended literature:

RORTY, Richard. Philosophy and the Mirror of Nature. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1979.

RORTY, Richard. Filozofia a zrkadlo prírody. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2000.

RORTY, Richard. Filozofia a zrcadlo přírody. Praha: Academia, 2012.

RORTY, Richard. Filozofické orchidey. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2006.

VIŠŇOVSKÝ, Emil: Štúdie o pragmatizme & neopragmatizme. Bratislava: Veda, 2009.

VIŠŇOVSKÝ, Emil: Nové štúdie o pragmatizme & neopragmatizme. Bratislava: Veda, 2014.

VIŠŇOVSKÝ, Emil: Richard Rorty a zrkadlo filozofie. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2015.

Students will be acquainted with supplementary literature during the lessons.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak / Czech (receptive acquaintance/ English; Some information sources and sources used on the subject seminars are in English (required level - min. B1).

Notes:**Past grade distribution**

Total number of evaluated students: 0

A	B	C	D	E	FX
0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: prof. PhDr. Emil Višňovský, CSc.

Last change: 11.03.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dVC-005/22	Course title: Scientific Paper in a Non-Indexed Journal / Chapter or Article (less than 36 000 signs)
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 20	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The student will write a scientific paper published or accepted for publication in a non-indexed journal or a monograph or a chapter/paper of less than 36 000 signs. In the case of a monograph or chapter/paper, the output must be published or accepted for publication in a reputable foreign or national journal or publishing house. The student will produce the output under the methodological guidance of the supervisor. The student shall have a clear and demonstrable authorial contribution to the output, the extent of which is expressed as a percentage. This percentage also becomes part of the record in the publication register. The number of credits shall be determined as the product of $35 \times N$, where N is a number less than or equal to 1 that expresses the doctoral student's share of authorship; if $35 \times N$ is a decimal number, it shall be mathematically rounded to the nearest whole number. The definition of the scientific output of the publication activity is regulated by the Decree of the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic No. 397/2020, § 1, point 5. Violation of academic ethics results in the annulment of the credit evaluation. Completion of the course is without grade. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 0/100	
Learning outcomes: The student will demonstrate a comprehensive knowledge of the relevant field of research by writing the scientific output. The outcome is a test of the student's ability to write a relevant scientific text that raises clearly defined questions and issues and brings new knowledge. In the case of an output that is the work of several co-authors, the student will also deepen his/her ability to collaborate and coordinate the research activities.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student cooperates with and follows the recommendations of the supervisor and, where appropriate, the editor of the output with regard to the focus, structure and method of preparation of the output. The process and timetable of the work is determined by the student, subject to the approval of the supervisor.	

Recommended literature: The literature needed for the output will be determined in collaboration with the supervisor, taking into account the subject area and current state of research. Literature that is not available in the Academic Library or available through external information sources will be provided by purchasing at the initiative of the student or by using the interlibrary loan service.		
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English		
Notes:		
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 98		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 27.09.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dVC-003/22	Course title: Scientific Paper in an Indexed Journal / Scientific Monograph / Chapter or Article (minimum 36 000 signs)
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 35	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The student will write a scientific paper published or accepted for publication in an indexed journal or a monograph or a chapter/paper of at least 36 000 signs. In the case of a monograph or chapter/paper, the output must be published or accepted for publication in a reputable foreign or national journal or publishing house. The student will produce the output under the methodological guidance of the supervisor. The student shall have a clear and demonstrable authorial contribution to the output, the extent of which is expressed as a percentage. This percentage also becomes part of the record in the publication register. The number of credits shall be determined as the product of $35 \times N$, where N is a number less than or equal to 1 that expresses the doctoral student's share of authorship; if $35 \times N$ is a decimal number, it shall be mathematically rounded to the nearest whole number. The definition of the scientific output of the publication activity is regulated by the Decree of the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic No. 397/2020, § 1, point 5. Violation of academic ethics results in the annulment of the credit evaluation. Completion of the course is without grade. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 0/100	
Learning outcomes: The student will demonstrate a comprehensive knowledge of the relevant field of research by writing the scientific output. The outcome is a test of the student's ability to write a relevant scientific text that raises clearly defined questions and issues and brings new knowledge. In the case of an output that is the work of several co-authors, the student will also deepen his/her ability to collaborate and coordinate the research activities.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student cooperates with and follows the recommendations of the supervisor and, where appropriate, the editor of the output with regard to the focus, structure and method of preparation of the output. The process and timetable of the work is determined by the student, subject to the approval of the supervisor.	

Recommended literature: The literature needed for the output will be determined in collaboration with the supervisor, taking into account the subject area and current state of research. Literature that is not available in the Academic Library or available through external information sources will be provided by purchasing at the initiative of the student or by using the interlibrary loan service.		
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English		
Notes:		
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 46		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 27.09.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023		
University: Comenius University Bratislava		
Faculty: Faculty of Arts		
Course ID: FiF/A-dVC-020/22	Course title: Scientific Review Published in a Non-Indexed Journal	
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning		
Number of credits: 5		
Recommended semester:		
Educational level: III.		
Prerequisites:		
Course requirements: The student will write a scientific review, the subject of which is usually a scientific book - a monograph, a collection of papers, a critical edition of sources, etc. The review must be published or accepted for publication in a non-indexed journal. The student will prepare the output under the methodological guidance of the supervisor. The student has a clear and demonstrable authorship share of 100% in the output. Violation of academic ethics will result in invalidation of the credit evaluation. Completion of the course is without grade. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 0/100		
Learning outcomes: By writing a scientific review, the student deepens his/her ability to make critical evaluative judgments and his/her argumentative competence. In this way, the preparation of a scientific review also contributes to the successful course of the dissertation project.		
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student cooperates with and follows the recommendations of the supervisor regarding the preparation of the abstract, the paper, and its presentation. The procedure and timing are determined by the student himself/herself.		
Recommended literature: The literature needed for the output will be determined by the student. Literature will be provided by the Academic Library or through external information sources.		
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English, other if necessary - language of the reviewed publication		
Notes:		
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 25		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers:
Last change: 27.09.2023
Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dVC-019/22	Course title: Scientific Review Published in an Indexed Journal
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 8	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The student will write a scientific review, the subject of which is usually a scientific book - a monograph, a collection of papers, a critical edition of sources, etc. The review must be published or accepted for publication in an indexed journal. The student will prepare the output under the methodological guidance of the supervisor. The student has a clear and demonstrable authorship share of 100% in the output. Violation of academic ethics will result in invalidation of the credit evaluation. Completion of the course is without grade. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 0/100	
Learning outcomes: By writing a scientific review, the student deepens his/her ability to make critical evaluative judgments and his/her argumentative competence. In this way, the preparation of a scientific review also contributes to the successful course of the dissertation project.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student cooperates with and follows the recommendations of the supervisor regarding the preparation of the abstract, the paper, and its presentation. The procedure and timing are determined by the student himself/herself.	
Recommended literature: The literature needed for the output will be determined by the student. Literature will be provided by the Academic Library or through external information sources.	
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English, other if necessary - language of the reviewed publication	
Notes:	

Past grade distribution		
Total number of evaluated students: 23		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 27.09.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KLMV/A-drLO-001/14	Course title: Selected Topics in Logic, Semantics, Philosophy of Language and Methodology of Sciences
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Continuous during the semester: active participation in seminars 50 points; Final evaluation: seminar paper of 6-8 standard pages (50 points); Grading scale: A: 100-93 points; B: 92-85 points; C: 84-77 points; D: 76-69 points; E: 68-60 points; Fx: 59-0 points. Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points earned in the respective grade item. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50	
Learning outcomes: The graduate of the course has an overview of selected current research problems in logic, semantics, philosophy of language and methodology of science. He or she not only has theoretical knowledge, but is able to evaluate representative arguments and argumentation strategies that are behind the topics discussed. He or she can interpolate basic reasoning techniques to related areas of the social sciences and humanities.	
Class syllabus: 1. The concepts of sufficient and necessary condition and their linguistic expression. 2. Conditionals: factuals, hypothetical conditionals, counterfactuals. 3. Communication in context - the effects of context on semantic and expressed content 4. Communication in and about fiction - what we talk about in fiction and what we talk about when we talk about fiction 5. What is method? 6. The logic of promises as procedures 7. Argumentation in scientific research 8. Reasons, causes and explanations in the social sciences and humanities	
Recommended literature: ALVAREZ, Maria. Kinds of Reasons. Oxford: Oxford University Press. ISBN 978-0-19-968058-0	

BIELIK, Lukáš. Možnosti rekonštrukcie, analýzy a hodnotenia argumentov. Filozofia, 2020, roč. 75, č. 2, s. 91 - 108. ISSN 2585-7061

GAHÉR, František. Revízia definícií pojmov dostatočná a nutná podmienka. Organon F, 2012, roč. 19, č. 1, s. 16 – 37. ISSN 2585-7150

GAHÉR, František. Kontrafaktuály. In: Filozofia, 2018, roč. 73, č. 1, s. 36 – 50. ISSN 0046-385X

GAHÉR, František a MARKO, Vladimír. Metóda, problém, úloha. Bratislava: UK, 2017. ISBN 978-80-223-4242-1

SAINSBURY, Mark R. Fiction and Fictionalism. London – New York: Routledge, 2009. ISBN 978-0-415-77435-2

ZOUHAR, Marián. Význam v kontexte. Bratislava: aleph, 2011. ISBN 978-80-89491-07-0

Some of the literature that is not available in the library will be provided in electronic form.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak (work on seminars, part of literature)

English (part of literature)

Notes:

New version of A-dDF-012 and A-dSF-006

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 14

A	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Lukáš Bielik, PhD., doc. Dr. Vladimír Marko, PhD., prof. PhDr. František Gahér, CSc., prof. Mgr. Marián Zouhar, PhD.

Last change: 31.03.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-moFI-138/22	Course title: Slovak Philosophy in the 20th Century
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: II., III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Preliminary evaluation during the instruction period: a) Active participation in seminars: 1 point for each seminar, max. 10 points b) Presentation of one selected topic: max. 20 points c) Written paper in the form of a philosophical essay of min. 7 standard pages: 20 points Absences with documentation not exceeding 25% of the classes are accepted. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student can lose all the received points in the relevant performance. Grading scale: A – 50-47 points; B – 46-43 points; C – 42-38 points; D – 37-34 points; E - 33-30 points; Fx – 29 points or less. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100% preliminary	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, students have knowledge of the most important trends and currents in Slovak philosophy over the last hundred years. They know the philosophical works of the period, have an overview of how philosophy as a scientific discipline was gradually profiled. They are able to carry out a critical reflection on the work of key personalities, understand their starting points and the conclusions they reached in an often complicated period.	
Class syllabus: 1. Reflection of Slovak philosophical thought at the turn of the 19th and 20th centuries. 2. Formation of the first positivist and realist philosophical concepts. 3. Features of religious philosophy in the Slovak environment. 4. Anthropological and scientific orientation of the various movements and personalities of the period. 5. Neopositivism and Igor Hrušovský. 6. Philosophy of life and Svätopluk Štúr. 7. Philosophy in Slovakia during World War II. Critical versus intuitive realism. 8. Marxist philosophy in Slovakia. 9. Philosophical dissent. 10. Forms of philosophy at the end of the 20th century. 11. New forms and shapes of philosophy in the early 2020s.	

Recommended literature:

KOLLÁR, Karol, KOPČOK, Andrej a PICHLER, Tibor (eds.): Dejiny filozofie na Slovensku v 20. storočí. Bratislava: Infopress, 1998. ISBN 80-85402-32-7.

ŠTÚR, Svätopluk. Zápasy a scestia moderného človeka. Bratislava: Veda, 1998. ISBN 80-224-0536-1.

ŠIMEČKA, Milan. Kruhová obrana. Bratislava: Artforum, 2011. ISBN 978-80-89445-37-0.

NOVOSÁD, František: Vysvetľovanie rukami. Bratislava: IRIS. ISBN 80-88778-00-X.

KICZKO, Ladislav, LALÍKOVÁ, Erika a ZIGO, Milan (eds.). Problémy a osobnosti slovenskej filozofie v 20. storočí. Bratislava: Univerzita Komenského, 2013. ISBN 978-80-223-3529-4.

Additional literature will be presented at the beginning and during the semester. Teacher presentations will be available in MS Teams.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, Czech (receptive acquaintance)

Notes:**Past grade distribution**

Total number of evaluated students: 38

A	ABS	B	C	D	E	FX
68,42	0,0	13,16	5,26	2,63	2,63	7,89

Lecturers: doc. Mgr. Erika Lalíková, PhD.

Last change: 11.03.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dDA-008/22	Course title: Supervision of a Paper Presented at the Students' Research Conference
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The PhD student, in agreement with his/her supervisor, conducts a thesis of the student of I., or (in justified cases) II. degree, which is subsequently presented at a student scientific conference. The thesis may be produced within the framework of a course that the PhD student is leading or has led. The PhD student guides the student methodologically, helping him/her to conceptualise and design the research and commenting on the textual form. He/she participates in the preparation of the presentation and the method of the thesis defense. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50	
Learning outcomes: By conducting a thesis presented at a student scientific conference, the PhD student deepens his/her ability of conceptual scientific work and develops his/her pedagogical competences.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. Conducting student work requires ongoing activity, meetings and consultations, as well as continuous reading and commenting on the emerging text, so that the author's energy and time are spent efficiently and the workload is appropriately spread over time.	
Recommended literature: The literature required for the thesis is selected by the PhD student in cooperation with the author of the thesis, taking into account the topic, the thematic area and the current research in it. In the process of its acquisition, the funds of the Academic Library of Comenius University and external information sources are used.	
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English	
Notes:	

Past grade distribution		
Total number of evaluated students: 3		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 02.10.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dDA-006/22	Course title: Teaching Activities - Course Assistance
Educational activities: Type of activities: other Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The student cooperates in the administration of the course for Level I students or (in justified cases) Level II students. The focus of the course is directly related to the topic of his/her dissertation project. It may be a course that is not designated as a profile course in the programme of study; if it is a profile course, the student may only conduct the practicum. He/she participates in the preparation of the teaching in cooperation with the supervisor, and the supervisor is responsible for ensuring that his/her contribution to the conduct of the course is of an appropriate professional and didactic standard, as well as for the objectivity of the evaluation. The quality of the doctoral student's teaching is periodically assessed in a student survey and by the doctoral committee of the doctoral programme. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50	
Learning outcomes: The student will deepen his/her knowledge and insight in the given field of research by pedagogical activity - cooperation in the course management. He/she will apply his/her experience from his/her own studies and develop his/her didactic skills. Teaching activity in an area directly related to the topic of his/her dissertation project serves as a space for hypothesis testing and deeper reflection on individual aspects of the topic, thus contributing to the successful course of the dissertation project.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student cooperates with and follows the recommendations of the supervisor regarding the focus, structure and didactic aspect of the teaching activity. That part of the course outline and syllabus which is developed by the student is subject to the approval of his/her supervisor.	
Recommended literature: The literature required for the teaching activity will be determined in collaboration between the student and the supervisor, taking into account the subject area, current research in it, as well as the course syllabus and syllabus. Literature that is not available in the Academic Library or available through external information sources will be provided in advance by purchasing the publication at the initiative of the student or by using the interlibrary loan service.	

Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English		
Notes:		
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 126		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 02.10.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dDA-005/22	Course title: Teaching Activities - Implementation of a Course
Educational activities: Type of activities: other Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 7	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The student independently conducts the course for students of level I or (in justified cases) level II. The focus of the course is directly related to the topic of his/her dissertation project. It can only be a course that is not designated as a profile course in the given study programme. The PhD student may also write a new elective course with the consent of the supervisor and the programme board. The preparation of the course is carried out in cooperation with the supervisor, and the supervisor is responsible for ensuring that the course is conducted at an appropriate professional and didactic level, as well as for the objectivity of the evaluation. The quality of the doctoral student's teaching is periodically assessed in a student survey and by the doctoral committee of the doctoral programme. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 50/50	
Learning outcomes: The student will deepen his/her knowledge and insight in the given field of research by independent pedagogical activity - course management. He/she will apply his/her experience from his/her own studies and develop his/her didactic skills. Pedagogical activity in the field directly related to the topic of his/her dissertation project serves as a space for hypothesis testing and deeper thinking about individual aspects of the topic, thus contributing to the successful course of the dissertation project.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student cooperates with and follows the recommendations of the supervisor regarding the focus, structure and didactic aspect of the teaching activity. The course syllabus and course outlines are prepared by the student and approved in advance by the supervisor.	
Recommended literature: The literature required for the teaching activity will be determined in collaboration between the student and the supervisor, taking into account the subject area, current research in it, as well as the course syllabus and syllabus. Literature that is not available in the Academic Library or available through external information sources will be provided in advance by purchasing the publication at the initiative of the student or by using the interlibrary loan service.	

Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English		
Notes:		
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 161		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 02.10.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dDA-002/22	Course title: Teaching Text Authorship (less than 36 000 signs)
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 8	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The student will prepare the above mentioned pedagogical output in the scope of at least 3AH (60 standard pages) under the methodological guidance of the supervisor. The output must be published or accepted for publication in a reputable foreign or domestic publishing house. The student has a clear and demonstrable authorial contribution to the output, the extent of which is expressed as a percentage. This percentage also becomes part of the record in the publication record. The number of credits shall be determined as the product of $8 \times N$, where N is a number less than or equal to 1 that expresses the doctoral student's share of authorship; if $8 \times N$ is a decimal number, it shall be mathematically rounded to the nearest whole number. According to Decree No 397/2020 of the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic, a teaching text or script is defined as a document of an educational nature which presents a systematised body and interpretation of knowledge. As a rule, it temporarily replaces a university textbook. The target group is a defined group of students. It complements textbooks and is necessary for the quality and effectiveness of educational activities. Violation of academic ethics will result in invalidation of the credit evaluation. Completion of the course is without grade. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 0/100	
Learning outcomes: The student will demonstrate a comprehensive knowledge of the given thematic unit and the relevant area of research by elaborating the above pedagogical output. He/she will also apply his/her didactic skills and experience from his/her own studies and from teaching first and possibly also second level students. The outcome is a test of the student's ability to critically select and summarise knowledge, to present it in a systematic and appropriate stylistic manner. In the case of an output that is the work of several co-authors, it will also deepen the student's ability to collaborate and coordinate.	
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student cooperates with and follows the recommendations of the supervisor and, where appropriate, the editor of the output with	

regard to the focus, structure and method of preparation of the output. The process and timetable of the work is determined by the student, subject to the approval of the supervisor.		
Recommended literature: The literature needed to produce the output will be determined in collaboration between the student and the supervisor, taking into account the subject area and current research in it. Literature that is not available in the Academic Library will be provided by the purchase of publications at the initiative of the student or through the interlibrary loan service.		
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English		
Notes:		
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 12		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 02.10.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.KFDF/A-dSF-012/22	Course title: Truth and the "Regime of Truth"
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: 100% participation at lectures: in justified cases (e.g. illness) the teacher may excuse two absences. Completion of a final written thesis to be held one week prior to the official end of the semester in question. The final thesis will consist of a reflection (essay) on a selected course topic. In order to successfully complete the course, students need to score minimum of 6 points on the 10-point grading scale (A:10 points, B: 9 points, C: 8 points, D: 7 points, E: 6 points, Fx: 0-5 points). Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): preliminary 100%	
Learning outcomes: Recognition and awareness of the complexity, versatility and at the same time enduring relevance of the issues raised, linked in particular to the creation and existence of political truth as a regime of truth. Understanding both the unity and the differences of political truth with other kinds of truth. The development of critical thinking as a useful tool for orientation in a climate of constant questioning of the truth and the establishment of some criteria for when such questioning makes sense and when it becomes an end in itself or, on the contrary, becomes a tool of political propaganda and political struggle.	
Class syllabus: A Brief History of Truth. Objective and subjective truth, Absolute and relative truth. Truth, untruth, lie. The present as an era of "post-truth" and hoaxes? The will to truth and the will to untruth. Objective and subjective truth and power. "Truth Mode". The structure and mechanisms of truth mode production. Truth Mode and ideology. Truth Mode and science. Truth Mode and society: Truth Mode in totalitarian and democratic society.	
Recommended literature: FOUCAULT, Michel. Diskurs, autor, genealogie. Tři studie. Praha:Svoboda, 1994. ISBN 80-205-0406-0. FOUCAULT, Michel. Dozerat' a trestat'. Zrod väzenia. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2000. ISBN 80-7149-329-5. FOUCAULT, Michel. Rád diskurzu. Bratislava: Agora, 2006. ISBN 80-969394-3-2. MARCELLI, Miroslav. Michel Foucault alebo stat' sa iným. Bratislava:	

Archa, 1995. ISBN 80-7115-099-1. MARCELLI, Miroslav. Michel Foucault alebo stat' sa iným. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2005. ISBN 80-7149-723-1. BURAJ, Ivan. „Režim pravdy“ – pojem, štruktúra a mechanizmy jeho produkcie (Na motívy myšlienok Michela Foucaulta). In: Filozofia 2007 (7), str. 569-578.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, Czech (receptive acquaintance)

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 3

A	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. PhDr. Ivan Buraj, CSc.

Last change: 11.03.2022

Approved by:

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF/A-dDA-001/22	Course title: University Textbook or Teaching Text Authorship (minimum 36 000 signs)
Educational activities: Type of activities: Number of hours: per week: per level/semester: Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 15	
Recommended semester:	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: The student will prepare the above mentioned pedagogical output in the scope of at least 3AH (60 standard pages) under the methodological guidance of the supervisor. The output must be published or accepted for publication in a reputable foreign or domestic publishing house. The student has a clear and demonstrable authorial contribution to the output, the extent of which is expressed as a percentage. This percentage also becomes part of the record in the publication record. The number of credits shall be determined as the product of $15 \times N$, where N is a number less than or equal to 1 that expresses the doctoral student's share of authorship; if $15 \times N$ is a decimal number, it shall be mathematically rounded to the nearest whole number. According to the Decree of the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic No.397/2020, a university textbook is defined as a didactic tool of higher education that presents a systematic set and interpretation of knowledge on one thematic unit, has a didactic purpose and relates to the study programme. The target group is a defined group of university students. It conveys the latest information in the field of research, has a clearly structured text, and contains a list of references and recommended reading. A teaching text or script is defined as a document of an educational nature that presents a systematic body and interpretation of knowledge. As a rule, it temporarily replaces a university textbook. The target group is a defined group of students. It complements textbooks and is necessary for the quality and effectiveness of educational activities. Violation of academic ethics will result in invalidation of the credit evaluation. Completion of the course is without grade. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 0/100	
Learning outcomes: The student will demonstrate a comprehensive knowledge of the given thematic unit and the relevant area of research by elaborating the above pedagogical output. He/she will also apply his/her didactic skills and experience from his/her own studies and from teaching first and possibly also second level students. The outcome is a test of the student's ability to critically select and summarise knowledge, to present it in a systematic and appropriate stylistic manner. In the case of an output	

that is the work of several co-authors, it will also deepen the student's ability to collaborate and coordinate.		
Class syllabus: The course does not constitute a standard unit of higher education. The student cooperates with and follows the recommendations of the supervisor and, where appropriate, the editor of the output with regard to the focus, structure and method of preparation of the output. The process and timetable of the work is determined by the student, subject to the approval of the supervisor.		
Recommended literature: The literature needed to produce the output will be determined in collaboration between the student and the supervisor, taking into account the subject area and current research in it. Literature that is not available in the Academic Library or available through external information sources will be provided by purchasing the publication at the initiative of the student or by using the interlibrary loan service.		
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English		
Notes:		
Past grade distribution Total number of evaluated students: 2		
ABS	M	NEABS
100,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers:		
Last change: 02.10.2023		
Approved by:		

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.Dek/A-dSZ-511/22	Course title: Walter Benjamin's Theory of Modernity
Educational activities: Type of activities: seminar Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 1., 3.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: During the semester: preparation and active participation. Grading scale: 0-59% - FX, 60-67% - E, 68-75% - D, 76-83% - C, 84-91% - B, 92-100% - A The teacher will accept a maximum of two absences. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student will lose all the received points in the relevant assessment. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: The seminar brings together through the reading, analysis and interpretation of selected texts by the German literary scholar and philosopher Walter Benjamin (1892-1940) the levels in which we perceive the innovations of 19th- and 20th-century culture (urbanization, technical and social modernization, media revolutions, literary modernism, artistic avant-gardes). The seminar mediates interdisciplinarity as a starting point for a scholar in humanities seeking to grasp the complex phenomena of modernity.	
Class syllabus: Following texts will be read: BENJAMIN, W. Surrealism: the Last Snapshot of the European Intelligentsia. 1929. BENJAMIN, W. A Short History of Photography. 1931. BENJAMIN, W. Experience and Poverty. 1933. BENJAMIN, W. The Work of Art in the Age of its Technical Reproducibility. 1935/6. BENJAMIN, W. Paris, Capital of the Nineteenth Century. 1935. Theodor W. Adorno, letter to Walter Benjamin, 2 August 1935.	
Recommended literature: Further readings will be provided to students during the semester.	
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English	
Notes:	

Past grade distribution					
Total number of evaluated students: 13					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: prof. Mgr. Adam Bžoch, PhD.					
Last change: 15.09.2022					
Approved by:					

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.Dek/A-dSZ-506/21	Course title: What 20th century history is and can be about
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture Number of hours: per week: 2 per level/semester: 28 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 5	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: During the semester: preparation and active participation. Grading scale: 0-59% - FX, 60-67% - E, 68-75% - D, 76-83% - C, 84-91% - B, 92-100% - A The teachers will accept a maximum of two absences. Violation of academic ethics will be punished, and the student will lose all the received points in the relevant assessment. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 100/0	
Learning outcomes: The student will become familiar with the basic concepts and problems of historiography of the 19th and 20th centuries and with the tendencies of contemporary historiography. The course develops the ability to approach historical narratives critically, and not at all only in terms of 20th-century history.	
Class syllabus: 1. Brief overview of the history of historiography, explanation of the concepts: what is the difference between the past and history and what is the relationship between them. How historiography has changed: from myths, chronicles and annals through and syntheses of national history to critical historical analysis and non-national history. 2. The shapes and forms of history: history as narrative vs. history as critical analysis of social phenomena. Genres of history: from history of states and nations, political parties and wars to history of regimes, economic systems, social phenomena, ideologies and power; from biographies of rulers and national heroes to social biographies; from macro-history to micro-history; from the history of politics to the history of everyday life; from the history of events to the history of concepts and ideas. 3. The social purpose of history I.: What is history for? Historia Magistra Vitae – is history really a source of instruction? The uses and abuses of history in the public sphere. The benefits and harms of history in the 20th and 21st centuries: history as an instrument for legitimising regimes, territorial claims, policies, etc.; history as an instrument of identity politics, inclusion and delimitation. Related phenomena: collective memory, remembrance and tradition.	

4. Social purpose of history II: National history, corporate and business history, community history – what do they have in common? What good is the history of the toilet or the history of blood? History as a source of aesthetic experience? Attempts to radically rethink the function of history in the life of complex societies in the 21st century. History outside the study room: historical films and documentaries, "living history", computer games, etc.
5. History and Religion I.: Why does it make sense to study the history of religion? What is the difference between history of the church and religious history? How does historiography cooperate with other social sciences in the study of religion (sociology, anthropology)? How has the study of religion changed after the universal validity of the secularization narrative has been challenged?
6. History and Religion II. Memory, Identity and History: The Martyr Jozef Tiso. How was the image of Jozef Tiso as a martyr created and nurtured? What role did the various components and individual actors within the Roman Catholic Church play in this regard? What role did non-church actors play? What is happening to this image today?
7. Women in History I: How has historiography's approach to women changed? What role has historiography played in the creation of myths? How do official ideas affect the real status of women? How has the image of the ideal woman changed across recent Slovak history?
8. Women in History II: Managed Ignorance and Forgetting. Censorship, self-censorship, palimpsests and new coatings. You didn't live then, so don't talk. What were the witnesses of the time allowed to know? How was the dissemination of information restricted and circumvented? How does the information policy of the time affect today's memories? What will influence memories of today?
10. Where did history happen? Borders, migrations, transfers. On what decisions did people change residence and workplace? What opportunities did they have to travel? What strangers might they have encountered at home? What contacts did they maintain with foreign countries? What impact did this have on social hierarchies?
11. Where is history visible? Public space as a formative space of memory. Bratislava as capital, Slovak city, proletarian city, old and new... What we remembered and what we remember in the city. Guest: Elena Mannová.
12. How to catch a historian? Examples of erroneous practice. What affects the quality of the historian's work? What mistakes can even a layman notice? Presentation and discussion.

Recommended literature:

Literature for individual topics:

1.
IGGERS, Georg G. Dějepisectví ve 20. století. Praha: NLN, 2002. ISBN 80-7106-504-8.
CARR, Edward Hallet. Co je historie? Praha: Svoboda, 1967.
BLOCH, Marc. Obrana historie aneb historik a jeho řemeslo. Praha: Argo, 2011. ISBN 9788025704035.
2.
TOSH, John. The Pursuit of History. Aims, Methods and New Directions in the Study of Modern History. 5th ed. New York: Longman/Pearson, 2010. ISBN 9780582894129.
ZELEŇÁK, Eugen. Konštruktivismus a pluralita v histórii. Ružomberok: Verbum, 2011. ISBN 978-80-8084-774-6.
3.
FERRO, Marc. The Use and Abuse of History. Or How the Past is Taught to Children. Rev. Ed. London; New York: Routledge, 2003. ISBN 978-0-415-28592-6.
NIETZSCHE, Friedrich. Nečasové úvahy: O užitku a škodlivosti historie pro život. Praha: Oikoymenh, 2005. ISBN 807298134X.
- 4.

SCHURYOVÁ, Gudrun. Miazga života. Kultúrne dejiny krvi. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2004. ISBN 80-7149-653-7. FURRER, Daniel. Kam aj kráľ chodí pešo. Malá kultúrna história záchodu. Bratislava: Kalligram, 2006. ISBN 80-7149-850-5. MUNSLOW, Alun, and ROSENSTONE, Robert A. Experiments in Rethinking History. New York; London: Routledge, 2004. ISBN 0-415-30145-9. 5. MICKLETHWAIT, John, and Adrian WOOLDRIDGE. God is back: How the global revival of faith is changing the world. Penguin, 2009. Chapters 11, 12, 13. RÜPKE, Jörg: Religion In European History Online, 11/30/2020, http://ieg-ego.eu/en/threads/backgrounds/religion/joerg-ruepke-religion?set_language=en&-C. 6. HRUBOŇ, Anton: "Budovanie kultu Jozefa Tisa." Kultúrne dejiny 8.2 (2017), 213-239. LENČEŠOVÁ, Michaela: "Zásadný posun vo výskume dejín slovenského štátu." Soudobé Dějiny 28.2 (2021), 532-541. 7. MEYEROWITZ Joanne: A History of "Gender", The American Historical Review, Volume 113, Issue 5, December 2008, 1346-1356, https://doi.org/10.1086/ahr.113.5.1346. BREN, Paulina. Zelinář a jeho televize. Praha: Academia, 2013. (Socialistická rodina a její správkyně.), 295-324. ISBN 978-80-200-2322-3. ŠKORVANKOVÁ, Eva: Strážkyne rodinných kozubov? Ženy v ideológii a politike slovenského štátu. Bratislava: VEDA, 2020, 23-52. ISBN 9788022418577. 8. VOLANSKÁ, Hela: Ako na cudzej svadbe. Bratislava: Marenčin PIT, 2009. ISBN 9788089218936. 9. ŘEHÁKOVÁ, H. – VESELÝ, D.: Zakázané dějiny ve fotografiích ČTK, Praha: X-egem 1999. ISBN 80-7199-035-3. KOKLESOVÁ, Bohunka. V tieni Tretej ríše. Oficiálne fotografie Slovenského štátu. Bratislava: Slovart, 2010. ISBN 978-80-8085-938-1. 10. POLIAN, Pavel. Against Their Will: The History and Geography of Forced Migrations in the USSR. Budapest: CEU Press, 2004. ISBN 978-963-9241-68-8. ZECKER, Robert M. Race and America's Immigrant Press: How the Slovaks were Taught to Think Like White People. London: Bloomsbury Academic, 2011. ISBN 9781441134127. VRÁTNY, Štefan. Nepriateľská osoba Filozóf. Bratislava: Pro Historia, 2016. ISBN: 978-80-971247-8-6. 11. MANNOVÁ, Elena. Minulosť ako supermarket? spôsoby reprezentácie a aktualizácie dejín Slovenska. Bratislava: Veda, 2019. ISBN 9788022417068. HOJDA, Zdeněk and POKORNÝ, Jiří. Pomníky a zapomínky. Praha: Paseka, 1996. ISBN 8071850934. LIPTÁK, Ľubomír. Rošády na piedestáloch. Pomníky a politické zmeny pomníkov. OS 1998, Issue 11, 29-34.
Languages necessary to complete the course: Slovak, English
Notes:

Past grade distribution					
Total number of evaluated students: 7					
A	B	C	D	E	FX
71,43	0,0	28,57	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lecturers: Agáta Šústová Drelová, PhD., Mgr. Ladislav Vörös, PhD., Mgr. Marína Zavacká, PhD.					
Last change: 15.09.2022					
Approved by:					

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Academic year: 2022/2023	
University: Comenius University Bratislava	
Faculty: Faculty of Arts	
Course ID: FiF.Dek/A-dSZ-017/20	Course title: Women, Science and Feminism: Philosophical Perspective
Educational activities: Type of activities: lecture / seminar Number of hours: per week: 1 / 1 per level/semester: 14 / 14 Form of the course: on-site learning, distance learning	
Number of credits: 6	
Recommended semester: 2., 4.	
Educational level: III.	
Prerequisites:	
Course requirements: Preliminary evaluation during the instruction period: presentation of two selected topics (40 points). In the examination period: colloquial exam consisting of the presentation and defence of a written thesis (60 points). Violation of academic ethics may result in the cancellation of the points scored in the relevant assessment item. The condition for admission to the exam is the scoring of min. 10 points from the preliminary assessment. Grading scale: 0-59% - FX, 60-67% - E, 68-75% - D, 76-83% - C, 84-91% - B, 92-100% - A. Maximum 2 justified absences are accepted. The exact date and topic of the preliminary assessment will be announced at the beginning of the semester. Dates of examination will be published via AIS no later than the last week of the instruction period. Scale of assessment (preliminary/final): 40/60	
Learning outcomes: After successfully completing the course, students have knowledge of the gender aspects of science, an overview of the position of women in science from both historical and contemporary perspectives. They understand basic feminist epistemological concepts and orientations and are able to apply them to current issues related to the underrepresentation of women in science and research, the glass ceiling phenomenon and the leaky pipeline. They understand the issue of gender dimensions of science in its various aspects and levels. They are able to apply a gender perspective in own research.	
Class syllabus: 1. Feminist philosophy, its starting points and categorical apparatus. 2. Feminism and science: why the problem of science is interesting for feminism. 3. The position of women in science: historical view. 4. The position of women in science: present. 5. Glass ceiling and leaky pipes. 6. From the issue of women in science to the issue of science in feminism.	

7. Feminist epistemology, typology and contemporary discussions.
8. Feminist research programs focusing on science issues.
9. Gender determination of scientific institutions and scientific knowledge.
10. Production of knowledge and gender difference. The gender division of research labour.
11. "Gender" as an analytical tool, the methodological function of the category of gender.
12. Gender perspective in research. Gender-neutral versus gender-sensitive research.

Recommended literature:

FARKAŠOVÁ, Etela, SZAPUOVÁ, Mariana. „Prípad Gilliganová“ – epistemologické a metodologické otázky feministického výskumu. In: Filozofia: minulé podoby, súčasné perspektívy. Bratislava: Maxima press, 2003, 47-53. ISBN 80-969083-4-0.

SZAPUOVÁ, Mariana. Situovaná veda. Podoby a kontexty tvorby poznania. Bratislava: Centrum rodových štúdií, Filozofická fakulta Univerzity Komenského, 2009. 121-241. ISBN 978-80-969389-7-1.

SZAPUOVÁ, Mariana. Rod vo vede: teoretické perspektívy a ich uplatnenie vo výskume. Sociologický časopis, 2009, vol. 45, No. 4, 649-670. ISSN 0038-0288 (available on: <https://sreview.soc.cas.cz/pdfs/csr/2009/04/02.pdf>).

LONGINO, Helen E. The Fate of Knowledge. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2002, 1-77. ISBN 0-691-08876-4.

LINKOVÁ, Marcela (et al.). Nejisté vyhlídky. Proměny vědecké profese z genderové perspektivy. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství SLON, 2013. ISBN 978-80-7419-145-9.

Languages necessary to complete the course:

Slovak, Czech (receptive acquaintance); English (level B2)

Notes:

Past grade distribution

Total number of evaluated students: 20

A	B	C	D	E	FX
100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Lecturers: doc. PhDr. Mariana Szapuová, CSc.

Last change: 15.09.2022

Approved by: